TO:	Planning Commission
FROM:	Susan DeCarli, City Planner
SUBJECT:	 Destino Paso Resort Amendment – 291 room / 4 phase hotel development Planned Development Amendment (PD 08-002) Conditional Use Permit Amendment (CUP 08-002) Vesting Tentative Tract Map 2962 (TR 2962) Oak Tree Removal (OTR 16-009) Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND)
	Location: 3350 Airport Road, APN 025-436-029 & 025-346-030
	Applicant: Karen Stier
DATE:	October 11, 2016

- Needs: For the Planning Commission to consider making a recommendation to the City Council to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND), and approve Planned Development Amendment (PD 08-002), Conditional Use Permit Amendment (CUP 08-002), Vesting Tentative Tract Map (VTPM 2962), and an Oak Tree Removal (OTR 16-009) for the 291 room / 4 phase Destino Paso Resort proposed at 3350 Airport Road. See Attachment 1, Location Map.
- Facts:
 A resort complex was previously approved on the project site in 2009, under a previous ownership, which included a main hotel, individual casitas units, and ancillary improvements for up to 291 rooms.
 - 2. The property currently has an existing single-family home (that has not been approved for building occupancy) and accessory buildings, an old farmhouse and outbuildings, and a paved access road that extends from Airport Road and terminates at the eastern property line. The remainder of the property is undisturbed.
 - 3. The applicant, Karen Stier, proposes to re-subdivide the project site into six (6) lots, construct four (4) hotels (with a maximum of up to 291 rooms, consistent with the previously approved entitlement), demolish the existing single-family home, and maintain the existing farmhouse (on a separate parcel). The proposed lot sizes and improvements are provided below. See Attachment 2 VTPM 2962, and Attachment 3 Site Plan.

Lot 1 - 0.9 acres(Vacant, no changes proposed)Lot 2 - 6.75 acres(Hotel #3 - Limited services hotel, 28 rooms)Lot 3 - 6.3 acres(Hotel #2 - Limited services hotel, 80 rooms)Lot 4 - 12.97 acres(Hotel #1 - Full service hotel, 136 rooms)Lot 5 - 5.09 acres(No new or changed land uses/maintain existing farmhouse)Lot 6 - 5.00 acres(Hotel #4 - Limited services, 46 rooms)

- 4. The existing access road, Destino Paso Way, is proposed to be dedicated as a 50foot wide public right-of-way to serve lots 2, 3, and 4. A separate access driveway from Airport Road would serve lots 5 and 6. No access is proposed for lot 1, which is a small parcel on the west side of Airport Road within Huer Huero Creek corridor.
- 5. The property is designated in the General Plan, Land Use Element and is zoned as Parks and Open Space with a Resort Lodging Overlay, and an Airport Overlay. The proposed project is consistent with the applicable land use designation and zoning. The site is located partially in three different Airport Safety Zones, including zones 2, 3, and 4. An analysis of airport consistency is provided below.
- 6. In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), an environmental analysis/Initial Study and a draft Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) was prepared. See Attachment 9, Initial Study/MND.

Analysis and Conclusion: <u>Project Design</u>

The applicant intends to subdivide the property and move forward with the first phase of development (hotel #1, 136 room full-service hotel), on lot 4. This hotel is planned to be a high-quality hotel that would include a restaurant, bar/lounge, ballroom, and outdoor patios and gathering areas. Once this hotel is well established, the applicant anticipates moving forward on hotel #2 on lot 3, across Destino Paso Way, which is nearest to the primary hotel. This hotel is intended to compliment and be an extension of the primary hotel.

The other two hotels are anticipated to be smaller, more boutique-oriented hotels. The applicant does not intend to move forward on those hotels until after the initial hotels are completed and operational. Therefore, the proposal includes greater architectural details on the primary hotel, since development of the other three hotels will not occur for a few years.

The primary hotel (#1) is proposed to be 3-stories, and up to 35 feet in height, (below the permitted height limit of 50 feet). The other three hotels are planned to be 2-stories. The architectural theme of the primary hotel is contemporary, with "Prairie" architectural style influences. Building materials include wood siding, stone, smooth plaster and metal roofing. This building would be set deep into the

site on a slope toward the east side of the site. Hotel #2 would also be set deep into the site near the northeast corner of the property. The other two smaller hotels are proposed to be closer to Airport Road. See Attachment 4, View Renderings. These drawings show how the proposed hotels would be seen from Airport Road.

Oak Trees

An arborist report was prepared for this project (See Attachment 9, MND), which inventoried 155 oak trees on the project site. The applicant has requested removal of seven (7) oak trees to accommodate frontage improvements along Airport Road and parking lots. Three (3) of the trees proposed for removal are rated in poor condition, the other four (4) oaks are rated in fair to good condition. Tree protection measures are proposed to reduce potential impacts to existing oak trees within the development areas of hotels #1, 2, and 4 that are not proposed to be removed. Oak tree replacements would be required to mitigate for the loss of oak trees approved for removal in compliance with the Oak Tree Protection Ordinance.

Traffic

As provided in Attachment 12, a Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) was prepared for this project. The TIA studied four (4) intersections (i.e. Dry Creek Road/Airport Road, State Route 46 E/(SR46E)/Golden Hill Road, SR46E/Union Road, and SR46E/Airport Road), and evaluated their operations during weekday morning and evening periods, and Saturday mid-day, for existing, existing plus the project, nearterm, and near-term plus the project conditions. Assumptions evaluated include trip generation, trip distribution and assignment. The TIA concludes that <u>the project may result in potentially significant operational traffic impacts</u>, and improvements maybe necessary for alternative transportation facilities. The report provides recommended mitigation measures to reduce potential impacts.

The TIA indicates that under existing conditions the Level of Service (LOS) is unacceptable (LOS D, E or F) at SR46E/Union Road and SR46E/Airport Road. Specific impacts that may result with the project would further reduce the LOS at the same intersections. This is the same (e.g. worsened conditions) under the nearterm and near-term plus project conditions. The SR 46E/Airport Road intersection is controlled by Caltrans, which has a target of LOS C or better operations. The southbound approach currently operates at LOS E during the Saturday mid-day peak hour. Currently only two vehicles make the southbound left turn during the Saturday peak hour. The project would add 16 Saturday peak hour trips to the southbound approach and potentially resulting in more aggressive maneuvers as drivers accept smaller gaps to make their turn. This condition worsens under Near Term Plus Project conditions, when both the southbound and eastbound left turn movements exceed capacity, resulting in excessive delay. The capacity is exceeded upon occupancy of Hotel 2. Therefore, mitigations have been developed to address this condition prior to occupancy of Hotel 2.

The Planning Commission is requested to recommend either of two proposed mitigation measures to reduce operational impacts at the SR 46E/Airport Road intersection. As noted in the TIA, the applicant would be permitted to complete and occupy the first hotel (136 rooms), however improvements would be required to allow completion of hotels 2, 3, and 4. Mitigation Measure TR-1 would result in the closure of the existing southbound left-turn movement from Airport Rd to 46E. This will impact existing traffic patterns. Mitigation Measure TR-2 would require the construction of a Huer Huero Creek crossing.

SR 46E/Airport Road intersection mitigation options:

Mitigation Measure TR-1: Southbound Left turn prohibition

Prohibit southbound left turns at State Route 46E/Airport Road to reduce conflict points at this intersection, reduce queuing, and reduce delay on the southbound approach prior to improvement of Hotels 2, 3, and 4 unless a local road connection is provided to Wisteria Lane.

Until a local road connection is provided to Wisteria Lane, prohibiting southbound left turns would require vehicles destined to travel east on State Route 46 to turn right onto westbound State Route 46 then perform a U-turn at Union Road or Golden Hill Road. The existing counts show that fewer than ten vehicles currently make the southbound left turn during the peak hours studied, and shifting these trips would have a negligible effect on operations at the nearby intersections of Union Road and Golden Hill Road.

Mitigation Measure TR-2: Wisteria Lane Connection

Complete the local road connection from Wisteria Lane to Airport Road prior to occupancy of Hotels 2, 3, and 4. Upon completion, provide signage on the westbound approach to Destino Paso Way/Airport Road to direct hotel visitors to the new local road connection instead of State Route 46E, and prohibiting westbound left turns out of Destino Paso Way to avoid operational impacts to the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection.

Water Resources

A Water Supply Evaluation (WSE) was prepared for this project, which is provided in the CEQA analysis, (Attachment 9). As noted in the WSE, the projected water demand for this project is included in the assumptions of the 2015 Urban Water Management Plan. Water supply for the project will include City-supplied potable water and recycled water (when it becomes available). Buildout water use of the project is estimated to be 35.32 acre-feet per year (AFY) of City-supplied potable water, and 3.94 AFY of recycled water in the future. In the interim, City-supplies of potable water will be used for hotel operations, irrigation, and water features. The study concludes that the City has adequate potable supply to provide reliable long-term water supply for the project under normal and drought conditions.

Airport Land Use Plan (ALUP) Consistency

The project location is within the planning impact area of the Paso Robles Airport Land Use Plan (ALUP), Safety Zones 2, 3, and 4. The ALUP includes a Land Use Matrix, Table 6, that describes land uses that may be compatible (e.g. "permitted") or prohibited in various zones. Land uses in Zone 2 are very restrictive. No development is proposed in Zone 2 with this project, therefore, the project would not conflict with ALUP Zone 2. ALUP Zones 3 and 4 permit certain types of uses, including hospitality land uses, such as hotels, restaurants, and indoor auditoriums & convention centers (such as the ballroom). Per Table 6, of the ALUP, there are additional density-specific restrictions that apply to different zones, as follows:

- Zone 3 The intensity of uses shall not exceed an average 60 persons per gross acre, maximum 120 persons per single acre, at any time. Usage calculations shall include all people (e.g., employees, customers/visitors, etc.) who may be on the property at any single point in time, whether indoors or outside.
- Zone 4 The intensity of uses shall not exceed an average 40 persons per gross acre, maximum 120 persons per single acre, at any time. Usage calculations shall include all people (e.g., employees, customers/visitors, etc.) who may be on the property at any single point in time, whether indoors or outside.

Additionally, Appendix E includes development restrictions that apply to particular land uses and this project, as follows:

- Food and Beverage Service, Indoor Entertainment 1 person/60 s.f. of gross floor area
- Public Assembly 1 person per seat or per 12 s.f. of gross floor area
- Transient Lodging 1.8 person per room or group of rooms to occupied as a suit, plus (in addition to) 1 person per 60 s.f. floor area of any restaurants, bars, or night clubs, plus 1 person per 10 s.f. of floor area of meeting rooms.

The applicant provided an analysis that breaks down the site into one-acre measurements, and based on the site plan and intended land uses, calculated the number of people that would occupy an acre of land on average and the maximum. This is the accepted density calculation methodology of the San Luis Obispo County Airport Land Use Commission, and has been used on other similar projects within

the City's ALUP planning area.

The analysis assumptions consider occupancy of all hotels at 100 percent. The analysis indicates that the project would be consistent with the density limitations established in the ALUP for the maximum number of people permitted per gross and per single acre, as well as the maximum number of persons permitted by use. See ALUP Analysis, Attachment 6 and Exhibit I and J of draft Resolution B..

Policy Reference:

erence: Paso Robles General Plan, Economic Strategy, Zoning Ordinance, CEQA Guidelines, Airport Land Use Plan, Urban Water Management Plan.

- **Fiscal Impact:** Expansion of hotel and lodging accommodations is identified in the City's Economic Strategy. Hotels have been determined to have a net positive fiscal impact on the City's revenues due to receipt of transient occupancy taxes.
- Options: After opening the public hearing and taking public testimony and considering the staff report and proposed Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration, the Planning Commission is requested to take one of the actions listed below:
 - 1. Recommend the City Council approve the Destino Paso Resort project amendment by approving the following four resolutions by separate motions:
 - Approve Draft Resolution A, recommending the City Council certify Mitigated Negative Declaration for the project, including identification of the recommended transportation mitigation measure for impacts to the SR 46E/Airport Road intersection, either Mitigation Measure TR-1 or TR-2; and
 - b. Approve Draft Resolution B, recommending the City Council approve Planned Development 08-002, Conditional Use Permit 08-002: and
 - c. Approve Draft Resolution C, recommending the City Council approve Vesting Tentative Tract Map 2962; and
 - d. Approve Draft Resolution D, recommending the City Council approve Oak Tree Removal 16-009.
 - 2. Amend the above-listed action.
 - 3. Refer this item back to staff or the DRC for additional analysis.
 - 4. Recommend denial of either Draft Resolution A, B, C or D based on specific findings.

Attachments:

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Site Plan
- 3. Site Plan Comparison
- 4. Architectural Renderings
- 5. Vesting Tentative Parcel Map 2962
- 6. Airport Land Use Plan Analysis
- 7. City Engineer memorandum
- 8. Resolution A Recommendation to City Council to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration
- 9. Resolution B Recommendation to City Council to approve a Planned Development Amendment 08-002, Conditional Use Permit Amendment 09-002
- 10. Resolution C Recommendation to City Council to approve Vesting Tentative Tract Map 2962
- 11. Resolution D Recommendation to City Council to approve Oak Removal Permit 16-009
- 12. Exhibit A (Resolution A) Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration/Initial Study
- 13. Notices

Attachment 1 Location Map









Architectural Renderings



VIEW FROM PARKING LOT



NOTE: RENDERINGS HAVE NOT BEEN UPDATED TO REFLECT ROOF REVISIONS Agenda Item No. 1 Page 11 of 979

VIEW OFF POOL DECK









lo Milla

o Millio

ol Millo

lo Mill



Arenda Item No. 1 Page 1







Attachment 6 Airport Land Use Plan Consistency Analysis

TO:	City of El Paso de Robles
FROM:	Michael Stanton FAIA, Stanton Architecture
DATE:	28 September 2016
PROJECT:	Destino Paso, Destino Paso Way, Paso Robles
REFERENCE:	Proposed Density - Destino Paso

Subject:

The following memo and supporting reports summarizes the proposed density of the Destino Paso Resort for the review by City Staff for the proposed project's Development Plan entitlement.

Brand Introduction:

The Radisson Paso Robles by Carlson Rezidor will provide the ideal setting for business and leisure travelers to Paso Robles. Guests of Destino Paso will typically stay 2-4 nights, and will range from 'weekend getaways', to extended celebrations such as weddings, parties and events. The Destino Paso aims to attract travelers looking for leisure both at the hotel and the greater Paso Robles area. The hotel has been designed to accommodate the prospective guests with extensive grounds amenities as well as a bar, restaurant and event wing. It is located a short drive to downtown Paso Robles and various wineries, allowing guests to enjoy what the City of El Paso De Robles has to offer.

Density:

The project's overall density has been carefully planned in accordance with the Paso Robles Airport Land Use Plan (ALUP), which indicates as follow:

1. The maximum number of persons shall not exceed an average of 40 persons per gross acre and;

2. The maximum number of persons shall not exceed 120 per single acre.

As suggested by City Staff, we used the following approach so determine the maximum density per single acre.

Allowable Maximum Density:

37.1 acres (Gross Site Area) x 40 (persons per acres) = 1,480.4 persons total.

Allowable Maximum Density per Safety Zone:

Zone 3: 17.8 Acres x 40 (persons per acres) = 712 Persons total. Zone 4: 19.2 Acres x 40 (person per acres) = 768 Persons total. Safety Zone 2 has been omitted from calculation as there is no calculated occupied density for this zone.

	Parcel	Acre	Calculated Occupancy
	3	D7	115.9
Safety Zone 3	3	E7	43.2
	4	D5	118.4
	4	D6	24.3
	4	E5	87.7
	4	E6	81.1
	4	F5	91.5
	4	F6	110
		Total:	672.1

	Parcel	Acre	Calculated Occupancy
4	2	B4	18.9
one	2	B5	18
N	2	C4	13.5
Safety	6	C1	5.4
	6	D0	12.6
	6	D1	66.6
		Total:	135

As illustrated in the tables above, the occupants per gross acres within each safety zone are well below the allowable maximum density per zone. Please note that the calculated occupancy was derived from the provided Occupant Load Data Table, which breaks down the occupiable space and corresponding occupancy load per acre.

Allowable Density per Single Acre: See Airport Analysis Diagram

Land Use Intensity Factors:

- 1. 1.8 Persons per room or group of rooms to be occupied as a suite.
- 2. 1 Person per 60 sq. ft. of floor area of any restaurants, coffee shops, bars, or night clubs
- 3. 1 Person per 12 sq. ft. of floor area of public assembly

Proposed maximum Density:

Parcel 1: No development proposed on this parcel

Parcel 2:

- Acre B4: 1.8 persons per room x 10.5 Rooms = 18.9 Persons
- Acre B5: 1.8 persons per room x 10 Rooms = 18 Persons
- Acre C4: 1.8 persons per room x 7.8 Rooms = 13.5 Persons Each defined acre below 120 persons per acre.

Parcel 3:

- Acre D7*: 1.8 persons per room x 56 (Levels 1-3) Rooms = 100.8 Persons
- Acre E7: 1.8 persons per room x 24 (Levels 1-3) Rooms = 43.2 Persons Each defined acre below 120 persons per acre.

Parcel 4:

- Acre D5: 1.8 persons per room x 65.75 (Levels 1-3) Rooms = 118.4 Persons
- Acre D6: 1.8 persons per room x 13.5 (Levels 1-3) Rooms = 24.3 Persons
- Acre E5*: 1.8 persons per room x 23 (Levels 1-2) Rooms = 41.4 Persons
- Acre E6*: 1.8 persons per room x 31 (Levels 1-2) Rooms = 55.8 Persons
- Acre F5*: No Guestrooms.
- Acre F6*: No Guestrooms. Each defined acre below 120 persons per acre.

Parcel 5: No development proposed on this parcel

Parcel 6:

- Acre C1: 1.8 Persons per room x 3 (Levels 1-2) Rooms = 5.4 Persons
- Acre D0: 1.8 Persons per room x 7 (Levels 1-2) Rooms = 12.6 Persons
- Acre D1: 1.8 Persons per room x 37 (Levels 1-2) Rooms = 66.6 Persons Each defined acre below 120 persons per acre

*This defined acre has occupiable space that includes a restaurant, coffee shop, bar or night club with a defined occupancy of one person per 60 sq. ft. of floor area. Please see attached "Occupant Load Data Table" for the complete breakdown of each defined acre for both guestroom occupancy and common area occupancy.

Density of Common Areas: Due to the unique function of the hotel as both a business/leisure destination and an event destination, the hotel has been carefully planned to provide ample space for hotel and function guests for both privacy, enjoyment, and safety. Considering the hotel will both accommodate hotel guests as well as a small portion of the general public visiting the site for dining or special functions, the hotel takes advantage of the natural mesa that occurs at the top of the oak tree ravine on the proposed Parcel 4. It mediates the large density of persons by amassing the majority of the guestrooms at the north end of the mesa, while the event space and restaurant are grouped at the south end of the mesa, distributing the density through a number of acres.

Common areas include the following:

Parcel 1:

- No proposed common areas.
- No proposed guestrooms.

Parcel 2:

- A lobby/reception for use by hotel guests
- A breakfast area, continental breakfast and seating area for use by hotel guests
- 28 proposed guestrooms distributed across 3 acres

Parcel 3:

- A lobby/reception for use by hotel guests
- A fitness center for use by hotel guests
- A lobby bar for use by both hotel guests and the general public (904 sf. ft.)
- 80 proposed guestrooms distributed across 2 acres

Parcel 4:

- A lobby/reception for use by hotel guests
- A board room for use by hotel guests
- A function room for use by special event guests, consisting of both hotel guests and the general public (2,635 sq. ft.)
- A pre-function space for use by special event guests prior to events in the ballroom. The ballroom and pre-function will not be occupied simultaneously, so the prefunction space is omitted from our calculations.
- A restaurant for use by hotel guests and the general public (1,587 sq. ft.)
- A meeting room for use by hotel guests
- A lobby bar for use by hotel guests and the general public (1,077 sq. ft.)
- 136 proposed guestrooms distributed across 4 acres

Parcel 5:

- No proposed common areas.
- No proposed guestrooms.

Parcel 6:

- A lobby/reception for use by hotel guests
- A breakfast area, continental breakfast and seating area for use by hotel guests
- 47 proposed guestrooms distributed across 3 acres

Common Area Total:

Parcel 1: No development proposed on this parcel

- Parcel 2: No common areas open to the general public.
- Parcel 3: 904 sq. ft. of common area on one acre
- Parcel 4: 5,299 sq. ft. of common area distributed over 4 acres
- Parcel 5: No development proposed on this parcel

Parcel 6: No common areas open to the general public

Please note the following:

- The areas specified above as designated 'for use by hotel guests' only have been omitted from our common space calculations. The spaces designated as such are reserved for exclusive use by hotel guests and are not generally leased to the public so the occupants of these are accounted for in the 1.8 occupants assumed for each guestroom.
- For occupancy calculation purposes, all hotels are assumed to have 100 percent occupancy.
- For the purposes of determining maximum occupancy, the areas listed above as available for use by the general public are calculated as 100 percent occupied by non-hotel guest patrons. Since these types are areas open to the public (such as the bar, restaurant and function room), will normally be occupied by a mix of hotel guests and the general public, this assumption is very conservative.

Occupant Load Data Table:

Included with this memo is an **Occupant Load Data Table** describing the proposed spaces and breaks down both the guestroom occupancy and common space occupancy for each defined acre. As is clearly indicated in this enclosure, all occupied acres have a calculated density below the maximum occupancy of 120 persons per acre.

Parking:

We have also looked into the limiting factor that parking may have on our site. The number of parking spaces provided also indicates that the occupancy of this project will be far below the allowable maximums. The project is proposing a total of approximately 388 parking spaces distributed across two Airport Safety Zones. Using an assumed occupancy of 1.5 people per car, the following total site occupancy results:

290 parking spaces in Safety Zone 3 x 1.5 persons/parking space** = 435 Persons 98 parking spaces in Safety Zone 4 x 1.5 person/parking spaces**= 147 Persons

**The assumption regarding the number of people per vehicle is based on the methodology recently presented to Paso Robles for the Residence Inn Project on Union Road that was, in turn, based on the parking requirements in the "Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan - Appendix C: Methods for Determining Concentrations of People."

This total occupancy based on parking spaces is 277 persons <u>below</u> the maximum occupancy of 712 persons based on the gross site area in Safety Zone 3 and 621 persons <u>below</u> the maximum occupancy of 768 persons based on the gross site area in Safety Zone 4.

In summary, our conservative approach to accounting for the various occupancies on the both guestrooms and public area indicates the proposed development will be well below the maximum gross site density and within the 120 persons per single acre.

We thank you for your time and help with this matter.

Michael Stanton FAIA, Principal Stanton Architecture





Destino Paso Resort Destino Paso Way, Paso Robles

9/28/2016

Occupant Load Data Table

Per the ALUP Appendix E "Non-Residential Land Use Densities, the occupancy calculations have been defined using the following use and density definitions:

1.8 persons per room or group of rooms to be occupied as a suite one person per 60 sq. ft. floor area of any restaurants, coffee shops, bars, or night clubs one person per 12 sq. ft. of gross floor area of any public assembly (ballroom/event space)

Total	cupants	er Acre		18.9 - B4	18 - B5	13.5 - C4	œ		115.9 - D7	43.2 - E7	118.4 - D5	24.3 - D6		-			87.7 - E5			81.1 - E6			91.5 - F5	110 - F6		5.4 - C1	12.6 - D0	66.6 - D1
-	ŏ	pe	N/A				100.	15.1					41.4	18.0	24.8	3.5		64.8	16.3		1.65	89.8			N/A			
ju zuqunių	Occupants	occupation	N/A	18.9	18	13.5	100.8	15.1		43.2	118.4	24.3	41.4	18.0	24.8	3.5		64.8	16.3		1.65	89.8		110	N/A	5.4	12.6	9.99
I and Eactor (1 0	Occ ner Room)			1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8			1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8					1.8								1.8	1.8	1.8
Load Eartor	(ef/Occ)	(and (is)						60						60	60	12			12		60	12		12				
ju zuganny	Rooms			10.5	10	7.5	95			24	65.75	13.5	23					36								£	7	37
Area (sf) of	Common	Space						904						1077	1488	42			195		66	1078		1320				
	Area Description			Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Lobby Bar		Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Lobby Bar	Restaurant	Ballroom		Guestroom	Ballroom		Restaurant	Ballroom		Ballroom		Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom
	Area Type			Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Common Area		Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Common Area	Common Area	Common Area		Guestroom	Common Area		Common Area	Common Area		Common Area		Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom
	Acre		N/A	B4	B5	Q	D7	D7		E7	D5	D6	ES	E5	ES	ES		E6	E6		F5	F5		F6	N/A	C1	DO	D1
	Parcel #		Parcel 1	Parcel 2			Parcel 3				Parcel 4														Parcel 5	Parcel 6		

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 22 of 979















Attachment 7

City Engineer memorandum

MEMORANDUM

TO: Susan DeCarli

FROM: John Falkenstien

SUBJECT: Amended PD 08-002, VTM 2962 Destino Paso Resort

DATE: September 16, 2016

Tentative Tract Map

In accordance with the recommendations of the Transportation Impact Analysis, access easements should be provided from the dedicated new road to the properties to the north to reduce the number of driveways needed on Airport Road and preclude the need for the driveway in close proximity to the intersection of the new road.

Stormwater Quality

In accordance with Water Board mandates, the City has adopted a Storm Water Ordinance requiring all projects to implement low impact development best management practices to mitigate impacts to the quality of storm water run-off and to limit the increase in the rate and volume of storm water run-off to the maximum extent practical.

The applicant has prepared a Stormwater Control Plan offering a site assessment of constraints and opportunities and corresponding storm water management strategies. The Stormwater Control Plan submitted includes reference to bio-retention swales and centralized bio-retention basins.

Streets and Traffic

The project fronts on Airport Road. Tentative Airport Road improvement plans have been submitted showing through lane channelization, left turn and right turn pockets. The plan should be modified in accordance with the recommendations of the Transportation Impact Analysis to optimize features for bikes and pedestrians as well.

As indicated in the Traffic Impact Analysis, Airport Road serves the region and provides a connection to Highway 46E. The project will aggravate queues with increased peak hour traffic at Highway 46E. Mitigation options are provided in the Analysis including a closure of southbound left turns at Highway 46E.

Sewer and Water

There is currently no public sewer available to serve the project. The applicant proposes to construct a sewer main in Airport to a new lift station at a point northerly in Airport Road and then pump to west Dry Creek Road. Once the project is developed there is potential that the sewer may be available in the Connection Road in the Erskine General Plan Amendment property.

There is a 16-inch water main in Airport Road available to serve the property.

Conditions

The Final Tract Map shall include an easement between the newly dedicated road and the property to the north precluding the need for the driveway on Airport Road directly to the north.

Prior to recordation of the Final Map, and in conjunction with construction of the newly dedicated road, rough grade the easement to the north. The applicant shall work with the property owner to the north to complete the connection to eliminate, or at least provide an alternative to their existing driveway.

Stormwater Control Measures shall be constructed in accordance with the Stormwater Control Plan.

Airport Road shall be improved in accordance with plans designed in accordance with the recommendations of the Traffic Impact Analysis and as approved by the City Engineer. A cross-walk shall be established at the entrance road in accordance with plans approved by a Traffic Engineer. The walking path on the west side of Airport Road shall be extended south to the Ravine Water Park parking lot.

No development shall occur after Phase I without traffic mitigation strategies resolved in accordance with the Traffic Impact Analysis.

Prior to occupancy of any development, a gravity sewer main must be constructed in Airport Road consistent with the Master Plan of the area, along with a lift station and force main to an appropriate connection point as determined by the Wastewater Division Manager. All of the regional sewer infrastructure will be subject to a reimbursement agreement for collection from future development interests.

Attachment 8

DRAFT RESOLUTION A

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF PASO ROBLES RECOMMENDING THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPT A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE DESTINO PASO RESORT (PLANNED DEVELOMENT AMENDMENT 08-002, CONDITIONAL USE PERMINT AMENDMENT 08-002, VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP 2962, AND OAK TREE REMOVAL 16-009) 3350 AIRPORT ROAD, APN: 025-436-029 & 025-346-030 APPLICANT – KAREN STIER

WHEREAS, an application for Planned Development Amendment (PD 08-002), Conditional Use Permit Amendment (CUP 08-002), Vesting Tentative Tract Map (VTTM 2962), and Oak Tree Removal (OTR 16-009) have been filed by Karen Stier for Destino Paso Resort with four (4) hotels that include 291 rooms and ancillary site improvements; and

WHEREAS, the project is consistent with the applicable policy and regulatory documents of the City, including the following:

- General Plan Parks and Open Space with a Resort Lodging Overlay and an Airport Overlay (POS/RL/AP) land use designation the project would provide development of "... hotels in close proximity to golf courses and commercial recreation...and resorts, lodging and related ancillary land uses..."; and
- Zoning District of Parks and Open Space with a Resort Lodging Overlay and an Airport Overlay (POS/RL/AP) the project is a "conditionally permitted" use in the POS/RL/AP district; and
- Airport Land Use Plan Table 6, Land Use Compatibility Matrix, Zones 2, 3, and 4, Hotels and Motels, Restaurants, and Assembly; and
- Economic Strategy the project advances tourism and employment goals of the Economic Strategy to, "Improve quality of place to attract investment and knowledge workers stimulate investment by establishing distinctive, quality, stable, safe and sustainable physical improvements and attractions that welcome industry, commerce, tourism, employment, and wealth necessary to maintain and enhance quality of life."

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Statutes and Guidelines of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Public Resources Code, Section 21000, et seq., and the City's Procedures for Implementing CEQA, an Initial Study and a Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) was prepared and circulated for a 30-day public review period beginning on September 10, 2016 through October 11, 2016. No public comments were received on the MND prior to the Planning Commission meeting. A copy of the Draft MND/Initial Study is included in Exhibit A (Attachment 11 of the project staff report) of this Resolution, and it is on file at the Paso Robles Community Development Department; and

WHEREAS, mitigation measures have been incorporated into the MND and will be imposed on the project through the City's adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) in compliance with CEQA Guideline 15074(d). These mitigation measures are imposed on the project to address potential environmental effects from: aesthetics; air quality; traffic; biological resources, greenhouse gas emissions; and noise. With the implementation of this mitigation, all potential environmental effects will be reduced to a less than significant level. These mitigation measures are provided in Exhibit B, "Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program" attached to this Resolution; and

WHEREAS, mitigation measures set forth in the MMRP are specific and enforceable. The MMRP adequately describes implementation procedures, monitoring responsibility, reporting actions, compliance schedule, and verification of compliance in order to ensure that the Project complies with the adopted mitigation measures; and

WHEREAS, the mitigation measures contained in the MMRP will also be imposed as enforceable conditions of approval; and

WHEREAS, the applicant has executed a Mitigation Agreement whereby the applicant has agreed to incorporate all of the mitigation measures listed in Exhibit B into the project. A copy of the executed Mitigation Agreement is on file in the Community Development Department; and

WHEREAS, public notice of the proposed Draft MND was posted as required by Section 21092 of the Public Resources Code; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was conducted by the Planning Commission on October 11, 2016 to consider the Initial Study and the draft MND prepared for the proposed project, and to accept public testimony on the Planned Development, Conditional Use Permit, Vesting Tentative Tract Map, Oak Tree Removal, and environmental determination. At the close of this public hearing, the Planning Commission recommended adoption of the MND and approval of the proposed project to the City Council; and

WHEREAS, based on the information and analysis contained in the Initial Study prepared for this project and testimony received as a result of the public notice, the Planning Commission finds that there is no substantial evidence supporting a fair argument that there would be a significant impact on the environment with mitigation measures imposed on the project; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to CEQA the Planning Commission has independently reviewed the Initial Study, the Mitigated Negative Declaration, and all comments received regarding the Mitigated Negative Declaration, and based on the whole record before it finds that the Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared in compliance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines, that there is no substantial evidence that the Project will have a significant effect on the environment with the incorporation of mitigation, and the Mitigated Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Planning Commission.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Planning Commission of the City of El Paso de Robles, based on its independent judgment and analysis, recommends the City Council adopt the Mitigated Negative Declaration (Exhibit A) for the Destino Paso Resort project and adopts a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (Exhibit B), and imposes each mitigation measure as a condition of approval, in accordance with the Statutes and Guidelines of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the City's Procedures for Implementing CEQA.

PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 11th day of October, 2016, by the following roll call vote:

AYES: NOES: ABSENT: ABSTAIN:

BOB ROLLINS, CHAIRPERSON

WARREN FRACE, SECRETARY OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Exhibits:

ATTEST:

- A. Exhibit A Mitigated Negative Declaration / Initial Study (refer to Attachment 12 of the Planning Commission staff report)
- B. Exhibit B Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Exhibit B Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan

Project File No./Name: PD Amendment 08-002, CUP 08-002, VTTM Amendment 2962, OTR 16-002 – Destino Paso Resort Hotel, 3350 Airport Road Approving Resolution No.:______by:
Date:

The following environmental mitigation measures were either incorporated into the approved plans or were incorporated into the conditions of approval. Each and every mitigation measure listed below has been found by the approving body indicated above to lessen the level of environmental impact of the project to a level of non-significance. A completed and signed checklist for each mitigation measure indicates that it has been completed.

Explanation of Headings:

Type:
Shown on Plans:
Verified Implementation:
Remarks:

Mitigation Measure	Type	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks	
AES – 1	Project	CDD			Prior to issuance of	
The project shall be designed in accordance with the					building permits.	
attached specific architectural features to ensure visual impacts are mitigated.						
BIO-1	Project	Qualified			Prior to issuance of	-
To the maximum extent possible, site preparation,		Biologist			grading permit	
ground-disturbing, and construction activities should be		CDD				
conducted outside of the migratory bird breeding						
season. If such activities are required during this period,						
the applicant should retain a qualified biologist to						
conduct a nesting bird survey and verify that migratory						
birds are not occupying the site. If nesting activity is						
detected the following measures should be						
implemented:						
a. The project should be modified or delayed as						
necessary to avoid direct take of						
identified nests, eggs, and/or young protected under the						
MBTA;						
b. The qualified biologist should determine an						
appropriate biological buffer zone around active nest						
sites. Construction activities within the established buffer						

Timing/Remarks		Prior to issuance of grading permit	Prior to issuance of grading permit	Prior to issuance of grading permit	$D_{rotram} = D_{ade} 2 \text{ of } 13$
Verified Implementation					Mitigation Monitoring
Shown on Plans					
Monitoring Department or Agency		Oualified Biologist CDD	CDD	CDD	
Type		Project	On-going	On-going	
Mitigation Measure	zone will be prohibited until the young have fledged the nest and achieved independence; and, c. The qualified biologist should document all active nests and submit a letter report to the City documenting project compliance with the MBTA.	BIO-2 Prior to construction, a qualified biologist should conduct a pre-activity survey to identify known or potential dens or sign of San Joaquin Kit fox no less than 14 days and no more than 30 days prior to the beginning of the site preparation, ground-disturbing, or construction activities, or any other activity that has the potential to adversely affect the species. If a known or potential den or any other sign of the species is identified or detected within the project area, the biologist will contract USFWS and CDFW immediately. No work will commence or continue until such time that USFWS and CDFW determine that it is appropriate to proceed. Under no circumstances will a known or potential den be disturbed or destroyed without prior authorization from USFWS and CDFW. Within 7 days of survey completion, a report will be submitted to USFWS, CDFW, and the City. The report will include, at a minimum, survey dates, field personnel, field conditions, survey methodology, and survey results.	BIO-3 During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase, to prevent entrapment of the San Joaquin kit fox, all excavation, steep-walled holes, or trenches in excess of 2 feet in depth should be covered at the close of each working day by plywood or similar materials, or provided with one or more escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks. Trenches should also be inspected for entrapped kit fox each morning prior to onset of field activities and immediately prior to covering with plywood at the end of each working day. Before such holes or trenches are filled or covered, they should be thoroughly inspected for entrapped kit fox. If any kit fox is found, work will stop and USFWS and CDFW will be contacted immediately to determine how to proceed.	BIO-4	

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 36 of 979

Mitigation Monitoring Program – Page 2 of 12
Mitigation Measure	Type	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
During the site disturbance and/or construction phase, any pipes, culverts, or similar structures with a diameter of 4 inches or greater stored overnight at the project site should be thoroughly inspected for trapped San Joaquin kit foxes before the subject pipe is subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way. If any kit fox is found, work will stop and USFWS and CDFW will be contacted immediately to determine how to proceed.					
BIO-5 Prior to, during, and after the site disturbance and/or construction phase, use of pesticides or herbicides should be in compliance with all federal, state, and local regulations. This is necessary to minimize the probability of primary or secondary poisoning of endangered species utilizing adjacent habitats, and the depletion of prey upon which San Joaquin kit foxes depend.	On-going	CDD			Prior to issuance of grading permit
BIO-6 During the site disturbance and/or construction phase, any contractor or employee that inadvertently kills or injures a San Joaquin kit fox or who finds any such animal either dead, injured, or entrapped should be required to report the incident immediately to the applicant and City. In the event that any observations are made of injured or dead kit fox, the applicant should immediately notify USFWS and CDFW by telephone. In addition, formal notification should be provided in writing within 3 working days of the finding of any such animal(s). Notification should include the date, time, location, and circumstances of the incident. Any threatened or endangered species found dead or injured should be turned over immediately to CDFW for care, analysis, or disposition.	On-going	CDD			Prior to issuance of grading permit
 BIO-7 Prior to final inspection, should any long internal or perimeter fencing be proposed or installed, the City should do the following to provide for kit fox passage: a. If a wire strand/pole design is used, the lowest strand should be no closer to the ground than 12 inches. b. If a more solid wire mesh fence is used, 8 × 12-inch openings near the ground be provided every 100 yards. 	Project	CDD			Prior to issuing Certificate of Occupancy permit

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 37 of 979

Mitigation Monitoring Program – Page 3 of 12

Mitigation Measure	Type	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
Upon fence installation, the applicant should notify the City to verify proper installation. Any fencing constructed after issuance of a final permit should follow the above guidelines.					
BIO-8 Prior to site disturbance, the CRZ of all oak trees with a DBH of 6 inches or greater must be fenced to protect from construction activities. The proposed fencing shall be shown in orange ink on the grading plan. It must be a minimum of 4' high chain link, snow or safety fence staked (with t posts 8 feet on center) at the edge of the critical root zone or line of encroachment for each tree or group of trees. The fence shall be up before any construction or earth moving begins. The owner shall be responsible for maintaining an erect fence throughout the construction period. The arborist(s), upon notification, will inspect the fence placement once it is erected. After this time, fencing shall not be moved without arborist inspection/approval. If the orange plastic fencing is used, a minimum of four zip ties shall be used on each stake to secure the fence. All efforts shall be made to maximize the distance from each saved tree. Weather proof signs shall be permanently posted on the fences every 50 feet (See Arborist Report for specific language required for signage). All areas within the critical root zone of the trees that can be fenced shall receive a 4-6" layer of chip mulch to retain moisture, soil structure and reduce the effects of soil compaction.	Project	Certified Arborist CDD			Prior to issuing grading permit
BIO-9 All trenching within the critical root zone of native trees shall be hand dug. All major roots shall be avoided whenever possible. All exposed roots larger than 1" in diameter shall be clean cut with sharp pruning tools and not left ragged. A Mandatory meeting between the arborists and grading contractor(s) must take place prior to work start. During the site disturbance and/or construction phase, grading, cutting, or filling within 5 feet of a CR2 of all oak trees with a DBH of 6 inches or greater must be supervised by a certified arborist approved by the City. Such activities beyond 5 feet of a CRZ must be monitored to ensure that activities are in accordance with approved plans. Root pruning outside of the CRZ must be done by hand. Grading should not	On-going	Certified Arborist CDD		Notes shown on construction documents.	Prior to issuing grading permit.

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 38 of 979

Mitigation Monitoring Program – Page 4 of 12

Timing/Remarks		Prior to issuing grading permit.	Prior to issuing Certificate of Occupancy permit	Prior to issuing Building Permit.	Prior to issuing Building Permit.	Prior to issuing Certificate of Occupancy permit	Prior to site disturbance, grading permit issued	Prior to issuance of grading permit	Program – Page 5 of 12
Verified Implementation		Notes shown on construction documents.	Notes shown on construction documents.	Notes shown on construction documents.	Notes shown on construction documents.			Shown on construction documents	Mitigation Monitoring
Shown on Plans									
Monitoring Department or Agency		CDD	CDD	CDD	CDD	CDD	CDD	Certified Arborist CDD	
Type		On-going	Project	Project	On-going	Project	Project	On-going	
Mitigation Measure	Grading should not disrupt the normal drainage pattern around the trees. Fills should not create a ponding condition and excavations should not leave the tree on a rapidly draining mound.	BIO-10 Oil, gasoline, chemicals, or other construction materials potentially harmful to oak trees may not be stored in the CRZ of any oak tree with a DBH of 6 inches or greater. No liquid or solid construction waste shall be dumped on the ground within the critical root zone of any native tree. The critical root zone areas are not for storage of materials either.	BIO-11 Drains shall be installed according to City specification so as to avoid harm by excessive watering to oak trees with a DBH of 6 inches or greater.	BIO-12 Landscaping within the CRZ of any oak tree with a DBH of 6 inches or greater is limited to indigenous plant species or non-plant material, such as cobbles or wood chips. All landscape within the critical root zone shall consist of drought tolerant or native varieties. Lawns shall be avoided. All irrigation trenching shall be routed around critical root zones, otherwise above ground drip- irrigation shall be used.	BIO-13 Wires, signs, or other similar items shall not be attached to oak trees with a DBH of 6 inches or greater.	BIO-14 For each oak tree removed (DBH of 6 inches or greater), a tree or trees of the same species must be planted with a combined DBH of 25% of the removed tree's DBH within the property's boundary.	BIO-15 It is the responsibility of the owner or project manager to provide a copy of the tree protection plan to any and all contractors and subcontractors that work within the critical root zone of any native tree and confirm they are trained in maintaining fencing, protecting root zones and conforming to all tree protection goals. Each contractor must sign and acknowledge this tree protection plan.	BIO-16 Any exposed roots shall be re-covered the same day they were exposed. If they cannot, they must be covered with burlap or another suitable material and	

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 39 of 979

Timing/Remarks		n Prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy	Prior to issuance of building permit	h Prior to issuance of building permit	Prior to issuance of Final Occupancy
Verified Implementation		Shown on construction documents	Shown on construction documents	Shown on construction documents	-
Shown on Plans					
Monitoring Department or Agency		Certified Arborist CDD	Certified Arborist CDD	Certified Arborist CDD	Certified Arborist CDD
Type		On-going	On-going	On-going	Project
Mitigation Measure	wetted down 2x per day until re-buried. All heavy equipment shall not be driven under the trees, as this will contribute to soil compaction. Also there is to be no parking of equipment or personal vehicles in these areas. All areas behind fencing are off limits unless pre- approved by the arborist.	BIO-17 As the project moves toward completion, the arborist(s) may suggest either fertilization and/or mycorrhiza applications that will benefit tree health. Mycorrhiza offers several benefits to the host plant, including faster growth, improved nutrition, greater drought resistance, and protection from pathogens.	BIO-18 Class 4 pruning includes crown reduction pruning shall consist of reduction of tops, sides or individual limbs. A trained arborist shall perform all pruning. No pruning shall take more than 25% of the live crown of any native tree. Any trees that may need pruning for road/home clearance shall be pruned prior to any grading activities to avoid any branch tearing.	 BIO-19 An arborist shall be present for selected activities (trees identified in Arborist Report and items bulleted below). The monitoring does not necessarily have to be continuous but observational at times during these activities. It is the responsibility of the owner(s) or their designee to inform us prior to these events so we can make arrangements to be present. All monitoring will be documented on the field report form which will be forwarded to the project manager and the City of Paso Robles Planning Department. all grading and trenching identified on the spreadsheet any other encroachment the arborist feels 	BIO-20 Pre-Construction Meeting: An on-site pre-construction meeting with the Arborist(s), Owner(s), Planning Staff, and the earth moving team shall be required for this project. Prior to final occupancy, a letter from the

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 40 of 979

Mitigation Monitoring Program – Page 6 of 12

Mitigation Measure	Type	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
arborist(s) shall be required verifying the health/condition of all impacted trees and providing any recommendations for any additional mitigation. The letter shall verify that the arborist(s) were on site for all grading and/or trenching activity that encroached into the critical root zone of the selected native trees, and that all work done in these areas was completed to the standards set forth above.					
 GHG-1 The following GHG-reduction measures: a. Install high efficiency lights in parking lots, streets, and other public areas. b. Comply with mandatory California Green Building Standards Code bicycle parking standards. c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards. c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards. c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards. c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards. c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards. c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards. c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards. c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards. c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards. c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards. c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards. e. The project site shall be designed to minimize barriers to pedestrian access and interconnectivity. f. Implement traffic calming improvements as appropriate (e.g., marked crosswalks, count-down signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised crosswalks, median islands, mini-circles, tight corneration, etc.). g. Comply with CALGreen Tier 1 or Tier 2 standards for water efficiency and conservation. h. Divert 65 percent of non-hazardous construction or demolition debris. i. Include the planting of native and drought tolerant trees beyond those required as mitigation for tree removal. j. Implement Mitigation Meas	Project	CDD			Prior to occupancy permit of hotel 1
AQ-1	Project	CDD			Prior to occupancy permit of hotel 1

Mitigation Monitoring Program – Page 7 of 12

Mitigation Measure	Type	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
 The nonverging and service on grading and building plans: a. Reduce the amount of the disturbed area where measures shall be shown on grading and building plans: a. Reduce the amount of the disturbed area where possible. b. Use of water frucks or sprinkler systems in wifricient quantities to prevent airborne dust from leaving the site. Increased watering frequency would be required whenever wind speeds exceed 15 mph. Reclaimed (non-potable) water should be requency would be required whenever possible. c. All dirt stock pile areas should be sprayed daily as needed. c. All dirt stock pile areas should be sprayed daily as needed. c. All dirt stock pile areas should be sprayed daily as needed. d. Permanent dust control measures identified in the approved project revegetation and landscape plans should be implemented as soon as possible following completion of any soil disturbing activities: e. Exposed ground areas that are planned to be revorted and should be sown with a fast germinating, non-invasive grass seed and watered until vegetation is established. f. All disturbed soil areas not subject to revegetation in advance by the SLOAPCD. g. All roadways, driveways, sidewalks, etc. to be paved should be stabilized using approved chemical soil bunders, jute netting, or other methods approved in advance by the SLOAPCD. g. All roadways, driveways, sidewalks, etc. to be paved should be stabilized using approved rother methods approved in advance by the SLOAPCD. g. All roadways, driveways, sidewalks, etc. to be paved should be stabilized using approved in advance by the SLOAPCD. g. All roadways, driveways, sidewalks, etc. to be paved should be stabilized using approved in advance by the subject to revegetation subject to revegetation struction setabilized using approved in advance by the subject to revegetation struction setabilized using approved in advance stabilized using approved in advance by					

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 42 of 979

Mitigation Monitoring Program – Page 8 of 12

Mitigation Measure	Type	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
distance between top of load and top of trailer) in accordance with CVC Section 23114. j. Install wheel washers at the construction site entrance, wash off the tires or tracks of all trucks and equipment leaving the site, or implement other SLOAPCD-approved methods sufficient to minimize the track-out of soil onto paved roadways. k. Sweep streets at the end of each day if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent paved roads. Water sweepers with reclaimed water should be used where feasible. I. The burning of vegetative material shall be prohibited. m. The contractor or builder shall designate a person or persons to monitor the fugitive dust emissions and enhance the implementation of the measures as necessary to minimize dust complaints, reduce visible emissions below 20% opacity, and to prevent transport of dust offsite. Their duties shall include holidays and weekend periods when work may not be in progress. The name and telephone number of such persons shall be provided to the SLOAPCD Compliance Division prior to the start of any grading, earthwork or demolition. n. Construction of the proposed project shall use low-VOC content paints not exceeding 50 grams per liter.					
AQ-2 To reduce operational emissions, the proposed project shall implement the following measures. The project proponent shall submit proof to the Paso Robles Community Development Department Staff that implementation of all measures have been met in accordance with a time schedule deemed appropriate by Community Development Department staff.	Project	CDD			Prior to occupancy permit of hotel 1

Mitigation Measure	Type	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
 a. Utilize green building materials (materials which are resource efficient, recycled, and sustainable) are resource efficient, recycled, and sustainable). b. Provide shade tree planting in parking lots to reduce evaporative emissions from parked vehicles. Design should provide 50% tree coverage within 10 years of construction using low ROG emitting, low maintenance native drought resistant trees. c. Pave and maintain roads in parking areas. d. Plant drought tolerant native shade trees along southern exposures of buildings to reduce energy used to cool buildings in summer. e. Provide native and drought tolerant trees beyond those required as mitigation for tree removal. f. Incorporate outdoor electric appliances and tools. g. Install high-efficiency heating and cooling systems. h. Utilize high-efficiency pas or solar water heaters. i. Utilize low energy street lights (i.e., sodium, lightmistal door sweeps and windows are not available). n. Install energy-reducing programmable thermostats. o. Install low vater consumption landscape. Use native plants the most as and windows are not available). n. Install energy-reducing programmable thermostats. 					

Mitigation Measure	Type	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
 p. Provide a designated parking space for alternatively fueled vehicles. q. Provide a shuttle service for guests to local destinations, including Paso Robles Transit/Amtrak Station r. Install energy-saving systems in guest rooms that reduce energy usage when rooms are not occupied. s. Provide a pedestrian access network that internally links all uses and connects all existing or planned external streets and pedestrian facilities contiguous with the project site t. Provide on-site bicycle parking beyond those required by California Green Building Standards Code and related facilities to support long-term use (lockers, or a locked room with standard racks and access limited to bicyclists only). u. Implement traffic calming improvements as appropriate (e.g., marked crosswalks, count-down signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised crosswalks, median islands, mini-circles, tight corner sidii, etc.) 					
AQ-3 The following measures shall be implemented to reduce expose of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. These measures shall be shown on grading and building plans: a. Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-1, as identified in "Impact AQ-C", above. b. Demolition of onsite structures shall comply with the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Emissions (NESHAP) requirements (NESHAP, 40 CFR, Part 61, Subpart M) for the demolition of existing structures. The SLOAPCD is delegated authority by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to implement the Federal Asbestos NESHAP. Prior to demolition of onsite structures, the SLOAPCD shall be notified, per NESHAP requirements. SLOAPCD notification form and reporting requirements are	Project	CDD			Prior to occupancy permit of hotel 1

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 45 of 979

Mitigation Monitoring Program – Page 11 of 12

Mitigation Measure	Type	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
included in Appendix A. Additional information may be obtained at website url: http://siocleanari.org/business/asbestos.php. c. If during demolition of existing structures, paint is separated from the construction materials (e.g. chemically or physically), the paint waste will be evaluated indepations to the building material by a qualified hazardous materials inspector to determine its proper management. All hazardous materials shall be handled and disposed in accordance with local, state and federal regulations. According to the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), if paint is not removed from the building material during demolition (and is not chipping or peeling), the material can be disposal of building material debris to determine any specific requirements the landfill may have regarding the disposal of lead- based paint materials. The landfill operator will be contacted prior to disposal of building material debris to determine any specific requirements. Contact the SLOAPCD Enforcement Division at (805) 781-5912 for more information. Approval of a lead work plans, if required, will need to be submitted to SLOAPCD ten days prior to the start of demolition devic plans, if required, will need to be submitted to SLOAPCD ten days prior to the start of demolition devic plans, if required, will need to be submitted to SLOAPCD ten days prior to the start of demolition device and diesel vehicles shall comply with Section 2485 of Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations. This regulation for the start of dueled commercial motor vehicles with gross vehicles. In general, the regulation specifies that drivers of said vehicles: 1) Shall not idle the vehicles. 2) of pointes at any location, except as noted in Subsection (d) of the regulation; and,					

Mitigation Measure	Type	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
 2) Shall not operate a diesel-fueled auxiliary power system to power a heater, air conditioner, or any ancillary equipment on that vehicle during sleeping or resting in a sleeper berth for greater than 5.0 minutes at any location when within 1,000 feet of a restricted area, except as noted in Subsection (d) of the regulation. e. Maintain all construction equipment in proper tune according to manufacturer's specifications; f. Fuel all off-road and portable diesel powered equipment with ARB certified motor vehicle diesel fuel (non-taxed version suitable for use off-road); g. Use diesel construction equipment meeting ARB's Tier 2 certified engines, and comply with the State off-Road Regulation; h. Idling of all on and off-road diesel-fueled vehicles shall not be permitted when not in use. Signs shall be posted in the designated queuing areas and or job site to remind drivers and operators of the no idling limitation. i. Electrify equipment, when available; and, k. Use alternatively fueled construction equipment on-site when available, such as compressed natural gas (CNG), liquefied natural gas (LNG), propane or biodiesel. 					
TR-1 Prohibit southbound left turns at State Route 46E/Airport Road to reduce conflict points at this intersection, reduce queuing, and reduce delay on the southbound approach. Intersection delays increase when traffic from Hotels 2, 3, and 4 are included because the southbound and eastbound left turn movements exceed capacity. We recommend prohibiting southbound left turns at this intersection prior to the occupancy of Hotels 2, 3, and 4 unless a local road connection is provided to Wisteria Lane. Until a local road connection is provided to Wisteria Lane, prohibiting southbound left turns would require vehicles destined to travel east on State Route 46	On-going	CDD			Prior to occupancy of hotels 2, 3, and 4
				Mitigation Monitoring	Program – Page 13 of 12

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 47 of 979

1 age 10 UI INTILIZATION INTOLNION THE LIGENI

Mitigation Measure	Type	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
to turn right onto westbound State Route 46 then perform a U-turn at Union Road or Golden Hill Road. The existing counts show that fewer than ten vehicles currently make the southbound left turn during the peak hours studied, and shifting these trips would have a negligible effect on operations at the nearby intersections of Union Road and Golden Hill Road. Note that the two alternatives evaluated in the Highway 46/Union Road PSR, to be carried forward in the on- going PR-ED, include modifications to the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection. The overcrossing only alternative includes conversion to right-in/right-out only access, and the full interchange alternative would disconnect Airport Road completely from State Route 46E.					
TR-2 Complete the local road connection from Wisteria Lane to Airport Road prior to occupancy of Hotels 2, 3, and 4. Upon completion, provide signage on the westbound approach to Destino Paso Way/Airport Road to direct hotel visitors to the new local road connection instead of State Route 46E. We recommend monitoring traffic levels at State Route 46E. Mairport Road and Destino Paso Way/Airport Road intersections following the new local road connection to determine if additional measures, such as prohibiting westbound left turns out of Destino Paso Way, are required to avoid operational impacts to the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection.	On-going	CDD			Prior to certificate of occupancy of hotels 2, 3, and 4
TR-3 A sidewalk is proposed along Airport Road between Hotels 3 and 4. A four foot or greater aggregate base walking path is shown on the west side of Airport Road from Destino Paso Way to the northernmost Ravine Water Park parking area. Detailed construction documents should be reviewed once they are ready to ensure that adequate sight distance is provided at the driveways serving Hotels 1 and 3, which are located on the inside of horizontal curves. Landscaping and other features should be restricted near these driveways to provide clear sight lines to approaching traffic.	On-going	CDD			Prior to certificate of occupancy of hotels 3 and 4

Mitigation Monitoring Program – Page 14 of 12

Mitigation Measure	Type	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
TR-4 The applicant will be required to pay traffic mitigation fees to offset to offset its impacts to the citywide transportation network.	Project	CDD			Prior to certificate of occupancy of hotel 1
TR-5 The applicant will implement employee transportation demand measures to reduce traffic congestion, such as providing information on regional rideshare programs, bike racks, well as provide shuttle service to the multi- modal transportation center and downtown for residents and guests.	Project	CDD			Prior to certificate of occupancy of hotel 1
TR-6 The project will be required to participate in the SLO Car Free program with SLO County APCD	Project	CDD			Prior to certificate of occupancy of hotel 1
(add additional measures as necessary)					

Explanation of Headings:

Project, ongoing, cumulative	· Agency:Department or Agency responsible for monitoring a particular mitigation measure			
Type: Pro	Monitoring Department or Agency:De	Shown on Plans:	Verified Implementation:Wr	Remarks:Are

Attachment 9

DRAFT RESOLUTION B

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF DESTINO PASO RESORT PLANNED DEVELOPMENT AMENDMENT (PD 08-002), AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT AMENDMENT (CUP 08-002), 3350 AIRPORT ROAD, APN: 025-436-029 & 025-346-030 APPLICANT – KAREN STIER

WHEREAS, an application for PD 08-002 and CUP 08-002has been filed by Karen Stier for development of a resort with four (4) hotels and up to 291 rooms, and ancillary site improvements, as shown in the proposed Site Plan in Exhibit B; and

WHEREAS, the subject property is designated in the General Plan, Land Use Element as Parks and Open Space with Resort Lodging/Airport Overlays (POS/RL/AP), and the proposed project is consistent with the intent of the land use designation since the project would provide development of "... hotels in close proximity to golf courses and commercial recreation...and provide resorts, lodging and related ancillary land uses..."; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the Paso Robles Zoning Map, the property is located in the Parks and Open Space zoning district with Resort Lodging/Airport Overlays (POS/RL/AP), and the proposed hotel project is a conditionally permitted land use, and it is consistent with the applicable zoning district and development standards, with Conditions of Approval applied as provided in Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the subject property is partially located in three (3) different Airport Safety Zones, including Zones 2, 3, and 4, and the proposed development project is compatible with the land uses identified for each safety zone and the applicable density limitations; and

WHEREAS, the proposed architectural design and site layout are complementary with the rural nature of the property incorporating natural materials of wood and stone, with minimal site disturbance preserving the majority of the property in its natural open space condition with no impacts to the oak woodland area, as shown in Exhibit D; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Statutes and Guidelines of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and the City's Procedures for Implementing CEQA, an Initial Study was prepared for the project; and

WHEREAS, based on the information and analysis contained in the Initial Study, staff determined that the proposed project as designed, and with appropriate mitigation measures added as conditions of approval, will not result in significant environmental impacts, and a Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared and circulated for public review and comment in full compliance with CEQA; and

WHEREAS, a duly noticed public hearing was conducted by the Planning Commission on October 11, 2016 on this project to accept public testimony on the Mitigated Negative Declaration and the proposed project; and

WHEREAS, at the conclusion of the October 11, 2016 Planning Commission meeting, the Commission recommended that the City Council adopt the Mitigated Negative Declaration, and approve Planned Development Amendment 08-002 and Conditional Use Permit Amendment 08-002; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Zoning Ordinance Section 21.23B.050, Findings for Approval of Development Plans, and based upon the facts and analysis presented in the staff report and the attachments thereto, the public testimony received, and subject to the Conditions of Approval listed below, the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

- 1. The goals and policies established by the general plan, since the project would provide hotels in proximity to commercial recreation uses such as Barney Schwartz Park, the water park, golf courses, horse park and other amenities.
- 2. The zoning code, particularly the purpose and intent of the zoning district in which a development project is located since the POS/RL/AP district conditionally permits hotels, and the site will maintain a significant portion of the site in natural open space while minimizing disturbance of natural features on the property.
- 3. The proposed project complies with all other adopted codes, policies, standards, and plans of the city including the zoning district height limitations, setbacks, and parking requirements, and it would comply with the land uses and applicable density provided for in the Paso Robles Airport Land Use Plan.
- 4. The proposed development plan will not be detrimental to the health, safety, morals, comfort, convenience and general welfare of the person residing or working in the neighborhood, or be injurious or detrimental to property and improvements in the neighborhood or to the general welfare of the city since the property is not located in close proximity to other residents or neighborhoods, and it would not result in significant noise, traffic, light, glare, or other potential effects.
- 5. The proposed development plan accommodates the aesthetic quality of the city as a whole, especially where development will be visible from gateways to the city and scenic corridors since it proposes to utilize high-quality architectural design with elements of "Prairie" architectural style that fits in with and is compatible with the site, and will provide an attractive view as would be seen from surrounding properties and streets.
- 6. The proposed development plan is compatible with, and is not detrimental to, surrounding land uses and improvements, provides appropriate visual appearance, and contributes to the mitigation of any environmental and social (e.g., privacy) impacts, since it is proposed to be a low-intensity development on the rural landscape, and would mitigate potentially significant environmental impacts.
- 7. The proposed development plan is compatible with existing scenic and environmental resources such as hillsides, drainage courses, oak tree woodlands, vistas, and historic buildings, as noted in #5 and #6 above.
- 8. The proposed development plan contributes to the orderly development of the city as a whole by providing a well-designed project that is suitable for the location where it is proposed and surrounding land uses including agricultural land uses, a recreational vehicle park, open space areas of the Huer Huero Creek, and other uses in the vicinity.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission of the City of El Paso de Robles does hereby recommend approval of Planned Development Amendment 08-002 and Conditional Use Permit Amendment 08-002 to the City Council, subject to the following conditions:

STANDARD CONDITIONS:

1. This project shall comply with the checked standard Conditions of Approval, attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference.

SITE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

NOTE: In the event of conflict or duplication between standard and site-specific conditions, the site-specific condition shall supersede the standard condition.

Planning Division Conditions:

2. The project shall be constructed in substantial conformance with the Conditions of Approval established by this Resolution and it shall be constructed in substantial conformance with the following Exhibits:

EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION

- A. Standard Conditions of Approval
- B. Architectural Appearance Renderings
- C. Project Description
- D. Site Plan
- E. Elevations / Plot Plans
- F. Color and Materials
- G. Landscape Plan
- H. Tentative Tract Map / Preliminary Grading and Drainage Plan
- I. Floor Plans / Airport Land Use Plan Consistency Study
- J. Architect's Statement of Airport Land Use Plan consistency
- 3. The project shall be designed and constructed to be in substantial conformance with the site plan, landscape plan, elevations, floor plans, colors and materials, and preliminary grading plan approved with this resolution.
- 4. Approval of this project is valid for a period of two (2) years from date of approval. Unless permits have been issued and site work has begun, the approval of Planned Development Amendment 08-002 and Conditional Use Permit Amendment 08-002 shall expire on October 11, 2018. The Planning Commission may extend this expiration date if a Time Extension application has been filed with the City along with the fees before the expiration date.
- 5. Prior to issuance of certificates of use and occupancy, the property owner or authorized agent is required to pay the City's Development Impact Fees.
- 6. No underground or aboveground storage of hazardous materials shall be allowed on-site without first obtaining City approval.

- 7. Temporary construction noise levels in excess of 60 decibels shall be restricted to the daylight hours of 7am to 6pm. Noise levels shall be measured or monitored from site boundaries or the nearest adjoining residential use to determine compliance.
- 8. Use and operation of the project and its appurtenances shall be conducted in compliance with the City's General Performance Standards for all uses (Section 21.21.040 of Chapter 21.21 Performance Standards of the City's Zoning Ordinance).
- 9. Prior to occupancy, all overhead utilities adjacent to the property shall be relocated underground.
- 10. The use and occupancy of the hotel and common shall conform to the floor plans as shown in Exhibits I and J. Occupancy of the hotel shall comply with density limitation of the Airport Land Use Plan, Zones 2, 3, and 4 as follows:
 - Zone 2: No development shall be permitted.
 - Zone 3 The use intensity of this activity shall not exceed an average of 60 persons per gross acre, maximum 120 persons per single acre, at any time. Usage calculations shall include all people (e.g., employees, customers/visitors, etc.) who may be on the property at any single point in time, whether indoors or outside. The building density will be calculated on an average of 1.8 persons per room or group of rooms to be occupied as a suite; plus one person per 60 sq. ft. floor area of any restaurants, coffee shops, bars, or night clubs; plus one person per 10 sq. ft. of floor area of meeting rooms shall be permitted.
 - Zone 4 The use intensity of this activity shall not exceed an average of 40 persons per gross acre, maximum 120 persons per single acre, at any time. Usage calculations shall include all people (e.g., employees, customers/visitors, etc.) who may be on the property at any single point in time, whether indoors or outside. The building density will be calculated on an average of 1.8 persons per room or group of rooms to be occupied as a suite; plus one person per 60 sq. ft. floor area of any restaurants, coffee shops, bars, or night clubs; plus one person per 10 sq. ft. of floor area of meeting rooms shall be permitted.

Engineering Division Conditions:

- 11. Stormwater Control Measures shall be constructed in accordance with the Stormwater Control Plan.
- 12. Airport Road shall be improved in accordance with plans designed in accordance with the recommendations of the Traffic Impact Analysis and as approved by the City Engineer. A cross-walk shall be established at the entrance road in accordance with plans approved by a Traffic Engineer. The walking path on the west side of Airport Road shall be extended south to the Ravine Water Park parking lot.
- 13. No development shall occur after Phase I without traffic mitigation strategies resolved in accordance with the Traffic Impact Analysis.
- 14. Prior to occupancy of any development, a gravity sewer main must be constructed in Airport Road consistent with the Master Plan of the area, along with a lift station and force main to an appropriate connection point as determined by the Wastewater Division Manager. All of the regional sewer infrastructure will be subject to a reimbursement agreement for collection from future development interests.

16.a Self-generating water softener equipment shall be prohibited.

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting:

- 15. AES 1 The project shall be designed in accordance with the attached specific architectural features to ensure visual impacts are mitigated.
- 16. BIO 1 To the maximum extent possible, site preparation, ground-disturbing, and construction activities should be conducted outside of the migratory bird breeding season. If such activities are required during this period, the applicant should retain a qualified biologist to conduct a nesting bird survey and verify that migratory birds are not occupying the site. If nesting activity is detected the following measures should be implemented:
 - a. The project should be modified or delayed as necessary to avoid direct take of identified nests, eggs, and/or young protected under the MBTA;
 - b. The qualified biologist should determine an appropriate biological buffer zone around active nest sites. Construction activities within the established buffer zone will be prohibited until the young have fledged the nest and achieved independence; and,
 - c. The qualified biologist should document all active nests and submit a letter report to the City documenting project compliance with the MBTA.
- 17. BIO-2 Prior to construction, a qualified biologist should conduct a pre-activity survey to identify known or potential dens or sign of San Joaquin kit fox no less than 14 days and no more than 30 days prior to the beginning of the site preparation, ground-disturbing, or construction activities, or any other activity that has the potential to adversely affect the species. If a known or potential den or any other sign of the species is identified or detected within the project area, the biologist will contact USFWS and CDFW immediately. No work will commence or continue until such time that USFWS and CDFW determine that it is appropriate to proceed. Under no circumstances will a known or potential den be disturbed or destroyed without prior authorization from USFWS and CDFW. Within 7 days of survey completion, a report will be submitted to USFWS, CDFW, and the City. The report will include, at a minimum, survey dates, field personnel, field conditions, survey methodology, and survey results.
- 18. BIO-3 During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase, to prevent entrapment of the San Joaquin kit fox, all excavation, steep-walled holes, or trenches in excess of 2 feet in depth should be covered at the close of each working day by plywood or similar materials, or provided with one or more escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks. Trenches should also be inspected for entrapped kit fox each morning prior to onset of field activities and immediately prior to covering with plywood at the end of each working day. Before such holes or trenches are filled or covered, they should be thoroughly inspected for entrapped kit fox. If any kit fox is found, work will stop and USFWS and CDFW will be contacted immediately to determine how to proceed.
- 19. BIO-4 During the site disturbance and/or construction phase, any pipes, culverts, or similar structures with a diameter of 4 inches or greater stored overnight at the project site should be thoroughly inspected for trapped San Joaquin kit foxes before the subject pipe is subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way. If any kit fox is found, work will stop and USFWS and CDFW will be contacted immediately to determine how to proceed.
- 20. BIO-5 Prior to, during, and after the site disturbance and/or construction phase, use of pesticides or herbicides should be in compliance with all federal, state, and local regulations. This is necessary to

minimize the probability of primary or secondary poisoning of endangered species utilizing adjacent habitats, and the depletion of prey upon which San Joaquin kit foxes depend.

- 21. BIO-6 During the site disturbance and/or construction phase, any contractor or employee that inadvertently kills or injures a San Joaquin kit fox or who finds any such animal either dead, injured, or entrapped should be required to report the incident immediately to the applicant and City. In the event that any observations are made of injured or dead kit fox, the applicant should immediately notify USFWS and CDFW by telephone. In addition, formal notification should be provided in writing within 3 working days of the finding of any such animal(s). Notification should include the date, time, location, and circumstances of the incident. Any threatened or endangered species found dead or injured should be turned over immediately to CDFW for care, analysis, or disposition.
- 22. BIO-7 Prior to final inspection, should any long internal or perimeter fencing be proposed or installed, the City should do the following to provide for kit fox passage:
 - a. If a wire strand/pole design is used, the lowest strand should be no closer to the ground than 12 inches.
 - b. If a more solid wire mesh fence is used, 8×12 -inch openings near the ground should be provided every 100 yards. Upon fence installation, the applicant should notify the City to verify proper installation. Any fencing constructed after issuance of a final permit should follow the above guidelines.
- 23. BIO-8 Prior to site disturbance, the CRZ of all oak trees with a DBH of 6 inches or greater must be fenced to protect from construction activities. The proposed fencing shall be shown in orange ink on the grading plan. It must be a minimum of 4' high chain link, snow or safety fence staked (with t posts 8 feet on center) at the edge of the critical root zone or line of encroachment for each tree or group of trees. The fence shall be up before any construction or earth moving begins. The owner shall be responsible for maintaining an erect fence throughout the construction period. The arborist(s), upon notification, will inspect the fence placement once it is erected. After this time, fencing shall not be moved without arborist inspection/approval. If the orange plastic fencing is used, a minimum of four zip ties shall be used on each stake to secure the fence. All efforts shall be made to maximize the distance from each saved tree. Weather proof signs shall be permanently posted on the fences every 50 feet (See Arborist Report for specific language required for signage). All areas within the critical root zone of the trees that can be fenced shall receive a 4-6" layer of chip mulch to retain moisture, soil structure and reduce the effects of soil compaction.
- 24. BIO-9 All trenching within the critical root zone of native trees shall be hand dug. All major roots shall be avoided whenever possible. All exposed roots larger than 1" in diameter shall be clean cut with sharp pruning tools and not left ragged. A Mandatory meeting between the arborists and grading contractor(s) must take place prior to work start. During the site disturbance and/or construction phase, grading, cutting, or filling within 5 feet of a CRZ of all oak trees with a DBH of 6 inches or greater must be supervised by a certified arborist approved by the City. Such activities beyond 5 feet of a CRZ must be monitored to ensure that activities are in accordance with approved plans. Root pruning outside of the CRZ must be done by hand. Grading should not encroach within the critical root zone unless authorized. Grading should not disrupt the normal drainage pattern around the trees. Fills should not create a ponding condition and excavations should not leave the tree on a rapidly draining mound.BIO-10

Oil, gasoline, chemicals, or other construction materials potentially harmful to oak trees may not be stored in the CRZ of any oak tree with a DBH of 6 inches or greater. No liquid or solid construction waste shall be dumped on the ground within the critical root zone of any native tree. The critical root zone areas are not for storage of materials either.

25. BIO-11 Drains shall be installed according to City specification so as to avoid harm by excessive

watering to oak trees with a DBH of 6 inches or greater.

- 26. BIO-12 Landscaping within the CRZ of any oak tree with a DBH of 6 inches or greater is limited to indigenous plant species or non-plant material, such as cobbles or wood chips. All landscape within the critical root zone shall consist of drought tolerant or native varieties. Lawns shall be avoided. All irrigation trenching shall be routed around critical root zones, otherwise above ground drip-irrigation shall be used.
- 27. BIO-13 Wires, signs, or other similar items shall not be attached to oak trees with a DBH of 6 inches or greater.
- 28. BIO-14 For each oak tree removed (DBH of 6 inches or greater), a tree or trees of the same species must be planted with a combined DBH of 25% of the removed tree's DBH within the property's boundary.
- 29. BIO-15 It is the responsibility of the owner or project manager to provide a copy of the tree protection plan to any and all contractors and subcontractors that work within the critical root zone of any native tree and confirm they are trained in maintaining fencing, protecting root zones and conforming to all tree protection goals. Each contractor must sign and acknowledge this tree protection plan.
- 30. BIO-16 Any exposed roots shall be re-covered the same day they were exposed. If they cannot, they must be covered with burlap or another suitable material and wetted down 2x per day until re-buried. All heavy equipment shall not be driven under the trees, as this will contribute to soil compaction. Also there is to be no parking of equipment or personal vehicles in these areas. All areas behind fencing are off limits unless pre-approved by the arborist.
- 31. BIO-17 As the project moves toward completion, the arborist(s) may suggest either fertilization and/or mycorrhiza applications that will benefit tree health. Mycorrhiza offers several benefits to the host plant, including faster growth, improved nutrition, greater drought resistance, and protection from pathogens.
- 32. BIO-18 Class 4 pruning includes crown reduction pruning shall consist of reduction of tops, sides or individual limbs. A trained arborist shall perform all pruning. No pruning shall take more than 25% of the live crown of any native tree. Any trees that may need pruning for road/home clearance shall be pruned prior to any grading activities to avoid any branch tearing.
- 33. BIO-19 An arborist shall be present for selected activities (trees identified in Arborist Report and items bulleted below). The monitoring does not necessarily have to be continuous but observational at times during these activities. It is the responsibility of the owner(s) or their designee to inform us prior to these events so we can make arrangements to be present. All monitoring will be documented on the field report form which will be forwarded to the project manager and the City of Paso Robles Planning Department.
 - pre-construction fence placement inspection
 - all grading and trenching identified on the spreadsheet
 - any other encroachment the arborist feels necessary
- 34. BIO-20 Pre-Construction Meeting: An on-site pre-construction meeting with the Arborist(s), Owner(s), Planning Staff, and the earth moving team shall be required for this project. Prior to final

occupancy, a letter from the arborist(s) shall be required verifying the health/condition of all impacted trees and providing any recommendations for any additional mitigation. The letter shall verify that the arborist(s) were on site for all grading and/or trenching activity that encroached into the critical root zone of the selected native trees, and that all work done in these areas was completed to the standards set forth above.

- 35. GHG-1 The proposed project shall implement, at a minimum, the following GHG-reduction measures:
 - a. Install high efficiency lights in parking lots, streets, and other public areas.
 - b. Comply with mandatory California Green Building Standards Code bicycle parking standards.
 - c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards.
 - d. Incorporate a pedestrian access network that internally links all uses and connects all existing or planned external streets and pedestrian facilities contiguous with the project site.
 - e. The project site shall be designed to minimize barriers to pedestrian access and interconnectivity.
 - f. Implement traffic calming improvements as appropriate (e.g., marked crosswalks, countdown signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised crosswalks, median islands, minicircles, tight corner radii, etc.).
 - g. Comply with CALGreen Tier 1 or Tier 2 standards for water efficiency and conservation.
 - h. Divert 65 percent of non-hazardous construction or demolition debris.
 - i. Include the planting of native and drought tolerant trees beyond those required as mitigation for tree removal.
 - j. Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-2.
 - k. Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-3,e-k.
- 36. AQ-1 The following measures shall be implemented to minimize construction-generated emissions. These measures shall be shown on grading and building plans:
 - a. Reduce the amount of the disturbed area where possible.
 - b. Use of water trucks or sprinkler systems in sufficient quantities to prevent airborne dust from leaving the site. Increased watering frequency would be required whenever wind speeds exceed 15 mph. Reclaimed (non-potable) water should be used whenever possible.
 - c. All dirt stock pile areas should be sprayed daily as needed.
 - d. Permanent dust control measures identified in the approved project revegetation and landscape plans should be implemented as soon as possible following completion of any soil disturbing activities;
 - e. Exposed ground areas that are planned to be reworked at dates greater than one month after initial grading should be sown with a fast germinating, non-invasive grass seed and watered until vegetation is established.
 - f. All disturbed soil areas not subject to revegetation should be stabilized using approved chemical soil binders, jute netting, or other methods approved in advance by the SLOAPCD.
 - g. All roadways, driveways, sidewalks, etc. to be paved should be completed as soon as possible. In addition, building pads should be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.
 - h. Vehicle speed for all construction vehicles shall not exceed 15 mph on any unpaved surface at the construction site.
 - i. All trucks hauling dirt, sand, soil, or other loose materials are to be covered or should maintain at least two feet of freeboard (minimum vertical distance between top of load and top of trailer) in accordance with CVC Section 23114.

- j. Install wheel washers at the construction site entrance, wash off the tires or tracks of all trucks and equipment leaving the site, or implement other SLOAPCD-approved methods sufficient to minimize the track-out of soil onto paved roadways.
- k. Sweep streets at the end of each day if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent paved roads. Water sweepers with reclaimed water should be used where feasible.
- l. The burning of vegetative material shall be prohibited.
- m. The contractor or builder shall designate a person or persons to monitor the fugitive dust emissions and enhance the implementation of the measures as necessary to minimize dust complaints, reduce visible emissions below 20% opacity, and to prevent transport of dust offsite. Their duties shall include holidays and weekend periods when work may not be in progress. The name and telephone number of such persons shall be provided to the SLOAPCD Compliance Division prior to the start of any grading, earthwork or demolition.
- n. Construction of the proposed project shall use low-VOC content paints not exceeding 50 grams per liter.
- 37. AQ-2 To reduce operational emissions, the proposed project shall implement the following measures. The project proponent shall submit proof to the Paso Robles Community Development Department Staff that implementation of all measures have been met in accordance with a time schedule deemed appropriate by Community Development Department staff.
 - a. Utilize green building materials (materials which are resource efficient, recycled, and sustainable) available locally if possible.
 - b. Provide shade tree planting in parking lots to reduce evaporative emissions from parked vehicles. Design should provide 50% tree coverage within 10 years of construction using low ROG emitting, low maintenance native drought resistant trees.
 - c. Pave and maintain roads in parking areas.
 - d. Plant drought tolerant native shade trees along southern exposures of buildings to reduce energy used to cool buildings in summer.
 - e. Provide native and drought tolerant trees beyond those required as mitigation for tree removal.
 - f. Incorporate outdoor electrical outlets to encourage the use of electric appliances and tools.
 - g. Install high-efficiency heating and cooling systems.
 - h. Utilize high-efficiency gas or solar water heaters.
 - i. Utilize built-in energy efficient appliances (i.e., Energy Star rated).
 - j. Utilize double- or triple-paned windows.
 - k. Utilize low energy street lights (i.e., sodium, light-emitting diode [LED]).
 - l. Utilize energy-efficient interior lighting.
 - m. Install door sweeps and weather stripping (if more efficient doors and windows are not available).
 - n. Install energy-reducing programmable thermostats.
 - o. Install low water consumption landscape. Use native plants that do not require watering after they are well established or minimal watering during the summer months and are low ROG emitting.
 - p. Provide a designated parking space for alternatively fueled vehicles.
 - q. Provide a shuttle service for guests to local destinations, including Paso Robles Transit/Amtrak Station
 - r. Install energy-saving systems in guest rooms that reduce energy usage when rooms are not occupied.
 - s. Provide a pedestrian access network that internally links all uses and connects all existing or planned external streets and pedestrian facilities contiguous with the project site

- t. Provide on-site bicycle parking beyond those required by California Green Building Standards Code and related facilities to support long-term use (lockers, or a locked room with standard racks and access limited to bicyclists only).
- u. Implement traffic calming improvements as appropriate (e.g., marked crosswalks, countdown signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised crosswalks, median islands, minicircles, tight corner radii, etc.)
- 38. AQ-3 The following measures shall be implemented to reduce expose of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. These measures shall be shown on grading and building plans:
 - a. Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-1, as identified in "Impact AQ-C", above.
 - b. Demolition of onsite structures shall comply with the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Emissions (NESHAP) requirements (NESHAP, 40 CFR, Part 61, Subpart M) for the demolition of existing structures. The SLOAPCD is delegated authority by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to implement the Federal Asbestos NESHAP. Prior to demolition of onsite structures, the SLOAPCD shall be notified, per NESHAP requirements. SLOAPCD notification form and reporting requirements are included in Appendix A. Additional information may be obtained at website url: http://slocleanair.org/business/asbestos.php.
 - c. If during demolition of existing structures, paint is separated from the construction materials (e.g. chemically or physically), the paint waste will be evaluated independently from the building material by a qualified hazardous materials inspector to determine its proper management. All hazardous materials shall be handled and disposed in accordance with local, state and federal regulations. According to the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), if paint is not removed from the building material during demolition (and is not chipping or peeling), the material can be disposed of as construction debris (a non-hazardous waste). The landfill operator will be contacted prior to disposal of building material debris to determine any specific requirements the landfill may have regarding the disposal of lead-based paint materials. The disposal of demolition at (805) 781-5912 for more information. Approval of a lead work plan and permit may be required. Lead work plans, if required, will need to be submitted to SLOAPCD ten days prior to the start of demolition
 - d. On-road diesel vehicles shall comply with Section 2485 of Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations. This regulation limits idling from diesel-fueled commercial motor vehicles with gross vehicular weight ratings of more than 10,000 pounds and licensed for operation on highways. It applies to California and non-California based vehicles. In general, the regulation specifies that drivers of said vehicles:
 - Shall not idle the vehicle's primary diesel engine for greater than 5 minutes at any location, except as noted in Subsection (d) of the regulation; and, 2) Shall not operate a diesel-fueled auxiliary power system to power a heater, air conditioner, or any ancillary equipment on that vehicle during sleeping or resting in a sleeper berth for greater than 5.0 minutes at any location when within 1,000 feet of a restricted area, except as noted in Subsection (d) of the regulation.
 - e. Maintain all construction equipment in proper tune according to manufacturer's specifications;
 - f. Fuel all off-road and portable diesel powered equipment with ARB certified motor vehicle diesel fuel (non-taxed version suitable for use off-road);
 - g. Use diesel construction equipment meeting ARB's Tier 2 certified engines or cleaner offroad heavy duty diesel engines, and comply with the State off-Road Regulation;

- h. Idling of all on and off-road diesel-fueled vehicles shall not be permitted when not in use. Signs shall be posted in the designated queuing areas and or job site to remind drivers and operators of the no idling limitation.
- i. Electrify equipment when possible;
- j. Substitute gasoline-powered in place of diesel-powered equipment, when available; and,
- k. Use alternatively fueled construction equipment on-site when available, such as compressed natural gas (CNG), liquefied natural gas (LNG), propane or biodiesel.

39. (note Planning Commission to recommend either TR-1 or TR-2 to City Council consistent with Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program)

TR-1 Prohibit southbound left turns at State Route 46E/Airport Road to reduce conflict points at this intersection, reduce queuing, and reduce delay on the southbound approach. Intersection delays increase when traffic from Hotels 2, 3, and 4 are included because the southbound and eastbound left turn movements exceed capacity. We recommend prohibiting southbound left turns at this intersection prior to the occupancy of Hotels 2, 3, and 4 unless a local road connection is provided to Wisteria Lane. Until a local road connection is provided to Wisteria Lane, prohibiting southbound left turns would require vehicles destined to travel east on State Route 46 to turn right onto westbound State Route 46 then perform a U-turn at Union Road or Golden Hill Road. The existing counts show that fewer than ten vehicles currently make the southbound left turn during the peak hours studied, and shifting these trips would have a negligible effect on operations at the nearby intersections of Union Road and Golden Hill Road. Note that the two alternatives evaluated in the Highway 46/Union Road PSR, to be carried forward in the on-going PR-ED, include modifications to the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection. The overcrossing only alternative includes conversion to right-in/right-out only access, and the full interchange alternative would disconnect Airport Road completely from State Route 46E.

<u>or</u>

TR-2 Complete the local road connection from Wisteria Lane to Airport Road prior to occupancy of Hotels 2, 3, and 4. Upon completion, provide signage on the westbound approach to Destino Paso Way/Airport Road to direct hotel visitors to the new local road connection instead of State Route 46E. We recommend monitoring traffic levels at State Route 46E/Airport Road and Destino Paso Way/Airport Road intersections following the new local road connection to determine if additional measures, such as prohibiting westbound left turns out of Destino Paso Way, are required to avoid operational impacts to the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection.

- 40. TR-3 A sidewalk is proposed along Airport Road between Hotels 3 and 4. A four foot or greater aggregate base walking path is shown on the west side of Airport Road from Destino Paso Way to the northernmost Ravine Water Park parking area. Detailed construction documents should be reviewed once they are ready to ensure that adequate sight distance is provided at the driveways serving Hotels 1 and 3, which are located on the inside of horizontal curves. Landscaping and other features should be restricted near these driveways to provide clear sight lines to approaching traffic.
- 41. TR-4 The applicant will be required to pay traffic mitigation fees to offset to offset its impacts to the citywide transportation network.
- 42. TR-5 The applicant will implement employee transportation demand measures to reduce traffic congestion, such as providing information on regional rideshare programs, bike racks, well as provide shuttle service to the multi-modal transportation center and downtown for residents and guests.
- 43. TR-6 The project will be required to participate in the SLO Car Free program with SLO County APCD.

PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 11th day of October, 2016 by the following Roll Call Vote:

AYES: NOES: ABSENT: ABSTAIN:

Bob Rollins, Chairperson

ATTEST:

Warren Frace, Secretary of the Planning Commission

EXHIBIT A

CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES STANDARD DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

Planned Development	Conditional Use Permit
Tentative Parcel Map	Tentative Tract Map
Approval Body: Planning Commission	Date of Approval: October 11, 2016
Applicant: Destino Paso Resort	Location: 3350 Airport Road
APN: 025-436-029 & 025-346-030	

The following conditions that have been checked are standard conditions of approval for the above referenced project. The checked conditions shall be complied with in their entirety before the project can be finalized, unless otherwise specifically indicated. In addition, there may be site specific conditions of approval that apply to this project in the resolution.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT - The applicant shall contact the Community Development Department, (805) 237-3970, for compliance with the following conditions:

A. GENERAL CONDITIONS – PD/CUP:

- 1. This project approval shall expire on <u>October 11, 2018</u> unless a time extension request is filed with the Community Development Department, or a State mandated automatic time extension is applied prior to expiration.
- 2. The site shall be developed and maintained in accordance with the approved plans and unless specifically provided for through the Planned Development process shall not waive compliance with any sections of the Zoning Code, all other applicable City Ordinances, and applicable Specific Plans.
- 3. To the extent allowable by law, Owner agrees to hold City harmless from costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by City or held to be the liability of City in connection with City's defense of its actions in any proceeding brought in any State or Federal court challenging the City's actions with respect to the project. Owner understands and acknowledges that City is under no obligation to defend any legal actions challenging the City's actions with respect to the project.

- 4. Any site specific condition imposed by the Planning Commission in approving this project (Conditional Use Permit) may be modified or eliminated, or new conditions may be added, provided that the Planning Commission shall first conduct a public hearing in the same manner as required for the approval of this project. No such modification shall be made unless the Commission finds that such modification is necessary to protect the public interest and/or neighboring properties, or, in the case of deletion of an existing condition, that such action is necessary to permit reasonable operation and use for this approval.
- 5. The site shall be kept in a neat manner at all times and the landscaping shall be continuously maintained in a healthy and thriving condition.
- 6. All signs shall be subject to review and approval as required by Municipal Code Section 21.19 and shall require a separate application and approval prior to installation of any sign.
- 7. All walls/fences and exposed retaining walls shall be constructed of decorative materials which include but are not limited to splitface block, slumpstone, stuccoed block, brick, wood, crib walls or other similar materials as determined by the Development Review Committee, but specifically excluding precision block.
- 8. Prior to the issuance of a Building Permit a landscape and irrigation plan consistent with the Landscape and Irrigation Ordinance, shall be submitted for City review and approval. The plan needs to be designed in a manner that utilizes drought tolerant plants, trees and ground covers and minimizes, if not eliminates the use of turf. The irrigation plan shall utilize drip irrigation and limit the use of spray irrigation. All existing and/or new landscaping shall be installed with automatic irrigation systems.
- 9. A reciprocal parking and access easement and agreement for site access, parking, and maintenance of all project entrances, parking areas, landscaping, hardscape, common open space, areas and site lighting standards and fixtures, shall be recorded prior to or in conjunction with the Final Map. Said easement and agreement shall apply to all properties, and be referenced in the site Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&Rs).
- 10. All outdoor storage shall be screened from public view by landscaping and walls or fences per Section 21.21.110 of the Municipal Code.
- 11. For commercial, industrial, office or multi-family projects, all refuse enclosures are required to provide adequate space for recycling bins. The enclosure shall be architecturally compatible with the primary building. Gates shall be view obscuring and constructed of durable materials. Check with Paso Robles Waste Disposal to determine the adequate size of enclosure based on the number and size of containers to be stored in the enclosure.

- \square 12. For commercial, industrial, office or multi-family projects, all existing and/or new ground-mounted appurtenances such as air-conditioning condensers, electrical transformers, backflow devices etc., shall be screened from public view through the use of decorative walls and/or landscaping subject to approval by the Community Development Director or his designee. Details shall be included in the building plans.
- \square 13. All existing and/or new roof appurtenances such as air-conditioning units, grease hoods, etc. shall be screened from public view. The screening shall be architecturally integrated with the building design and constructed of compatible materials to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director or his designee. Details shall be included in the building plans.
- \boxtimes 14. All existing and/or new lighting shall be shielded so as to be directed downward in such a manner as to not create off-site glare or adversely impact adjacent properties. The style, location and height of the lighting fixtures shall be submitted with the building plans and shall be subject to approval by the Community Development Director or his designee.
- \boxtimes 15. It is the property owner's responsibility to insure that all construction of private property improvements occur on private property. It is the owner's responsibility to identify the property lines and insure compliance by the owner's agents.
- \boxtimes 16. Any existing Oak trees located on the project site shall be protected and preserved as required in City Ordinance No.835 N.S., Municipal Code No. 10.01 "Oak Tree Preservation", unless specifically approved to be removed. An Oak tree inventory shall be prepared listing the Oak trees, their disposition, and the proposed location of any replacement trees required. In the event an Oak tree is designated for removal, an approved Oak Tree Removal Permit must be obtained from the City, prior to removal.
- \square 17. No storage of trash cans or recycling bins shall be permitted within the public right-of-way.
- \boxtimes 18. Prior to recordation of the map or prior to occupancy of a project, all conditions of approval shall be completed to the satisfaction of the City Engineer and Community Developer Director or his designee.
- \square 19. Two sets of the revised Planning Commission approved plans incorporating all Conditions of Approval, standard and site specific, shall be submitted to the Community Development Department prior to the issuance of building permits.
- \square 20. Prior to the issuance of building permits, the
 - Development Review Committee shall approve the following: \bowtie
 - Planning Division Staff shall approve the following:

- A detailed site plan indicating the location of all structures, parking layout, outdoor storage areas, walls, fences and trash enclosures;
- \boxtimes b. A detailed landscape plan;
- c. Detailed building elevations of all structures indicating materials, colors, and architectural treatments;
- d. Other: grading plan review

B. GENERAL CONDITIONS – TRACT/PARCEL MAP:

- 1. In accordance with Government Section 66474.9, the subdivider shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the City, or its agent, officers and employees, from any claim, action or proceeding brought within the time period provided for in Government Code section 66499.37, against the City, or its agents, officers, or employees, to attack, set aside, void, annul the City's approval of this subdivision. The City will promptly notify subdivider of any such claim or action and will cooperate fully in the defense thereof.
- 2. The Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions (CC&Rs) and/or Articles Affecting Real Property Interests are subject to the review and approval of the Community Development Department, the Public Works Department and/or the City Attorney. They shall be recorded concurrently with the Final Map or prior to the issuance of building permits, whichever occurs first. A recorded copy shall be provided to the affected City Departments.
- 3. The owner shall petition to annex residential Tract (or Parcel Map) into the City of Paso Robles Community Facilities District No. 2005-1 for the purposes of mitigation of impacts on the City's Police and Emergency Services Departments.
- 4. Street names shall be submitted for review and approval by the Planning Commission, prior to approval of the final map.
- 5. The following areas shall be permanently maintained by the property owner, Homeowners' Association, or other means acceptable to the City:

ENGINEERING DIVISION- The applicant shall contact the Engineering Division, (805) 237-3860, for compliance with the following conditions:

All conditions marked are applicable to the above referenced project for the phase indicated.

C. PRIOR TO ANY PLAN CHECK:

1. The applicant shall enter into an Engineering Plan Check and Inspection Services Agreement with the City.

D. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF A GRADING PERMIT:

- 1. Prior to approval of a grading plan, the developer shall apply through the City, to FEMA and receive a Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) issued from FEMA. The developer's engineer shall provide the required supporting data to justify the application.
- 2. Any existing Oak trees located on the project site shall be protected and preserved as required in City Ordinance No. 553, Municipal Code No. 10.01 "Oak Tree Preservation", unless specifically approved to be removed. An Oak tree inventory shall be prepared listing the Oak trees, their disposition, and the proposed location of any replacement trees required. In the event an Oak tree is designated for removal, an approved Oak Tree Removal Permit must be obtained from the City, prior to its removal.
- 3. A complete grading and drainage plan shall be prepared for the project by a registered civil engineer and subject to approval by the City Engineer. The project shall conform to the City's Storm Water Discharge Ordinance.
- 4. A Preliminary Soils and/or Geology Report providing technical specifications for grading of the site shall be prepared by a Geotechnical Engineer.
- 5. A Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan per the State General Permit for Strom Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity shall be provided for any site that disturbs greater than or equal to one acre, including projects that are less than one acre that are part of a larger plan of development or sale that would disturb more than one acre.

E. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF A BUILDING PERMIT:

- 1. All off-site public improvement plans shall be prepared by a registered civil engineer and shall be submitted to the City Engineer for review and approval. The improvements shall be designed and placed to the Public Works Department Standards and Specifications.
- 2. The applicant shall submit a composite utility plan signed as approved by a representative of each public utility.
- 3. Landscape and irrigation plans for the public right-of-way shall be incorporated into the improvement plans and shall require approval by the Streets Division Supervisor and the Community Development Department.

4. In a special Flood Hazard Area as indicated on a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) the owner shall provide an Elevation Certificate in accordance with the National Flood Insurance program. This form must be completed by a land surveyor or civil engineer licensed in the State of California.

F. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY OR RECORDATION OF THE FINAL MAP:

The Planning Commission has made a finding that the fulfillment of the construction requirements listed below are a necessary prerequisite to the orderly development of the surrounding area.

- 1. The applicant shall pay any current and outstanding fees for Engineering Plan Checking and Construction Inspection services.
- 2. All public improvements are completed and approved by the City Engineer, and accepted by the City Council for maintenance.
- 3. The owner shall offer to dedicate and improve the following street(s) to the standard indicated:

Street Name

City Standard

Standard Drawing No.

4. If, at the time of approval of the final map, any required public improvements have not been completed and accepted by the City the owner shall be required to enter into a Subdivision Agreement with the City in accordance with the Subdivision Map Act.

Bonds required and the amount shall be as follows: Performance Bond......100% of improvement costs. Labor and Materials Bond......50% of performance bond.

- 5. If the existing City street adjacent to the frontage of the project is inadequate for the traffic generated by the project, or will be severely damaged by the construction, the applicant shall excavate the entire structural section and replace it with a standard half-width street plus a 12' wide travel lane and 8' wide graded shoulder adequate to provide for two-way traffic.
- 6. If the existing pavement and structural section of the City street adjacent to the frontage of the project is adequate, the applicant shall provide a new structural section from the proposed curb to the edge of pavement and shall overlay the existing paving to centerline for a smooth transition.
- 7. Due to the number of utility trenches required for this project, the City Council

adopted Pavement Management Program requires a pavement overlay on <u>Airport</u> <u>Road</u> along the frontage of the project.

- 8. The applicant shall install all utilities. Street lights shall be installed at locations as required by the City Engineer. All existing overhead utilities adjacent to or within the project shall be relocated underground except for electrical lines 77 kilovolts or greater. All utilities shall be extended to the boundaries of the project.
- 9. The owner shall offer to dedicate to the City the following easement(s). The location and alignment of the easement(s) shall be to the description and satisfaction of the City Engineer:
 - a. Public Utilities Easement;
 - b. Water Line Easement;
 - c. Sewer Facilities Easement;
 - d. Landscape Easement;
 - e. Storm Drain Easement.
- 10. The developer shall annex to the City's Landscape and Lighting District for payment of the operating and maintenance costs of the following:
 - a. Street lights;
 - b. Parkway/open space landscaping;
 - c. Wall maintenance in conjunction with landscaping;
 - d. Graffiti abatement;
 - e. Maintenance of open space areas.
- 11. For a building with a Special Flood Hazard Area as indicated on a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), the developer shall provide an Elevation Certificate in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Program. This form must be completed by a lands surveyor or civil engineer licensed in the State of California.
 - 12. All final property corners shall be installed.

 \square

- 13. All areas of the project shall be protected against erosion by hydro seeding or landscaping.
- 14. All construction refuse shall be separated (i.e. concrete, asphalt concrete, wood gypsum board, etc.) and removed from the project in accordance with the City's Source Reduction and Recycling Element.
 - 15. Clear blackline mylars and paper prints of record drawings, signed by the engineer of record, shall be provided to the City Engineer prior to the final inspection. An electronic autocad drawing file registered to the California State Plane Zone 5 / NAD83 projected coordinate system, units in survey feet, shall be provided.

PASO ROBLES DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY SERVICES- The applicant shall contact the Department of Emergency Services, (805) 227-7560, for compliance with the following conditions:

G. GENERAL CONDITIONS

- 1. \square Prior to the start of construction:
 - Plans shall be reviewed, approved and permits issued by Emergency Services for underground fire lines.
 - Applicant shall provide documentation to Emergency Services that required fire flows can be provided to meet project demands.
 - Fire hydrants shall be installed and operative to current, adopted edition of the California Fire Code.
 - A based access road sufficient to support the department's fire apparatus (HS-20 truck loading) shall be constructed and maintained for the duration of the construction phase of the project.
 - Access road shall be at least twenty (20) feet in width with at least thirteen (13) feet, six (6) inches of vertical clearance.
- 2. Provide central station monitored fire sprinkler system for all residential, commercial and industrial buildings that require fire sprinklers in current, adopted edition of the California Building Code, California Fire Code and Paso Robles Municipal Code.
 - Plans shall be reviewed, approved and permits issued by Emergency Services for the installation of fire sprinkler systems.
- 3. Provide central station monitored fire alarm system for all residential, commercial and industrial buildings that require fire alarm system in current, adopted edition of the California Building Code, California Fire Code and Paso Robles Municipal Code.
- 4. If required by the Fire Chief, provide on the address side of the building if applicable:
 - Fire alarm annunciator panel in weatherproof case.
 - \boxtimes Knox box key entry box or system.
 - Fire department connection to fire sprinkler system.
- 5. Provide temporary turn-around to current City Engineering Standard for phased construction streets that exceed 150 feet in length.
- 6. Project shall comply with all requirements in current, adopted edition of California Fire Code and Paso Robles Municipal Code.

- 7. Prior to the issuance of Certificate of Occupancy:
 - Final inspections shall be completed on all underground fire lines, fire sprinkler systems, fire alarm systems and chemical hood fire suppression systems.
 - Final inspections shall be completed on all buildings.





Agenda Item No. 1 Page 72 of 979














AR-4

DRAWING TITLE REVISED HOTEL #1 RENDERING 15029 Author

JOB NO. DRAWN BY SHEET NO.



VIEW FROM OLIVE TREE GARDEN (VIEW REMAINS UNCHANGED)



Agenda Item No. 1 Page 76 of 979



Agenda Item No. 1 Page 77 of 979



Ċ		Exhibit C	PAMMAG TITLE TABLE OF CONTENTS TABLE OF CONTENTS DOBANO, TABLE DEPANDING STATES NO.
	EERING DRAWINGS	COVER SHEET VESTING TENTATIVE MAP PRELIMINARY GRADING - WEST PRELIMINARY UNDERGROUND - WEST PRELIMINARY UNDERGROUND - WEST RELIMINARY UNDERGROUND - EAST SITE CROSS SECTIONS SCM EXHIBIT - PRELIMINARY GRADING - WEST SCM EXHIBIT - PRELIMINARY GRADING - WEST SCM EXHIBIT - PRELIMINARY GRADING - WEST SCM EXHIBIT - PRELIMINARY GRADING - WEST ARPORT OVERLAY PLAN - 1 ACRE ANALYSIS ARPORT OVERLAY PLAN - 1 ACRE ANALYSIS ARPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 1 AIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 2 AIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 2 AIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 1 AIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 2 AIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 1 AIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 2 AIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 1 AIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 2 BIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 2 BIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 2 BIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 1 AIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 2 BIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN - HOTEL 3 BIRPORT OVERLAY PLAN -	
	ENGIN	AIR PO AR AO AO AO AO AO AO AO AO AO AO AO AO AO A	
DESTINO PASO - TABLE OF CONTENTS	ARCHITECTRUAL DRAWINGS	AD COVER SHEFT TC TABLE OF CONTENTING A2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION A3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION A5 COMPARISON OF APPROVED AND PROPSED PLAN A5 COMPARISON OF APPROVED AND PROPSED PLAN A7 HOTEL 1 - ERNARCED SITE PLAN A7 HOTEL 1 - ELEVATIONS A7 HOTEL 1 - ELEVATIONS A7 HOTEL 2 - ELEVATIONS A7 HOTEL 4 - ELEVATIONS <	

	STANTONARCHITECTURE COM		DESTINO PASO RESUBMITTAL JULY ZIST, 2016	PRAMMIG TITLE PROJECT OESCAPTION PROJECT OESCAPTION JOE NO. SHEET NO.
DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	 Following is a description of the proposed development on each of the proposed new parcels of Destino Paso: Parcel 1 – Parcel 1 is a .000-acre site at the northwest corner of the site on the westerm side of Airport Road . Except for roadway configuration modifications, no development is proposed for this parcel. It will be dedicated as Open Space along Huerhuero Creek. Parcel 2 – Parcel 2 is located east of Parcel 1 across Airport Road and is bisected by Destino Paso Way. Consisting of 6.75 acres, proposed development on this parcel is a small 28-room hotel with 34 parking spaces, a swimming pool and a breakfast room. Access while from Destino Paso Way. and a portion of Parcel 2 will provide on-site storm water retention and dissipation for Parcels 3 and 4. 	of an 30-room, limited-service hotel. This hotel, a single three-level building, is envisored to react a single three-level building, is envisored to complement the larger full-service hotel. This hotel, a single three-level building, is envisored next). This hotel will have approximately 93 parking spaces for guests and described next). This hotel will be limited to a small fitness room, a breakfast room, and an outdoor swimming pool. Parcel 4 – Parcel 4, a 12.97-acre site south of Parcel 3 across Destino Paso Way, will be the location of the Main Hotel, the first hotel to be built. This three-story 136 room full-service hotel will feature a small fitness room, a breakfast room, and an outdoor devine and the Main Hotel.	 lobby bar for tasting local wines, a small function space with an adjacent landscape terrace, and a south-facing pool and deck. The hotel will overlook the ravine with its oak trees that will remain in their natural state. Its adjacent surface parking for about 196 trees that will be located on an open mesa at the top of the hill. Parcel 5 – Parcel 5, a 5.09-acre site, includes the existing farmhouse and support buildings currently on the site accessed via an easement from Parcel 6 from Airport Road. No new development is proposed for this parcel. Parcel 6 – Parcel 6, a 5.0 acre site located at the southwest corner, will house a two-level 47-room hotel with about 53 surface parking spaces. This will be a rooms-only hotel with a breakfast room and deck as its guest amenities. Access to this parcel will be proved at the time this hotel is developed. A portion of Parcel 6 east of Airport Road will provide on-site storm water retention and dissipation. 	
INTRODUCTION	 Destino Paso, a destination resort, was approved by the City of Paso Robles in 2009. The purpose of this submittal is to modify the previous City of Paso Robles approvals for the Destino Paso development. Specifically, this submittal seeks to modify. the Vesting Tentative Map Tract 2962 (PC Resolution 09-008 as modified by PC Resolution 09-015). the Conditional Use Permit (PC Resolution 09-007), and the Existing Planned Development (PC Resolution 09-006 supported by Mitigated Negative Declaration PC Resolution 09-005) 	Destino Paso is a 40.3-acre site bordering the east side of Airport Road (A.P.N. 025-436-029 and 030) and immediately north of the Wine Country R.V. Park. The site consists of several flat mesa areas and an oak-filled ravine. An existing farmhouse, outbuildings, and livestock pond are accessed via an easement from Airport Road, while a house and large garage at the site's northeastern end has an access road.	The currently approved development calls for 291 visitor-serving accommodations on this site. These accommodations were to be spread amongst a 16- room boutique hotel, 175 individual casitas clustered in two locations, and two fifty-room hotels that would feature separate swimming pools as well as on-site retail and recreational facilities. These overnight accommodations were to be supplemented by a spa, a restaurant, a recreation center and pool complex, and an event pavilion. As approved, Destino Paso would comprise of approvingely 59 individual buildings. The existing vesting road to the site was proposed to be improved to be a new public street called "Beijo Way" that would connect both the site and the adjacent property to the east owned by William and Kenneth Mundee to Airport Road.	The modifications to the approved Destino Paso project proposed in this submittal are: Elimination of the casitas and the reconfiguration of the currently approved 291 visitor-serving accommodations into four hotels. Consolidation and reduction in overall area of the approved restaurant, recreation center, and event center. Elimination of the spa Separation from Airport Road. Elimination form Airport Road. The renaming of Beijo Way to Destino Paso Way. Adjusting the currently approved 291 visitor-serving accommodations will have a number of positive features over the current approved design. The reconfiguration of the approved 291 visitor-serving accommodations will have a number of positive features over the current approved design. The reconfiguration of the approved 291 visitor-serving accommodations will bring the proposed development into four hotels, a greater percentage of the actions the proposed development into four hotels, a greater percentage of the strating development plan have been carefully laid out to maintain all the existing oak trees on the site can be left in its natural state as open space. The proposed modifications to the site can be left in the exception of trees to be removed for improvements to Airport Road and trees identified by the artorist as being in poor condition and one tree removal that is necessary to construct the access road to Hotel 4.

	STANTONARCHITECTURE COM		International Activity (1995)	DESTINO PASO RESUBMITTAL JULY ZIST, 2016			DRAMING TITLE PROJECT DESCRIPTION JOBINIC 15/23 DRAMNERY Author SHEET NO.
DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED LANDSCAPE CONCEPTS	The Landscape Design for Destino Paso embodies the essence of El Paso de Robles – Pass of the Oaks –and reflects the client's and project team's commitment to conserving native habitat, regional plant ecology, and water resources throughout. At Destino Paso, architecture, gardens, roads, pathways, infrastructure, and amenities are carefully and thoughtfully nestled into the rolling golden slopes of the Huerhuero Creek watershed. Taking advantage of the existing large flat mesa areas for development, the	landscape borrows the scenery that abounds: native hillsides composed of grasslands, oak woodlands, ravines, and picturesque views to nearby wineries. These are expressed in the landscape through the use of natural stone, wood, metal, native and appropriate plant materials to provide ample opportunities for guests to enjoy and immerse themselves in the landscape.	After a day of exploring the local community, culture and attractions of the region guests are greeted with a range of opportunities at Destino Paso. Subtle project signage built of regionally appropriate materials marks the project entrance on Airport Road. Turning onto Destino Paso Way, the road gracefully winds up the hillside bordered with large canopy shade trees and an adjacent pedestrian walk with a generous landscape buffer, to provide a safe and scenic approach. Pedestrian scale, dark-sky compliant lighting subtly lights the way in the evening.	The contemporary landscapes provide stunning California Mediterranean resort gardens celebrating the native Oak Woodland ecosystem and creating visual, material, and spatial continuity between the hotels, the landscape, and the natural setting beyond. Ample shade trees, pathways covered by grape arbors, and lounge areas provide distinctive opportunities for weddings, family gatherings, relaxing around a pool, strolling, dining, and play.	The proposed development has been designed to minimize impacts to the native oaks and ecology of the site. An arborist has surveyed 155 of the 300+ trees found on the site. Of these, seven are being proposed for removal: two are in poor health and are being removed due to safety concerns; four are located directly adjacent to Airport Road and will be impacted due to roadway widening; one additional tree is being removed, due to steep hillside constraints, in order to provide access to Hotel 4.	More than a hundred new native and appropriate trees will be added to the site in the initial phases of the project to provide shade and to add beauty and character to the site. Each new planting is meant to provide the public with direct contact to the regional ecology. Plants are utilized to attract polinators and other beneficial insects. Grasses capture the movement of the wind. The aromas of lavenders and sages awaken the senses. An abundance of new ordlands. Olive trees planted throughout the project complements the existing native majestic oak woodlands. Olive trees and grape-covered arbors scale the garden spaces and frame views to the natural landscape beyond.	A carefully considered site drainage strategy heightens the experience of stormwater infrastructure and is responsive to seasonal inundations typical of the region. Subtle manipulation of grades directs rainwater along vegetated swales and into larger detention basins, planted with native plants to naturally filter and absorb rainwater captured from the site and slow its return to the water table and ultimately. Huerhuero Creek. Permeable ground plane surfaces such as pavers and decomposed granite allow for additional opportunity for groundwater recharge.
DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ARCHITECTURAL CONCEPTS	The design of the hotels that will be built at Destino Paso will evoke the best of the cordial hospitality venues found throughout California wine country. <u>Siting</u> – Destino Paso has been planned to blend smoothly into the topography and vegetation of its handsome location. Great care has been taken to site the structures so they will have minimal impact on the native flora and fauna of the site.	Materials. The structures will be built using the traditional materials found in wine country. The walls of the buildings will be finished with stained wood siding, ashlar stone, and cement plaster. High quality prefinished metal roofing with deep roof overhangs will cover the buildings. The designs will be further enriched by the use of loose stone site walls, exposed heavy-timber construction, and accents of high-quality materials.	<u>Sustainability</u> – Destino Paso will be an environmentally responsible project incorporating the best practices in sustainability. Locally sourced and renewable materials will be used to the greatest extent possible. State-of-the-art plumbing fixtures that reduce water consumption will be specified. The buildings will incorporate passive systems to further reduce energy consumption. <u>Style</u> – The buildings of Destino Paso will be contemporary interpretations of the best archite-true found in the wine reviews of California, providing feed and contential	accommodations for use by the visitors and residents of Paso Robles.			

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CIVIL ENGINEERING CONCEPTS	ECONOMIC STRATEGY AND BENEFITS	201 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100
Site Access - The Destino Paso project is located on Airport Road north of the intersection of Highway 46 East and Airport Road. Proposed site access is taken from Airport Road in two places. The main access point is on Destino Paso Way which is proposed as a City Standard road in a 50-foot Offer-of-Dedication bisecting the property and connecting to the Mundee property to the east. The road is proposed to be 34 feet wide from curb to curb with a sidewalk on the south side connecting to Parcels 2, 3 and 4 h Airport Road. When the hotel on Parcel 6 is constructed, a sidewalk along Airport Road will be constructed connecting the hotel to the Destino Paso Way/Airport Road intersection. Airport Road is proposed to be 34 feet wide from curb to curb with a sidewalk on Parcel 6 is constructed, a sidewalk along Airport Road will be constructed connecting the hotel to the Destino Paso Way/Airport Road intersection. Airport Road is proposed to be a 12-foot travel lanes, two 5-foot bike lanes with a 2-foot striped buffer and a 12-foot median. The median will collect drainage from the east side of the road and act as an infiltration area.	Consistent with the City's Economic Strategy, the project advances tourism and employment goals of the Economic Strategy- to, "Improve quality of place to attract investment and knowledge workers stimulate investment by establishing distinctive, quality, stable, safe and sustainable physical improvements and attractions that welcome industry, commerce, tourism, employment, and wealth necessary to maintain and enhance quality of life. The economic impacts would be significant for Paso Robles, Among the positive economic impacts of the development of Destino Paso Resort Hotel will be: The direct benefits of the purchases of local resources (labor, equipment, goods and services) for the construction and operations of the hotel and its infrastructure.	STANTONARCHITECTURE COM
<u>Water</u> -Currently there is an existing 16-inch water line in Airport Road and a 12-inch water line that crosses the site under the proposed alignment of Destino Paso Way. Both domestic and fire water will be accessed from these lines. <u>Sewer</u> -There currently is no sewer adjacent to the site. To serve the project a sewer line will be constructed in Destino Paso Way and a sewer line will be constructed in Airport Road. The closest sewer connection is in Dry Creek Road, approximately 3,750	(FTE)construction jobs for a period of 12-14 months. When the hotel starts its operations, it will generate an estimated 130-150 FTE jobs for professionals, skilled labor and services. The hotel will further generate other expenses for its various operational units such as food and beverage, landscape services, pool maintenance, supplies, and the like. The operation of the hotel will further generate significant fiscal benefits and revenue of the other with revenue to the City through the payment of lodging tax (Transient Occupancy Tax - TOT) cales taxes and revenue.	
Ine to the low point in Airport Road approximately half way to the Bry Creek time to the low point in Airport Road approximately half way to the Dry Creek connection point. At that point a sever lift station will be constructed and the sewage will be pumped in a force main line to Dry Creek road. The sewer line will be constructed in Airport Road for the frontage of the property at which point it will be available for the Ravine Water Park, the Wine Country RV Park and the Firestone Winery. This will provide sever service for these existing projects which are currently using septic systems. Since this sever will provide a sever line will become part of an Assessment District to share in the cost of the sever line will become part of an projects were conditioned to not oppose the future formation of an Assessment District when their projects were approved.		DESTINO PASO RESUBMITTAL JULY 218T, 2016
<u>Site Grading and Stormwater</u> -The proposed hotels are located on the flatter areas of the site so that grading is minimized. Due to the grading required for the access road to the Parcel 6 hotel, stepped retaining walls will be required in order to accommodate the change of grade. There will be a small retaining wall at the back of the hotel on Parcel 3 to provide outside areas for the adjacent rooms. The site will contain a number of stormwater retention basins for the purpose of stormwater retention and stormwater quality to comply with the requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board. Due to the extensive site coverage and topography of Parcels 3 and 4, the majority of the stormwater will be piped down to the lower portion of the site along Airport Road where the soils have higher infiltration rates. This will serve to recharge the local aquifer as opposed to piping the stormwater directly into the Huerhuero.		
<u>Site Utilities</u> - Existing telephone and electrical services are located along Airport Road in front of the site. There is an existing overhead line that serves the existing farm house. A gas line is located in Airport Road to serve the site.		PROJECT DESCRIPTION PROJECT DESCRIPTION JOB NG. 15/229 DRAWIN BY Author SHEET NG.
		A-3















DRAWING TITLE HOTEL 1 - PERSPECTIVE VIEWS A-7

NOTE: RENDERINGS HAVE NOT BEEN UPDATED TO REFLECT ROOF REVISIONS

VIEW OFF POOL DECK
































































DRY-STACKED WALL



EVENT PAVILION



GRAPE VINE COLONNADE WITH INTEGRATED LIGHTING







PI ANT MATERIAL			01101							
Retarrical Name	Common Name	Notions								
Itees			A. A.	- 31. I Ma-	「おいち」というこうと	- Withold	ALL A R.	Million on William		
A Arbutus 'marina'	NCN	Medium evergreen tree	The Child	- AND	「「「「「「「」」」	の時間のためのかける			「日本に	
B Lagerstroemia indica	Crepe Myrtle	Medium deciduous flowering tree	A CONTRACTOR	の時間にあるいい	いたいのです。	いたのであるというたろう		and a second	「「「「「「「「「「」」	
C Cercis 'Forest pansy'	Forest Pansy Redbud	Small deciduous tree for dappled shade and oblor	A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OF THE	「日本の日本の日本	小山の読みないである	「「「「「「「「」」」」		and the second s	「二、「「「「「」」」」	
D Chitalpa tashkentensis	Chitalpa	Plnk flowers, deciduous		- AND	いいのないのない	A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC		Contraction of the local division of the loc	and the second s	
E Cupressus sempervirens	Italian Cypress	Conifer classic specimen	State State	- AND	のの一月にない	States - States			あるため、	
F Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Raywood'	Raywood Ash	Medium, deciduous, vigorous grower	CALCULATION OF			137				STANTONARCHITECTURE.COM
G Olea europea 'Swan Hil' H Diaus satriana	Fruitless Ulive Green Dine	Medium evergreen tree (truitless variety)	State of the state	「たち」の「日日の日日			THE NUMBER OF	and the second second		
- Distantio obtinentio	Chinese Batadas	Modium deviduous teos	A	C)	•		n		•	
. Platanus acartíntia	London Plane Tree	I ame deciduous tree for parking lot shade	ALBOR	· Substant ·		TANK CONSTRACT	- ANA		いたちというのである	
K Platanus racemosa	California Svcamore	Large deciduous	ALD LODA	and	and the second second	「「「「「「「	ALLER N. S. S.	A REAL PROPERTY.	なたまたで、「たい」	
L Quercus agrifolia	California Live Oak	Everance ak	- ANONIA	A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC	のないのないであるのである	Contraction of the second s	State State	日の ろう あいうち 一時代	いたの見るのというない	NCE NEL DEL PRINTER OC
M Quercus lobata	Valley Oak	Deciduous native cak	Start Links	ALC: UNKNOWN	「日本の一日の一日」	「一」に転換していた。	を通いてきまし			
N Robina ambiaua 'Purple Robe'	Purple Robe Locust	Medium. deciduous. pumle flowers	Support Country	「「「「「「「「」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」	STATES -	「日本の大学				
			The Canada		ALL DATE OF	の一般のないないの	Contraction of the local division of the loc	all was a first	and the second se	
Shrubs			- Antika	- INCOME	and a state of the	このいちまたい		たちのない	The second se	
A Arlametia 'Pruvis Caetlo'	Wormwood	Eventment Inw shrith			and the second se	日に日間の			of the local division in which the local division in the local div	
B Bireto communicano	Bowmood	Madium to Juw Poor hadra	A REAL PROPERTY AND INCOME.	and the second s						ŀ
C Conception of Manipulation	Burbh Agenesia	modular to per dos reage	r		~		~	~		
C california californica	Bookney	Touch currentian shark								
E Battoromatics activitied	Tourse	Toros austronan shrub	0HRUB0							LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE + DESIGN
	Inyoi	Lange, everyreen smuo								CRLA #3335 · 2 Theatre Square #218 · Orinda CA · 94563
F Lavandula x ginginsii 'Goodwin Creek Gray'	Goodwin Creek Gray Lavender	Silver-grey foliage, perennial, purple flowers	The second second	and the second s	and and a second se		CARE OF	TAN IN A REAL	「「「「「「」」」	925.254.5422 · www.jett.land
G Penstemon heteroohvillus sos	Foothill Penstemon	Pink & Purnle Flowers	「「「「「「「」」」」	CHINESE BARRIES	はたいたちのう	シーズに見た		12 de Although and	日本の日本の日本の日本	
H Photinia fraseri	Fraser's Photinia	Medium shrub, hedde or screen	「「「「「「「「」」」	Contraction of the second	ALL	の一方である	「ない」でななた	North Martin Hall	の一個になっているの	
Printes ilotolia	Hollv-Leafed Cherry	14' everymen shrib	にいたいろう		うちいろうち		の方でした。このの方法で	a standard and		
I Rhamura californica	Cofficehemic	larte evertrean chrith	のの言語のない	Contraction of the local division of the loc	アイションシューシート			うないで、日本の	のうちの、「いちちち」	DESTINO PASO
	CUIRBURITY	Large, everyreen smuur	「きっていたってい	「「「「「「「」」」	and the second se		1、日本の 11	The state of the s	and the second se	
K Salvia sp.	Sage	Red / Hink / Purple flowers	A DECK DECK DECK DECK DECK DECK DECK DECK			EL LA		「「「「「「」」」」		RESURMITTAL
L Vitus vinifera	Grape vine (various species)	For display vineyard	THE REAL PROPERTY OF		国家の教授し			Contraction of the local division of the loc		
M Zauscheneria californica	California Fuchsia	low-growing perennial, red flowers						Contraction of the local division of the loc		1111 V 24 2016
			A	2	2		υ			
Groundcovers:			ALC: NOW	「「「「「「「「」」」	A NOT A DAY	- AND	All and	「「「」」」」		
A Baocharis plularis	Dwarf Coyote Brush	1'x12' dark green groundoover	and the second s	に「しくている	「「「「「「「」」	And the Lot of the State	「「「「」」」」」」」」」」」」」			
B Cotoneaster 'Lowfast'	Barberry Cotoneaster	Large scale, red berries	「「「「「「「「「」」」	あるというのうとの	一代を読むという	A THE PARTY AND A THE PARTY AN	ういたろうとうとう	いたろう		
C Euonymus japonicus 'Aureomarginatus'	Golden Euonymus	Evergreen, upright growth, variegated foliage	「日日」「「「「「「「「」」」」」	には、日本語のための	「「「「「」」」	and a second sec	ないういろ	「日本の人」という		
D Lonicera japonica 'Halliana'	Hall's Japanese Honeysuckle	Shrubby, semi-evergreen groundcover	Contraction of the second				A New York Carlot	And South Street		
E Rosmarinus officinalis 'PROS'	Dwarf Trailing Rosemary	Large Scale, light purple flowers	というで、「「「「	「「「「「「」」」」」			A CONTRACTOR	A LOW A		
F Santolina chamaocyparissus	Lavender Cotton	Dense mound, yellow flowers, evergreen	A STATE OF A STATE	「あたち」という			A PAR			
G Rosa 'Flower Carpet'	Flower Carpet Rose	Red / Pink / White Flowers	AND DEPUTION			A DECEMBER OF	CUM PORT			
			A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER O		and the second second second		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE		
Grasses:			T	_	۲ ۲	v	L	Σ		
A Festuca idahoensis 'Siskivou Blue'	Blue Fescue Grass	Blue-oreen foliage								
B Calamagrostis Karl Forester	Feather Reed Grass	Upright foliage	GROUNDCOVER	0						
C Pennisetum 'Fairv Tals'	Fairy Tails Fountain Grass	Bright areen foliage								
			「「「ここ」には「小市に	「「「「「「「「」」」」	The local division of	A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE				
Stormwater Basins:				はあいとうことでいうともの		うろうですの				
A Junctus patients	California Grev Rush	Vertical arowth	「「「ないまたのうちち」	にいいいである			「「「「「」」」		A PARTY AND A PART	
B Levrmus condensatus "canvon Prince"	Blue Foliage	Blue foliace	あるということで	しいたちしていたいというと			の日本の日本の	のためである。	いたので、人子の子	
C Muthenhernia rinens	Deer Grass	Green folision cream flower stalks	and a state of the	いたいとうえるのの	「「「「「「」」」		王大二、三人	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL		
D Sisyrinchium bellum	Blue eyed grass	Seasonal flowering native			のないの	No.		1		
				A STATE OF A			「小田田」			
IRRIGATION NOTES			×	Δ	5			Δ.,	<u> </u>	PLANT LIST &
							2 014 VIN 100 40			IRRIGATION NOTES
1 ALL NEW FLANTING AREAS TO BE IRRIG.	ATED BY AN AUTOMATIC IRK	RIGATION SYSTEM UTILIZING A WEATHER AN	ID GOIL MOIGTURE BAGED	GRAGGEG			U JAMWA JAK D	AUN		
2. THE IRRIGATION SYSTEM SHALL BE DES	IGNED TO PROVIDE THE MIN	VILLAND LOONE AND STATE REQUIRED TO SUS	STAIN GOOP FLANT HEALTH	AND DESCRIPTION OF A DE	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	VENUELDE SAMO	「「「「「「「「「「」」」」	and A ritering	A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC	DRAWING TITLE
AND GROWTH.				Alther State		いたの、生いたの	のないのであたので	All and a second se	「二日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日	
9. IRRIGATION CONTROLLER SHALL BE PRI	DERAMMED TO COMPENSATE HADE AND WIND EXPOSURE.	TE FOR SEASONAL WEATHER CHANGES, FLA	NT MATERIAL, WATER	「「「「「」」」	A ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL A	大いないの日本	海道のちにシイ	SUNAL CONTRACT	A NUMBER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE	JOB NO. 2016-015 DRAWN BY
4. CALIFORNIA NATIVES SHALL BE FLACED	ON STATIONS PESIGNED T	TO PROVIDE MINIMAL/NO IRRIGATION DURING	SUMMER (DRY) MONTHS.	- Valence in	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		日本に見たいない	Desite of the other states	AL AL MALLER	SHEET NO.
5. FLUSH AND ADJUST IRRIGATION OUTLET ROADWAYS, AND/OR BUILDINGS SEI FCT T	IS AND NOZZLES FOR OPTIN	INUM PERFORMANCE AND TO PREVENT OVER ARC AND RADIUS TO PIT THE PSISTING SITE	R SPRAY ONTO WALKS. CONDITIONS AND THROTTLE		WALL WELLEN	State of the second	CHEREN PARTY	No. Party	「日本日日の一方	
THE FLOW CONTROL AT EACH VALVE TO 6	BTAIN THE OPTIMUM OPERA	ATING PRESSURE FOR EACH CONTROL ZONE		A MAN AND A	EN 11 11 11 11 11	いたのようにない	MANA MANA		North Street Str	C T
6. SET IRRIGATION HEAPS PERFENDICULAR	TO FINISH GRADE AND SLC	OPES, LOCATE EMITTER OUTLETS ON UPHILI	L SIDE OF FLANT OR TREE.	The start		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	アートの日本の	- William	THE ADDRESS	
				A	Ŀ	U	A	Ω	0	















Agenda Item No. 1 Page 119 of 979



















Exhibit J



MEMORANDUM

TO:	City of El Paso de Robles
FROM:	Michael Stanton FAIA, Stanton Architecture
DATE:	28 September 2016
PROJECT:	Destino Paso, Destino Paso Way, Paso Robles
REFERENCE:	Proposed Density - Destino Paso

Subject:

The following memo and supporting reports summarizes the proposed density of the Destino Paso Resort for the review by City Staff for the proposed project's Development Plan entitlement.

Brand Introduction:

The Radisson Paso Robles by Carlson Rezidor will provide the ideal setting for business and leisure travelers to Paso Robles. Guests of Destino Paso will typically stay 2-4 nights, and will range from 'weekend getaways', to extended celebrations such as weddings, parties and events. The Destino Paso aims to attract travelers looking for leisure both at the hotel and the greater Paso Robles area. The hotel has been designed to accommodate the prospective guests with extensive grounds amenities as well as a bar, restaurant and event wing. It is located a short drive to downtown Paso Robles and various wineries, allowing guests to enjoy what the City of El Paso De Robles has to offer.

Density:

The project's overall density has been carefully planned in accordance with the Paso Robles Airport Land Use Plan (ALUP), which indicates as follow:

1. The maximum number of persons shall not exceed an average of 40 persons per gross acre and;

2. The maximum number of persons shall not exceed 120 per single acre.

As suggested by City Staff, we used the following approach so determine the maximum density per single acre.

Allowable Maximum Density:

37.1 acres (Gross Site Area) x 40 (persons per acres) = 1,480.4 persons total.

Allowable Maximum Density per Safety Zone:

Zone 3: 17.8 Acres x 40 (persons per acres) = 712 Persons total. Zone 4: 19.2 Acres x 40 (person per acres) = 768 Persons total. Safety Zone 2 has been omitted from calculation as there is no calculated occupied density for this zone.

	Parcel	Acre	Calculated Occupancy
	3	D7	115.9
e 3	3	E7	43.2
one	4	D5	118.4
Ž/	4	D6	24.3
fet	4	E5	87.7
Saf	4	E6	81.1
	4	F5	91.5
	4	F6	110
		Total:	672.1

	Parcel	Acre	Calculated Occupancy
4	2	B4	18.9
one	2	B5	18
N	2	C4	13.5
ety	6	C1	5.4
Saf	6	D0	12.6
0	6	D1	66.6
		Total:	135

As illustrated in the tables above, the occupants per gross acres within each safety zone are well below the allowable maximum density per zone. Please note that the calculated occupancy was derived from the provided Occupant Load Data Table, which breaks down the occupiable space and corresponding occupancy load per acre.

Allowable Density per Single Acre: See Airport Analysis Diagram

Land Use Intensity Factors:

- 1. 1.8 Persons per room or group of rooms to be occupied as a suite.
- 2. 1 Person per 60 sq. ft. of floor area of any restaurants, coffee shops, bars, or night clubs
- 3. 1 Person per 12 sq. ft. of floor area of public assembly

Proposed maximum Density:

Parcel 1: No development proposed on this parcel

Parcel 2:

- Acre B4: 1.8 persons per room x 10.5 Rooms = 18.9 Persons
- Acre B5: 1.8 persons per room x 10 Rooms = 18 Persons
- Acre C4: 1.8 persons per room x 7.8 Rooms = 13.5 Persons Each defined acre below 120 persons per acre.

Parcel 3:

- Acre D7*: 1.8 persons per room x 56 (Levels 1-3) Rooms = 100.8 Persons
- Acre E7: 1.8 persons per room x 24 (Levels 1-3) Rooms = 43.2 Persons Each defined acre below 120 persons per acre.

Parcel 4:

- Acre D5: 1.8 persons per room x 65.75 (Levels 1-3) Rooms = 118.4 Persons
- Acre D6: 1.8 persons per room x 13.5 (Levels 1-3) Rooms = 24.3 Persons
- Acre E5*: 1.8 persons per room x 23 (Levels 1-2) Rooms = 41.4 Persons
- Acre E6*: 1.8 persons per room x 31 (Levels 1-2) Rooms = 55.8 Persons
- Acre F5*: No Guestrooms.
- Acre F6*: No Guestrooms. Each defined acre below 120 persons per acre.

Parcel 5: No development proposed on this parcel

Parcel 6:

- Acre C1: 1.8 Persons per room x 3 (Levels 1-2) Rooms = 5.4 Persons
- Acre D0: 1.8 Persons per room x 7 (Levels 1-2) Rooms = 12.6 Persons
- Acre D1: 1.8 Persons per room x 37 (Levels 1-2) Rooms = 66.6 Persons Each defined acre below 120 persons per acre

*This defined acre has occupiable space that includes a restaurant, coffee shop, bar or night club with a defined occupancy of one person per 60 sq. ft. of floor area. Please see attached "Occupant Load Data Table" for the complete breakdown of each defined acre for both guestroom occupancy and common area occupancy.

Density of Common Areas: Due to the unique function of the hotel as both a business/leisure destination and an event destination, the hotel has been carefully planned to provide ample space for hotel and function guests for both privacy, enjoyment, and safety. Considering the hotel will both accommodate hotel guests as well as a small portion of the general public visiting the site for dining or special functions, the hotel takes advantage of the natural mesa that occurs at the top of the oak tree ravine on the proposed Parcel 4. It mediates the large density of persons by amassing the majority of the guestrooms at the north end of the mesa, while the event space and restaurant are grouped at the south end of the mesa, distributing the density through a number of acres.

Common areas include the following:

Parcel 1:

- No proposed common areas.
- No proposed guestrooms.

Parcel 2:

- A lobby/reception for use by hotel guests
- A breakfast area, continental breakfast and seating area for use by hotel guests
- 28 proposed guestrooms distributed across 3 acres

Parcel 3:

- A lobby/reception for use by hotel guests
- A fitness center for use by hotel guests
- A lobby bar for use by both hotel guests and the general public (904 sf. ft.)
- 80 proposed guestrooms distributed across 2 acres

Parcel 4:

- A lobby/reception for use by hotel guests
- A board room for use by hotel guests
- A function room for use by special event guests, consisting of both hotel guests and the general public (2,635 sq. ft.)
- A pre-function space for use by special event guests prior to events in the ballroom. The ballroom and pre-function will not be occupied simultaneously, so the prefunction space is omitted from our calculations.
- A restaurant for use by hotel guests and the general public (1,587 sq. ft.)
- A meeting room for use by hotel guests
- A lobby bar for use by hotel guests and the general public (1,077 sq. ft.)
- 136 proposed guestrooms distributed across 4 acres

Parcel 5:

- No proposed common areas.
- No proposed guestrooms.

Parcel 6:

- A lobby/reception for use by hotel guests
- A breakfast area, continental breakfast and seating area for use by hotel guests
- 47 proposed guestrooms distributed across 3 acres

Common Area Total:

Parcel 1: No development proposed on this parcel

- Parcel 2: No common areas open to the general public.
- Parcel 3: 904 sq. ft. of common area on one acre
- Parcel 4: 5,299 sq. ft. of common area distributed over 4 acres
- Parcel 5: No development proposed on this parcel

Parcel 6: No common areas open to the general public

Please note the following:

- The areas specified above as designated 'for use by hotel guests' only have been omitted from our common space calculations. The spaces designated as such are reserved for exclusive use by hotel guests and are not generally leased to the public so the occupants of these are accounted for in the 1.8 occupants assumed for each guestroom.
- For occupancy calculation purposes, all hotels are assumed to have 100 percent occupancy.
- For the purposes of determining maximum occupancy, the areas listed above as available for use by the general public are calculated as 100 percent occupied by non-hotel guest patrons. Since these types are areas open to the public (such as the bar, restaurant and function room), will normally be occupied by a mix of hotel guests and the general public, this assumption is very conservative.

Occupant Load Data Table:

Included with this memo is an **Occupant Load Data Table** describing the proposed spaces and breaks down both the guestroom occupancy and common space occupancy for each defined acre. As is clearly indicated in this enclosure, all occupied acres have a calculated density below the maximum occupancy of 120 persons per acre.

Parking:

We have also looked into the limiting factor that parking may have on our site. The number of parking spaces provided also indicates that the occupancy of this project will be far below the allowable maximums. The project is proposing a total of approximately 388 parking spaces distributed across two Airport Safety Zones. Using an assumed occupancy of 1.5 people per car, the following total site occupancy results:

290 parking spaces in Safety Zone 3 x 1.5 persons/parking space** = 435 Persons 98 parking spaces in Safety Zone 4 x 1.5 person/parking spaces**= 147 Persons

**The assumption regarding the number of people per vehicle is based on the methodology recently presented to Paso Robles for the Residence Inn Project on Union Road that was, in turn, based on the parking requirements in the "Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan - Appendix C: Methods for Determining Concentrations of People."

This total occupancy based on parking spaces is 277 persons <u>below</u> the maximum occupancy of 712 persons based on the gross site area in Safety Zone 3 and 621 persons <u>below</u> the maximum occupancy of 768 persons based on the gross site area in Safety Zone 4.

In summary, our conservative approach to accounting for the various occupancies on the both guestrooms and public area indicates the proposed development will be well below the maximum gross site density and within the 120 persons per single acre.

We thank you for your time and help with this matter.

Michael Stanton FAIA, Principal Stanton Architecture





Destino Paso Resort Destino Paso Way, Paso Robles

9/28/2016

Occupant Load Data Table

Per the ALUP Appendix E "Non-Residential Land Use Densities, the occupancy calculations have been defined using the following use and density definitions:

1.8 persons per room or group of rooms to be occupied as a suite one person per 60 sq. ft. floor area of any restaurants, coffee shops, bars, or night clubs one person per 12 sq. ft. of gross floor area of any public assembly (ballroom/event space)

-		1	et.	10	l =	r –				10	10	1					-		10				10				Ē
Total	Occupants per Acre	N/A	18.9 - B4	18 - B5	13.5 - C4	100.8	15.1	115.9 - D7	43.2 - E7	118.4 - D5	24.3 - D6	41.4	18.0	24.8	3.5	87.7 - E5	64.8	16.3	81.1 - E6	1.65	89.8	91.5 - F5	110 - F6	N/A	5.4 - C1	12.6 - DC	66.6 - D1
Mumbor of	Occupants	N/A	18.9	18	13.5	100.8	15.1		43.2	118.4	24.3	41.4	18.0	24.8	3.5		64.8	16.3		1.65	89.8		110	N/A	5.4	12.6	66.6
I and Easter (1.0	Occ per Room)		1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8			1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8					1.8								1.8	1.8	1.8
Lood Easter	(sf/Occ)						60						60	60	12			12		60	12		12				
Aumbor of	Rooms		10.5	10	7.5	56			24	65.75	13.5	23					36								8	7	37
Area (sf) of	Common Space						904						1077	1488	42			195		66	1078		1320				
	Area Description		Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Lobby Bar		Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Lobby Bar	Restaurant	Ballroom		Guestroom	Ballroom		Restaurant	Ballroom		Ballroom		Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom
	Area Type		Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Common Area		Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom	Common Area	Common Area	Common Area		Guestroom	Common Area		Common Area	Common Area		Common Area		Guestroom	Guestroom	Guestroom
	Acre	N/A	B4	B5	2	D7	D7		E7	D5	D6	ES	E5	E5	ES		E6	E6		F5	F5		F6	N/A	1	DO	D1
	Parcel #	Parcel 1	Parcel 2			Parcel 3				Parcel 4														Parcel 5	Parcel 6		

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 133 of 979



Attachment 10

DRAFT RESOLUTION C

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF DESTINO PASO RESORT TO THE PASO ROBLES CITY COUNCIL OF VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP 2692 3350 AIRPORT ROAD, APN: 025-436-029 & 025-346-030 APPLICANT – KAREN STIER

WHEREAS, in conjunction with applications filed for Planned Development 08-002 and Conditional Use Permit 08-002 for development of a resort with four (4) hotels, Vesting Tentative Tract Map (VTTM) 2692 has been filed by Karen Stier to subdivide an approximately 40-acre property into six (6) parcels, ranging in size, as follows:

Lot $1 - 0.9$ acres	Lot 4 – 12.97 acres
Lot $2-6.75$ acres	Lot 5 – 5.09 acres
Lot $3 - 6.3$ acres	Lot $6-5.00$ acres

WHEREAS, the subject property is designated in the General Plan, Land Use Element as Parks and Open Space with Resort Lodging/Airport Overlays (POS/RL/AP), and the proposed VTTM 2692 is consistent with the intent of the land use designation since the project would provide areas for development of "... hotels in close proximity to golf courses and commercial recreation...and provide resorts, lodging and related ancillary land uses..."; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Vesting Tentative Tract Map 2962 is consistent with applicable new lot development standards in the Parks and Open Space zoning district with Resort Lodging/Airport Overlays (POS/RL/AP), and includes access to each parcel proposed for development and maintains access to the existing farmhouse, as identified in Exhibit B; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Statutes and Guidelines of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and the City's Procedures for Implementing CEQA, an Initial Study was prepared for the project; and

WHEREAS, based on the information and analysis contained in the Initial Study, staff determined that the proposed project as designed, and with appropriate mitigation measures added as conditions of approval, will not result in significant environmental impacts, and a Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared and circulated for public review and comment in full compliance with CEQA; and

WHEREAS, a duly noticed public hearing was conducted by the Planning Commission on October 11, 2016 on this project to accept public testimony on the Mitigated Negative Declaration and the proposed project; and

WHEREAS, based upon the facts and analysis presented in the staff report, public testimony received and subject to the conditions of approval listed below, the Planning Commission makes the following findings as required by Government Code Section 66474:

- 1. As conditioned, the proposed tentative subdivision map is consistent with the adopted General Plan for the City of El Paso de Robles by providing areas for commercial recreation and tourism related development.
- 2. As conditioned, the design of lots, streets, open space, drainage, sewers, water and other improvements is consistent with the General Plan and Zoning Ordinance.
- 3. The site is physically suitable for the type and density of development proposed.
- 4. The design of the subdivision is not likely to cause substantial environmental damage or substantially and unavoidably injure fish or wildlife or their habitat.
- 6. The design of the subdivision and types of improvements proposed are not likely to cause serious public health problems.
- 7. The design of the subdivision and the type of improvements proposed will not conflict with easements acquired by the public at large, for access through or use of, property within the proposed subdivision.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission of the City of El Paso de Robles does hereby grant tentative map approval VTTM 2692 subject to the following conditions of this resolution:

STANDARD CONDITIONS:

1. The applicant/developer shall comply with those standard conditions which are indicated as applicable in "Exhibit A" to this resolution. When future applications are submitted to the City for development of the newly created lots, additional site specific conditions will apply. Note: All checked standard conditions shall apply unless superseded by a site specific condition.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SITE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

NOTE: In the event of conflict or duplication between standard and site specific conditions, the site specific condition shall supersede the standard condition.

2. The project shall be constructed so as to substantially conform with the following listed exhibits and conditions established by this resolution:

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION

- A. Standard Conditions
- B. Vesting Tentative Tract Map 2692
- C. Preliminary Grading and Drainage

- 3. Vesting Tentative Tract Map 2692 authorizes the subdivision of approximately 40 acres into six (6) lots ranging in size as follows: Lot 1 0.9 acres, Lot 4 12.97 acres, Lot 2 6.75 acres, Lot 5 5.09 acres, Lot 3 6.3 acres, Lot 6 5.00 acres
- 4. The Final Subdivision Map shall be in substantial compliance with the tentative subdivision map, and preliminary grading plan (Exhibits B & C), reductions attached; full size copies are on file in the Community Development Department) and as amended by site specific and standard conditions contained in this resolution.
- 5. Grading of the tract shall be consistent with City's applicable Grading Regulations.

ENGINEERING SITE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

- 6. The Final Tract Map shall include an easement between the newly dedicated road and the property to the north precluding the need for the driveway on Airport Road directly to the north.
- 7. Prior to recordation of the Final Map, and in conjunction with construction of the newly dedicated road, rough grade the easement to the north. The applicant shall work with the property owner to the north to complete the connection to eliminate, or at least provide an alternative to their existing driveway.

PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 11th day of October, 2016 by the following Roll Call Vote:

AYES: NOES: ABSENT: ABSTAIN:

Bob Rollins, Chairman

ATTEST:

Warren Frace, Secretary of the Planning Commission

EXHIBIT A OF RESOLUTION

CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES STANDARD DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

Planned Development	Conditional Use Permit
	X Tentative Tract Map
Approval Body: Planning Commission	Date of Approval: October 11, 2016
Applicant: Destino Paso Resort	Location: 3350 Airport Road
APN: 025-436-029 & 025-346-030	

The following conditions that have been checked are standard conditions of approval for the above referenced project. The checked conditions shall be complied with in their entirety before the project can be finalized, unless otherwise specifically indicated. In addition, there may be site specific conditions of approval that apply to this project in the resolution.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT - The applicant shall contact the Community Development Department, (805) 237-3970, for compliance with the following conditions:

A. GENERAL CONDITIONS – PD/CUP:

- 1. This project approval shall expire on <u>October 11, 2018</u> unless a time extension request is filed with the Community Development Department, or a State mandated automatic time extension is applied prior to expiration.
- 2. The site shall be developed and maintained in accordance with the approved plans and unless specifically provided for through the Planned Development process shall not waive compliance with any sections of the Zoning Code, all other applicable City Ordinances, and applicable Specific Plans.
- 3. To the extent allowable by law, Owner agrees to hold City harmless from costs and expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by City or held to be the liability of City in connection with City's defense of its actions in any proceeding brought in any State or Federal court challenging the City's actions with respect to the project. Owner understands and acknowledges that City is under no obligation to defend any legal actions challenging the City's actions with respect to the project.
- 4. Any site specific condition imposed by the Planning Commission in approving this project (Conditional Use Permit) may be modified or eliminated, or new conditions may be added, provided that the Planning Commission shall first conduct a public hearing in the same manner as required for the approval of this

project. No such modification shall be made unless the Commission finds that such modification is necessary to protect the public interest and/or neighboring properties, or, in the case of deletion of an existing condition, that such action is necessary to permit reasonable operation and use for this approval.

5. The site shall be kept in a neat manner at all times and the landscaping shall be continuously maintained in a healthy and thriving condition.

6. All signs shall be subject to review and approval as required by Municipal Code Section 21.19 and shall require a separate application and approval prior to installation of any sign.

7. All walls/fences and exposed retaining walls shall be constructed of decorative materials which include but are not limited to splitface block, slumpstone, stuccoed block, brick, wood, crib walls or other similar materials as determined by the Development Review Committee, but specifically excluding precision block.

8. Prior to the issuance of a Building Permit a landscape and irrigation plan consistent with the Landscape and Irrigation Ordinance, shall be submitted for City review and approval. The plan needs to be designed in a manner that utilizes drought tolerant plants, trees and ground covers and minimizes, if not eliminates the use of turf. The irrigation plan shall utilize drip irrigation and limit the use of spray irrigation. All existing and/or new landscaping shall be installed with automatic irrigation systems.

9. A reciprocal parking and access easement and agreement for site access, parking, and maintenance of all project entrances, parking areas, landscaping, hardscape, common open space, areas and site lighting standards and fixtures, shall be recorded prior to or in conjunction with the Final Map. Said easement and agreement shall apply to all properties, and be referenced in the site Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&Rs).

10. All outdoor storage shall be screened from public view by landscaping and walls or fences per Section 21.21.110 of the Municipal Code.

11. For commercial, industrial, office or multi-family projects, all refuse enclosures are required to provide adequate space for recycling bins. The enclosure shall be architecturally compatible with the primary building. Gates shall be view obscuring and constructed of durable materials. Check with Paso Robles Waste Disposal to determine the adequate size of enclosure based on the number and size of containers to be stored in the enclosure.

12. For commercial, industrial, office or multi-family projects, all existing and/or new ground-mounted appurtenances such as air-conditioning condensers, electrical transformers, backflow devices etc., shall be screened from public view through

(Adopted by Planning Commission Resolution _____)

 \square

the use of decorative walls and/or landscaping subject to approval by the Community Development Director or his designee. Details shall be included in the building plans.

- 13. All existing and/or new roof appurtenances such as air-conditioning units, grease hoods, etc. shall be screened from public view. The screening shall be architecturally integrated with the building design and constructed of compatible materials to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director or his designee. Details shall be included in the building plans.
- 14. All existing and/or new lighting shall be shielded so as to be directed downward in such a manner as to not create off-site glare or adversely impact adjacent properties. The style, location and height of the lighting fixtures shall be submitted with the building plans and shall be subject to approval by the Community Development Director or his designee.
- 15. It is the property owner's responsibility to insure that all construction of private property improvements occur on private property. It is the owner's responsibility to identify the property lines and insure compliance by the owner's agents.
- 16. Any existing Oak trees located on the project site shall be protected and preserved as required in City Ordinance No.835 N.S., Municipal Code No. 10.01 "Oak Tree Preservation", unless specifically approved to be removed. An Oak tree inventory shall be prepared listing the Oak trees, their disposition, and the proposed location of any replacement trees required. In the event an Oak tree is designated for removal, an approved Oak Tree Removal Permit must be obtained from the City, prior to removal.
- 17. No storage of trash cans or recycling bins shall be permitted within the public right-of-way.
 - 18. Prior to recordation of the map or prior to occupancy of a project, all conditions of approval shall be completed to the satisfaction of the City Engineer and Community Developer Director or his designee.
- 19. Two sets of the revised Planning Commission approved plans incorporating all Conditions of Approval, standard and site specific, shall be submitted to the Community Development Department prior to the issuance of building permits.
- Prior to the issuance of building permits, the
 Development Review Committee shall approve the following:
 Planning Division Staff shall approve the following:
 - a. A detailed site plan indicating the location of all structures, parking layout, outdoor storage areas, walls, fences and trash enclosures;

(Adopted by Planning Commission Resolution _____)

 \square

- b. A detailed landscape plan;
-] c. Detailed building elevations of all structures indicating materials, colors, and architectural treatments;
- d. Other: grading plan review

B. GENERAL CONDITIONS – TRACT/PARCEL MAP:

- 1. In accordance with Government Section 66474.9, the subdivider shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the City, or its agent, officers and employees, from any claim, action or proceeding brought within the time period provided for in Government Code section 66499.37, against the City, or its agents, officers, or employees, to attack, set aside, void, annul the City's approval of this subdivision. The City will promptly notify subdivider of any such claim or action and will cooperate fully in the defense thereof.
- 2. The Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions (CC&Rs) and/or Articles Affecting Real Property Interests are subject to the review and approval of the Community Development Department, the Public Works Department and/or the City Attorney. They shall be recorded concurrently with the Final Map or prior to the issuance of building permits, whichever occurs first. A recorded copy shall be provided to the affected City Departments.
- 3. The owner shall petition to annex residential Tract (or Parcel Map) into the City of Paso Robles Community Facilities District No. 2005-1 for the purposes of mitigation of impacts on the City's Police and Emergency Services Departments.
- 4. Street names shall be submitted for review and approval by the Planning Commission, prior to approval of the final map.
- 5. The following areas shall be permanently maintained by the property owner, Homeowners' Association, or other means acceptable to the City:

Destino Paso Way

ENGINEERING DIVISION- The applicant shall contact the Engineering Division, (805) 237-3860, for compliance with the following conditions:

All conditions marked are applicable to the above referenced project for the phase indicated.

C. PRIOR TO ANY PLAN CHECK:

1. The applicant shall enter into an Engineering Plan Check and Inspection Services

Agreement with the City.

D. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF A GRADING PERMIT:

- 1. Prior to approval of a grading plan, the developer shall apply through the City, to FEMA and receive a Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) issued from FEMA. The developer's engineer shall provide the required supporting data to justify the application.
- 2. Any existing Oak trees located on the project site shall be protected and preserved as required in City Ordinance No. 553, Municipal Code No. 10.01 "Oak Tree Preservation", unless specifically approved to be removed. An Oak tree inventory shall be prepared listing the Oak trees, their disposition, and the proposed location of any replacement trees required. In the event an Oak tree is designated for removal, an approved Oak Tree Removal Permit must be obtained from the City, prior to its removal.
- 3. A complete grading and drainage plan shall be prepared for the project by a registered civil engineer and subject to approval by the City Engineer. The project shall conform to the City's Storm Water Discharge Ordinance.
- 4. A Preliminary Soils and/or Geology Report providing technical specifications for grading of the site shall be prepared by a Geotechnical Engineer.
- 5. A Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan per the State General Permit for Strom Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity shall be provided for any site that disturbs greater than or equal to one acre, including projects that are less than one acre that are part of a larger plan of development or sale that would disturb more than one acre.

E. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF A BUILDING PERMIT:

- 1. All off-site public improvement plans shall be prepared by a registered civil engineer and shall be submitted to the City Engineer for review and approval. The improvements shall be designed and placed to the Public Works Department Standards and Specifications.
- 2. The applicant shall submit a composite utility plan signed as approved by a representative of each public utility.
- 3. Landscape and irrigation plans for the public right-of-way shall be incorporated into the improvement plans and shall require approval by the Streets Division Supervisor and the Community Development Department.
- 4. In a special Flood Hazard Area as indicated on a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) the owner shall provide an Elevation Certificate in accordance with the

National Flood Insurance program. This form must be completed by a land surveyor or civil engineer licensed in the State of California.

F. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY OR RECORDATION OF THE FINAL MAP:

The Planning Commission has made a finding that the fulfillment of the construction requirements listed below are a necessary prerequisite to the orderly development of the surrounding area.

- 1. The applicant shall pay any current and outstanding fees for Engineering Plan Checking and Construction Inspection services.
- 2. All public improvements are completed and approved by the City Engineer, and accepted by the City Council for maintenance.
- 3. The owner shall offer to dedicate and improve the following street(s) to the standard indicated:

Destino Paso Way Street Name

City Standard

Standard Drawing No.

4. If, at the time of approval of the final map, any required public improvements have not been completed and accepted by the City the owner shall be required to enter into a Subdivision Agreement with the City in accordance with the Subdivision Map Act.

Bonds required and the amount shall be as follows: Performance Bond......100% of improvement costs. Labor and Materials Bond......50% of performance bond.

- 5. If the existing City street adjacent to the frontage of the project is inadequate for the traffic generated by the project, or will be severely damaged by the construction, the applicant shall excavate the entire structural section and replace it with a standard half-width street plus a 12' wide travel lane and 8' wide graded shoulder adequate to provide for two-way traffic.
- 6. If the existing pavement and structural section of the City street adjacent to the frontage of the project is adequate, the applicant shall provide a new structural section from the proposed curb to the edge of pavement and shall overlay the existing paving to centerline for a smooth transition.
- 7. Due to the number of utility trenches required for this project, the City Council adopted Pavement Management Program requires a pavement overlay on <u>Airport</u> <u>Road</u> along the frontage of the project.

- 8. The applicant shall install all utilities. Street lights shall be installed at locations as required by the City Engineer. All existing overhead utilities adjacent to or within the project shall be relocated underground except for electrical lines 77 kilovolts or greater. All utilities shall be extended to the boundaries of the project.
- 9. The owner shall offer to dedicate to the City the following easement(s). The location and alignment of the easement(s) shall be to the description and satisfaction of the City Engineer:
 - a. Public Utilities Easement;
 - b. Water Line Easement;
 - c. Sewer Facilities Easement;
 - d. Landscape Easement;
 - e. Storm Drain Easement.
- 10. The developer shall annex to the City's Landscape and Lighting District for payment of the operating and maintenance costs of the following:
 - a. Street lights;
 - b. Parkway/open space landscaping;
 - c. Wall maintenance in conjunction with landscaping;
 - d. Graffiti abatement;
 - e. Maintenance of open space areas.
- 11. For a building with a Special Flood Hazard Area as indicated on a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), the developer shall provide an Elevation Certificate in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Program. This form must be completed by a lands surveyor or civil engineer licensed in the State of California.
- \boxtimes 12. All final property corners shall be installed.
- 13. All areas of the project shall be protected against erosion by hydro seeding or landscaping.
- 14. All construction refuse shall be separated (i.e. concrete, asphalt concrete, wood gypsum board, etc.) and removed from the project in accordance with the City's Source Reduction and Recycling Element.
- 15. Clear blackline mylars and paper prints of record drawings, signed by the engineer of record, shall be provided to the City Engineer prior to the final inspection. An electronic autocad drawing file registered to the California State Plane – Zone 5 / NAD83 projected coordinate system, units in survey feet, shall be provided.

PASO ROBLES DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY SERVICES- The applicant shall contact the Department of Emergency Services, (805) 227-7560, for compliance with the following conditions:

G. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. Prior to the start of construction: Plans shall be reviewed, approved and permits issued by Emergency Services for underground fire lines. Applicant shall provide documentation to Emergency Services that required fire flows can be provided to meet project demands. Fire hydrants shall be installed and operative to current, adopted edition of the California Fire Code. A based access road sufficient to support the department's fire apparatus (HS-20 truck loading) shall be constructed and maintained for the duration of the construction phase of the project. Access road shall be at least twenty (20) feet in width with at least thirteen \square (13) feet, six (6) inches of vertical clearance. 2. Provide central station monitored fire sprinkler system for all residential, commercial and industrial buildings that require fire sprinklers in current, adopted edition of the California Building Code, California Fire Code and Paso Robles Municipal Code. Plans shall be reviewed, approved and permits issued by Emergency Services for the installation of fire sprinkler systems. 3. 1 1 Provide central station monitored fire alarm system for all residential, commercial and industrial buildings that require fire alarm system in current, adopted edition of the California Building Code, California Fire Code and Paso Robles Municipal Code. 4. If required by the Fire Chief, provide on the address side of the building if applicable: Fire alarm annunciator panel in weatherproof case. Knox box key entry box or system. Fire department connection to fire sprinkler system. 5. Provide temporary turn-around to current City Engineering Standard for phased construction streets that exceed 150 feet in length. 6. \square Project shall comply with all requirements in current, adopted edition of California Fire Code and Paso Robles Municipal Code.

(Adopted by Planning Commission Resolution ____

- 7. Prior to the issuance of Certificate of Occupancy:
 - Final inspections shall be completed on all underground fire lines, fire sprinkler systems, fire alarm systems and chemical hood fire suppression systems.
 - Final inspections shall be completed on all buildings.

(Adopted by Planning Commission Resolution _____)



ORTH COAST ENGINEERING INC.

VietTeriMaD\06162 GP Ran Set.dvg, TTL, 7/13/2016 9:41:25 AM, 1:1, by HSO



Agenda Item No. 1 Page 148 of 979





fan SetWestTentMap106162 GP Plan Set dwg, Grade-E, 7/13/2016 9:43:38 AM, 1:1, t









(Design(Edribits)06162 Stormweter Control Measures, dwg, Grade-W, 7/13/2016 10:19:18 AN, 1:1, by HSO



Attachment 11

DRAFT RESOLUTION D

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF AN OAK TREE REMOVAL (OTR 16-009) 3350 AIRPORT ROAD, APN'S: 025-436-029 & 025-436-030 APPLICANT – KAREN STIER DESTINO PASO RESORT

WHEREAS, in conjunction with Planned Development Amendment 08-002, Conditional Use Permit Amendment 08-002, and Vesting Tentative Tract Map 2962, an Oak Tree Removal (OTR 16-009) application has been filed by Karen Stier for the Destino Paso Resort, with four (4) hotels and up to 291 rooms and ancillary site improvements; and

WHEREAS, the application for OTR 16-009 includes a request to remove seven (7) oak trees; and

WHEREAS, the applicant submitted an Arborist Report and Oak Tree Protection Plan (Exhibit A) for the project, which includes an inventory of 156 oak trees on the project site. The report indicates that the majority of oak trees (which are included in an oak woodland area would not be impacted by the project, but that the proposed oak tree removals are necessary to facilitate property frontage improvements and parking lots; and

WHEREAS, the Arborist Report indicates that the condition of the oak trees proposed for removal range between poor = 1, to good = 9 as follows:

Tree Number	Size (inches at dbh)	Rank/Tree Condition
1	30"	1 - poor
2	30"	2 - poor
18	46"	2 - poor
19	18"	5 - average
20	6"	9 - good
155	39"	6 - average
156	7"	5 - average

WHEREAS, oak tree protection measures are also incorporated into the plan to reduce potential impacts to oak trees that are located near areas proposed for development; and

WHEREAS, the Arborist Report also includes oak tree replacement mitigation measures in compliance with the City's adopted Oak Tree Protection Ordinance (Ordinance No. 835 N.S.); and

WHEREAS, the City's Oak Tree Preservation Ordinance establishes factors to consider for requests to remove healthy oak trees, and compensatory mitigation, should oak trees be approved for removal, which includes the following:

1. The condition of the oak tree(s) with respect to its general health, status as a public nuisance, danger of falling, proximity to existing or proposed structures, interference with utility services, and its status as host for a plant, pest or disease endangering other species of trees or plants with infection or infestation;

Trees #1, #2, #18, #19, #155 & #156 are in poor to average condition of health, and tree #20 is in good condition.

2. The necessity of the requested action to allow construction of improvements or otherwise allow reasonable use of the property for the purpose for which it has been zoned. In this context, it shall be the burden of the person seeking the permit to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the director that there are no reasonable alternatives to the proposed design and use of the property. Every reasonable effort shall be made to avoid impacting oak trees, including but not limited to use of custom building design and incurring extraordinary costs to save oak trees;

The location of the trees conflict with the ability to complete street frontage improvements on Airport Road, and/or are located in areas proposed proposed for hotel parking lots. The trees in the parking lots are in average condition, and the tree in good health (#20) is within the public right-of-way necessary for frontage improvements on Airport Road.

3. The topography of land, and the potential effect of the requested tree removal on soil retention, water retention, and diversion or increased flow of surface waters. The director shall consider how either the preservation or removal of the oak tree(s) would relate to grading and drainage. Except as specifically authorized by the planning commission and city council, ravines, stream beds and other natural watercourses that provide a habitat for oak trees shall not be disturbed:

There are no water features, soil conditions or drainage patterns on the site that would be disrupted by the removal of the oak trees.

4. The number, species, size and location of existing trees in the area and the effect of the requested action on shade areas, air pollution, historic values, scenic beauty and the general welfare of the city as a whole;

The quality of the oak trees proposed for removal are mostly poor to average, and would not significantly impact scenic values to the general welfare of the City.

5. Good forestry practices such as, but not limited to, the number of healthy trees the subject parcel of land will support.

The other existing oak trees located on the site will be preserved with development of the property. The landscape plan includes planting oak tree replacements on the site.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Statutes and Guidelines of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and the City's Procedures for Implementing CEQA, an Initial Study was prepared for the project; and

WHEREAS, based on the information and analysis contained in the Initial Study, staff determined that the proposed project as designed, and with appropriate mitigation measures added as conditions of approval, will not result in significant environmental impacts, and a Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared and circulated for public review and comment in full compliance with CEQA; and

WHEREAS, a duly noticed public hearing was conducted by the Planning Commission on October 11, 2016 on this project to accept public testimony on the Mitigated Negative Declaration and the project; and

WHEREAS, at the conclusion of the October 11, 2016 Planning Commission meeting, the Commission recommended that the City Council adopt the Mitigated Negative Declaration, and approve Planned Development Amendment 08-002, Conditional Use Permit Amendment 08-002, Vesting Tentative Tract Map 2962, and Oak Tree Removal 16-009; and

WHEREAS, any oak tree removals requested to accommodate the proposed development site plan shall be approved by the City Council at a future meeting, with oak tree replacements established in compliance with the City's Oak Tree Preservation Ordinance; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission of the City of El Paso de Robles does hereby recommend approval of OTR 16-009 to the City Council.

PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 11th day of October, 2016 by the following Roll Call Vote:

AYES: NOES: ABSENT: ABSTAIN:

Bob Rollins, Chairperson

ATTEST:

Warren Frace, Secretary of the Planning Commission

Exhibits

A. 6/2/16 A&T Arborist Oak Tree Protection Plan

Exhibit A

Oak Tree Protection Plan

Destino Paso Resort Hotel, Airport Road

Prepared By

Chip Tamagni Certified Arborist #WE 6436-A Certified Hazard Risk Assessor #1209

> Steven Alvarez Certified Arborist #WE 0511-A

> > P.O. Box 1311 Templeton, CA 93465 (805) 434-0131



As consulting arborists, we have been hired to inform and educate how to protect trees both during the design phase and construction. Different species can adapt to more impacts than others just as young trees can sustain more root disturbance that older trees. All individuals and firms involved in the planning stages should be made completely aware of the limitations regarding setbacks from critical root zones that are recommended to protect the trees. When we are given a plan, it should show **all** possible disturbances within the critical root zone areas. This includes all cuts, fills, over-excavation limits, building clearances, and all utilities. We will suggest changes if we feel the impacts are too great and it is up to the owner or their designee to follow our recommendations. If the plan we receive is not complete with potential impacts, we will fairly assume any additions will fall completely out of the critical root zone areas. It is the burden of the property owner or their designee to inform us of any changes, omissions, or deletions that may impact the critical root zone area of the trees in any way.

It is the responsibility of the **owner** to provide a copy of this tree protection plan to any and all contractors and subs that work within the critical root zone of any native tree. We recommend making it mandatory that the grading/trenching operator have all of his/her employees sign that they have read this plan plans. It is highly recommended that all other contractors sign and acknowledge this tree protection plan as well. In addition, each their respective employees shall be made aware of this tree plan.

The term "critical root zone" is often referred to in this report. The CRZ is an imaginary circle around the trunk of the tree with a radius in feet equal to the tree's diameter in inches. Therefore, a 10 inch diameter tree would have a critical root zone with a 10 foot radius.

This tree evaluation and protection plan is in regard to Destino Paso on Airport Road. Plans are to construct four new hotels with parking. During the original tree inventory for a previous project, we inventoried 155 oak trees that may have had the potential to be impacted during construction. The species on site include both blue oaks (Quercus douglasii) and valley oaks (Quercus lobata). There are literally twice that many trees on the property with the majority being completely out of the impact areas. There are seven trees being proposed for removal at this time. Tree #1 is a 30" blue oak that is in major decline. It is located at the edge of the planned parking lot for one of the hotels. In the last 8 years, this tree has steadily declined to a point where only about 10% of its live canopy remains. The plans originally called for this tree to be saved, however, its useful life expectancy is probably less than three years at best. Tree #2 is located in the middle of the same parking lot. This tree is also a 30" blue oak. It is also showing signs of decline such as excessive dieback. Trees #18 (46"), #19 (18"), #20 (9"), and tree #156 (7") are all valley oaks located directly adjacent to Airport Road and will be in the way of improvements in that area. The large tree in this section is in poor condition with major deadwood beginning to fail from the upper canopy. Tree #155 (39") blue oak is

located directly in the roadway to the hotel on the south side. Unfortunately, this tree is one of the better trees on the property. We would like to see this tree saved. It is a focal tree directly off of Airport Road and it would be a shame to remove it. The other issue we have in this area is tree #154 (31") is slated to have soil cut away from the critical root zone on the downslope side and cut for over-excavation on the south side to accommodate the hotel. We strongly feel this tree will not survive these impacts. We feel that the road could be re-oriented in addition to the parking lot and hotel being built a little smaller to accommodate these two trees. We also noticed there is ample space higher up in the property that could potentially be used for the hotel site and not impact any trees at all. Some decisions need to be made with regard to these two trees as removing them does not follow the spirit of the Paso Robles Oak Tree Ordinance.

In addition to the standard mitigation measures listed later in this report, the following items are of significant importance. There is a planned deck that will encroach into the CRZ of tree #48. Due to the ideal shape of the canopy, very minor trimming will have to occur to accommodate the deck. Deck shall be constructed using pier/post or similar to minimize impacts to the CRZ of this tree. Tree #60 has some simple dg paths passing through the CRZ which should pose no problem. Other than the previous concerns regarding trees #154 and #155, there do no appear to be any other impacts to the trees.

Projects usually require an on-site pre-construction meeting with the city, owner, grading contractor and the arborist. Topics will include fencing, monitoring and requirements for a positive final occupancy letter. It is the owner's responsibility to adequately inform us prior to any meetings where we need to be present.

All trees potentially impacted by this project are numbered and identified on both the grading plan and the spreadsheet. Trees whose CRZ edges are greater than 50 feet from site disturbance will generally not be tagged and inventoried. Trees that are inherently protected by other saved trees will also not be tagged. Trees are numbered on the grading plans and in the field with an aluminum tag. Tree protection fencing is shown on the grading plan.

Tree Rating System

A rating system of 1-10 was used for visually establishing the overall condition of each tree on the spreadsheet.

Determining factors include:

- Previous impacts to tree root zone
- Observation of cavities, conks or other structurally limiting factors
- Pest, fungal, or bacterial disorders

- Past failures
- Current growth habit

The rating system is defined as follows:

<u>Rating</u>	Condition
0	Deceased
1	Evidence of massive past failures, extreme disease and is in severe decline.
2	May be saved with attention to class 4 pruning, insect/pest eradication and future monitoring.
3	Some past failures, some pests or structural defects that may be mitigated by class IV pruning.
4	May have had minor past failures, excessive deadwood or minor structural defects that can be mitigated with pruning.
5	Relatively healthy tree with little visual structural and or pest defects.
6	Healthy tree that probably can be left in its natural state. Future pruning may be required.
7-9	The tree has had proper arboricultural pruning and attention or have no apparent structural defects.
10	Specimen tree with perfect shape, structure and foliage in a protected setting (i.e. park, arboretum).

The following mitigation measures/methods must be fully understood and followed by anyone working within the drip line of any native tree. Any necessary clarification will be provided by us (the arborists) upon request.

Fencing: The proposed fencing shall be shown in orange ink on the grading plan. It must be a minimum of 4' high chain link, snow or safety fence staked at the edge of the CRZ or line of encroachment for each tree or group of trees. The fence shall be up before any construction or earth moving begins. The owner or their designee shall be responsible for maintaining an erect fence throughout the construction period. The arborist(s), upon notification, will inspect the fence placement once it is erected. After this time, fencing shall not be moved without arborist inspection/approval. If the orange plastic fencing is used, a minimum of four zip ties shall be used on each stake to secure the fence. All efforts shall be made to maximize the distance from each saved tree. The fencing must be constructed prior to the city pre-construction meeting for inspection by the city and the arborists. Fence maintenance is an issue with many job sites. Windy conditions and other issues can cause the fence to sage and fall. Keeping it erect should be a part of any general contractor's bid for a project.

Soil Aeration Methods: Soils within the CRZ that have been compacted by heavy equipment and/or construction activities must be returned to their original state before all work is completed. Methods include adding specialized soil conditioners, water jetting, adding organic matter, and boring small holes with an auger (18" deep, 2-3'

apart with a 2-4" auger) and the application of moderate amounts of nitrogen fertilizer. The arborist(s) shall advise.

Chip Mulch: All areas within the CRZ of the trees that cannot be fenced shall receive a 4-6" layer of chip mulch to retain moisture, soil structure and reduce the effects of soil compaction.

Trenching Within CRZ: All trenching/excavation for foundations within the CRZ of native trees shall be **hand dug**. All major roots shall be avoided whenever possible. All exposed roots larger than 1" in diameter shall be clean cut with sharp pruning tools and not left ragged. A **Mandatory** meeting between the arborists and grading/trenching contractor(s) shall take place prior to work start. This activity shall be monitored by the arborist(s) to insure proper root pruning is talking place. Any landscape architects and contractors involved shall not design any irrigation or other features within any drip line unless previously approved by the project arborist.

Grading Within CRZ: Grading shall not encroach within the drip line unless approved by the project arborist. Grading should not disrupt the normal drainage pattern around the trees. Fills should not create a ponding condition and excavations should not leave the tree on a rapidly draining mound.

Exposed Roots: Any exposed roots shall be re-covered the same day they were exposed. If they cannot, they must be covered with burlap or another suitable material and wetted down 2x per day until re-buried.

Paving Within The CRZ: The preferred method on paving within the drip line consists of placing base material on existing grade. Any grade lowering removes important surface roots. Pavers can be used with limitations. The base material must be above natural grade and the curbing to retain the pavers shall not be trenched any deeper than six inches into the natural grade.

Equipment Operation: Vehicles and all heavy equipment shall not be driven under the trees, as this will contribute to soil compaction. Also there is to be no parking of equipment or personal vehicles in these areas. All areas behind fencing are off limits unless pre-approved by the arborist. All soil compaction within drip line areas shall be mitigated as described previously.

Existing Surfaces: The existing ground surface within the CRZ of all native trees shall not be cut, filled, compacted or pared, unless shown on the grading plans **and** approved by the arborist.

Construction Materials And Waste: No liquid or solid construction waste shall be dumped on the ground within the CRZ of any native tree. The CRZ areas are not for storage of materials either. Any violations shall be remedied through proper cleanup approved by the project arborist at the expense of the owner.

Arborist Monitoring: An arborist shall be present for selected activities (trees identified on spreadsheet and items bulleted below). The monitoring does not necessarily have to be continuous but observational at times during these activities. It is the responsibility of the owner(s) or their designee to inform us prior to these events so

we can make arrangements to be present. It is the responsibility of the owner to contract (prior to construction) a locally licensed and insured arborist that will document all monitoring activities.

- pre-construction fence placement
- any utility or drainage trenching within any CRZ
- All grading and trenching near trees requiring monitoring on the spreadsheet

Pre-Construction Meeting: An on-site pre-construction meeting with the Arborist(s), Owner(s), Planning Staff, and all contractors and subs is highly recommended prior to the start of any work. At a minimum, the grading contractor shall be present. It is the sole responsibility of the owner that all topics covered during the preconstruction meeting are appropriately passed on to non-present contractors. Prior to final occupancy, a letter from the arborist(s) shall be required verifying the health and condition of all impacted trees and providing any recommendations for any additional mitigation. The letter shall verify that the arborist(s) were on site for all grading and/or trenching activity that encroached into the CRZ of the selected native trees, and that all work done in these areas was completed to the standards set forth above.

Pruning: All native tree pruning shall be completed by a licensed and insured D49 tree trimming contractor that has a valid city business license. Class 4 pruning includes: Crown reduction pruning consisting of reduction of tops, sides or individual limbs. A trained arborist shall perform all pruning. No pruning shall take more than 25% of the live crown of any native tree. Any trees that may need pruning for road/home clearance shall be pruned **prior** to any grading activities to avoid any branch tearing.

Landscape: All landscape under the CRZ shall be drought tolerant or native varieties. Lawns shall be avoided. All irrigation trenching shall be routed around drip lines; otherwise above ground drip-irrigation shall be used. It is the owner's responsibility to notify the landscape architect and contractor regarding this mitigation. The project arborist shall approve all landscape materials and irrigation within the CRZ of any oak tree.

Utility Placement: All utilities and sewer/storm drains shall be placed down the roads/driveways and when possible outside of the CRZ. If roads exist between two trees, the utilities shall be routed down the middle of the road or completely hand dug. The arborist shall supervise trenching within the CRZ. All trenches in these areas shall be exposed by air spade or hand dug with utilities routed under/over the roots. Roots greater than 2 inches in diameter shall not be cut.

Fertilization and Cultural Practices: As the project moves toward completion, the arborist(s) may suggest fertilization, insecticide, fungicide, soil amendments, and/or mycorrhiza applications that will benefit tree health.

The included spreadsheet includes trees listed by number, species and multiple stems if applicable, diameter and breast height (4.5'), condition (scale from poor to excellent), status (avoided, impacted, removed, exempt), percent of drip line impacted, mitigation

required (fencing, root pruning, monitoring), construction impact (trenching, grading), recommended pruning and individual tree notes.

If **all** the above mitigation measures are followed, we feel there will be no additional long-term significant impacts to the remaining native trees.

A & T Arborists strongly suggests that the responsible party (owner of their designee) make copies of this report. Any reproduction by A & T Arborists or changes to this original report will require an additional charge.

Please let us know if we can be of any future assistance to you for this project.

Steven G. Alvarez Certified Arborist #WC 0511

Chip Tamagni Certified Arborist #WE 6436-A

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
1	BO	Q. doug.	30	1	R	40%	GR	None	NO		POOR	severe decline	75/80
2	BO	Q. doug.	30	2	R	100%	GR	None	NO		POOR	dieback	50/49
3	BO	Q. doug.	16	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD	embeded wire	20 w
4	BO	Q. doug.	17	5	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD	embeded wire	22 w
5	BO	Q. doug.	13	5	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		10 w
6	BO	Q. doug.	5	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		FAIR		9 w
7	BO	Q. doug.	6	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		8 w
8	BO	Q. doug.	9	5	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		5 w
9	BO	Q. doug.	8	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		4 w
10	BO	Q. doug.	4	5	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		3 w
11	BO	Q. doug.	2	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		2 w
12	BO	Q. doug.	22	6	А	0%		fencing	NO		EXCEL.		25 w
13	BO	Q. doug.	14	2	А	0%		fencing	NO		FAIR	split trunk	25 w
14	BO	Q. doug.	8	5	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		16 w
15	BO	Q. doug.	17	5	А	0%		fencing	NO		EXCEL.		12 w
16	VO	Q. lobata	40	2	А	0%		fencing	NO		FAIR	hollow cavity	25/33
17	BO	Q.doug.	38	6	I	5%	GR	F,RP,M	YES		EXCEL.		63/59
18	VO	Q. lobata	46	2	R	100%	GR	None	NO		POOR	declining	22 e
19	VOX4	Q. lobata	18	5	R	100%	GR	None	NO		GOOD		10/12
20	VO	Q. lobata	6	9	R	100%	GR	None	NO		GOOD		8/7

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
21	BO	Q. doug.	29	2	А	0%			NO		GOOD	cavity	41/18
22	BO	Q. doug.	23	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		30 n
23	BO X 2	Q. doug.	14	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		12 n
24	BO	Q. doug.	14	5	А	0%			NO		EXCEL.		15 n
25	BO	Q. doug.	8	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		8 n
26	BO	Q. doug.	16	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		19 n
27	BO	Q. doug.	10	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	22 n
28	BO	Q. doug.	16	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	major deadwood	28 n
29	BO	Q. doug.	6	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		6 n
30	BO	Q. doug.	17	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		21 n
31	BO	Q. doug.	13	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		27 n
32	BO	Q. doug.	13	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		20 n
33	BO	Q. doug.	18	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		20 n
34	BO	Q. doug.	12	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		19 n
35	BO	Q. doug.	15	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		21 n
36	BO	Q. doug.	25	6	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 n
37	BO	Q. doug.	28	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		22 n
38	BO	Q. doug.	6	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		16 n
39	BO	Q. doug.	6	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	8 n
40	BO	Q. doug.	16	4	A	0%			NO		GOOD		26 n

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
41	BO	Q. doug.	12	3	Α	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	22 n
42	BO	Q. doug.	14	4	Α	0%			NO		GOOD		20 n
43	BO	Q. doug.	14	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		20 n
44	BO	Q. doug.	10	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		15 n
45	BO	Q. doug.	12	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 n
46	BO	Q. doug.	27	4	А	0%			NO		EXCEL.		25 n
47	BO	Q. doug.	10	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		15/18
48	BO	Q. doug.	25	4		15%	GR	F,M	YES		GOOD		25/33
49	BO	Q. doug.	22	5	А	0%			NO		EXCEL.		50/45
50	BO	Q. doug.	14	5	А	0%		fencing	NO	I	EXCEL.		30/30
51	BO	Q. doug.	6	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		8/10
52	BO	Q. doug.	5	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		6/10
53	BO	Q. doug.	18	5	А	0%			NO		EXCEL.		25/28
54	BO	Q. doug.	20	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		26/30
55	BO	Q. doug.	7	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		5/5
56	BO	Q. doug.	9	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15/15
57	BO	Q. doug.	2	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		4/4
58	BO	Q. doug.	8	6	A	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		20/18
59	BO	Q. doug.	17	5	I	10%	GR	F,M	YES		GOOD	mistletoe	25/27
60	BO	Q. doug.	35	2		15%	GR	F,M	YES	IV	GOOD	past failures	35/40

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
61	BO	Q. doug.	12	5	А	0%			NO	I	EXCEL.		22 n
62	BO	Q. doug.	12	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	18 n
63	BO	Q. doug.	7	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	16 n
64	BO	Q. doug.	19	5	А	0%			NO		FAIR		24 n
65	BO	Q. doug.	14	5	А	0%			NO		FAIR		20 n
66	BO	Q. doug.	5	5	А	0%			NO		FAIR		8 n
67	BO	Q. doug.	17	6	А	0%			NO		GOOD		20/20
68	BO	Q. doug.	7	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		10 n
69	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		19 n
70	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		19 n
71	BO	Q. doug.	26	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		25 n
72	BO	Q. doug.	30	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		15 n
73	BO	Q. doug.	13	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12 n
74	BO	Q. doug.	14	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		18 n
75	BO	Q. doug.	13	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		18 n
76	BO	Q. doug.	23	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		10 n
77	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		12 n
78	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 n
79	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 n
80	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	A	0%			NO		GOOD		22 n

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
81	BO	Q. doug.	24	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		17 s
82	BO	Q. doug.	15	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		20 s
83	BO	Q. doug.	13	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD	mistletoe	18 s
84	BO	Q. doug.	18	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD	mistletoe	20 s
85	BO	Q. doug.	11	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		13 s
86	BO	Q. doug.	17	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		14 s
87	BO	Q. doug.	7	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	6 s
88	BO	Q. doug.	20	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	8 s
89	BO	Q. doug.	14	3	А	0%			NO		GOOD		10 s
90	BO	Q. doug.	19	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		15 s
91	BO	Q. doug.	8	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12 s
92	BO	Q. doug.	12	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		17 s
93	BO	Q. doug.	6	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		6 s
94	BO	Q. doug.	18	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		12 s
95	BO	Q. doug.	14	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		12 s
96	BO	Q. doug.	8	2	А	0%			NO		POOR		5 s
97	BO	Q. doug.	22	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		15 s
98	BO	Q. doug.	8	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12 s
99	BO	Q. doug.	22	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		18 s
100	BO	Q. doug.	5	4	A	0%			NO		GOOD		6 s

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
101	BO	Q. doug.	4	2	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	6 s
102	BO	Q. doug.	8	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		10 s
103	BO	Q. doug.	10	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12 s
104	BO	Q. doug.	14	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 s
105	BO	Q. doug.	16	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		12 s
106	BO	Q. doug.	15	6	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 s
107	BO	Q. doug.	18	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 s
108	BO	Q. doug.	10	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		18 s
109	BO	Q. doug.	12	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		15 s
110	BO	Q. doug.	9	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		8 s
111	BO	Q. doug.	12	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		10 s
112	BO	Q. doug.	10	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		8 s
113	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12 s
114	BO	Q. doug.	14	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		13 s
115	BO	Q. doug.	13	5	А	0%			NO		FAIR		17 s
116	BO	Q. doug.	9	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	5 s
117	BO	Q. doug.	12	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		10 s
118	BO	Q. doug.	14	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		12 s
119	BO	Q. doug.	8	2	А	0%			NO		FAIR		6 s
120	BO	Q. doug.	18	5	A	0%			NO		GOOD		22 s

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
121	BO	Q. doug.	36	4	А	0%			NO		EXCEL.		55/60
122	BO	Q. doug.	29	7	А	0%			NO		GOOD		45/55
123	BO	Q. doug.	9	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		10 s
124	BO	Q. doug.	9	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		18 s
125	BO	Q. doug.	16	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD	embedded wire	20 s
126	BO	Q. doug.	7	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12 s
127	BO	Q. doug.	13	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		16 s
127	BO	Q. doug.	6	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	10 s
129	BO	Q. doug.	13	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	mistletoe	10 s
130	BO	Q. doug.	12	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		18 s
131	BO	Q. doug.	13	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		18 s
132	BO	Q. doug.	16	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		25 s
133	BO	Q. doug.	15	1	А	0%			NO		POOR	declining	6 s
134	BO	Q. doug.	26	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		25 s
135	BO	Q. doug.	18	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	18 s
136	BO	Q. doug.	33	5	А	0%			NO		EXCEL.		56/60
137	BO	Q. doug.	32	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		40 45
138	BO	Q. doug.	32	4	A	0%			NO		GOOD		35/37
139	BO	Q. doug.	26	4	A	0%			NO		FAIR	mistletoe	30/45
140	BO	Q. doug.	26	3	A	0%			NO		FAIR	mistletoe	30/45

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
141	VO	Q. lobata	13	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	15/10
142	VO	Q. lobata	7	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	10/12
143	VO	Q. lobata	26	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		40/45
144	VO	Q. lobata	26	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		60/55
145	VO	Q. lobata	13	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		20/22
146	VO	Q. lobata	13	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		23/20
147	VO	Q. lobata	13	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		25/30
148	VO	Q. lobata	22	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		25/30
149	VO	Q. lobata	13	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12/12
150	VO	Q. lobata	25	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		25/25
151	VO	Q. lobata	30	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		EXCEL.		50/60
152	VO	Q. lobata	12	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		11/15
153	VO	Q. lobata	30	5	I	20%	GR	F,M	YES	II	GOOD		60/50
154	BO	Q. doug.	31	6		40%	GR	F,RP,M	YES		EXCEL.	too much impact	60/50
155	BO	Q. doug.	39	6	R	100%	GR	NONE	NO		EXCEL.	try to save	50/50
156	VO	Q. lobata	7	5	R	100%	GR	NONE	NO		GOOD		10/8

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES



Tree #1



Tree #2



Tree #18



Tree #19



Tree #20



Tree #155



Tree #156




Exhibit A - Draft Resolution A

Attachment 12

A complete version the the Initial Study / Mitigated Negative Declaration is available at the City website: http://www.prcity.com/government/departments/commdev/planning/pdf/destino/index.php

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALTIY ACT INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST FORM CITY OF PASO ROBLES PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD: SEPTEMBER 10, 2016 – OCTOBER 11, 2016

1.	PROJECT TITLE:	Destino Paso Resort Hotels Planned Development Amendment (PD 08-002) Conditional Use Permit Amendment (CUP 08-002) Vesting Tentative Tract Map 2962 Amendment Oak Tree Removal Permit (OTR 16-009)
2.	LEAD AGENCY: Contact: Phone: Email:	City of Paso Robles Susan DeCarli, City Planner (805) 237-3970 sdecarli@prcity.com
3.	PROJECT LOCATION:	3 350 Airport Road See Location Map – Attachment 1
4.	ASSESSORS PARCEL NUMBER:	025-436-029, 025-436-030
5.	GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION:	Parks and Open Space with Resort Lodging and Airport Overlays (POS/RL/AP)
6. 7.	ZONING: PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Parks and Open Space with a Resort Lodging and Airport Overlays (POS/AP)

The proposed project, Destino Paso Resort, is a request to amend the site plan and architecture of a previously approved Development Plan and Conditional Use Permit, and modification of the Tract Map subdivision layout for a hotel project at the same location, see Attachment 2, Site Plan. The overall intensity of development, 291 rooms and ancillary support uses, is not proposed to change with this application.

The project site is 40.3 acres, and is proposed to be subdivided into six parcels (see Attachment 3, Vesting Tentative Tract Map 2962), as follows:

Lot $1 - 0.9$ acres	(No land uses proposed/maintained in agriculture)
Lot $2-6.75$ acres	(Hotel #3 – Limited services hotel, 28 rooms)
Lot $3 - 6.3$ acres	(Hotel #2 – Limited services hotel, 80 rooms)
Lot 4 – 12.97 acres	(Hotel #1 – Full service hotel, bar/lounge, restaurant, ballroom, 136 rooms)
Lot 5 – 5.09 acres	(No new or changed land uses/maintain existing farmhouse and support buildings)
Lot 6 – 5.00 acres	(Hotel #4 – Limited services, 46 rooms)

An access road, Destino Paso Way, (to be dedicated as a 50-foot wide public right-of-way) is proposed to extend from Airport Road towards the eastern property line. The road would provide access to parcels 2,

3, and 4. A separate private driveway access is intended to provide access to lots 5 and 6. No access is proposed for Lot 1 on the west side of Airport Road. A private access easement is proposed on Destino Paso Way to provide access to the property to the north. Walkways are proposed along Destino Paso Way and Airport Road for pedestrian connection.

An existing house and outbuildings are proposed to be demolished on parcel 3 to allow for future development of Hotel #2.

8. SURROUNDING LAND USES AND SETTING:

The project site is surrounded by generally low-intensity development. The site and surrounding properties are characterized by rolling hills, open space, and oak trees. The Huer Huero Creek is located to the west of Airport Road, adjacent to the site. The Huer Huero Creek is a dry creek except occasionally during significant rain events.

Agriculturally zoned property is located to the north, east and southeast. These properties are minimally developed with rural residences, and agricultural related uses. Property to the south and west are zoned Parks and Open Space. The property to the south is developed with a recreational vehicle (RV) park, and the property to the west is vacant.

The Paso Robles Airport is located within a mile north of the project site. In accordance with the Airport Land Use Plan, the project location is within three different airport Safety Zones, including Zones 2, 3, and 4. A site-specific analysis of land use compatibility was prepared for this project, and is discussed further in Section VII – Hazards, below.

8. OTHER PUBLIC AGENCIES WHOSE APPROVAL IS REQUIRED (e.g., PERMITS, FINANCING APPROVAL OR PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT): None

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Aesthetics	Agriculture and Forestry Resources	•	Air Quality
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources		Geology /Soils
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards & Hazardous Materials		Hydrology / Water Quality
Land Use / Planning	Mineral Resources		Noise
Population / Housing	Public Services		Recreation
Transportation/Traffic	Utilities / Service Systems		Mandatory Findings of Significance

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Signature:

September 10, 2016 Date

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved. Answers should address off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3. "Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7. Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. /	AESTHETICS: Would the project:				
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				

Discussion: In accordance with the City's General Plan, Conservation Element, the project location is not located within a designated scenic vista, and therefore would not conflict with policies related protecting scenic resources.

The site is visible from Airport Road. As noted in the Project Description, the project proposes several hotels on a rural property with rolling hills that are currently minimally disturbed. Two of the proposed hotel sites are near to Airport Road, and the other two larger hotels are proposed at the upper elevations of the site on the east side of the property. All four of the hotels would be visible, however given the size and placement of the buildings, the end result would be a fairly sparsely developed site, leaving the central open space, drainages and oak woodland undisturbed. Therefore, although the project would alter the existing conditions of the property, the overall effect on scenic resources can be considered less than significant.

b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?

Discussion: The project includes a request to remove seven oak trees to accommodate road improvements to Airport Road, an access driveway, and a parking lot for hotel #2. Most of the oak trees are in fair to poor condition. As noted in the section on biological resources, the project would be required to mitigate the loss of oak trees with oak tree replacements at a ratio of 3:1. This will reduce the loss of these trees to a less than significant level. There are also no rock outcroppings or other unique natural site resources that would be impacted by this project. There are no historic resources identified on the project site. Therefore, with mitigations for the loss of oak trees incorporated, the project would result in less than significant impacts to scenic resources.

c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?

Discussion: The site has an existing road and a couple of residences and out buildings located on it, which are surrounded by open space, rolling hills, and oak trees. The proposed project would add four hotels that would be sited on the property with the smaller hotels toward the front near Airport Road, and the larger hotels toward the eastern property line. The placement of the buildings would help reduce the mass of the appearance of the buildings as viewed from the public right-of-way. The overall project would provide a low-density development pattern, keeping a significant portion of the site undeveloped in its natural state. Therefore, although the visual character of the site would change, it would not likely substantially degrade the visual quality of the site.

d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? (Sources: 1, 2, 10)

Discussion: The project includes installation of site lighting for parking lots and buildings. All lighting fixtures will be required to comply with established lighting standards that require lights to be downcast and shielded, and only as tall as necessary to provide adequate site lighting. The applicants intend to maintain the

Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
Significant	Significant with	Significant	Impact
Impact	Mitigation	Impact	-
	Incorporated		

rural, natural character of the property as an aesthetic amenity for the This will help reduce potential adverse light and glare from the project.

II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the State's inventory of forest land, including the forest and Range Assessment Project and the forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board.

Would the project:

a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?



Discussion: The City's General Plan EIR indicates that the site has grazing land and Farmland of Local Importance (Arbuckle Fine Sandy Loam) located on it, and that when irrigated could be classified as Class I soils, that have few limitations that restrict their use. The most beneficial soils are those located around the existing farmhouse area. This area is not proposed to be disturbed by this project. The project, as proposed, would be considered to result in less than significant impacts on agricultural resources.

b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural

Discussion: The property is designated and zoned Parks and Open Space and it is not under a Williamson Act contract applicable to this property. Therefore, the project would result in less than significant impacts to agricultural land.

Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause c. rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Code section Resources 12220(g)), \square \square timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))? Result in the loss of forest land or conversion d. \square | | of forest land to non-forest use? е Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or \square \square nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

Discussion: There are no designated forestry

Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
Significant	Significant with	Significant	Impact
Impact	Mitigation	Impact	-
	Incorporated		

 \square

 \square

resources located within the City limits.

III. AIR QUALITY: Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? (Source: 11)

Discussion: An Air Quality Impact Assessment was prepared for this project by Ambient Consulting, July 2016 (Attachment 4). The study indicates that the proposed project is not considered a large development project that would have the potential to result in a substantial increase in population, or employment. In addition, the proposed project is also consistent with existing zoning designations and would not result in the installation of any major stationary sources of emissions. However, long-term operational emissions associated with the project would exceed SLOAPCD's recommended significance thresholds. Projects that exceed SLOAPCD's recommended significance thresholds would also be considered to potentially conflict with regional air quality planning efforts, including the control measures and strategies identified in the CAP. Additionally, the study indicates that uncontrolled fugitive dust generated during construction may result in localized pollutant concentrations that may result in increased nuisance concerns to nearby land uses. Therefore, construction-generated emissions of fugitive dust would be considered to have a potentially significant impact. Both long-term and construction related impacts would conflict with the Air District's CAP. Therefore, mitigation measures are proposed to reduce emissions to a less than significant level.

Implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-1 would include measures to reduce construction-generated emissions of fugitive dust, as well as, mobile-source emissions associated with construction vehicle and equipment operations and evaporative emissions from architectural coatings. With mitigation, overall emissions of fugitive dust would be reduced by approximately 58 percent. These measures would also help to ensure compliance with SLOAPCD's 20-percent opacity limit (APCD Rule 401), nuisance rule (APCD Rule 402), and would minimize potential nuisance impacts to nearby receptors. Mitigation Measure AQ-3 includes additional measures to reduce construction generated emissions, including fugitive PM emissions associated with onsite demolition activities.

Implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-2 would include measures to reduce long-term operational emissions associated with motor vehicle use and onsite energy use to a less-than-significant level. With mitigation measures implemented, the proposed project would not result in a substantial increase in regional emissions, population, or employment, nor would the project involve the installation of any major stationary sources of emissions. For these reasons, the proposed project would not conflict with or obstruct continued implementation of the CAP, and this impact is considered less than significant. The proposed mitigation measures are incorporated into Attachment 13, Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

b.	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? (Source: 11)	•	
	Discussion: See III a. above.		
c.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions	•	

Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
Significant	Significant with	Significant	Impact
Impact	Mitigation	Impact	-
-	Incorporated	-	

which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? (Source: 11)

Discussion: Construction-generated emissions were quantified using the CalEEMod computer program. To be conservative emissions were quantified assuming that the total site would be rough graded during initial construction of the proposed main hotel, which is anticipated to begin in 2017. Onsite asphalt paving activities associated with construction of the onsite roadways and parking areas were also included. Grading activities were also included for the future construction of the second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge to account for potential finish grading of these sites. Emissions associated with architectural coating application and building construction were also included for construction of the proposed main hotel, as well as, the future construction of the second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge. To be conservative, future construction of the second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge were assumed to occur simultaneously. Construction-generated emissions associated with the initial construction of the main hotel, as well as, construction of the proposed future land uses would exceed SLOAPCD's daily and quarterly significance thresholds for ROG+NOx. Estimated emissions were largely a result of evaporative emissions anticipated to occur during the application of architectural coatings. Estimated emissions of fugitive PM would not exceed SLOAPCD's significance thresholds. However, if uncontrolled fugitive dust generated during construction may result in localized pollutant concentrations that could exceed ambient air quality standards and result in increased nuisance concerns to nearby land uses. Therefore, construction-generated emissions of fugitive dust would be considered to have a potentially significant impact.

Long-term operational emissions associated with the proposed project would be predominantly associated with mobile sources. To a lesser extent, emissions associated with area sources, such as landscape maintenance activities, as well as, use of electricity and natural gas would also contribute to increased operational emissions. Operational emissions were quantified for the proposed main hotel; as well as, the future construction of a second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge.

The project buildout year is currently unknown. Construction of the additional hotels would occur in future years, subsequent to completion of the main hotel, and would depend on market conditions. To be conservative, emissions for project buildout were quantified assuming a buildout year of 2022. With continued improvements in vehicle emissions rates and energy usage rates, operational emissions for future years are anticipated to be less.

Under the project buildout scenario, operational emissions would exceed SLOAPCD's daily significance threshold of 25 lbs/day, buildout of the proposed project would have a potentially significant impact. Implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-2 would require the incorporation of measures to reduce operational emissions associated with on-site energy use and motor vehicle use. These measures would apply to all proposed hotel uses. The proposed mitigation measures include SLOAPCD-recommended mitigation measures, as well as, additional measures to further reduce operational emissions associated with energy use and motor vehicle use. SLOAPCD considers implementation of these measures to be sufficient to reduce operational air quality impacts to a less-than significant level. Therefore, cumulative emissions from construction and operations would be less than significant. The proposed mitigation measures are incorporated into Attachment 13, Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

Expose sensitive receptors to substantial		
pollutant concentrations? (Source: 11)	_	

Discussion: The project site is located adjacent to and east of Airport Road, north of SR 46 East. The nearest sensitive land use consists of residential dwellings. The nearest residences are located adjacent to and north of the project site. Additional residential dwellings, as well as, the Wine Country RV Resort are located to the

Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
Significant	Significant with	Significant	Impact
Impact	Mitigation	Impact	
	Incorporated		

south of the project site. Based on the traffic analysis prepared for this project, the proposed project would not result in emissions of CO in excess of the SLOAPCD's significance threshold of 550 lbs/day. Based on a review of the SLOAPCD's map depicting potential areas of Naturally Occurring Asbestos (NOA), the project site is not located in an area that has been identified as having a potential for NOA. The project site will require demolition of onsite structures. As a result, demolition activities have the potential to result in the disturbance of asbestos containing material (ACM). Demolition of structures coated with lead based paint can have potential negative air quality impacts and may adversely affect the health of nearby individuals. Improper demolition can result in the release of lead containing particles from the site. Sandblasting or removal of paint by heating with a heat gun can result in significant emissions of lead. Therefore, proper abatement of lead before demolition of these structures must be performed in order to prevent the release of lead from the site. Implementation of the proposed project would result in the generation of fugitive PM emitted during construction. Fugitive PM emissions would be primarily associated with earth-moving, demolition, and material handling activities, as well as, vehicle travel on unpaved and paved surfaces. Onsite off-road equipment and trucks would also result in short-term emissions of diesel-exhaust PM (DPM). If uncontrolled, localized concentrations of PM could exceed air quality standards and may also result in increased nuisance impacts to nearby land uses and receptors. Mitigation measures shall be implemented to reduce expose of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. These measures shall be shown on grading and building plans. These measures are provided in Attachment 13, MMRP.

e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? (Source: 11)

Discussion: The proposed project would not result in the installation of any equipment or processes that would be considered major odor-emission sources. However, construction of the proposed project would involve the use of a variety of gasoline or diesel-powered equipment that would emit exhaust fumes. Exhaust fumes, particularly diesel-exhaust, may be considered objectionable by some people. In addition, pavement coatings and architectural coatings used during project construction would also emit temporary odors. However, construction-generated emissions would occur intermittently throughout the workday and would dissipate rapidly with increasing distance from the source. As a result, short-term construction activities would not expose a substantial number of people to frequent odorous emissions. For these reasons, potential exposure of sensitive receptors to odorous emissions would be considered less than significant.

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Would the project:

a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?



Discussion: A Biological Report was prepared for this project by Althouse and Meade, Inc., July 2016, provided in Attachment 5. The report indicates that the site has appropriate habitat and soil conditions for seven special status plans and 11 special status animals. However, no state or federally listed or special status plants or animals have been detected on the site. Biological resources that could be impactred by the proposed development include grasslands, oak trees, nesting birds, and common wildlife. Mitigation measures are provided for each biological resources that could be impacted by the project in Attachment 13, MMRP.



Discussion: There are a few types of sensitive habitat types on the project site. These include blue oak woodland, potential wetland, and riparian area. None of the property with any of these resources located on it are within an area proposed to be disturbed. Therefore, the project would result in less than significant impacts on these resources. The oak trees proposed for removal are not within the oak woodland area. They are proposed to be mitigated in compliance with the City's Oak Tree Preservation Ordinance, which is discussed in III e. below.

c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?

or US Fish and Wildlife Service?



Discussion: The biology report prepared for this project indicates that there is an area on the northeast portion of the site that has wetland conditions. A wetland delineation study was not prepared since the project does not propose to affect this area of the property. Therefore, this project could not result in impacts to wetland features.

d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native
resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?

Discussion: There is a seasonal drainage area central to the project site (which is within the oak woodland area). The proposed project would not encroach or affect the drainage area. However, oak tree impact mitigation measures are included to reduce potential impacts to migratory birds, which are provided in Attachment 13, MMRP.

e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?

Discussion: The project includes a request to remove seven oak trees. An Arborist Report prepared for this project, see Attachment 6, provides mitigation measures in compliance with the City's Oak Tree Preservation Ordinance, as provided in Attachment 13, MMRP. The project would not conflict with any local ordinances that protect biological resources.

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				•
	Discussion: Not applicable.				
V.	CULTURAL RESOURCES: Would the proje	ct:			
a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?				•
	Discussion: An archaeological Inventory Sur cultural resources, June 2016, Attachment 7 Century" era farmstead with house and barn proposed project excludes the area of the pri- farmstead, the study did not include an historic farmstead, an historic resource assessment is re-	evey study was . The study in n, which may loperty with this resource assess ecommended to	prepared for this ndicates that ther be a potential his s facility. Since sment. If future de be prepared.	project to evalue e is an existing storic resource, the project work evelopment work	uate potential g early "20th however the ald avoid the ald impact the
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?			•	
	Discussion: The archaeological study did not is resources exist on the property, nor were any recommendations indicate that cultural resour- significant cultural resources are encountered archaeologist be contacted to evaluate the find.	identify any arcl noted through rces could be during site di	haeological, paleo various records so buried below the sturbance that ac	ntological or un earches. Howev ground surface tivities stop an	ique geologic ver, the study e, and that if d a qualified
c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?			•	
	Discussion: See V b. above.				
d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?			•	
	Discussion: See V b. above.				
VI	GEOLOGY AND SOILS: Would the project:				
a.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
	i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist- Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map			•	

Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
Significant	Significant with	Significant	Impact
Impact	Mitigation	Impact	-
	Incorporated		

issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. (Sources: 1, 2, & 3)

Discussion: A Geotechnical Engineering Soils Report was prepared for this project, April 2016, Attachment 8. The study includes a seismic analysis and provides site preparation requirements for foundation work for buildings. Additionally, the potential for and mitigation of impacts that may result from fault rupture in the project area are identified and addressed in the General Plan EIR, pg. 4.5-8. There are two known fault zones on either side of the Salinas Rivers Valley. The Rinconada Fault system runs on the west side of the valley, and grazes the City on its western boundary. The San Andreas Fault is on the east side of the valley and is situated about 30 miles east of Paso Robles. The City of Paso Robles recognizes these geologic influences in the application of the California Building Code (CBC) to all new development within the City. Review of available information and examinations indicate that neither of these faults is active with respect to ground rupture in Paso Robles. Soils and geotechnical reports and structural engineering in accordance with local seismic influences would be applied in conjunction with any new development proposal. Based on standard conditions of approval, the potential for fault rupture and exposure of persons or property to seismic hazards is not considered significant. There are no Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zones within City limits.

ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?

Discussion: The proposed project will be constructed to current CBC codes. The General Plan EIR identified impacts resulting from ground shaking as less than significant and provided mitigation measures that will be incorporated into the design of this project including adequate structural design and not constructing over active or potentially active faults. Therefore, impacts that may result from seismic ground shaking are considered less than significant.

iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? (Sources: 1, 2 &

Discussion: Per the General Plan EIR, the project site is located in an area with soil conditions that have a moderate potential for liquefaction or other type of ground failure due to seismic events and soil conditions. To implement the EIR's mitigation measures to reduce this potential impact, the City has a standard condition to require submittal of soils and geotechnical reports, which include site-specific analysis of liquefaction potential for all building permits for new construction, and incorporation of the recommendations of said reports into the design of the project.

b.	Landslides?			
	Discussion: See VI a. iii. above.			
c. R of t	Aesult in substantial soil erosion or the loss topsoil? (Sources: 1, 2, & 3)		•	
	Discussion: See VI a. iii. above.			

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?			•	
Discussion: See VI a. iii. above.				
e. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?			•	
Discussion: See VI a. iii. above.				
f. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				•

Discussion: The development will be connected to the City's municipal wastewater system, therefore there would not be impacts related use of septic tanks.

VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS: Would the project:

a.	Generate greenhouse gas emissions,		
	either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?		

Discussion: A Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG) Assessment was prepared for this project, see Attachment 4. In accordance with SLOAPCD recommended significance thresholds, the proposed project would be considered to have a potentially significant impact on the environment if project-generated emissions would exceed 1,150 MTCO₂e/year.

The City of Paso Robles Climate Action Plan (CAP) includes a "Consistency Worksheet", which identifies various mandatory and voluntary actions designed to reduce GHG emissions. The *CAP Consistency Worksheet* can be used to demonstrate project-level compliance with the CAP. Consistency with the City of Paso Robles CAP would be considered potentially significant if the proposed project does not incorporate, at a minimum, the mandatory project-level GHG reduction measures, as identified in the *CAP Consistency Worksheet*.

Estimated increases in GHG emissions associated with construction of the proposed project are summarized in Table 18, in the GHG study. Based on the modeling conducted, annual GHG emissions associated with construction of the main hotel would total approximately 643.4 MTCO2e. Future construction of the proposed second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge would generate an additional 519 MTCO2e. Amortized GHG emissions, when averaged over the assumed 25-year life of the project, would total approximately 46.5 MTCO2e/year. There would also be a small amount of GHG emissions from waste generated during construction; however, this amount is speculative. Actual emissions may vary, depending on the final

Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
Significant	Significant with	Significant	Impact
Impact	Mitigation	Impact	-
	Incorporated		

construction schedules, equipment required, and activities conducted.

Estimated long-term increases in GHG emissions associated with the proposed project are summarized in Tables 19 through 21, in the GHG study. As depicted, operational GHG emissions for the main hotel would total approximately 1,904.6 MTCO₂/year. Future construction of the second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge would generate an additional 2,059.5 MTCO₂/year. In total the project would generate roughly 3,964 MTCO₂/year under full buildout conditions. With the inclusion of amortized construction emissions and reductions associated with the removal of the existing residential dwelling, the project would result in an estimated overall net increase of approximately 3,997 MTCO₂/year. A majority of the increased GHG emissions would be associated with energy use and the operation of motor vehicles. GHG emissions would also be associated with solid waste generation, as well as, water use and conveyance.

Based on the modeling conducted, net increases in GHG emissions would exceed the SLOAPCD's significance threshold of 1,150 MTCO2e/year. If unmitigated, project-generated GHG emissions would also conflict with GHG reduction planning efforts, including the City of Paso Robles CAP. As a result, net increases in project-generated GHG emissions would result in a *potentially significant* impact. The CAP includes a "Consistency Worksheet", which identifies various mandatory and voluntary actions designed to reduce GHG emissions. With implementation of Mitigation Measure GHG-1, the proposed project would be consistent with the City's CAP. Mitigation Measure AQ-2, includes additional measures that would further reduce GHG-emissions, including designated parking space for alternatively fueled vehicles, the installation of energy-saving systems in hotel guest rooms, and the installation of onsite bicycle facilities in excess of current building standards. Implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-3,e-k, would help to reduce short-term GHG emissions, including emissions of black carbon. Mitigation measures are provided in Attachment XX, MMRP. With mitigation, increased GHG emissions associated with the proposed project would be considered to have a less-than-significant impact and would not conflict with GHG-reduction planning efforts, including the City of Paso Robles CAP.

b.	Conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or			
	regulation of an agency adopted for the		\boxtimes	
	purpose of reducing the emissions of			
	greenhouse gasses?			

Discussion: The City of Paso Robles CAP is a long-range plan to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from City government operations and community activities within Paso Robles and prepare for the anticipated effects of climate change. The CAP is intended to also help achieve multiple community goals such as lowering energy costs, reducing air pollution, supporting local economic development, and improving public health and quality of life (City of Paso Robles, 2013). To help achieve these goals, the CAP includes a "Consistency Worksheet", which identifies various mandatory and voluntary actions designed to reduce GHG emissions. The CAP Consistency Worksheet can be used to demonstrate project-level compliance with the CAP. The City's CAP consistency worksheet is included in the Air Quality & GHG Assessment (Attachment XX).

The proposed land use would be consistent with current zoning. In addition, the project sponsor has agreed to implement measures sufficient to ensure consistency with the CAP.

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS: Would the project:

materials?	a.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?			\boxtimes	
------------	----	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	-------------	--

Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
Significant	Significant with	Significant	Impact
Impact	Mitigation	Impact	•
	Incorporated		

Discussion: The project would use industry-standard landscape and building maintenance products which would be stored in compliance with all applicable safety requirements. The project does not include use of, transport, storage or disposal of hazardous materials that would create a significant hazard to the public or environment.

b.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?		
	Discussion: See VIII a. above.		
c.	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?		\boxtimes

Discussion: The proposed project would not emit hazardous emissions or use hazardous materials. There are no schools located within a ¹/₄ mile radius of the project site, therefore the project will result in no impact on schools.

d.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?		
	Discussion: The project site is not identified as a hazardous site per state	e codes.	
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport	\boxtimes	

or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or

working in the project area?

Discussion: The project location is within the planning impact area of the Paso Robles Airport Land Use Plan (ALUP), Safety Zones 2, 3, and 4. Land uses in Zone 2 are very restrictive. No development is proposed in Zone 2, therefore, the project would not conflict with ALUP Zone 2. ALUP Zones 3 and 4 permits or indicates that certain types of uses may be "compatible". These are identified in Table 6, Airport Land Use Compatibility Matrix of the ALUP. The proposed hospitality land uses, including hotels, restaurants, and indoor auditoriums & convention centers, are identified as "compatible" in Table 6. Per footnotes in Table 6, of the ALUP, there are additional density specific restrictions that apply to particular land uses in different zones, as follows:

Zone 3 - The intensity of uses shall not exceed an average 60 persons per gross acre, maximum 120 persons per single acre, at any time. Usage calculations shall include all people (e.g., employees, customers/visitors, etc.) who may be on the property at any single point in time, whether indoors or outside.

Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
Significant	Significant with	Significant	Impact
Impact	Mitigation	Impact	
	Incorporated		

• Zone 4 - The intensity of uses shall not exceed an average 40 persons per gross acre, maximum 120 persons per single acre, at any time. Usage calculations shall include all people (e.g., employees, customers/visitors, etc.) who may be on the property at any single point in time, whether indoors or outside.

Additionally, Appendix E includes development restrictions that apply to particular land uses and this project, as follows:

- Food and Beverage Service, Indoor Entertainment 1 person/60 s.f. of gross floor area
- Public Assembly 1 person per seat or per 12 s.f. of gross floor area

residences are intermixed with wildlands?

• Transient Lodging – 1.8 person per room or group of rooms to occupied as a suit, plus (in addition to) 1 person per 60 s.f. floor area of any restaurants, bars, or night clubs, plus 1 person per 10 s.f. of floor area of meeting rooms.

The applicant has provided an analysis that breaks down the site into one-acre measurements, and calculated the number of people that would occupy an acre of land on average and the maximum. This is the accepted density calculation methodology of the San Luis Obispo County Airport Land Use Commission, and has been used on other similar projects within the City's ALUP planning area.

The analysis assumptions consider occupancy of all hotels at 100 percent. The analysis indicates that the project would be consistent with the density limitations established in the ALUP, and will not result in a safety hazard for people residing in or working in the project area. See ALUP Analysis, Attachment XX.

f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project is not in the vicinity of	of a private airstr	rip.		
g.	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				
	Discussion: The City does not have an adopt therefore the project will result in no impact.	ed emergency r	esponse plan or ar	emergency eva	cuation plan,
h.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where				\boxtimes

Discussion: The project is not in the vicinity of wildland fire hazard areas.

IX.	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY:	Would the proj	ect:	
a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?		\boxtimes	

Discussion: The proposed project is designed to retain stormwater on-site through installation of various lowimpact development (LID) features, in particular a large onsite bioretension basin at the lower area of the property, on proposed lot 2. The project was also designed to reduce impervious surfaces, preserve existing vegetation, and promote groundwater recharge by employing bioretention through implementation of these measures. The applicant prepared a Storm Water Control Plan, and collaborated with the Central Coast Regional State Water Resource Board staff on development of their Plan. See Attachment 10). Thus, water quality standards will be maintained and discharge requirements will be in compliance with State and local regulations. Therefore, impacts to water quality and discharge will be less than significant.

Substantially deplete groundwater supplies b. or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., Would the production rate of pre-existing nearby \square wells drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)? Would decreased rainfall infiltration or recharge groundwater reduce stream baseflow? (Source: 7)

Discussion: The proposed project would be connected to the City's municipal water supply system; therefore, it could not individually impact nearby ground water supplies. The City's municipal water supply is composed of groundwater from the Paso Robles Groundwater Basin, an allocation of the Salinas River underflow, and a surface water allocation from the Nacimiento Lake pipeline project. The site is designed to reduce impervious surfaces where possible and to direct surface drainage to onsite retention systems to facilitate groundwater recharge.

The City established a groundwater stewardship policy to not expand dependency on the Paso Robles Groundwater Basin ("the basin") over historic use levels/pumping from the City's peak year of 2007. The City augmented water supply and treatment capacity by procuring surface water from Lake Nacimiento and construction of delivery facilities to the City. This project will not affect the amount of groundwater that the City withdraws from the Paso Robles Groundwater Basin.

Additionally, the City assigns "duty" factors that anticipate the amount of water supply necessary to serve various types of land uses. These factors are derived from determining the average water demands for each zoning district in the City. In this circumstance, the water supply necessary for development of commercial land uses permitted in the POS Zone includes hotels, as well as other uses, which are incorporated into the water demand assumptions of the 2015 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP). Since this project was approved in 2008, the water demand assumptions in the UWMP include the projected to be needed for this project.

As noted above, the City has augmented future reliance on groundwater resources to surface water resources, and commercial development has been accounted for in the overall water projections and demand for the City. As noted in the Project Description, the proposed project would be served with the City's municipal water supply system. Since the City's water supply, as documented in the UWMP, is not reliant on increased groundwater pumping for new development, it demonstrates adequate water supply procured from Lake

Nacimiento to accommodate the projected growth in the City and it demonstrates that this project will have adequate water supply available, and will not further deplete or in any way affect, change or increase water demands on the basin.

In addition, in compliance with recently adopted updates to the applicable code sections of the California Green Building Code (adopted by the City in 2013), the project will be required to install more restrictive water-conserving plumbing fixtures than what would have previously been required in 2010. The City also implements the State Landscape Water Conservation regulations, which requires further reductions in water demand for landscaping. The project will implement *all* best management practices available to reduce water demands. Therefore, this project would not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or lowering of the groundwater basin, and impacts to groundwater resources would be less than significant.

c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or
river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site? (Source: 10)

Discussion: The drainage pattern on the site would not be substantially altered with development of this project since the project largely maintains the existing, historic drainage pattern of the property, and drainage will be maintained on the project site. Additionally, surface flow would be directed to historic drainage areas for percolation in bioswale drainage features at the northwest area of the property (refer to Stormwater Control Plan, Attachment XX). There are no streams, creeks or rivers on or near the project area of disturbance that could be impacted from this project or result in erosion or siltation on- or off-site. Therefore, impacts to drainage patterns and facilities would be less than significant.

d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site? (Source: 10)

Discussion: See IX c. above. Drainage resulting from development of this property will be maintained onsite and will not contribute to flooding on- or off-site. Thus, flooding impacts from the project are considered less than significant.

 \square

 \boxtimes

 \square

e. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? (Source: 10)

Discussion: As noted in IX a. above, surface drainage will be managed onsite and will not add to offsite drainage facilities. Additionally, onsite LID drainage facilities will be designed to clean pollutants before they enter the groundwater basin. Therefore, drainage impacts that may result from this project would be less than significant.

f. Otherwise substantially degrade water

Discussion: See answers IX a. - e. This project will result in less than significant impacts to water quality.

g.	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				⊠.
	Discussion: In accordance with the General hazard or inundation area. No housing is prooutbuildings on the property, however the heproject could not result in flood related hazard result in flood related impacts to housing.	Plan Safety oposed with ome is not ds to that ar	Element, the p this project. T within or near ea of the proper	roject site is not wi here is an existing 1 an area of site distr ty. Therefore, this p	ithin a 100-year ranch house and urbance and the roject could not
h.	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: See IX g above				
i.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
	Discussion: See IX h. above. Additionally, the	ere are no le	evees or dams ir	n the City.	
j.	Inundation by mudflow?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: In accordance with the Paso Rol near the project site. Therefore, the project co	bles Genera uld not resu	l Plan, there are lt in mudflow in	e no mudflow hazaro undation impacts.	ds located on or
k.	Conflict with any Best Management Practices found within the City's Storm Water Management Plan?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will implement the Practices, and would therefore not conflict with	e City's Sto h these mea	orm Water Mar sures.	nagement Plan - Be	est Management
1.	Substantially decrease or degrade watershed storage of runoff, wetlands, riparian areas, aquatic habitat, or associated buffer zones?			\boxtimes	
	Discussion: The project will incorporate all f are no wetland or riparian areas in the project impacts to aquatic habitat. Therefore, the proj	easible mea impact area ect will not	ns to manage st is of disturbance result in signific	ormwater on the pro- e, and the project co cant impacts to these	oject site. There uld not result in resources.
		• .			
х. а.	LAND USE AND PLANNING: Would the pr Physically divide an established community?	roject:			\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project is surrounded by agri east, and a RV park to the south. The project	culture and a will therefor	rural residential e not physically	development to the divide an establishe	west, north, and ed community.

b.	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
	Discussion: The proposed project is consister and Zoning Ordinance land uses and develop oak trees, the applicant would be required to in Arborist Report). Therefore, the project can adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigat	t with the inten- oment standards mplement comp be considered of ing an environn	t of the City's Gen . Should the City pensatory oak tree consistent with appendix of the tention of tentio	eral Plan land us Council approv mitigation (see A plicable plans ar	se designation ve removal of Attachment 6, nd regulations
c.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				\boxtimes
_	Discussion: There are no habitat conservatio this area of the City. Therefore, there would be	n plans or natur e no conflicts.	al community con	servation plans	established in
XI	MINERAL RESOURCES: Would the project	ct:			
a.	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? (Source: 1)				
	Discussion: There are no known mineral reso	urces at this pro	ject site.		
b.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally- important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? (Source: 1)				
	Discussion: There are no known mineral reso	urces at this pro	ject site.		
VE					
	Exposure of persons to or concertion of				
a.	established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? (Source: 1)				

Discussion: The proposed project would not include the installation of major stationary sources of exterior noise. As a result, potential long-term exposure to noise would be primarily associated with vehicle traffic noise emanating from area roadways, such as Airport Road.

For determination of land use compatibility for transportation noise sources, the City's General Plan establishes a "normally acceptable" exterior noise standard of 65 dBA/CNEL/Ldn. Exterior noise levels of up to 70 dBA CNEL/Ldn are considered "conditionally acceptable" provided necessary noise-reduction measures are incorporated. Exterior levels between 70 and 80 dBA CNEL/Ldn are considered "normally unacceptable", and levels in excess of 80 dBA CNEL/Ldn are considered "clearly unacceptable". In addition

to the noise criteria for determination of land use compatibility, General Plan Policy N-1A also establishes exterior and interior noise standards for transportation sources. For hotel uses, the maximum allowable noise exposure within outdoor activity areas is 65 dBA CNEL/Ldn. The maximum allowable noise exposure for interior areas of the hotel is 45 dBA CNEL/Ldn.

The Noise Element indicates that in the future (2025) an exterior noise level of 65 dB would occur at 84 feet from the centerline of Airport Road (at this location). A 60 dB would occur at 181 feet from the centerline. The nearest building proposed to Airport Road would be hotel 4, which is proposed to be approximately 140 feet from the centerline of Airport Road. Therefore, the projected noise level would be within an acceptable range and consistent with the Noise Element. Additionally, with implementation of a future road alignment of Wisteria Lane extending northward to Dry Creek Road/Airport Road, it is anticipated that a significant amount of existing and future traffic will use this road extension instead of Airport Road to SR 46E, thereby reducing future road-related noise experienced from Airport Road on the project site.

Newer building construction typically provides exterior-to-interior noise reductions of 25-30 dB. Based on the predicted exterior noise levels discussed above and assuming a minimum exterior-to-interior noise reduction of 25 dB, predicted interior noise levels for the proposed hotel would be approximately 40 dBA CNEL/Ldn, or less. Predicted interior traffic noise levels would not exceed the City's noise standard of 45 dBA CNEL/Ldn. Therefore, this impact is considered less than significant.

b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or a groundborne noise levels?

Discussion: Increases in groundborne vibration levels attributable to the proposed project would be primarily associated with short-term construction-related activities. Construction activities associated with the proposed project would likely require the use of various off-road equipment, such as tractors, concrete mixers, and haul trucks. The use of major groundborne vibration-generating construction equipment, such as pile drivers, is not anticipated to be required for this project. Since there is minimal existing development located in proximity to the proposed site, and to noise that may result from short-term construction activities, the potential to expose persons to excessive noise or vibration is minimal, and less than significant.

c.	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?		\boxtimes	
	Discussion: See XII a. & b. above.			
d.	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			
	Discussion: See XII a. & b. above.			
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? (Sources: 1, 4)			

Discussion: The nearest public or private airport is the Paso Robles Municipal Airport, which is located approximately 0.75 miles north of the project site. In accordance with the Noise Element of the General Plan, the project site is not located within the projected 65 dBA CNEL contours of Paso Robles Municipal Airport.

Therefore, the project site is not subject to high levels of aircraft noise.

XI	XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING: Would the project:						
a.	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? (Source: 1)						
	Discussion: The proposed hotel project wi employment market, and will therefore not displace housing or people.	ll create jobs the create the dem	nat can be absorb and for new hous	ed by the local sing or populati	and regional on growth or		
b.	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?						
	Discussion: See response XIII a.						
c.	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?						
	Discussion: See response XIII a.						

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES: Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

a.	Fire protection? (Sources: 1,10) Discussion:			
b.	Police protection? (Sources: 1,10) Discussion:		\boxtimes	
c.	Schools? Discussion		\boxtimes	
d.	Parks? Discussion:		\boxtimes	
e.	Other public facilities? (Sources: 1,10)			

Discussion: (XIV a-e) The proposed project will not result in a significant demand for additional new services since it is not proposing to include new neighborhoods or a significantly large scale development, and the incremental impacts to services can be mitigated through payment of development impact fees. Therefore, impacts that may result from this project on public services are considered less than significant.

XV. RECREATION

a.	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?			
b.	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?		\boxtimes	

Discussion: (a & b) As a commercial development project that will not encourage new housing demands and/or use of recreational facilities, it will not result in significant impacts to recreational facilities.

XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC: Would the project:

Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance a. policy establishing measures or of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass \square transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?

Discussion: Applicable plans that affect this project include the General Plan, Circulation Element and the City's Bicycle Master Plan.

 \square

A Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) was prepared by Central Coast Transportation Consulting, September 2016, see Attachment 11. The TIA studied four intersections (e.g. Dry Creek Road/Airport Road, State Route 46 E/(SR46E)/Golden Hill Road, SR46E/Union Road, and SR46E/Airport Road), and evaluated their operations during weekday morning and evening periods, and Saturday mid-day, for existing, existing plus the project, near-term, and near-term plus the project conditions. Assumptions evaluated include trip generation, trip distribution and assignment. The study also evaluated alternative transportation (e.g. bikeways, pedestrian access and transit).

The TIA concludes that the project may result in potentially significant operational traffic impacts, and there are improvements necessary for alternative transportation facilities. The report provides recommended mitigation measures to reduce potential impacts that may result from impacts to traffic operations, and on alternative transportation. Mitigation measures are incorporated into the MMRP. With mitigations incorporated, the project would not conflict with the City's applicable plans and/or the surrounding street network and highways.

The study indicates that under existing conditions the Level of Service (LOS) is unacceptable (LOS D, E or F) at SR46E/Union Road and SR46E/Airport Road. Specific impacts that may result with the project would further reduce the LOS at the same intersections. This is the same (e.g. worsened conditions) under the near-term and near-term plus project conditions.

Mitigation measures proposed to reduce operational impacts include the following Recommendations 1 and

2. As noted in the TIA, the applicant would be permitted to complete and occupy the first hotel (136 rooms), however improvements noted, (whichever is acceptable to the City and applicant), would allow completion of hotels 2, 3, and 4. Recommendation 1 would require approval by the City Council since the City Council has the authority to modify intersection operations. Recommendation 2 may be approved by the Planning Commission as the final review authority.

Recommendation 1: Prohibit southbound left turns at State Route 46E/Airport Road to reduce conflict points at this intersection, reduce queuing, and reduce delay on the southbound approach. Intersection delays increase when traffic from Hotels 2, 3, and 4 are included because the southbound and eastbound left turn movements exceed capacity. We recommend prohibiting southbound left turns at this intersection prior to the occupancy of Hotels 2, 3, and 4 unless a local road connection is provided to Wisteria Lane.

Until a local road connection is provided to Wisteria Lane, prohibiting southbound left turns would require vehicles destined to travel east on State Route 46 to turn right onto westbound State Route 46 then perform a U-turn at Union Road or Golden Hill Road. The existing counts show that fewer than ten vehicles currently make the southbound left turn during the peak hours studied, and shifting these trips would have a negligible effect on operations at the nearby intersections of Union Road and Golden Hill Road.

Note that the two alternatives evaluated in the Highway 46/Union Road PSR, to be carried forward in the on-going PR-ED, include modifications to the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection. The overcrossing only alternative includes conversion to right-in/right-out only access, and the full interchange alternative would disconnect Airport Road completely from State Route 46E.

Recommendation 2: Complete the local road connection from Wisteria Lane to Airport Road prior to occupancy of Hotels 2, 3, and 4. Upon completion, provide signage on the westbound approach to Destino Paso Way/Airport Road to direct hotel visitors to the new local road connection instead of State Route 46E. We recommend monitoring traffic levels at State Route 46E/Airport Road and Destino Paso Way/Airport Road intersections following the new local road connection to determine if additional measures, such as prohibiting westbound left turns out of Destino Paso Way, are required to avoid operational impacts to the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection.

Alternative transportation mitigation measures include:

- Modify the bike lane and right turn striping for the northbound right turn lane proposed at Airport Road/Destino Paso Way per Figure 9C-4 of the California MUTCD. The site plan shows the bike lane to the right of the right turn lane instead of between the right turn lane and through lane as recommended by the MUTCD.
- Install the bicycle rider stencil pavement marker only when the bike lanes are continuous to the north and south of the project frontage.
- A walking path along the west side of Airport Road is recommended given site constraints. Detailed construction documents should be reviewed once they are ready to ensure that adequate sight distance is provided at the driveways serving Hotels 1 and 3, which are located on the inside of horizontal curves. Landscaping and other features should be restricted near these driveways to provide clear sight lines to approaching traffic.
- b. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to_a level of service standards and

travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?

Discussion: See XVI a. above. Mitigation measures proposed would ensure the project would not conflict with congestion management plans by reduce traffic delay at affected intersections.

c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels \square \square \square or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks? Discussion: The proposed project could not affect air traffic patterns since it will not generate new or modified air traffic that would affect the Paso Robles Airport. d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or \square \square dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? Discussion: See XVI a. above. Potential hazards on Destino Paso Way are addressed through mitigation measures in XVI a. \square \square \square e. Result in inadequate emergency access? Discussion: The project will not impede emergency access, and is designed in compliance with all emergency access safety features and to City emergency access standards. f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or \square \square \square pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities? Discussion: See XVI a. above. Mitigation measures proposed would ensure the project would not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities. XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS: Would the project: a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality \square \square \boxtimes Control Board? Discussion: The project will comply with all applicable wastewater treatment requirements required by the

City, RWQCB and the State. Therefore, there will be no impacts resulting from wastewater treatment from this project.

b.	Require or result in the construction of new		
	water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the		\boxtimes
	construction of which could cause significant		
	environmental effects?		

Discussion: Per the City's General Plan EIR, Urban Water Management Plan, and Sewer System Management Plan (SSMP), the City's water and wastewater treatment facilities are adequately sized, including planned facility upgrades, to provide needed water and to treat effluent resulting from this project.

Therefore, this project will not result in the need to construct new facilities.

c.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
	Discussion: All new stormwater resulting fro enter existing storm water drainage facilities Stormwater Control Plan, Attachment 10). drainage facilities.	om this project s or require ex Therefore, the	will be managed o pansion of new d project will not i	n the project site rainage facilities mpact the City's	e, and will not a (refer to the s storm water
d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				
	Discussion: A Water Supply Evaluation (WS in the WSE, the projected water demand for Water Management Plan. Water supply for th water. Buildout water use of the project is en- potable water and 3.94 AFY of recycled wat potable water will be used for irrigation and resource entitlements available and will not re- concludes that the City has adequate potable sunder normal and drought conditions.	E) was prepare this project is ne project will is stimated to be er, once it becc water features equire expansio supply to provid	ed for this project, included in the as nclude City-suppl 35.32 acre-feet pe omes available. In the project can n of new water ress le reliable long-ter	see Attachment sumptions of the ied potable water r year (AFY) of n the interim, Ci be served with o ource entitlemen m water supply t	12. As noted e 2015 Urban r and recycled City-supplied ty-supplies of existing water ts. The study for the project
e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project=s projected demand in addition to the provider=s existing commitments?				
	Discussion: Per the City's SSMP The City's project as well as existing commitments.	wastewater trea	tment facility has	adequate capacit	y to serve this
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?			\boxtimes	
	Discussion: Per the City's Landfill Master F construction related and operational solid was	Plan, the City's te disposal for t	landfill has adequ his project.	late capacity to	accommodate
g.	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?			\boxtimes	
_	Discussion: The project will comply with all	federal, state, a	nd local solid wast	e regulations.	
XV	III. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIF	ICANCE			
a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or			\boxtimes	

wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

Discussion: As noted within this environmental document, and with the mitigation measures outlined in the document, the project's impacts related to habitat for wildlife species (San Joaquin Kit Fox) will be less than significant with mitigation incorporated. There will be no impact to fish habitat as well as no impact to fish and wildlife populations. The site is comprised of disturbed habitat, so impact to fish, wildlife, of plant habitat would be less than significant.

b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a
project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?

Discussion: The project is consistent with the City's General Plan and Planned Development, Land Use designation and Zoning, and the adopted General Plan EIR, which evaluated City growth and build out. Therefore, the project will not have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable.

 \boxtimes

c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

Discussion: As noted within this environmental document, and with the mitigation measures outlined in the document, the project's potential to cause what may be considered substantial, adverse effects on human beings either directly or indirectly is negligible. Therefore, the project will not cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

EARLIER ANALYSIS AND BACKGROUND MATERIALS.

Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, one or more effects have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c)(3)(D).

Earlier Documents that may have been used in this Analysis and Background / Explanatory Materials

Reference #	Document Title	Available for Review at:	
1	City of Paso Robles General Plan	City of Paso Robles Community Development Department 1000 Spring Street Paso Robles, CA 93446	
2	City of Paso Robles Zoning Code	Same as above	
3	City of Paso Robles Environmental Impact Report for General Plan Update	Same as above	
4	2005 Airport Land Use Plan	Same as above	
5	City of Paso Robles Municipal Code	Same as above	
6	City of Paso Robles Water Master Plan	Same as above	
7	City of Paso Robles Urban Water Management Plan 2005	Same as above	
8	City of Paso Robles Sewer Master Plan	Same as above	
9	City of Paso Robles Housing Element	Same as above	
10	City of Paso Robles Standard Conditions of Approval for New Development	Same as above	
11	San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District Guidelines for Impact Thresholds	APCD 3433 Roberto Court San Luis Obispo, CA 93401	
12	San Luis Obispo County – Land Use Element	San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning County Government Center San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	
13	USDA, Soils Conservation Service, Soil Survey of San Luis Obispo County,	Soil Conservation Offices Paso Robles, Ca 93446	
14	Bike Master Plan, 2009	City of Paso Robles Community Development Department 1000 Spring Street	

Paso Robles, CA 93446

Attachments

- 1 Project Location Map
- 2 Site Plan & Elevations
- 3 Vesting Tentative Tract Map 2962, amendment
- 4 Air Quality / Greenhouse Gas Emissions Assessment
- 5 Biological Assessment
- 6 Arborist Report
- 7-Cultural Resource Study
- 8 Geotechnical Report
- 9 Airport Land Use Analysis
- 10 Stormwater Control Plan
- 11 Traffic Impact Analysis
- 12 Water Supply Evaluation
- 13 Mitigation Monitoring and Report Program

Attachment 1 Location Map











VIEW FROM PARKING LOT



S/₂

STANTONARCHITECTURE COM





DESTINO PASO RESUBMITTAL

JULY 21ST, 2016

GRAWING TITLE HOTEL 1- PERSPECTIVE VEWS














































Agenda Item No. 1 Page 238 of 979

HAA PH-01:01 BIOSTLIV

AIR QUALITY & GREENHOUSE GAS IMPACT ASSESSMENT

FOR THE PROPOSED

DESTINO PASO RESORT HOTEL PROJECT PASO ROBLES, CA

JULY 2016

PREPARED BY:



612 12[™] Street, Suite 201 Paso Robles, CA 93446 Tel: 805.226.2727

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Proposed Project	1
Air Quality	1
Setting	1
Regulatory Framework	7
Impact Analysis	10
Greenhouse Gases and Climate Change	25
Setting	25
Regulatory Framework	28
Impact Analysis	34
References	38

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Common Pollutants & Adverse Effects	5
Table 2	Recommendations on Siting New Sensitive Land Uses Near Air Pollutant Sources	7
Table 3	Summary of Ambient Air Quality Standards & Attainment Designations	9
Table 4	Summary of Project-Related Air Quality Impacts	10
Table 5	Hotel Guest Survey Information	11
Table 6	SLOAPCD Thresholds of Significance for Construction Impacts	12
Table 7	SLOAPCD Thresholds of Significance for Operational Impacts	13
Table 9	Quarterly Construction Emissions Without Mitigation - Main Hotel	17
Table 11	Quarterly Construction Emissions Without Mitigation - Second Hotel, Boutique Hotel & Lodge	18
Table 12	Summary of Construction Emissions Without Mitigation	18
Table 13	Operational Emissions Without Mitigation - Main Hotel	20
Table 14	Operational Emissions Without Mitigation - Project Buildout	21
Table 15	Global Warming Potential for Greenhouse Gases	27
Table 17	Summary of Project-Related Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impacts	34
Table 18	Construction-Generated GHG Emissions Without Mitigation	35
Table 19	Operational GHG Emissions Without Mitigation - Main Hotel	35
Table 20	Operational GHG Emissions Without Mitigation - Second Hotel, Boutique Hotel & Lodge	36
Table 21	Summary of Total Operational GHG Emissions Without Mitigation	36

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Proposed Project Location	2
Figure 2	Proposed Project Site Plan	3
Figure 3	City of Paso Robles Community-wide GHG Emissions by Sector (2005)	3

APPENDICES

Appendix A:	SLOAPCD Naturally Occurring Asbestos Exemption Form & SLOAPCD Asbestos Demolition/Renovation
	Notification Form
Appendix B:	Naturally Occurring Asbestos Zones
Appendix C:	Consistency with City of Paso Robles Climate Action Plan & SLOAPCD-Recommended Standard Mitigation
	Measures
· 1' D	

Appendix D: Emissions Modeling

LIST OF COMMON TERMS & ACRONYMS

AAM	Annual Arithmetic Mean
CAAQS	California Ambient Air Quality Standards
CAP	Climate Action Plan
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CCAA	California Clean Air Act
CCAR	California Climate Action Registry
CH ₄	Methane
СО	Carbon Monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
CO ₂ e	Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
DPM	Diesel-Exhaust Particulate Matter or Diesel-Exhaust PM
FCAA	Federal Clean Air Act
GHG	Greenhouse Gases
HAP	Hazardous Air Pollutant
LOS	Level of Service
N ₂ O	Nitrous Oxide
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards or National AAQS
NESHAPs	National Emission Standards for HAPs
NO _x	Oxides of Nitrogen
OAP	Ozone Attainment Plan
O ₃	Ozone
Pb	Lead
PM	Particulate Matter
PM ₁₀	Particulate Matter (less than 10 µm)
PM _{2.5}	Particulate Matter (less than 2.5 µm)
ppb	Parts per Billion
ppm	Parts per Million
ROG	Reactive Organic Gases
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SLOAPCD	San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District
SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide
SCCAB	South Central Coast Air Basin
TAC	Toxic Air Contaminant
$\mu g/m^3$	Micrograms per cubic meter
U.S. EPA	United State Environmental Protection Agency

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report provides an analysis of air quality and greenhouse gas (GHG) impacts associated with the proposed Residence Inn project. This report also provides a summary of existing conditions in the project area and the applicable regulatory framework pertaining to air quality and climate change.

The proposed project includes the construction of a total of four hotel facilities. The initial phase of construction is anticipated to begin in 2017 and would include the construction of an approximate 136-room main hotel. A second hotel, lodge, and boutique hotel totaling approximately 155 rooms, may be constructed in future years, depending on market conditions.

The project site is located adjacent to and east of Airport Road, north of State Route (SR) 46 East, within the City of Paso Robles. The nearest sensitive land use consist of residential dwellings. The nearest residences are located adjacent to and north of the project site. Additional residential dwellings, as well as, the Wine Country RV Resort are located to the south of the project site.

Construction-generated emissions associated with the initial construction of the main hotel, as well as, construction of the proposed future land uses would exceed the San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District (SLOAPCD) recommended daily and quarterly significance thresholds for $ROG+NO_X$. In addition, uncontrolled emissions of particulate matter generated during construction may result in localized pollutant concentrations that could adversely impact nearby land uses and sensitive receptors. If uncontrolled, the demolition of onsite structures may also result in emissions of potentially hazardous emissions associated with the disturbance of building materials, including lead and asbestos. Mitigation measures have been included to reduce these potentially significant impacts to a less-than-significant level.

Unmitigated operational emissions associated with the main hotel would not exceed SLOAPCD significance thresholds. However, at project buildout, operational emissions are projected to exceed SLOAPCD's air quality significance thresholds. In addition, without mitigation, increased emissions of GHGs would not be consistent with the *City of Paso Robles Climate Action Plan*. Unmitigated emissions of criteria air pollutants and GHGs may also interfere with air quality attainment planning and GHG-reduction efforts. Mitigation measures have been included to reduce these potentially significant impacts to a less-than-significant level.

INTRODUCTION

This report provides an analysis of air quality and GHG impacts associated with the proposed Residence Inn project. This report also provides a summary of existing conditions in the project area and the applicable regulatory framework pertaining to air quality and climate change.

PROPOSED PROJECT

The proposed project includes the construction of a total of four hotel facilities. The initial phase of construction is anticipated to begin in 2017 and would include the construction of an approximate 136-room main hotel. A second hotel, lodge, and boutique hotel totaling approximately 155 rooms, may be constructed in future years, depending on market conditions. The proposed project location is illustrated in Figure 1. The proposed project site plan is illustrated in Figure 2.

The project site is located adjacent to and east of Airport Road, north of SR 46 East, within the City of Paso Robles. The nearest sensitive land use consist of residential dwellings. The nearest residences are located adjacent to and north of the project site. Additional residential dwellings, as well as, the Wine Country RV Resort are located to the south of the project site (Refer to Figure 1).

AIR QUALITY

SETTING

Paso Robles is located in San Luis Obispo County, which is part of the South Central Coast Air Basin (SCCAB) and within the jurisdiction of the SLOAPCD. Air quality in the SCCAB is influenced by a variety of factors, including topography, local and regional meteorology. Factors affecting regional and local air quality are discussed below.

TOPOGRAPHY, METEOROLOGY & CLIMATE

Topography

The City of Paso Robles is located in the upper Salinas River Valley. The Paso Robles area is bordered on the south and west by the rugged mountainous ridges of the Santa Lucia Coastal Range, to the east by the low hills of the La Panza and Temblor ranges, and to the north by the low hills and flat-topped mesas of the Diablo Range. The highest elevations in the vicinity are located in the Santa Lucia Coastal Range, where many peaks are 2,000 to 3,400 feet above mean sea level. Substantial ridgelines are distributed throughout the western, southern, and eastern portions of the City. The effects of the Pacific Ocean are diminished inland and by these major intervening terrain features.

Local and Regional Meteorology

The climate of the county can be generally characterized as Mediterranean, with warm, dry summers and cooler, relatively damp winters. Along the coast, mild temperatures are the rule throughout the year due to the moderating influence of the Pacific Ocean. This effect is diminished inland in proportion to distance from the ocean or by major intervening terrain features, such as the coastal mountain ranges. As a result, inland areas are characterized by a considerably wider range of temperature conditions. Maximum summer temperatures average about 70 degrees Fahrenheit near the coast, while inland valleys are often in the high 90s. Minimum winter temperatures average from the low 30s along the coast to the low 20s inland (SLOAPCD 2001).





 \sim

Air Quality & Greenhouse Gas Impact Assessment Destino Paso Resort Hotel Project

Figure 2 Proposed Project Site Plan



Source: SA 2016

Regional meteorology is largely dominated by a persistent high pressure area which commonly resides over the eastern Pacific Ocean. Seasonal variations in the strength and position of this pressure cell cause seasonal changes in the weather patterns of the area. The Pacific High remains generally fixed several hundred miles offshore from May through September, enhancing onshore winds and opposing offshore winds. During spring and early summer, as the onshore breezes pass over the cool water of the ocean, fog and low clouds often form in the marine air layer along the coast. Surface heating in the interior valleys dissipates the marine layer as it moves inland (SLOAPCD 2001).

From November through April the Pacific High tends to migrate southward, allowing northern storms to move across the county. About 90 percent of the total annual rainfall is received during this period. Winter conditions are usually mild, with intermittent periods of precipitation followed by mostly clear days. Rainfall amounts can vary considerably among different regions in the county. In the Coastal Plain, annual rainfall averages 16 to 28 inches,

while the Upper Salinas River Valley generally receives about 12 to 20 inches of rain. The Carrizo Plain is the driest area of the county with less than 12 inches of rain in a typical year (SLOAPCD 2001).

Airflow around the county plays an important role in the movement and dispersion of pollutants. The speed and direction of local winds are controlled by the location and strength of the Pacific High pressure system and other global patterns, by topographical factors, and by circulation patterns resulting from temperature differences between the land and sea. In spring and summer months, when the Pacific High attains its greatest strength, onshore winds from the northwest generally prevail during the day. At night, as the sea breeze dies, weak drainage winds flow down the coastal mountains and valleys to form a light, easterly land breeze (SLOAPCD 2001).

In the Fall, onshore surface winds decline and the marine layer grows shallow, allowing an occasional reversal to a weak offshore flow. This, along with the diurnal alternation of land-sea breeze circulation, can sometimes produce a "sloshing" effect. Under these conditions, pollutants may accumulate over the ocean for a period of one or more days and are subsequently carried back onshore with the return of the sea breeze. Strong inversions can form at this time, "trapping" pollutants near the surface (SLOAPCD 2001).

This effect is intensified when the Pacific High weakens or moves inland to the east. This may produce a "Santa Ana" condition in which air, often pollutant-laden, is transported into the county from the east and southeast. This can occur over a period of several days until the high pressure system returns to its normal location, breaking the pattern. The breakup of a Santa Ana condition may result in relatively stagnant conditions and a buildup of pollutants offshore. The onset of the typical daytime sea breeze can bring these pollutants back onshore, where they combine with local emissions to cause high pollutant concentrations. Not all occurrences of the "post Santa Ana" condition lead to high ambient pollutant levels, but it does play an important role in the air pollution meteorology of the county (SLOAPCD 2001).

Atmospheric Stability and Dispersion

Air pollutant concentrations are primarily determined by the amount of pollutant emissions in an area and the degree to which these pollutants are dispersed into the atmosphere. The stability of the atmosphere is one of the key factors affecting pollutant dispersion. Atmospheric stability regulates the amount of vertical and horizontal air exchange, or mixing, that can occur within a given air basin. Restricted mixing and low wind speeds are generally associated with a high degree of stability in the atmosphere. These conditions are characteristic of temperature inversions (SLOAPCD 2001).

In the atmosphere, air temperatures normally decrease as altitude increases. At varying distances above the earth's surface, however, a reversal of this gradient can occur. This condition, termed an inversion, is simply a warm layer of air above a layer of cooler air, and it has the effect of limiting the vertical dispersion of pollutants. The height of the inversion determines the size of the mixing volume trapped below. Inversion strength or intensity is measured by the thickness of the layer and the difference in temperature between the base and the top of the inversion. The strength of the inversion determines how easily it can be broken by winds or solar heating (SLOAPCD 2001).

Several types of inversions are common to this area. Weak, surface inversions are caused by radiational cooling of air in contact with the cold surface of the earth at night. In valleys and low lying areas this condition is intensified by the addition of cold air flowing downslope from the hills and pooling on the valley floor. Surface inversions are a common occurrence throughout the county during the winter, particularly on cold mornings when the inversion is strongest. As the morning sun warms the earth and the air near the ground, the inversion lifts, gradually dissipating as the day progresses. During the late spring and early summer months, cool air over the ocean can intrude under the relatively warmer air over land, causing a marine inversion. These inversions can restrict dispersion along the coast, but they are typically shallow and will dissipate with surface heating (SLOAPCD 2001).

In contrast, in the summertime the presence of the Pacific high pressure cell can cause the air mass aloft to sink. As the air descends, compressional heating warms it to a temperature higher than the air below. This highly stable atmospheric condition, termed a subsidence inversion, is common to all of coastal California and can act as a nearly impenetrable lid to the vertical mixing of pollutants. The base of the inversion typically ranges from 1000 to 2500 feet above sea level; however, levels as low as 250 feet, among the lowest anywhere in the state, have been recorded on the coastal plateau in San Luis Obispo county. The strength of these inversions makes them difficult to disrupt.

Consequently, they can persist for one or more days, causing air stagnation and the buildup of pollutants. Highest or worst-case ozone levels are often associated with the presence of this type of inversion (SLOAPCD 2001).

CRITERIA AIR POLLUTANTS

For the protection of public health and welfare, the Clean Air Act (CAA) required that the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) establish National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for various pollutants. These pollutants are referred to as "criteria" pollutants because the US EPA publishes criteria documents to justify the choice of standards. These standards define the maximum amount of an air pollutant that can be present in ambient air without harm to the public's health. An ambient air quality standard is generally specified as a concentration averaged over a specific time period, such as one hour, eight hours, 24 hours, or one year. The different averaging times and concentrations are meant to protect against different exposure effects. The CAA allows states to adopt additional or more health-protective standards. The air quality regulatory framework and ambient air quality standards are discussed in greater detail later in this report.

Human Health & Welfare Effects

Common air pollutants and associated adverse health and welfare effects are summarized in Table 1. Within the SCCAB, the air pollutants of primary concern, with regard to human health, include ozone, particulate matter (PM) and carbon monoxide (CO). As depicted in Table 1, exposure to increased pollutant concentrations of ozone, PM and CO can result in various heart and lung ailments, cardiovascular and nervous system impairment, and death.

Pollutant	Human Health & Welfare Effects				
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀ & PM _{2.5})	Increased respiratory symptoms, such as irritation of the airways, coughing, or difficulty breathing; aggravated asthma; development of chronic bronchitis; irregular heartbeat; nonfatal heart attacks; and premature death in people with heart or lung disease. Impairs visibility (haze).				
Ozone (O ₃)	Irritates and causes inflammation of the mucous membranes and lung airways; causes wheezing, coughing and pain when inhaling deeply; decreases lung capacity; aggravates lung and heart problems. Damages plants; reduces crop yield. Damages rubber, some textiles and dyes.				
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	Respiratory irritant. Aggravates lung and heart problems. In the presence of moisture and oxygen, sulfur dioxide converts to sulfuric acid which can damage marble, iron and steel; damage crops and natural vegetation. Impairs visibility. Precursor to acid rain.				
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Reduces the ability of blood to deliver oxygen to vital tissues, effecting the cardiovascular and nervous system. Impairs vision, causes dizziness, and can lead to unconsciousness or death.				
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	Respiratory irritant; aggravates lung and heart problems. Precursor to ozone and acid rain. Contributes to global warming, and nutrient overloading which deteriorates water quality. Causes brown discoloration of the atmosphere.				
Lead	Anemia, high blood pressure, brain and kidney damage, neurological disorders, cancer, lowered IQ. Affects animals, plants, and aquatic ecosystems.				

Table 1Common Pollutants & Adverse Effects

Source: ARB 2015b

ODORS

Typically odors are generally regarded as an annoyance rather than a health hazard. However, manifestations of a person's reaction to foul odors can range from the psychological (i.e. irritation, anger, or anxiety) to the physiological, including circulatory and respiratory effects, nausea, vomiting, and headache.

Neither the state nor the federal governments have adopted rules or regulations for the control of odor sources. The SLOAPCD does not have an individual rule or regulation that specifically addresses odors; however, odors would be applicable to SLOAPCD's Rule 204, Nuisance. Any actions related to odors would be based on citizen complaints to local governments and the SLOAPCD. The SLOAPCD recommends that odor impacts be addressed in a qualitative manner. Such an analysis shall determine if the Project results in excessive nuisance odors, as defined under the California Code of Regulations, Health & Safety Code Section 41700, air quality public nuisance.

TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANTS

Toxic air contaminants (TACs) are air pollutants that may cause or contribute to an increase in mortality or serious illness, or which may pose a hazard to human health. TACs are usually present in minute quantities in the ambient air, but due to their high toxicity, they may pose a threat to public health even at very low concentrations. Because there is no threshold level below which adverse health impacts are not expected to occur, TACs differ from criteria pollutants for which acceptable levels of exposure can be determined and for which state and federal governments have set ambient air quality standards. TACs, therefore, are not considered "criteria pollutants" under either the Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA) or the California Clean Air Act (CCAA), and are thus not subject to National or State AAQS. TACs are not considered criteria pollutants in that the federal and California Clean Air Acts do not address them specifically through the setting of National or State AAQS. Instead, the U.S. EPA and ARB regulate Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) and TACs, respectively, through statutes and regulations that generally require the use of the maximum or best available control technology to limit emissions. In conjunction with District rules, these federal and state statutes and regulations establish the regulatory framework for TACs. At the national levels, the U.S. EPA has established National Emission Standards for HAPs (NESHAPs), in accordance with the requirements of the FCAA and subsequent amendments. These are technology-based source-specific regulations that limit allowable emissions of HAPs.

Within California, TACs are regulated primarily through the Tanner Air Toxics Act (AB 1807) and the Air Toxics Hot Spots Information and Assessment Act of 1987 (AB 2588). The Tanner Act sets forth a formal procedure for ARB to designate substances as TACs. This includes research, public participation, and scientific peer review before ARB designates a substance as a TAC. Existing sources of TACs that are subject to the Air Toxics Hot Spots Information and Assessment Act are required to: (1) prepare a toxic emissions inventory; (2) prepare a risk assessment if emissions are significant; (3) notify the public of significant risk levels; and (4) prepare and implement risk reduction measures.

At the state level, the ARB has authority for the regulation of emissions from motor vehicles, fuels, and consumer products. Most recently, Diesel-exhaust particulate matter (DPM) was added to the ARB list of TACs. DPM is the primary TACs of concern for mobile sources. Of all controlled TACs, emissions of DPM are estimated to be responsible for about 70 percent of the total ambient TAC risk. The ARB has made the reduction of the public's exposure to DPM one of its highest priorities, with an aggressive plan to require cleaner diesel fuel and cleaner diesel engines and vehicles (ARB 2005).

At the local level, air districts have the authority over stationary or industrial sources. All projects that require air quality permits from the SLOAPCD are evaluated for TAC emissions. The SLOAPCD limits emissions and public exposure to TACs through a number of programs. The SLOAPCD prioritizes TAC-emitting stationary sources, based on the quantity and toxicity of the TAC emissions and the proximity of the facilities to sensitive receptors. The SLOAPCD requires a comprehensive health risk assessment for facilities that are classified in the significant-risk category, pursuant to AB 2588. No major existing sources of TACs have been identified in the project area.

Land Use Compatibility with TAC Emission Sources

The ARB published an informational guide entitled: *Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective* (Handbook) in 2005. The purpose of this guide is to provide information to aid local jurisdictions in addressing issues and concerns related to the placement of sensitive land uses near major sources of air pollution. The CARB's Handbook includes recommended separation distances for various land uses that are based on relatively conservative estimations of emissions based on source-specific information. However, these recommendations are not site specific and should not be interpreted as defined "buffer zones". It is also important to note that the recommendations of the Handbook are advisory and need to be balanced with other State and local

policies (ARB 2005). Depending on site and project-specific conditions, an assessment of potential increases in exposure to TACs may be warranted for proposed development projects located within the distances identified. CARB-recommended separation distances for various sources of emissions are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2Recommendations on Siting New Sensitive Land UsesNear Air Pollutant Sources

Source	Advisory			
Category	Recommendations			
Freeways and	• Avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 500 feet of a freeway, urban roads with 100,000			
High-Traffic Roads	vehicles/day, or rural roads with 50,000 vehicles/day.			
Distribution Centers	 Avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 1,000 feet of a distribution center (that accommodates more than 100 trucks per day, more than 40 trucks with operating transport refrigeration units (TRUs) per day, or where TRU unit operations exceed 300 hours per week). Take into account the configuration of existing distribution centers and avoid locating residences and other new sensitive land uses near entry and exit points. 			
Rail Yards	 Avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 1,000 feet of a major service and maintenance rail yard. Within one mile of a rail yard, consider possible siting limitations and mitigation approaches. 			
Ports	• Avoid siting of new sensitive land uses immediately downwind of ports in the most heavily impacted zones. Consult local air districts or the ARB on the status of pending analyses of health risks.			
Refineries	• Avoid siting new sensitive land uses immediately downwind of petroleum refineries. Consult with local air districts and other local agencies to determine an appropriate separation.			
Chrome Platers	• Avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 1,000 feet of a chrome plater.			
Dry Cleaners Using Perchloroethylene	 Avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 300 feet of any dry cleaning operation. For operations with two or more machines, provide 500 feet. For operations with 3 or more machines, consult with the local air district. Do not site new sensitive land uses in the same building with perchloroethylene dry cleaning operations. 			
Gasoline Dispensing Facilities • Avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 300 feet of a large gas station (defined as a with a throughput of 3.6 million gallons per year or greater). A 50 foot separ recommended for typical gas dispensing facilities.				
Recommendations are advi	sory, are not site specific, and may not fully account for future reductions in emissions, including those resulting			
from compliance with existi	ng/julure regulatory requirements.			

from compliance with Source: ARB 2005

ASBESTOS

Asbestos is the common name for a group of naturally-occurring fibrous silicate minerals that can separate into thin but strong and durable fibers. Naturally-occurring asbestos, which was identified as a TAC in 1986 by CARB, is located in many parts of California and is commonly associated with ultramafic rock. The project site is not located near areas that are likely to contain ultramafic rock.

Asbestos-containing material (ACM) may be present in existing structures. The demolition or renovation of existing structures may be subject to regulatory requirements for the control of ACM. A summary of applicable regulatory requirements is included in Appendix A.

REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

Air quality within the SCCAB is regulated by several jurisdictions including the U.S. EPA, CARB, and the SLOAPCD. Each of these jurisdictions develops rules, regulations, and policies to attain the goals or directives imposed upon them through legislation.

FEDERAL

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

At the federal level, the U.S. EPA has been charged with implementing national air quality programs. The U.S. EPA's air quality mandates are drawn primarily from the FCAA, which was signed into law in 1970. Congress substantially amended the FCAA in 1977 and again in 1990.

Federal Clean Air Act

The FCAA required the US EPA to establish National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS or National AAQS), and also set deadlines for their attainment. Two types of NAAQS have been established: primary standards, which protect public health, and secondary standards, which protect public welfare from non-health-related adverse effects, such as visibility restrictions. NAAQS are summarized in Table 3.

STATE

California Air Resources Board

The ARB is the agency responsible for coordination and oversight of state and local air pollution control programs in California and for implementing the California Clean Air Act of 1988. Other ARB duties include monitoring air quality (in conjunction with air monitoring networks maintained by air pollution control districts and air quality management districts, establishing California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS), which in many cases are more stringent than the NAAQS, and setting emissions standards for new motor vehicles. The CAAQS are summarized in Table 3. The emission standards established for motor vehicles differ depending on various factors including the model year, and the type of vehicle, fuel and engine used.

California Clean Air Act

The CCAA requires that all air districts in the state endeavor to achieve and maintain CAAQS for Ozone, CO, SO₂, and NO₂ by the earliest practical date. The CCAA specifies that districts focus particular attention on reducing the emissions from transportation and area-wide emission sources, and the act provides districts with authority to regulate indirect sources. Each district plan is required to either (1) achieve a five percent annual reduction, averaged over consecutive 3-year periods, in district-wide emissions of each non-attainment pollutant or its precursors, or (2) to provide for implementation of all feasible measures to reduce emissions. Any planning effort for air quality attainment would thus need to consider both state and federal planning requirements.

Assembly Bills 1807 & 2588 - Toxic Air Contaminants

Within California, TACs are regulated primarily through AB 1807 (Tanner Air Toxics Act) and AB 2588 (Air Toxics Hot Spots Information and Assessment Act of 1987). The Tanner Air Toxics Act sets forth a formal procedure for ARB to designate substances as TACs. This includes research, public participation, and scientific peer review before ARB designates a substance as a TAC. Existing sources of TACs that are subject to the Air Toxics Hot Spots Information and Assessment Act are required to: (1) prepare a toxic emissions inventory; (2) prepare a risk assessment if emissions are significant; (3) notify the public of significant risk levels; and (4) prepare and implement risk reduction measures.

California Standards* National Standards* Averaging Pollutant Attainment Time Primary^(a) Concentration* Attainment Status Status Non-Attainment 1-hour 0.09 ppm _ Eastern SLO Ozone Non-Attainment County -Attainment (O_3) 8-hour 0.070 ppm 0.075 ppm Western SLO County AAM 20 µg/m3 Particulate Matter Unclassified/ Non-Attainment (PM₁₀) Attainment 24-hour 150 µg/m3 50 µg/m3 12 µg/m3 AAM 12 µg/m3 Fine Particulate Matter Unclassified/ Attainment (PM_{2.5}) Attainment No Standard 35 µg/m3 24-hour 1-hour 20 ppm 35 ppm Carbon Monoxide 8-hour 9 ppm 9 ppm Attainment/ Attainment (CO)Maintenance 8-hour 6 ppm (Lake Tahoe) 0.030 ppm AAM 0.053 ppm Nitrogen Dioxide Attainment Unclassified (NO_2) 1-hour 0.18 ppm 100 ppm 0.03 ppm AAM 24-hour 0.04 ppm 0.14 ppm Sulfur Dioxide Unclassified Attainment 0.5 ppm (1300 (SO_2) 3-hour µg/m3)** 1-hour 0.25 ppm 75 ppb 30-day Average 1.5 µg/m3 No Attainment Calendar Quarter 1.5 µg/m3 Lead Attainment Information Rolling 3-Month 0.15 µg/m3 Average Sulfates 24-hour 25 µg/m3 Attainment 0.03 ppm Hydrogen Sulfide 1-hour Attainment (42 µg/m3) 0.01 ppm No Information Vinyl Chloride 24-hour Available (26 µg/m3) No Federal Extinction coefficient: Standards 0.23/kilometer-visibility of 10 miles or more Visibility-Reducing (0.07-30 miles or more 8-hour Attainment Particle Matter for Lake Tahoe) due to particles when the relative humidity is less than 70% * For more information on standards visit :http//ww.arb.ca.gov.research/aaqs/aaqs2.pdf ** Secondary Standard

 Table 3

 Summary of Ambient Air Quality Standards & Attainment Designations

In-Use Off-Road Diesel Vehicle Regulation

Source: SLOAPCD 2016b; ARB 2016a

On July 26, 2007, the Air Resources Board (ARB) adopted a regulation to reduce diesel particulate matter (PM) and oxides of nitrogen (NOx) emissions from in-use (existing) off-road heavy-duty diesel vehicles in California. The regulation applies to self-propelled diesel-fueled vehicles that cannot be registered and licensed to drive on-road, as well as two-engine vehicles that drive on road, with the limited exception of two-engine sweepers. Examples include

loaders, crawler tractors, skid steers, backhoes, forklifts, airport ground support equipment, water well drilling rigs, and two-engine cranes. Such vehicles are used in construction, mining, and industrial operations. The regulation does not apply to stationary equipment or portable equipment such as generators. The off-road vehicle regulation, establishes emissions performance requirements, establishes reporting, disclosure, and labeling requirements for off-road vehicles, and limits unnecessary idling.

LOCAL

County of San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District

The SLOAPCD is the agency primarily responsible for ensuring that NAAQS and CAAQS are not exceeded and that air quality conditions within the region are maintained. Responsibilities of the SLOAPCD include, but are not limited to, preparing plans for the attainment of ambient air quality standards, adopting and enforcing rules and regulations concerning sources of air pollution, issuing permits for stationary sources of air pollution, inspecting stationary sources of air pollution and responding to citizen complaints, monitoring ambient air quality and meteorological conditions, and implementing programs and regulations required by the FCAA and the CCAA.

IMPACT ANALYSIS

Air quality impacts attributable to the proposed project are summarized in Table 4.

Air Quality Impacts	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
A) Would the project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				
B) Would the project violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?		•		
C) Would the project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is in non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions that exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
D) Would the project expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				
E) Would the project create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				

 Table 4

 Summary of Project-Related Air Quality Impacts

METHODOLOGY

Short-term Impacts

Emissions associated with construction of proposed project were calculated using the CalEEMod, version 2013.2.2, computer program. Detailed construction information (e.g., equipment required, construction schedules, etc.) was not available at the time of the analysis. Construction activity schedules, equipment use, vehicle trips, equipment load factors and emission factors were, therefore, based on default parameters contained in the model. According to the project engineers, all material would be balanced on site and the import/export of soil would not be required. Construction of main hotel would occur over an estimated 15-month period. Construction of the main hotel would result in the demolition of an approximate 1,195 square foot (sf) residential structure. An existing approximate 5,000 sf
shop will be dismantled and repurposed at an off-site location by a third party. The existing shop was included in the modeled demolition activity to account for the haul truck trips, construction employee trips, and off-road equipment required with the dismantling and removal of the existing shop. To be conservative, fugitive PM emissions associated with material handling and site grading were quantified assuming that the total site would be rough graded during initial construction of the proposed main hotel, which is anticipated to begin in 2017.

Construction of the additional proposed facilities, including a second hotel, a boutique hotel, and a lodge would occur subsequent to completion of the proposed main hotel. The specific dates for construction of these additional facilities would depend on market conditions. To ensure a conservative analysis, construction of these additional facilities were assumed to occur simultaneously, beginning in January 2020. Finish grading activities were also included for construction of the subsequent facilities.

Mitigated construction-generated emissions were quantified assuming application of dust control practices, including the application of water a minimum of 3 times daily and a speed limit of 15 mph for onsite unpaved surfaces, based on the default reductions identified in the model. Mitigated emissions associated with the application of architectural coatings assumed the use of low-VOC content paints with a maximum VOC content of 50 grams per liter (g/L). Modeling assumptions and output files are included in Appendix D of this report.

Long-term Impacts

Long-term operational emissions of criteria air pollutants associated with the proposed project were calculated using the CalEEMod, version 2013.2.2, computer program. Emissions were quantified for the proposed main hotel and future buildout conditions with the inclusion of the proposed second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge. The CalEEMod program includes quantification of emissions from various emission sources, including energy use, area sources, and motor vehicle trips. Non-transportation source emissions were quantified based largely on the default parameters contained in the model. The use of off-road equipment would not be required for project operations and was not included in the emissions modeling.

The vehicle trip-generation rates contained in the model were amended to reflect project-specific conditions, based on rates obtained from the traffic analysis prepared for this project. The trip-generation rate includes trips generated by supporting amenities, including hotel meeting facilities and restaurants. Vehicle trip lengths for hotel guests were quantified based on hotel guest survey data obtained from a similar hotel located in Pismo Beach for the year 2012 (refer to Table 5). Vehicle trip distances for in-County destinations, including coastal communities and attractions, such as Hearst Castle, Cambria, and Morro Bay, were also included in the calculation. The average vehicle travel length was 12.5 miles for hotel guests and 13 miles for employees. Modeling assumptions and output files are included in Appendix D of this report.

Guest Originations & Destinations (Out of County Regions)	Percent on Annual Guests (Year 2012)			
Sacramento Valley & Northern San Joaquin Valley	24.2%			
Southern San Joaquin Valley (Kern County)	8.8%			
Northern & Central California Regions	12.7%			
Southern California	45.4%			
San Luis Obispo County	9%			
Based on guest survey data obtained from a similar hotel located in Pismo Beach for the year 2012. Refer to Appendix D for additional information regarding estimated vehicle trip distances.				

Table 5Hotel Guest Survey Information

THRESHOLDS OF SIGNIFICANCE

To assist in the evaluation of air quality impacts, the SLOAPCD has developed recommended significance thresholds, which are contained in the SLOAPCD's *CEQA Air Quality Handbook* (2012). For the purposes of this analysis, project emissions are considered potentially significant impacts if any of the following SLOAPCD thresholds are exceeded:

Construction Impacts

The threshold criteria established by the SLOAPCD to determine the significance and appropriate mitigation level for a project's short-term construction emissions are presented in Table 6 and discussed, as follows (SLOAPCD 2012):

ROG and NOx Emissions

- Daily: For construction projects expected to be completed in less than one quarter (90 days), exceedance of the 137 lb/day threshold requires Standard Mitigation Measures;
- Quarterly Tier 1: For construction projects lasting more than one quarter, exceedance of the 2.5 ton/qtr threshold requires Standard Mitigation Measures and Best Available Control Technology (BACT) for construction equipment. If implementation of the Standard Mitigation and BACT measures cannot bring the project below the threshold, off-site mitigation may be necessary; and,
- Quarterly Tier 2: For construction projects lasting more than one quarter, exceedance of the 6.3 ton/qtr threshold requires Standard Mitigation Measures, BACT, implementation of a Construction Activity Management Plan (CAMP), and off-site mitigation.

	Threshold ⁽¹⁾				
Pollutant	Daily (Ibs/day)	Quarterly Tier 1 (tons)	Quarterly Tier 2 (tons)		
Ozone Precursors $(ROG + NO_X)^{(2)}$	137	2.5	6.3		
Diesel Particulate Matter (DPM) ⁽²⁾	7	0.13	0.32		
Fugitive Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀), Dust	None	2.5	None		
1. Daily and quarterly emissions thresholds are based on the California Health & Safety Code and the ARB Carl Moyer Guidelines. 2. Any project with a grading area greater than 4.0 acres of worked area can exceed the 2.5 tons PM_{10} quarterly threshold.					

Table 6SLOAPCD Thresholds of Significance for Construction Impacts

Diesel Particulate Matter (DPM) Emissions

- Daily: For construction projects expected to be completed in less than one quarter, exceedance of the 7 lb/day threshold requires Standard Mitigation Measures;
- Quarterly Tier 1: For construction projects lasting more than one quarter, exceedance of the 0.13 tons/quarter threshold requires Standard Mitigation Measures, BACT for construction equipment; and,
- Quarterly Tier 2: For construction projects lasting more than one quarter, exceedance of the 0.32 ton/qtr threshold requires Standard Mitigation Measures, BACT, implementation of a CAMP, and off-site mitigation.

Fugitive Particulate Matter (PM₁₀), Dust Emissions

• Quarterly: Exceedance of the 2.5 ton/qtr threshold requires Fugitive PM₁₀ Mitigation Measures and may require the implementation of a CAMP.

Operational Impacts

Criteria Air Pollutants

The threshold criteria established by the SLOAPCD to determine the significance and appropriate mitigation level for long-term operational emissions from a project are presented in Table 7.

	Three	Threshold ⁽¹⁾			
Pollutant	Daily (lbs/day)	Annual (tons/year)			
Ozone Precursors $(ROG + NO_X)^{(2)}$	25	25			
Diesel Particulate Matter (DPM) ⁽²⁾	1.25	None			
Fugitive Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀), Dust	25	25			
СО	550	None			
1. Daily and annual emissions thresholds are based on the California Health & Safety Code Division 26, Part 3, Chapter 10, Section 40918 and the ARB Carl Moyer Guidelines for DPM.					

 Table 7

 SLOAPCD Thresholds of Significance for Operational Impacts

2. CalEEMod – use winter operational emission data to compare to operational thresholds.

Toxic Air Contaminants

If a project has the potential to emit toxic or hazardous air pollutants, or is located in close proximity to sensitive receptors, impacts may be considered significant due to increased cancer risk for the affected population, even at a very low level of emissions. For the evaluation of such projects, the SLOAPCD recommends the use of the following thresholds:

- Type A Projects: new proposed land use projects that generate toxic air contaminants (such as gasoline stations, distribution facilities or asphalt batch plants) that impact sensitive receptors. Air districts across California are uniform in their recommendation to use the significance thresholds that have been established under each district's "Hot Spots" and permitting programs. The SLOAPCD has defined the excess cancer risk significance threshold at 10 in a million for Type A projects in SLO County; and,
- Type B Projects: new land use projects that will place sensitive receptors (e.g., residential units) in close proximity to existing toxics sources (e.g., freeway). The SLOAPCD has established a CEQA health risk threshold of 89 in-a-million for the analysis of projects proposed in close proximity to toxic sources. This value represents the population weighted average health risk caused by ambient background concentrations of toxic air contaminants in San Luis Obispo County. The SLOAPCD recommends Health Risk screening and, if necessary, Health Risk Assessment (HRA) for any residential or sensitive receptor development proposed in proximity to toxic sources.

Localized CO Concentrations

Localized CO concentrations associated with the proposed project would be considered less-than-significant impact if: (1) Traffic generated by the proposed project would not result in deterioration of intersection level of service (LOS) to LOS E or F; or (2) the project would not contribute additional traffic to an intersection that already operates at LOS of E or F (Caltrans 1996).

Odors

Screening of potential odor impacts is typically recommended for the following two situations:

- Projects that would potentially generate odorous emissions proposed to locate near existing sensitive receptors or other land uses where people may congregate; and
- Residential or other sensitive receptor projects or other projects that may attract people locating near existing odor sources.

If the proposed project would locate receptors and known odor sources within one mile of each other, a full analysis of odor impacts is recommended. Known odor sources of primary concern, as identified by the SLOAPCD, include: landfills, transfer stations, asphalt batch plants, rendering plants, petroleum refineries, and painting/coating operations, as well as, composting, food processing, wastewater treatment, chemical manufacturing, and feedlot/dairy facilities.

PROJECT IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact AQ-A. Would the project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?

SLOAPCD Clean Air Plan

As part of the CCAA, the SLOAPCD is required to develop a plan to achieve and maintain the state ozone standard by the earliest practicable date. The SLOAPCD's 2001 Clean Air Plan (CAP) addresses the attainment and maintenance of state and federal ambient air quality standards. The CAP was adopted by SLOAPCD's on March 26, 2002.

The CAP outlines the District's strategies to reduce ozone-precursor pollutants (i.e., ROG and NO_X) from a wide variety of sources. The CAP includes a stationary-source control program, which includes control measures for permitted stationary sources; as well as, transportation and land use management strategies to reduce motor vehicle emissions and use. The stationary-source control program is administered by SLOAPCD. Transportation and land use control measures are implemented at the local or regional level, by promoting and facilitating the use of alternative transportation options, increased pedestrian access and accessibility to community services and local destinations, reductions in vehicle miles traveled, and promotion of congestion management efforts. In addition, local jurisdictions also prepare population forecasts, which are used by SLOAPCD to forecast population-related emissions and air quality attainment, including those contained in the CAP.

According to the SLOAPCD's *CEQA Air Quality Handbook* (2012), a consistency analysis with the Clean Air Plan is required for a program-level environmental review, and may be necessary for a larger project-level environmental review, depending on the project being considered. Project-Level environmental reviews which may require consistency analysis with the CAP include: large residential developments and large commercial/industrial developments. For such projects, evaluation of consistency is based on a comparison of the proposed project with the land use and transportation control measures and strategies outlined in the CAP. If the project is consistent with these measures, the project is consistent with the CAP.

The proposed project is not considered a large development project that would have the potential to result in a substantial increase in population, or employment. In addition, the proposed project is also consistent with existing zoning designations and would not result in the installation of any major stationary sources of emissions. However, as noted in Impact AQ-C, long-term operational emissions associated with the project would exceed SLOAPCD's recommended significance thresholds. Projects that exceed SLOAPCD's recommended significance thresholds. Projects that exceed SLOAPCD's recommended significance thresholds would also be considered to potentially conflict with regional air quality planning efforts, including the control measures and strategies identified in the CAP. This impact is considered *potentially significant*.

Particulate Matter Report – Implementation of SB 656 Requirements

In July 2005, SLOAPCD adopted the *Particulate Matter Report* (PM Report). The PM Report identifies various measures and strategies to reduce public exposure to PM emitted from a wide variety of sources, including emissions from permitted stationary sources and fugitive sources, such as construction activities. As discussed in Impact AQ-C, uncontrolled fugitive dust generated during construction may result in localized pollutant concentrations that may result in increased nuisance concerns to nearby land uses. Therefore, construction-generated emissions of fugitive dust would be considered to have a *potentially significant* impact.

Mitigation Measures

Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-1, AQ-2, and AQ-3.

Significance After Mitigation

Implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-1 would include measures to reduce construction-generated emissions of fugitive dust, as well as, mobile-source emissions associated with construction vehicle and equipment operations and evaporative emissions from architectural coatings. With mitigation, overall emissions of fugitive dust would be reduced by approximately 58 percent. These measures would also help to ensure compliance with SLOAPCD's 20-percent opacity limit (APCD Rule 401), nuisance rule (APCD Rule 402), and would minimize potential nuisance impacts to nearby receptors. Mitigation Measure AQ-3 includes additional measures to reduce construction-generated emissions, including fugitive PM emissions associated with onsite demolition activities. Implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-2 would include measures to reduce long-term operational emissions associated with motor vehicle use and onsite energy use to a less-than-significant level. With mitigation, the proposed project would not result in a substantial increase in regional emissions, population, or employment, nor would the project involve the installation of any major stationary sources of emissions. For these reasons, the proposed project would not conflict with or obstruct continued implementation of the CAP. With mitigation, this impact is considered *less than significant*. Refer to *Impact AQ-C* and *Impact AQ-D* for additional discussion of air quality impacts and proposed mitigation measures.

Impact AQ-B. Would the project violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?

As noted in Impact AQ-C and AQ-D, below, short-term construction activities may result in localized concentrations of pollutants that could adversely affect nearby land uses. In addition, long-term operational emissions would exceed SLOAPCD-recommended significant thresholds. As a result, this impact is considered *potentially significant*. Refer to *Impact AQ-C* and *Impact AQ-D* for additional discussion of air quality impacts and proposed mitigation measures.

Mitigation Measures

Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-1, AQ-2 and AQ-3.

Significance After Mitigation

Implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-1 would include measures to reduce construction-generated emissions of fugitive dust, as well as, mobile-source emissions associated with construction vehicle and equipment operations and evaporative emissions from architectural coatings. With mitigation, overall emissions of fugitive dust would be reduced by approximately 58 percent. These measures would also help to ensure compliance with SLOAPCD's 20-percent opacity limit (APCD Rule 401), nuisance rule (APCD Rule 402), and would minimize potential nuisance impacts to nearby receptors. Mitigation Measure AQ-3 includes additional measures to reduce construction-generated emissions, including fugitive PM emissions associated with onsite demolition activities. Implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-2 would include measures to reduce long-term operational emissions associated with motor vehicle use and onsite energy use to a less-than-significant level. With mitigation, the proposed project would not result in a substantial increase in regional emissions, population, or employment, nor would the project involve the installation of any major stationary sources of emissions. With mitigation, this impact is considered *less than significant*. Refer to *Impact AQ-C* and *Impact AQ-D* for additional discussion of air quality impacts and proposed mitigation measures.

Impact AQ-C.	Would the project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria
	pollutant for which the project region is in non-attainment under an applicable federal
	or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions that exceed
	quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?

Short-term Construction Emissions

Construction-generated emissions are of temporary duration, lasting only as long as construction activities occur, but have the potential to represent a significant air quality impact. The construction of the proposed project would result in the temporary generation of emissions associated with site grading and excavation, paving, motor vehicle exhaust associated with construction equipment and worker trips, as well as the movement of construction equipment on unpaved surfaces. Short-term construction emissions would result in increased emissions of ozone-precursor pollutants (i.e., ROG and NO_X) and emissions of PM. Emissions of ozone-precursors would result from the operation of on- and off-road motorized vehicles and equipment. Emissions of airborne PM are largely dependent on the amount of ground disturbance associated with site preparation activities and can result in increased concentrations of PM that can adversely affect nearby sensitive land uses.

Construction-generated emissions were quantified using the CalEEMod computer program. To be conservative, emissions were quantified assuming that the total site would be rough graded during initial construction of the proposed main hotel, which is anticipated to begin in 2017. Onsite asphalt paving activities associated with construction of the onsite roadways and parking areas were also included. Grading activities were also included for the future construction of the second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge to account for potential finish grading of these sites. Emissions associated with architectural coating application and building construction were also included for construction of the proposed main hotel, as well as, the future construction of the second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge were assumed to occur simultaneously.

Estimated daily and quarterly emissions associated with initial construction of the main hotel are presented in Table 8 and Table 9, respectively. As depicted, maximum daily emissions associated with initial construction of the main hotel would total approximately 372.3 lbs/day of ROG+NO_X and approximately 2.8 lbs/day of exhaust PM_{10} . Quarterly construction-generated emissions would total approximately 3.5 tons of ROG+NO_X, 0.06 tons of DPM, and 0.2 tons of Fugitive PM_{10} .

Operation Aptibility	Daily Emissions (lbs)			
	ROG+NOx	Exhaust PM ₁₀		
Demolition-Year 2017	47.3	2.1		
Site Preparation-Year 2017	56.8	2.8		
Grading/Excavation-Year 2017	75.9	3.3		
Building Construction-Year 2017	35.2	1.8		
Building Construction-Year 2018	31.4	1.6		
Paving-Year 2018	19.3	0.9		
Architectural Coating-Year 2018	321.6	0.2		
Maximum Daily Emissions-Year 2017	56.8	2.8		
Maximum Daily Emissions-Year 2018	372.3	2.6		
SLOAPCD Significance Thresholds	137	7		
Exceed SLOAPCD Thresholds?	Yes	No		
<u>Maximum Daily Emissions</u> : Assumes that facility construction, paving, and simultaneously on any given day. To be conservative, construction of the m Totals may not sum due to rounding	application of architectural ain hotel assumes that the er	coatings could potentially occur tire site could be rough graded.		

 Table 8

 Daily Construction Emissions Without Mitigation – Main Hotel

Refer to Appendix D for modeling assumptions and results.

Estimated daily and quarterly emissions associated with the future construction of the second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge are presented in Table 10 and Table 11, respectively. As depicted, maximum daily emissions associated with the future construction of these additional facilities would total approximately 302.1 lbs/day of ROG+NO_X and approximately 1.9 lbs/day of exhaust PM₁₀. Quarterly construction-generated emissions would total approximately 3.0 tons of ROG+NO_X, 0.05 tons of DPM, and 0.2 tons of Fugitive PM₁₀. Total project construction emissions are summarized in Table 12.

	Quarterly Emissions (tons))
	PM ₁₀			
Quarter	ROG+NO _x	Dust	Exhaust	Total
Year 2017 - Quarter 1	1.8	0.2	0.06	0.1
Year 2017 - Quarter 2-4	1.0	0.0	0.05	0.1
Year 2018 - Quarter 1	3.5	0.0	0.03	0.1
SLOAPCD Significance Thresholds	2.5	2.5	0.13	None
Exceed SLOAPCD Thresholds?	Yes	No	No	No
Totals may not sum due to rounding. Refer to Appendix D for modeling assumptions and results.				

 Table 9

 Quarterly Construction Emissions Without Mitigation – Main Hotel

Table 10
Daily Construction Emissions Without Mitigation -
Second Hotel, Boutique Hotel & Lodge

Our describes to the last	Daily Emissions (lbs)					
	ROG+NOx	Exhaust PM ₁₀				
Site Preparation-Year 2020	42.7	1.9				
Grading/Excavation-Year 2020	28.6	1.4				
Building Construction-Year 2020	25.2	1.2				
Building Construction-Year 2021	22.7	1.0				
Paving-Year 2021	14.2	0.7				
Architectural Coating-Year 2021	265.3	0.1				
Maximum Daily Emissions-Year 2020	42.7	1.9				
Maximum Daily Emissions-Year 2021	302.1	1.8				
SLOAPCD Significance Thresholds	137	7				
Exceed SLOAPCD Thresholds?	Yes	No				
Maximum daily emissions assume that facility construction, paving, and application of architectural coatings could potentially occur simultaneously on any given day. Totals may not sum due to rounding. Includes grading to account for potential finish grading						

activities. Refer to Appendix D for modeling assumptions and results.

Construction-generated emissions associated with the initial construction of the main hotel, as well as, construction of the proposed future land uses would exceed SLOAPCD's daily and quarterly significance thresholds for $ROG+NO_X$. Estimated emissions were largely a result of evaporative emissions anticipated to occur during the application of architectural coatings. Estimated emissions of fugitive PM would not exceed SLOAPCD's significance thresholds. However, if uncontrolled fugitive dust generated during construction may result in localized pollutant concentrations that could exceed ambient air quality standards and result in increased nuisance concerns to

nearby land uses. Therefore, construction-generated emissions of fugitive dust would be considered to have a *potentially significant* impact.

	Quarterly Emissions (tons)				
		PM ₁₀			
Quarter	ROG+NO _x	Dust	Exhaust	Total	
Year 2020 - Quarter 1	1.2	0.2	0.05	0.3	
Year 2020 - Quarter 2-4	0.7	0.0	0.03	0.1	
Year 2021 - Quarter 1	3.0	0.0	0.02	0.0	
SLOAPCD Significance Thresholds	2.5	2.5	0.13	None	
Exceed SLOAPCD Thresholds?	Yes	No	No	No	
Totals may not sum due to rounding. Refer to Appendix D for modeling assumptions and results					

Table 11 Quarterly Construction Emissions Without Mitigation – Second Hotel, Boutique Hotel & Lodge

Table 12Summary of Construction Emissions Without Mitigation

Criteria	Project Emissions	SLOAPCD Significance Threshold	Exceed Significance Threshold?
Main Hotel			
Maximum Daily Emissions of ROG+NO _X	372.3 lbs/day	137 lbs/day	Yes
Maximum Daily Emissions of DPM	2.8 lbs/day	7 lbs/day	No
Maximum Quarterly Emissions of ROG+NO _X	3.5 tons/qtr	2.5 tons/qtr	Yes
Maximum Quarterly Emissions of DPM	0.06 tons/qtr	0.13 tons/qtr	No
Maximum Quarterly Emissions of Fugitive PM	0.02 tons/qtr	2.5 tons/qtr	No
Second Hotel, Boutique Hotel, Lodge	· ·		·
Maximum Daily Emissions of ROG+NO _X	302.1 lbs/day	137 lbs/day	Yes
Maximum Daily Emissions of DPM	1.9 lbs/day	7 lbs/day	No
Maximum Quarterly Emissions of ROG+NO _X	3.0 tons/qtr	2.5 tons/qtr	Yes
Maximum Quarterly Emissions of DPM	0.05 tons/qtr	0.13 tons/qtr	No
Maximum Quarterly Emissions of Fugitive PM	0.02 tons/qtr	2.5 tons/qtr	No
Quarterly thresholds are based on the more conservative Tier 1 th Refer to Appendix D for modeling asymptions and results	resholds.		•

Mitigation Measures

- AQ-1: The following measures shall be implemented to minimize construction-generated emissions. These measures shall be shown on grading and building plans:
 - a. Reduce the amount of the disturbed area where possible.
 - b. Use of water trucks or sprinkler systems in sufficient quantities to prevent airborne dust from leaving the site. Increased watering frequency would be required whenever wind speeds exceed 15 mph. Reclaimed (non-potable) water should be used whenever possible.

- c. All dirt stock pile areas should be sprayed daily as needed.
- d. Permanent dust control measures identified in the approved project revegetation and landscape plans should be implemented as soon as possible following completion of any soil disturbing activities;
- e. Exposed ground areas that are planned to be reworked at dates greater than one month after initial grading should be sown with a fast germinating, non-invasive grass seed and watered until vegetation is established.
- f. All disturbed soil areas not subject to revegetation should be stabilized using approved chemical soil binders, jute netting, or other methods approved in advance by the SLOAPCD.
- g. All roadways, driveways, sidewalks, etc. to be paved should be completed as soon as possible. In addition, building pads should be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.
- h. Vehicle speed for all construction vehicles shall not exceed 15 mph on any unpaved surface at the construction site.
- i. All trucks hauling dirt, sand, soil, or other loose materials are to be covered or should maintain at least two feet of freeboard (minimum vertical distance between top of load and top of trailer) in accordance with CVC Section 23114.
- j. Install wheel washers at the construction site entrance, wash off the tires or tracks of all trucks and equipment leaving the site, or implement other SLOAPCD-approved methods sufficient to minimize the track-out of soil onto paved roadways.
- k. Sweep streets at the end of each day if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent paved roads. Water sweepers with reclaimed water should be used where feasible.
- 1. The burning of vegetative material shall be prohibited.
- m. The contractor or builder shall designate a person or persons to monitor the fugitive dust emissions and enhance the implementation of the measures as necessary to minimize dust complaints, reduce visible emissions below 20% opacity, and to prevent transport of dust offsite. Their duties shall include holidays and weekend periods when work may not be in progress. The name and telephone number of such persons shall be provided to the SLOAPCD Compliance Division prior to the start of any grading, earthwork or demolition.
- n. Construction of the proposed project shall use low-VOC content paints not exceeding 50 grams per liter.

Significance After Mitigation

With implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-1,a., overall emissions of fugitive dust would be reduced by approximately 58 percent. These measures would also help to ensure compliance with SLOAPCD's 20-percent opacity limit (APCD Rule 401), nuisance rule (APCD Rule 402), and would minimize potential nuisance impacts to nearby receptors. With the use of low-VOC content paints, maximum daily construction-generated emissions of ROG+NO_X would total approximately 117 lbs/day for construction of the main hotel and approximately 91 lbs/day for construction of the second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge. Maximum quarterly emissions of ROG+NO_X would be reduced to approximately 1.2 tons/quarter for construction of the main hotel and approximately 0.9 tons/quarter for construction of the second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge. Mitigated emissions of ROG+NO_X would not exceed SLOAPCD's daily and quarterly significance thresholds of 137 lbs/day and 2.5 tons/quarter, respectively. With mitigation, this impact would be considered *less than significant*.

Long-term Operational Emissions

Long-term operational emissions associated with the proposed project would be predominantly associated with mobile sources. To a lesser extent, emissions associated with area sources, such as landscape maintenance activities, as well as, use of electricity and natural gas would also contribute to increased operational emissions. Operational emissions were quantified for the proposed main hotel; as well as, the future construction of a second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge.

Main Hotel

Unmitigated operational emissions associated with operation of the main hotel for opening year 2019 are summarized in Table 13. As depicted, maximum daily operational emissions would total approximately 22.73 lbs/day ROG+NOx, 34.02 lbs/day CO, 5.09 lbs/day of fugitive PM₁₀, and 0.29 lbs/day of exhaust PM₁₀. Maximum annual emissions would total approximately 4.10 tons/year of ROG+NOx and approximately 0.90 tons/year of fugitive PM₁₀. Operational emissions associated with the proposed main hotel would not exceed SLOAPCD significance thresholds.

Project Buildout

The project buildout year is currently unknown. Construction of the additional hotels would occur in future years, subsequent to completion of the main hotel, and would depend on market conditions. To be conservative, emissions for project buildout were quantified assuming a buildout year of 2022. With continued improvements in vehicle emissions rates and energy usage rates, operational emissions for future years are anticipated to be less.

Total unmitigated operational emissions for project buildout conditions are summarized in Table 14. As depicted, maximum daily operational emissions would total approximately 40.85 lbs/day ROG+NOx, 60.95 lbs/day CO, 10.89 lbs/day of fugitive PM₁₀, and 0.60 lbs/day of exhaust PM₁₀. Maximum annual emissions would total approximately 7.39 tons/year of ROG+NOx and approximately 1.93 tons/year of fugitive PM₁₀. Buildout of the proposed project would not exceed SLOAPCD's annual thresholds but would exceed SLOAPCD daily significance threshold of 25 lbs/day. It is also important to note that the proposed project would result in the removal of one existing onsite residential dwelling. The removal of this residential dwelling would result in slight reductions in operational emissions. However, because buildout operational emissions would exceed SLOAPCD's daily significance threshold of 25 lbs/day, buildout of the proposed project would have a *potentially significant* impact.

	Emissions						
	PM ₁₀						
Operational Period/Source	ROG	NOx	ROG+NO _X	со	Fugitive	Exhaust	Total
Daily Emissions (lbs/day)							
Summer Conditions	11.64	10.41	22.05	31.32	5.09	0.29	5.38
Winter Conditions	11.86	10.87	22.73	34.02	5.09	0.29	5.38
SLOAPCD Significance Thresholds			25	550	25	1.25	
Exceeds SLOAPCD Thresholds?			No	No	No	No	
Annual Emissions (tons/year)					•		
Total Project Emissions	2.13	1.97	4.10	5.96	0.90	0.05	0.96
SLOAPCD Significance Thresholds			25		25		
Exceeds SLOAPCD Thresholds?			No		No		
Based on year 2019 operational conditions. Totals may not sum due to rounding.							

Table 13 **Operational Emissions Without Mitigation – Main Hotel**

Refer to Appendix D for modeling output files and assumptions.

	Emissions						
						PM ₁₀	
Operational Period/Source	ROG	NOx	ROG+NO _X	со	Fugitive	Exhaust	Total
Daily Emissions (lbs/day)							
Main Hotel	11.42	8.53	19.95	28.49	5.09	0.28	5.37
Second Hotel, Boutique Hotel & Lodge	11.18	9.72	20.90	32.46	5.80	0.32	6.12
Total Project	22.60	18.25	40.85	60.95	10.89	0.60	11.49
Removed Residential	-0.07	-0.07	-0.14	-0.36	-0.05	-0.01	-0.06
Net Increase	22.53	18.18	40.71	60.59	10.84	0.59	11.43
SLOAPCD Significance Thresholds			25	550	25	1.25	
Exceeds SLOAPCD Thresholds?			Yes	No	No	No	
Annual Emissions (tons/year)							
Main Hotel	2.06	1.55	3.61	4.98	0.90	0.05	0.95
Second Hotel, Boutique Hotel & Lodge	2.01	1.77	3.78	5.67	1.03	0.06	1.09
Total Project	4.07	3.32	7.39	10.65	1.93	0.11	2.04
Removed Residential	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02	-0.06	-0.01	-0.00	-0.01
Net Increase	4.06	3.31	7.37	10.59	1.92	0.11	2.03
SLOAPCD Significance Thresholds			25		25		
Exceeds SLOAPCD Thresholds?			No		No		

 Table 14

 Operational Emissions Without Mitigation – Project Buildout

Project buildout includes construction of a main hotel, second hotel, lodge, and boutique hotel. Conservatively, based on year 2022 operational conditions.

Totals may not sum due to rounding.

Refer to Appendix D for modeling output files and assumptions.

Mitigation Measure

- AQ-2: To reduce operational emissions, the proposed project shall implement the following measures. The project proponent shall submit proof to the Paso Robles Community Development Department Staff that implementation of all measures have been met in accordance with a time schedule deemed appropriate by Community Development Department staff.
 - a. Utilize green building materials (materials which are resource efficient, recycled, and sustainable) available locally if possible.
 - b. Provide shade tree planting in parking lots to reduce evaporative emissions from parked vehicles. Design should provide 50% tree coverage within 10 years of construction using low ROG emitting, low maintenance native drought resistant trees.
 - c. Pave and maintain roads in parking areas.
 - d. Plant drought tolerant native shade trees along southern exposures of buildings to reduce energy used to cool buildings in summer.
 - e. Provide native and drought tolerant trees beyond those required as mitigation for tree removal.
 - f. Incorporate outdoor electrical outlets to encourage the use of electric appliances and tools.
 - g. Install high-efficiency heating and cooling systems.
 - h. Utilize high-efficiency gas or solar water heaters.

- i. Utilize built-in energy efficient appliances (i.e., Energy Star rated).
- j. Utilize double- or triple-paned windows.
- k. Utilize low energy street lights (i.e., sodium, light-emitting diode [LED]).
- 1. Utilize energy-efficient interior lighting.
- m. Install door sweeps and weather stripping (if more efficient doors and windows are not available).
- n. Install energy-reducing programmable thermostats.
- o. Install low water consumption landscape. Use native plants that do not require watering after they are well established or minimal watering during the summer months and are low ROG emitting.
- p. Provide a designated parking space for alternatively fueled vehicles.
- q. Provide a shuttle service for guests to local destinations, including Paso Robles Transit/Amtrak Station
- r. Install energy-saving systems in guest rooms that reduce energy usage when rooms are not occupied.
- s. Provide a pedestrian access network that internally links all uses and connects all existing or planned external streets and pedestrian facilities contiguous with the project site
- t. Provide on-site bicycle parking beyond those required by California Green Building Standards Code and related facilities to support long-term use (lockers, or a locked room with standard racks and access limited to bicyclists only).
- u. Implement traffic calming improvements as appropriate (e.g., marked crosswalks, count-down signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised crosswalks, median islands, mini-circles, tight corner radii, etc.)

Significance After Mitigation

Implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-2 would require the incorporation of measures to reduce operational emissions associated with on-site energy use and motor vehicle use. These measures would apply to all proposed hotel uses. The proposed mitigation measures include SLOAPCD-recommended mitigation measures, as well as, additional measures to further reduce operational emissions associated with energy use and motor vehicle use. SLOAPCD considers implementation of these measures to be sufficient to reduce operational air quality impacts to a *less-than-significant* level.

Impact AQ-D. Would the project expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?

The project site is located adjacent to and east of Airport Road, north of SR 46 East. The nearest sensitive land use consist of residential dwellings. The nearest residences are located adjacent to and north of the project site. Additional residential dwellings, as well as, the Wine Country RV Resort are located to the south of the project site (Refer to Figure 1).

Localized CO Concentrations

Localized concentrations of CO are of primary concern in areas located near congested roadway intersections. Of particular concern are signalized intersections that are projected to operate at unacceptable levels of service (LOS) E or F (Caltrans 1996).

Based on the traffic analysis prepared for this project, signalized intersections in the project area would operate at LOS C, or better (CCTC 2016). The proposed hotel project would not result in or contribute to unacceptable levels of service (i.e., LOS E or F) at primarily affected signalized intersections. In addition, the proposed project would not result in emissions of CO in excess of the SLOAPCD's significance threshold of 550 lbs/day. This impact is considered *less than significant*.

Naturally Occurring Asbestos

Naturally Occurring Asbestos (NOA) has been identified as a toxic air contaminant by the ARB. In accordance with ARB Air Toxics Control Measure (ATCM), prior to any grading activities a geologic evaluation should be conducted to determine if NOA is present within the area that will be disturbed. If NOA is not present, an exemption request form, along with a copy of the geologic report, must be filed with the SLOAPCD. If NOA is found at the site, the applicant must comply with all requirements outlined in the Asbestos ATCM.

Based on a review of the SLOAPCD's map depicting potential areas of NOA, the project site is not located in an area that has been identified as having a potential for NOA (Refer to Appendix B). As a result, this impact is considered *less than significant*.

Asbestos-Containing Materials

Demolition activities can have potential negative air quality impacts, including issues surrounding proper handling, demolition, and disposal of asbestos containing material (ACM). Asbestos containing materials could be encountered during demolition of existing buildings, particularly older structures constructed prior to 1970. Asbestos can also be found in various building products, including (but not limited to) utility pipes/pipelines (transite pipes or insulation on pipes). If a project will involve the disturbance or potential disturbance of ACM, various regulatory requirements may apply, including the requirements stipulated in the National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (40CFR61, Subpart M - Asbestos NESHAP). These requirements include but are not limited to: 1) notification, within at least 10 business days of activities commencing, to the APCD, 2) an asbestos survey conducted by a Certified Asbestos Consultant, and, 3) applicable removal and disposal requirements of identified ACM.

The project site will require demolition of onsite structures. As a result, demolition activities have the potential to result in the disturbance of ACM. This impact is considered *potentially significant*.

Lead-Coated Materials

Demolition of structures coated with lead based paint can have potential negative air quality impacts and may adversely affect the health of nearby individuals. Improper demolition can result in the release of lead containing particles from the site. Sandblasting or removal of paint by heating with a heat gun can result in significant emissions of lead. Therefore, proper abatement of lead before demolition of these structures must be performed in order to prevent the release of lead from the site. Furthermore, depending on removal method, a SLOAPCD permit may be required. This impact is considered *potentially significant*.

Localized PM Concentrations

Implementation of the proposed project would result in the generation of fugitive PM emitted during construction. Fugitive PM emissions would be primarily associated with earth-moving, demolition, and material handling activities, as well as, vehicle travel on unpaved and paved surfaces. Onsite off-road equipment and trucks would also result in short-term emissions of diesel-exhaust PM (DPM). If uncontrolled, localized concentrations of PM could exceed air quality standards and may also result in increased nuisance impacts to nearby land uses and receptors. This impact is considered *potentially significant*.

Mitigation Measures

- AQ-3: The following measures shall be implemented to reduce expose of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. These measures shall be shown on grading and building plans:
 - a. Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-1, as identified in "Impact AQ-C", above.
 - b. Demolition of onsite structures shall comply with the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Emissions (NESHAP) requirements (NESHAP, 40 CFR, Part 61, Subpart M) for the demolition of existing structures. The SLOAPCD is delegated authority by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to implement the Federal Asbestos NESHAP. Prior to demolition of onsite structures, the SLOAPCD shall be notified, per NESHAP requirements. SLOAPCD notification form and reporting requirements are included in Appendix A. Additional information may be obtained at website url: http://slocleanair.org/business/asbestos.php.
 - c. If during demolition of existing structures, paint is separated from the construction materials (e.g. chemically or physically), the paint waste will be evaluated independently from the building material by a qualified hazardous materials inspector to determine its proper management. All hazardous materials shall be handled and disposed in accordance with local, state and federal regulations. According to the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), if paint is not removed from the building material during demolition (and is not chipping or peeling), the material can be disposed of as construction debris (a non-hazardous waste). The landfill operator will be contacted prior to disposal of building material debris to determine any specific requirements the landfill may have regarding the disposal of lead-based paint materials. The disposal of demolition debris shall comply with any such requirements. Contact the SLOAPCD Enforcement Division at (805) 781-5912 for more information. Approval of a lead work plan and permit may be required. Lead work plans, if required, will need to be submitted to SLOAPCD ten days prior to the start of demolition
 - d. On-road diesel vehicles shall comply with Section 2485 of Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations. This regulation limits idling from diesel-fueled commercial motor vehicles with gross vehicular weight ratings of more than 10,000 pounds and licensed for operation on highways. It applies to California and non-California based vehicles. In general, the regulation specifies that drivers of said vehicles:
 - 1) Shall not idle the vehicle's primary diesel engine for greater than 5 minutes at any location, except as noted in Subsection (d) of the regulation; and,
 - 2) Shall not operate a diesel-fueled auxiliary power system to power a heater, air conditioner, or any ancillary equipment on that vehicle during sleeping or resting in a sleeper berth for greater than 5.0 minutes at any location when within 1,000 feet of a restricted area, except as noted in Subsection (d) of the regulation.
 - e. Maintain all construction equipment in proper tune according to manufacturer's specifications;
 - f. Fuel all off-road and portable diesel powered equipment with ARB certified motor vehicle diesel fuel (non-taxed version suitable for use off-road);
 - g. Use diesel construction equipment meeting ARB's Tier 2 certified engines or cleaner off-road heavyduty diesel engines, and comply with the State off-Road Regulation;
 - h. Idling of all on and off-road diesel-fueled vehicles shall not be permitted when not in use. Signs shall be posted in the designated queuing areas and or job site to remind drivers and operators of the no idling limitation.
 - i. Electrify equipment when possible;
 - j. Substitute gasoline-powered in place of diesel-powered equipment, when available; and,
 - k. Use alternatively fueled construction equipment on-site when available, such as compressed natural gas (CNG), liquefied natural gas (LNG), propane or biodiesel.

Significance After Mitigation

Mitigation Measure AQ-3,a includes measures for the control of fugitive dust emitted during project construction, including emissions generated during the demolition of existing structures. Mitigation Measures AQ-3,b and AQ-3,c have been included for the control of potentially hazardous emissions during demolition and to ensure compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. Mitigation Measures AQ-3,d through AQ-3,k include additional provisions for reducing emissions of DPM from onsite mobile sources. With implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-3, this impact would be considered *less than significant*.

Impact AQ-E. Would the project create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?

The occurrence and severity of odor impacts depends on numerous factors, including: the nature, frequency, and intensity of the source; wind speed and direction; and the sensitivity of the receptors. While offensive odors rarely cause any physical harm, they still can be very unpleasant, leading to considerable distress among the public and often generating citizen complaints to local governments and regulatory agencies. Projects with the potential to frequently expose members of the public to objectionable odors would be deemed to have a significant impact.

The proposed project would not result in the installation of any equipment or processes that would be considered major odor-emission sources. However, construction of the proposed project would involve the use of a variety of gasoline or diesel-powered equipment that would emit exhaust fumes. Exhaust fumes, particularly diesel-exhaust, may be considered objectionable by some people. In addition pavement coatings and architectural coatings used during project construction would also emit temporary odors. However, construction-generated emissions would occur intermittently throughout the workday and would dissipate rapidly with increasing distance from the source. As a result, short-term construction activities would not expose a substantial number of people to frequent odorous emissions. For these reasons, potential exposure of sensitive receptors to odorous emissions would be considered *less than significant*.

GREENHOUSE GASES AND CLIMATE CHANGE

SETTING

To fully understand global climate change, it is important to recognize the naturally occurring "greenhouse effect" and to define the GHGs that contribute to this phenomenon. Various gases in the earth's atmosphere, classified as atmospheric GHGs, play a critical role in determining the earth's surface temperature. Solar radiation enters the earth's atmosphere from space and a portion of the radiation is absorbed by the earth's surface. The earth emits this radiation back toward space, but the properties of the radiation change from high-frequency solar radiation to lower-frequency infrared radiation. Greenhouse gases, which are transparent to solar radiation, are effective in absorbing infrared radiation. As a result, this radiation that otherwise would have escaped back into space is now retained, resulting in a warming of the atmosphere. This phenomenon is known as the greenhouse effect. Among the prominent GHGs contributing to the greenhouse effect are carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride. Primary GHGs attributed to global climate change, are discussed, as follows:

• **Carbon Dioxide**. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is a colorless, odorless gas. CO₂ is emitted in a number of ways, both naturally and through human activities. The largest source of CO₂ emissions globally is the combustion of fossil fuels such as coal, oil, and gas in power plants, automobiles, industrial facilities, and other sources. A number of specialized industrial production processes and product uses such as mineral production, metal production, and the use of petroleum-based products can also lead to CO₂ emissions. The atmospheric lifetime of CO₂ is variable because it is so readily exchanged in the atmosphere (U.S. EPA 2008a).

- Methane. Methane (CH₄) is a colorless, odorless gas that is not flammable under most circumstances. CH₄ is the major component of natural gas, about 87% by volume. It is also formed and released to the atmosphere by biological processes occurring in anaerobic environments. Methane is emitted from a variety of both human-related and natural sources. Human-related sources include fossil fuel production, animal husbandry (enteric fermentation in livestock and manure management), rice cultivation, biomass burning, and waste management. These activities release significant quantities of methane to the atmosphere. Natural sources of methane include wetlands, gas hydrates, permafrost, termites, oceans, freshwater bodies, non-wetland soils, and other sources such as wildfires. Methane's atmospheric lifetime is about 12 years (U.S. EPA 2015).
- Nitrous Oxide. Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is a clear, colorless gas with a slightly sweet odor. N₂O is produced by both natural and human-related sources. Primary human-related sources of N₂O are agricultural soil management, animal manure management, sewage treatment, mobile and stationary combustion of fossil fuels, adipic acid production, and nitric acid production. N₂O is also produced naturally from a wide variety of biological sources in soil and water, particularly microbial action in wet tropical forests. The atmospheric lifetime of N₂O is approximately 120 years (U.S. EPA 2015).
- Hydrofluorocarbons. Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) are man-made chemicals, many of which have been developed as alternatives to ozone-depleting substances for industrial, commercial, and consumer products. The only significant emissions of HFCs before 1990 were of the chemical HFC-23, which is generated as a byproduct of the production of HCFC-22 (or Freon 22, used in air conditioning applications). The atmospheric lifetime for HFCs varies from just over a year for HFC-152a to 260 years for HFC-23. Most of the commercially used HFCs have atmospheric lifetimes of less than 15 years (e.g., HFC-134a, which is used in automobile air conditioning and refrigeration, has an atmospheric life of 14 years) (U.S. EPA 2015).
- **Perfluorocarbons.** Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) are colorless, highly dense, chemically inert, and nontoxic. There are seven PFC gases: perfluoromethane (CF₄), perfluoroethane (C_2F_6), perfluoropropane (C_3F_8), perfluorobutane (C_4F_{10}), perfluorocyclobutane (C_4F_8), perfluoropentane (C_5F_{12}), and perfluorohexane (C_6F_{14}). Natural geological emissions have been responsible for the PFCs that have accumulated in the atmosphere in the past; however, the largest current source is aluminum production, which releases CF₄ and C₂F₆ as byproducts. The estimated atmospheric lifetimes for CF₄ and C₂F₆ are 50,000 and 10,000 years, respectively (U.S. EPA 2015).
- Nitrogen Trifluoride. Nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃) is an inorganic, colorless, odorless, toxic, nonflammable gas used as an etchant in microelectronics. Nitrogen trifluoride is predominantly employed in the cleaning of the plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition chambers in the production of liquid crystal displays and silicon-based thin film solar cells. In 2009, NF₃ was listed by California as a potential GHG to be listed and regulated under Assembly Bill (AB) 32 (Section 38505 Health and Safety Code).
- Sulfur Hexafluoride. Sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) is an inorganic compound that is colorless, odorless, nontoxic, and generally nonflammable. SF₆ is primarily used as an electrical insulator in high voltage equipment. The electric power industry uses roughly 80% of all SF₆ produced worldwide. Leaks of SF₆ occur from aging equipment and during equipment maintenance and servicing. SF₆ has an atmospheric life of 3,200 years (U.S. EPA 2008b).
- Black Carbon. Black Carbon (BC) has recently emerged as a major contributor to global climate change. BC particles strongly absorb sunlight and give soot its black color. BC is produced both naturally and by human activities as a result of the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels, biofuels, and biomass. Primary sources include emissions from diesel-fueled engines, cook stoves, wood burning and forest fires.

Each GHG differs in its ability to absorb heat in the atmosphere based on the lifetime, or persistence, of the gas molecule in the atmosphere. Often, estimates of GHG emissions are presented in carbon dioxide equivalents (CO_2e), which weight each gas by its global warming potential (GWP). Expressing GHG emissions in carbon dioxide equivalents takes the contribution of all GHG emissions to the greenhouse effect and converts them to a single unit equivalent to the effect that would occur if only CO_2 were being emitted. Table 15 shows the GWP for the GHG emissions of typical concern with regard to community development projects, based on a 100-year time horizon. As

indicated, Methane traps over 25 times more heat per molecule than CO_2 , and N_2O absorbs roughly 298 times more heat per molecule than CO_2 . Additional GHG with high GWP include Nitrogen trifluoride, and Sulfur hexafluoride, Perfluorocarbons.

Greenhouse Gas	Global Warming Potential (100-year)
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	1
Methane (CH ₄)	25
Nitrous Dioxide (N ₂ O)	298
*Based on IPCC GWP values for 100-year time horizon	
Source: IPCC 2007	

Table 15Global Warming Potential for Greenhouse Gases

SOURCES OF GHG EMISSIONS

On a global scale, GHG emissions are predominantly associated with activities related to energy production; changes in land use, such as deforestation and land clearing; industrial sources; agricultural activities; transportation; waste and wastewater generation; and commercial and residential land uses. World-wide, energy production including the burning of coal, natural gas, and oil for electricity and heat is the largest single source of global GHG emissions (U.S. EPA 2015d).

In 2013, GHG emissions within California totaled 459 million metric tons (MMT) of CO_2e . Within California, the transportation sector is the largest contributor, accounting for approximately 37 percent of the total state-wide GHG emissions. Emissions associated with industrial uses are the second largest contributor, totaling roughly 23 percent. Electricity generation totaled roughly 20 percent (ARB 2014).

EFFECTS OF GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE

There are uncertainties as to exactly what the climate changes will be in various local areas of the earth. There are also uncertainties associated with the magnitude and timing of other consequences of a warmer planet: sea level rise, spread of certain diseases out of their usual geographic range, the effect on agricultural production, water supply, sustainability of ecosystems, increased strength and frequency of storms, extreme heat events, increased air pollution episodes, and the consequence of these effects on the economy.

Within California, climate changes would likely alter the ecological characteristics of many ecosystems throughout the state. Such alterations would likely include increases in surface temperatures and changes in the form, timing, and intensity of precipitation. For instance, historical records are depicting an increasing trend toward earlier snowmelt in the Sierra Nevada. This snow pack is a principal supply of water for the state, providing roughly 50 percent of state's annual runoff. If this trend continues, some areas of the state may experience an increased danger of floods during the winter months and possible exhaustion of the snowpack during spring and summer months. An earlier snowmelt would also impact the State's energy resources. Currently, approximately 20 percent of California's electricity comes from hydropower. An early exhaustion of the Sierra snowpack, may force electricity producers to switch to more costly or non-renewable forms of electricity generation during spring and summer months. A changing climate may also impact agricultural crop yields, coastal structures, and biodiversity. As a result, resultant changes in climate will likely have detrimental effects on some of California's largest industries, including agriculture, wine, tourism, skiing, recreational and commercial fishing, and forestry.

Regulatory Framework

FEDERAL

Executive Order 13514 (October 5, 2009): This order is focused on reducing GHGs internally in federal agency missions, programs and operations, but also directs federal agencies to participate in the Interagency Climate Change Adaptation Task Force, which is engaged in developing a national strategy for adaptation to climate change.

U.S. EPA's authority to regulate GHG emissions stems from the U.S. Supreme Court decision in Massachusetts v. EPA (2007). The Supreme Court ruled that GHGs meet the definition of air pollutants under the existing Clean Air Act and must be regulated if these gases could be reasonably anticipated to endanger public health or welfare. Responding to the Court's ruling, U.S. EPA finalized an endangerment finding in December 2009. Based on scientific evidence it found that six GHGs constitute a threat to public health and welfare. Thus, it is the Supreme Court's interpretation of the existing Act and U.S. EPA's assessment of the scientific evidence that form the basis for EPA's regulatory actions. U.S. EPA in conjunction with NHTSA issued the first of a series of GHG emission standards for new cars and light-duty vehicles in April 2010.

The U.S. EPA and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) are taking coordinated steps to enable the production of a new generation of clean vehicles with reduced GHG emissions and improved fuel efficiency from on-road vehicles and engines. These next steps include developing the first-ever GHG regulations for heavy-duty engines and vehicles, as well as additional light-duty vehicle GHG regulations.

The final combined standards that made up the first phase of this national program apply to passenger cars, lightduty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles, covering model years 2012 through 2016. The standards implemented by this program are expected to reduce GHG emissions by an estimated 960 million metric tons (MMT) and 1.8 billion barrels of oil over the lifetime of the vehicles sold under the program (model years 2012-2016).

On August 28, 2012, U.S. EPA and NHTSA issued a joint Final Rulemaking to extend the National Program for fuel economy standards to model year 2017 through 2025 passenger vehicles. Over the lifetime of the model year 2017-2025 standards this program is projected to save approximately four billion barrels of oil and two billion metric tons of GHG emissions.

The complementary U.S. EPA and NHTSA standards that make up the Heavy-Duty National Program apply to combination tractors (semi-trucks), heavy-duty pickup trucks and vans, and vocational vehicles (including buses and refuse or utility trucks). Together, these standards will cut GHG emissions and domestic oil use significantly. This program responds to President Barack Obama's 2010 request to jointly establish GHG emissions and fuel efficiency standards for the medium- and heavy-duty highway vehicle sector. The agencies estimate that the combined standards will reduce CO_2 emissions by about 270 MMT and save about 530 million barrels of oil over the life of model year 2014 to 2018 heavy duty vehicles (U.S. EPA 2011).

STATE

Assembly Bill 1493

AB 1493 (Pavley) of 2002 (Health and Safety Code Sections 42823 and 43018.5) requires the ARB to develop and adopt the nation's first GHG emission standards for automobiles. These standards are also known as Pavley I. The California Legislature declared in AB 1493 that global warming is a matter of increasing concern for public health and the environment. It cites several risks that California faces from climate change, including a reduction in the state's water supply, an increase in air pollution caused by higher temperatures, harm to agriculture, an increase in wildfires, damage to the coastline, and economic losses caused by higher food, water, energy, and insurance prices. The bill also states that technological solutions to reduce GHG emissions would stimulate California's economy and provide jobs. In 2004, the State of California submitted a request for a waiver from federal clean air regulations, as the State is authorized to do under the Clean Air Act, to allow the State to require reduced tailpipe emissions of CO₂.

In late 2007, the U.S. EPA denied California's waiver request and declined to promulgate adequate federal regulations limiting GHG emissions. In early 2008, the State brought suit against the U.S. EPA related to this denial.

In January 2009, President Obama instructed the U.S. EPA to reconsider the Bush Administration's denial of California's and 13 other states' requests to implement global warming pollution standards for cars and trucks. In June 2009, the U.S. EPA granted California's waiver request, enabling the State to enforce its GHG emissions standards for new motor vehicles beginning with the current model year.

Also in 2009, President Obama announced a national policy aimed at both increasing fuel economy and reducing GHG pollution for all new cars and trucks sold in the US. The new standards would cover model years 2012 to 2016 and would raise passenger vehicle fuel economy to a fleet average of 35.5 miles per gallon by 2016. When the national program takes effect, California has committed to allowing automakers who show compliance with the national program to also be deemed in compliance with state requirements. California is committed to further strengthening these standards beginning in 2017 to obtain a 45 percent GHG reduction from the 2020 model year vehicles.

Executive Order No. S-3-05

Executive Order S-3-05 (State of California) proclaims that California is vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. It declares that increased temperatures could reduce the Sierra's snowpack, further exacerbate California's air quality problems, and potentially cause a rise in sea levels. To combat those concerns, the Executive Order established total greenhouse gas emission targets. Specifically, emissions are to be reduced to the 2000 level by 2010, to the 1990 level by 2020, and to 80 percent below the 1990 level by 2050.

The Executive Order directed the secretary of the California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA) to coordinate a multi-agency effort to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to the target levels. The secretary will also submit biannual reports to the governor and state legislature describing (1) progress made toward reaching the emission targets, (2) impacts of global warming on California's resources, and (3) mitigation and adaptation plans to combat these impacts. To comply with the Executive Order, the secretary of CalEPA created a Climate Action Team made up of members from various state agencies and commissions. The Climate Action Team released its first report in March 2006 and continues to release periodic reports on progress. The report proposed to achieve the targets by building on voluntary actions of California businesses, local government and community actions, as well as through state incentive and regulatory programs.

Assembly Bill 32 - California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006

AB 32 (Health and Safety Code Sections 38500, 38501, 28510, 38530, 38550, 38560, 38561–38565, 38570, 38571, 38574, 38580, 38590, 38592–38599) requires that statewide GHG emissions be reduced to 1990 levels by the year 2020. The gases that are regulated by AB 32 include carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, nitrogen trifluoride, and sulfur hexafluoride. The reduction to 1990 levels will be accomplished through an enforceable statewide cap on GHG emissions that will be phased in starting in 2012. To effectively implement the cap, AB 32 directs ARB to develop and implement regulations to reduce statewide GHG emissions from stationary sources. AB 32 specifies that regulations adopted in response to AB 1493 should be used to address GHG emissions from vehicles. However, AB 32 also includes language stating that if the AB 1493 regulations cannot be implemented, then ARB should develop new regulations to control vehicle GHG emissions under the authorization of AB 32.

AB 32 requires that ARB adopt a quantified cap on GHG emissions representing 1990 emissions levels and disclose how it arrives at the cap, institute a schedule to meet the emissions cap, and develop tracking, reporting, and enforcement mechanisms to ensure that the state achieves reductions in GHG emissions necessary to meet the cap. AB 32 also includes guidance to institute emissions reductions in an economically efficient manner and conditions to ensure that businesses and consumers are not unfairly affected by the reductions.

Climate Change Scoping Plan

In October 2008, ARB published its Climate Change Proposed Scoping Plan, which is the State's plan to achieve GHG reductions in California required by AB 32. The Scoping Plan contains the main strategies California will implement to achieve reduction of 169 million metric tons of CO₂e, or approximately 30 percent from the state's projected 2020 emissions level of 596 MMTCO₂e under a business-as-usual scenario (this is a reduction of 42 MMTCO₂e, or almost 10 percent, from 2002–2004 average emissions). The Scoping Plan also includes ARB-recommended GHG reductions for each emissions sector of the state's GHG inventory. The largest proposed GHG reduction recommendations are from improving emissions standards for light-duty vehicles (estimated reductions of 31.7 MMTCO₂e), implementation of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard (15.0 MMTCO₂e) program, energy efficiency measures in buildings and appliances and the widespread development of combined heat and power systems (26.3 MMTCO₂e), and a renewable portfolio standard for electricity production (21.3 MMTCO₂e). The Scoping Plan identifies the local equivalent of AB 32 targets as a 15 percent reduction below baseline GHG emissions level, with baseline interpreted as GHG emissions levels between 2003 and 2008.

A key component of the Scoping Plan is the Renewable Portfolio Standard, which is intended to increase the percentage of renewables in California's electricity mix to 33 percent by year 2020, resulting in a reduction of 21.3 MMTCO₂e. Sources of renewable energy include, but are not limited to, biomass, wind, solar, geothermal, hydroelectric, and anaerobic digestion. Increasing the use of renewables will decrease California's reliance on fossil fuels, thus reducing GHG emissions.

The Scoping Plan states that land use planning and urban growth decisions will play important roles in the state's GHG reductions because local governments have primary authority to plan, zone, approve, and permit how land is developed to accommodate population growth and the changing needs of their jurisdictions. (Meanwhile, ARB is also developing an additional protocol for community emissions.) ARB further acknowledges that decisions on how land is used will have large impacts on the GHG emissions that will result from the transportation, housing, industry, forestry, water, agriculture, electricity, and natural gas emissions sectors. The Scoping Plan states that the ultimate GHG reduction assignment to local government operations is to be determined. With regard to land use planning, the Scoping Plan expects approximately 5.0 MMTCO_2 e will be achieved associated with implementation of Senate Bill 375, which is discussed further below. The Climate Change Proposed Scoping Plan was approved by ARB on December 11, 2008.

The First Update of the Scoping Plan was approved by the ARB on May 22, 2014, which looked past 2020 to set mid-term goals (2030-2035) on the road to reaching the 2050 goals.

Senate Bill 1368

Senate Bill (SB) 1368 (codified at Public Utilities Code Chapter 3) is the companion bill of AB 32. SB 1368 required the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to establish a GHG emissions performance standard for baseload generation from investor-owned utilities by February 1, 2007. The bill also required the California Energy Commission (CEC) to establish a similar standard for local publicly owned utilities by June 30, 2007. These standards cannot exceed the GHG emission rate from a baseload combined-cycle natural-gas-fired plant. The legislation further requires that all electricity provided to California, including imported electricity, must be generated from plants that meet the standards set by the CPUC and the CEC.

Senate Bill 1078 and Governor's Order S-14-08 (California Renewables Portfolio Standards)

Senate Bill 1078 (Public Utilities Code Sections 387, 390.1, 399.25 and Article 16) addresses electricity supply and requires that retail sellers of electricity, including investor-owned utilities and community choice aggregators, provide a minimum 20 percent of their supply from renewable sources by 2017. This Senate Bill will affect statewide GHG emissions associated with electricity generation. In 2008, Governor Schwarzenegger signed Executive Order S-14-08, which set the Renewables Portfolio Standard target to 33 percent by 2020. It directed state government agencies and retail sellers of electricity to take all appropriate actions to implement this target. Executive Order S-14-08 was later superseded by Executive Order S-21-09 on September 15, 2009. Executive Order S-21-09 directed the ARB to adopt regulations requiring 33 percent of electricity sold in the State come from

renewable energy by 2020. This Executive Order was superseded by statute SB X1-2 in 2011, which obligates all California electricity providers, including investor-owned utilities and publicly owned utilities, to obtain at least 33 percent of their energy from renewable electrical generation facilities by 2020, with interim targets of 20 percent by 2013 and 25 percent by 2016.

ARB is required by current law, AB 32 of 2006, to regulate sources of GHGs to meet a state goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels by 2020 and an 80 percent reduction of 1990 levels by 2050. The CEC and CPUC serve in advisory roles to help ARB develop the regulations to administer the 33 percent by 2020 requirement. ARB is also authorized to increase the target and accelerate and expand the time frame.

Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Reporting of greenhouse gases by major sources is required by the California Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32, 2006). Revisions to the existing ARB mandatory GHG reporting regulation were considered at the board hearing on December 16, 2010. The revised regulation was approved by the California Office of Administrative Law and became effective on January 1, 2012. The revised regulation affects industrial facilities, suppliers of transportation fuels, natural gas, natural gas liquids, liquefied petroleum gas, and carbon dioxide, operators of petroleum and natural gas systems, and electricity retail providers and marketers.

Cap-and-Trade Regulation

The cap-and-trade regulation is a key element in California's climate plan. It sets a statewide limit on sources responsible for 85 percent of California's greenhouse gas emissions, and establishes a price signal needed to drive long-term investment in cleaner fuels and more efficient use of energy. The cap-and-trade rules came into effect on January 1, 2013 and apply to large electric power plants and large industrial plants. In 2015, they will extend to fuel distributors (including distributors of heating and transportation fuels). At that stage, the program will encompass around 360 businesses throughout California and nearly 85 percent of the state's total greenhouse gas emissions.

Under the cap-and-trade regulation, companies must hold enough emission allowances to cover their emissions, and are free to buy and sell allowances on the open market. California held its first auction of greenhouse gas allowances on November 14, 2012. California's GHG cap-and-trade system will reduce GHG emissions from regulated entities by approximately 16 percent, or more, by 2020.

CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE

The California Building Code contains standards that regulate the method of use, properties, performance, or types of materials used in the construction, alteration, improvement, repair, or rehabilitation of a building or other improvement to real property. The California Building Code is adopted every three years by the Building Standards Commission (BSC). In the interim, the BSC also adopts annual updates to make necessary mid-term corrections. The CBC standards apply statewide; however, a local jurisdiction may amend a CBC standard if it makes a finding that the amendment is reasonably necessary due to local climatic, geological, or topographical conditions.

Green Building Standards

In essence, green buildings standards are indistinguishable from any other building standards. Both are contained in the California Building Code and regulate the construction of new buildings and improvements. The only practical distinction between the two is that whereas the focus of traditional building standards has been protecting public health and safety, the focus of green building standards is to improve environmental performance.

AB 32, which mandates the reduction in greenhouse gas emissions in California to 1990 levels by 2020, increased the urgency around the adoption of green building standards. In its scoping plan for the implementation of AB 32, the ARB identified energy use as the second largest contributor to California's GHG emissions, constituting roughly 25 percent of all such emissions. In recommending a green building strategy as one element of the scoping plan, the ARB estimated that green building standards would reduce GHG emissions by approximately 26 million metric tons of CO_2e (MMTCO₂e) by 2020 (ARB 2015c).

2013 Green Building Code

The 2013 California Green Building Standards Code is a code with mandatory and/or voluntary requirements for new residential and nonresidential buildings throughout California. The code is also known as the CALGreen Code. In short, the code is established to reduce construction waste, make buildings more efficient in the use of materials and energy and reduce environmental impact during and after construction. In addition to the new statewide mandates, the code encourages local governments to adopt more stringent voluntary provisions, known as Tier 1 and Tier 2 provisions, to further reduce greenhouse gas emissions, improve energy efficiency, and conserve natural resources. If a local government adopts one of the tiers, the provisions become mandates for all new construction within that jurisdiction.

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT

The SLOAPCD is a local public agency with the primary mission of realizing and preserving clean air for all county residents and businesses. Responsibilities of the SLOAPCD include, but are not limited to, preparing plans for the attainment of ambient air quality standards, adopting and enforcing rules and regulations concerning sources of air pollution, issuing permits for stationary sources of air pollution, inspecting stationary sources of air pollution and responding to citizen complaints, monitoring ambient air quality and meteorological conditions, and implementing programs and regulations required by federal and state regulatory requirements.

GHG Significance Thresholds

The SLOAPCD has adopted recommended GHG significance thresholds. These thresholds are based on AB 32 GHG emission reduction goals, which take into consideration the emission reduction strategies outlined in ARB's Scoping Plan. The GHG significance thresholds include one qualitative threshold and two quantitative thresholds options for evaluation of operational GHG emissions. The qualitative threshold option is based on a consistency analysis in comparison to a Qualified Greenhouse Gas Reduction Strategy, or equitably similar adopted policies, ordinances and programs. If a project complies with a Qualified Greenhouse Gas Reduction Strategy that is specifically applicable to the project, then the project would be considered to have a less-than-significant impact. The two quantitative threshold options include: 1) a bright-line threshold of 1,150 MTCO₂e/year; and 2) an efficiency threshold of 4.9 MTCO₂e/service population (residents+employees)/year. An additional GHG significance threshold to be used would depend on the type of project being proposed. Projects with GHG emissions that do not exceed the selected threshold would be considered to have a less-than-significant impact and would not conflict with applicable GHG-reduction plans, policies, or regulations. The SLOAPCD's GHG emission thresholds are summarized in Table 16.

Project	Draft Threshold
Projects other than Stationary Sources	1. Compliance with Qualified GHG Reduction Strategy; or
	2. 1,150 MT CO ₂ e/year; or
	3. 4.9 MT CO ₂ e/SP/year (residents+employees)
Stationary Sources (Industrial)	10,000 MT CO ₂ e/year
Construction	Amortized over the project life and added to operation GHG emissions
Source: SLOAPCD 2012	

Table 16SLOAPCD Greenhouse Gas Thresholds of Significance

CITY OF PASO ROBLES CLIMATE ACTION PLAN

The City of Paso Robles Climate Action Plan (CAP) was adopted by the City Council on November 18th, 2013. The CAP is a long-range plan to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from City government operations and community activities within Paso Robles and prepare for the anticipated effects of climate change. The CAP will also help achieve multiple community goals such as lowering energy costs, reducing air pollution, supporting local economic development, and improving public health and quality of life (City of Paso Robles, 2013).

According to the GHG emissions inventory identified in the CAP, in 2005, the Paso Robles community emitted approximately 169,557 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent GHG emissions (MTCO₂e), as a result of activities that took place within the transportation, residential energy use, commercial and industrial energy use, off-road vehicles and equipment, solid waste, aircraft and wastewater sectors. As shown in Figure 3, the largest contributors of GHG emissions were the transportation (40 percent), residential energy use (24 percent) and commercial/industrial energy use (20 percent) sectors. The remainder of emissions resulted from the solid waste (eight percent), off-road vehicles and equipment (8 percent), aircraft (less than one percent), and wastewater (less than one percent) sectors (City of Paso Robles, 2013).



City of Paso Robles, 2013

In accordance with SLOAPCD-recommended significance thresholds, as discussed above, projects that are determined to be consistent with the GHG-reduction plan, or in this case the CAP, would be considered to have a less-than-significant impact. To assist with this determination, the CAP includes a worksheet that identifies various "mandatory", as well as, "voluntary" measures. All "mandatory" actions must be incorporated as binding and enforceable components of the project to be considered consistent with the CAP. If a project cannot meet one or more of the "mandatory" actions, substitutions may be allowed provided equivalent reductions can be achieved. In addition, to demonstrate consistency with the CAP, all required measures must be incorporated as binding and enforceable components of the project.

IMPACT ANALYSIS

GHG impacts attributable to the proposed project are summarized in Table 17.

GHG Impacts	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
A) Would the project generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?		•		
B) Would the project conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?		•		

Table 17Summary of Project-Related Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impacts

METHODOLOGY

The methodologies used for quantification of GHG emissions are consistent with those discussed earlier in this report for the quantification of criteria air pollutants. Modeling assumptions and output files are included in Appendix D of this report.

THRESHOLDS OF SIGNIFICANCE

In accordance with SLOAPCD recommended significance thresholds, the proposed project would be considered to have a potentially significant impact on the environment if project-generated emissions would exceed 1,150 MTCO₂e/year.

The City of Paso Robles CAP includes a "Consistency Worksheet", which identifies various mandatory and voluntary actions designed to reduce GHG emissions. The *CAP Consistency Worksheet* can be used to demonstrate project-level compliance with the CAP. Consistency with the City of Paso Robles CAP would be considered potentially significant if the proposed project does not incorporate, at a minimum, the mandatory project-level GHG-reduction measures, as identified in the *CAP Consistency Worksheet*.

PROJECT IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact GHG-A. Would the project generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment? and
Impact GHG-B. Would the project conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

Estimated GHG emissions attributable to future development would be primarily associated with increases of CO_2 from mobile sources. To a lesser extent, other GHG pollutants, such as CH_4 and N_2O , would also be generated. Short-term and long-term GHG emissions associated with the development of the proposed project are discussed in greater detail, as follows:

Short-term Construction GHG Emissions

Estimated increases in GHG emissions associated with construction of the proposed project are summarized in Table 18. Based on the modeling conducted, annual GHG emissions associated with construction of the main hotel would total approximately $643.4 \text{ MTCO}_2 e$. Future construction of the proposed second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge

would generate an additional 519 MTCO₂e. Amortized GHG emissions, when averaged over the assumed 25-year life of the project, would total approximately 46.5 MTCO₂e/year. There would also be a small amount of GHG emissions from waste generated during construction; however, this amount is speculative. Actual emissions may vary, depending on the final construction schedules, equipment required, and activities conducted.

Construction Year	GHG Emissions (MTCO2 <i>e</i> /Year)
Main Hotel	643.4
Second Hotel, Boutique Hotel, & Lodge	519.0
Construction Total	1,162.4
Amortized Net Change in Construction Emissions	46.5
Amortized emissions are quantified based on an estimated 25-year project life. Refer to Appendix D for modeling assumptions and results.	

 Table 18

 Construction-Generated GHG Emissions Without Mitigation

Long-term Operational GHG Emissions

Estimated long-term increases in GHG emissions associated with the proposed project are summarized in Tables 19 through 21. As depicted, operational GHG emissions for the main hotel would total approximately 1,904.6 $MTCO_2e/year$. Future construction of the second hotel, boutique hotel, and lodge would generate an additional 2,059.5 $MTCO_2e/year$. In total the project would generate roughly 3,964 $MTCO_2e/year$ under full buildout conditions. With the inclusion of amortized construction emissions and reductions associated with the removal of the existing residential dwelling, the project would result in an estimated overall net increase of approximately 3,997 $MTCO_2e/year$. A majority of the increased GHG emissions would be associated with energy use and the operation of motor vehicles. GHG emissions would also be associated with solid waste generation, as well as, water use and conveyance.

Based on the modeling conducted, net increases in GHG emissions would exceed the SLOAPCD's significance threshold of 1,150 MTCO₂e/year. If unmitigated, project-generated GHG emissions would also conflict with GHG-reduction planning efforts, including the City of Paso Robles CAP. As a result, net increases in project-generated GHG emissions would result in a *potentially significant* impact.

Source	GHG Emissions (MTCO2 <i>e</i> /Year)
Area Source	0.01
Energy Use	923.7
Motor Vehicles	937.8
Waste Generation	33.9
Water Use and Conveyance	9.3
Total Project-Generated Emissions	1,904.6
Refer to Appendix D for modeling assumptions and results.	

 Table 19

 Operational GHG Emissions Without Mitigation – Main Hotel

Table 20 **Operational GHG Emissions Without Mitigation –** Second Hotel, Boutique Hotel & Lodge

Source	GHG Emissions (MTCO2 <i>e</i> /Year)
Area Source	0.01
Energy Use	997.5
Motor Vehicles	1,013.4
Waste Generation	38.6
Water Use and Conveyance	9.9
Total Project-Generated Emissions	2,059.5
SLOAPCD Significance Threshold	1,150
Exceeds Significance Threshold?	No
Refer to Appendix D for modeling assumptions and results.	

Table 21 Summary of Total Operational GHG Emissions Without Mitigation

Project Phase	GHG Emissions (MTCO ₂ <i>e</i> /Year)
Main Hotel	1,904.6
Second Hotel, Boutique, and Lodge	2,059.5
Total Project-Generated Emissions	3,964.1
Amortized Construction Emissions	46.5
Removed Emissions	-13.3
Net Increase	3,997.3
SLOAPCD Significance Threshold	1,150
Exceeds Significance Threshold?	Yes
Refer to Appendix D for modeling assumptions and results	

Mitigation Measures

GHG-1: The proposed project shall implement, at a minimum, the following GHG-reduction measures:

- a. Install high efficiency lights in parking lots, streets, and other public areas.
- b. Comply with mandatory California Green Building Standards Code bicycle parking standards.
- c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards.
- d. Incorporate a pedestrian access network that internally links all uses and connects all existing or planned external streets and pedestrian facilities contiguous with the project site.
- e. The project site shall be designed to minimize barriers to pedestrian access and interconnectivity.
- f. Implement traffic calming improvements as appropriate (e.g., marked crosswalks, count-down signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised crosswalks, median islands, mini-circles, tight corner radii, etc.).
- g. Comply with CALGreen Tier 1 or Tier 2 standards for water efficiency and conservation.
- h. Divert 65 percent of non-hazardous construction or demolition debris.
- Include the planting of native and drought tolerant trees beyond those required as mitigation for tree i. removal.
- Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-2. j.
- k. Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-3,e-k.

Air Quality & Greenhouse Gas Impact Assessment Destino Paso Resort Hotel Project

Significance After Mitigation

As discussed earlier in this report, the *City of Paso Robles CAP* is a long-range plan to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from City government operations and community activities within Paso Robles and prepare for the anticipated effects of climate change. The CAP will also help achieve multiple community goals such as lowering energy costs, reducing air pollution, supporting local economic development, and improving public health and quality of life (City of Paso Robles, 2013). To help achieve these goals, the CAP includes a "Consistency Worksheet", which identifies various mandatory and voluntary actions designed to reduce GHG emissions. The *CAP Consistency Worksheet* for the proposed project is included in Appendix C.

With implementation of Mitigation Measure GHG-1, the proposed project would be consistent with the City's CAP. Mitigation Measure AQ-2, includes additional measures that would further reduce GHG-emissions, including designated parking space for alternatively fueled vehicles, the installation of energy-saving systems in hotel guest rooms, and the installation of onsite bicycle facilities in excess of current building standards. Implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-3,e-k, would help to reduce short-term GHG emissions, including emissions of black carbon. With mitigation, increased GHG emissions associated with the proposed project would be considered to have a *less-than-significant* impact and would not conflict with GHG-reduction planning efforts, including the *City of Paso Robles CAP*.

REFERENCES

- California Air Resources Board (ARB). 2000. *Diesel Risk Reduction Plan*. Available at url: http://www.arb.ca.gov/diesel/ documents/rrpapp.htm.
- California Air Resources Board (ARB). April 2005. Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective.
- California Air Resources Board (ARB). Accessed August 20, 2016(a). Air Quality Standards and Area Designations. Website url: http://www.arb.ca.gov/desig/desig.htm
- California Air Resources Board (ARB). Accessed August 20, 2015(b). ARB Health-Related Fact Sheets. Website url: http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/health/fs/fs.htm

California Air Resources Board (ARB). Accessed August 20, 2015(c). California Green Building Strategy. Website url: http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/greenbuildings/greenbuildings.htm

Central Coast Transportation Consulting. May 2016. Destino Paso Resort Hotel Draft Transportation Impact Analysis.

- City of Paso Robles. 2013. City of Paso Robles Climate Action Plan.
- County of San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District (SLOAPCD). December 2001. Clean Air Plan.

County of San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District (SLOAPCD). July 2010. 2008-2009 Annual Air Quality Report.

County of San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District (SLOAPCD). 2012. CEQA Air Quality Handbook.

- County of San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District (SLOAPCD). Accessed June 5, 2016. *About Air Quality*. Website http://www.slocleanair.org/air-quality/about.php.
- County of San Luis Obispo. Accessed May 25, 2016. Permit View. Website url: http://www.sloplanning.org/PermitView/MapSearch.
- Stanton Architecture (SA). 2016. Site Plan: Destino Paso Resort Hotel, Paso Robles, CA.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). 2008a. Climate Change Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Carbon Dioxide.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). 2008b. SF₆ Emission Reduction Partnership for Electric Power Systems: Basic Information. Website url: http://www.epa.gov/electricpower-sf6/basic.html.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). 2013. EPA and NHTSA Adopt First-Ever Program to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Improve Fuel Efficiency of Medium- and Heavy-Duty Vehicles.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). Accessed: August 20, 2015. Overview of Greenhouse Gases. Website url: http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/gases.html

APPENDIX A

SLOAPCD ASBESTOS DEMOLITION/ RENOVATION NOTIFICATION FORM



3433 Roberto Court, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 805-781-5912 - FAX: 805-781-1002

Naturally Occurring Asbestos Construction and Grading Project Form

Applicant In	nformation/Prop	erty Owner	Project Name	
Address			Project Address	
City, State,	Zīp		City, State, Zip	
Email for Co	ontact Person		Project Site Latitude, Longitude	Assessors Parcel Number
Phone Num	ber	Date Submitted	Agent	Phone Numbe r
Check Applicable	(attach ap	DESCRIPTION plicable required information)	APCD REQUIREMENT 1	APCD REQUIREMENT 2
	Project is subje (See Website M http://www.skd	ct to ATCM regulation but exempt ap) eanair.org/business/pdf/serpentine-	Geological Evaluation	Exemption Request Form
	Project is subje project is distu	ct to ATCM regulation and rbing more than one acre	Geological Evaluation	Dust Control Measure Plan
	Project is subje disturbing less	ct to ATCM regulation and project is than one acre	Geological Evaluation	Mini Dust Control Measure Plan

Please note that the applicant will be invoiced for any associated fees.

REQUIRED APPLICANT SIGNATURE:

Legal Declaration/Authorized Signature

Date

	APCD OFF	ICE USE ONLY	
Geological Evaluation	Exemption Request Form	Dust Control Measure Plan	Monitoring, Health and Safety Plan
Approved Yes 🔲 No 🛄	Approved: Yes 🔲 No 🗐	Approved: Yes 🔲 No 🔲	Approved: Yes 🔲 No 🗖
Comments:	Comments.	Comments.	
APCD Staff:	Date Received:	Date Reviewed 015 Site #	DIS Project #
Invoice No.	Basic Fee	Additional Fees Billable Hrs	Total Fees



3433 Roberto Court, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 805-781-5912 - FAX: 805-781-1002

Naturally Occurring Asbestos Construction & Grading Project Exemption Request Form

Applicant Information	n/ Property Owner	Project Name	
Address		Project Address	
City, State, Zip		City, State, Zip	
Email Address		Project Site Latitude, Longitude	Assessors Parcel Number
Phone Number	Date Submitted	Agent	Phone Number

The District may provide an exemption from Section 93105 of the California Code of Regulations - Asbestos Airborne Toxic Control Measure For Construction, Grading, Quarrying, And Surface Mining Operations for any property that has any portion of the area to be disturbed located in a geographic ultramafic rock unit; if a registered geologist has conducted a geologic evaluation of the property and determined that no serpentine or ultramafic rock is likely to be found in the area to be disturbed. Before an exemption can be granted, the owner/operator must provide a copy of a report detailing the geologic evaluation to the District for consideration. The District will approve or deny the exemption within 90 days. An outline of the required geological evaluation is provided in the District handout "ASBESTOS AIRBORNE TOXIC CONTROL MEASURES FOR CONSTRUCTION, GRADING, QUARRYING, AND SURFACE MINING OPERATIONS – Geological Evaluation Requirements." See the APCD Website map: http://www.slocleanair.org/business/asbestos.php

NOTE: A basic exemption evaluation fee of \$172.00 will be charged.

APPLICANT MUST SIGN BELOW:

I request the San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District grant this project exemption from the requirements of the ATCM based on the attached geological evaluation.

OF Particul	FICE USE ONLY - APCD Required Eler	ment – Geological Evalua	LOIS Design to
Date Received:	Date Nevieweu,	OLD DIVE #1	OLS Project #1
	APCD Staff;	Approved	Not Approved
Comments:	- k		

ASBESTOS DEMOLITION/RENOVATION NOTIFICATION FORM GENERAL INFORMATION

The asbestos NESHAP, 40 CFR, Part 61, Subpart M, requires written notification of demolition or renovation operations under Section 61.145. Only complete notification forms are acceptable. A complete accredited asbestos survey must accompany the notification in order to be complete. Incomplete notification may result in enforcement action.

The original notification should be typewritten and postmarked or delivered no later than ten working days prior to the beginning of the asbestos removal activity (dates specified in Section VIII) or demolition (dates specified in Section DX). Notification fees apply (See attached fee schedule). Please submit the notification form to:



Mark Elliott, Air Quality Specialist Enforcement Division 3433 Roberto Court San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 (805) 781-5912 Phone (805) 781-1002 Fax Tun Fuhs, Air Quality Specialist Enforcement Division 3433 Roberto Court San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 (805) 781-5912 Phone (805) 781-1002 Fax

Revisions are required if there are any changes to removal or demolition dates, amounts of asbestos present or removed, or to contractors, transporters, or disposal site. There is a \$115.00 Revision Fee. Revisions may be faxed to the fax number above.

- I. Type of Notification: Enter "O" if the notification is a first time or original notification, "R" if the notification is a revision of a prior notification, or "C" if the activity has been cancelled.
- II. Facility Information: Enter the names, addresses, contact persons and telephone numbers of the following.

Owner: Legal owner of the site at which asbestos is being removed or demolition planned.

Removal Contractor: Contractor hired to remove asbestos.

Other Operator: Demolition contractor, general contractor, or any other person who leases, operates, controls, or supervises the site.

If known, the name of the site supervisor should be entered as the contact person for the notification. If additional parties share responsibility for the site, demolition activity, renovations or ACM removal, include complete information (including name, address, contact person and telephone number) on additional sheets submitted with the form.

- III Type of Operation: Enter "D" for facility demolition, "R" for facility renovation, "O" for ordered demolitions, or "E" for emergency renovations.
- IV. Is Asbestos Present? Answer "Yes" or "No" regardless of the amount or type of asbestos. Pursuant to Section 61.145.a, submit a complete accredited asbestos survey with this notification.
- V. Facility Description: Provide detailed information on the areas being renovated or demolished. If applicable, provide the floor numbers and room numbers where renovations are to be conducted.

Site Location: Provide information needed to locate site in the event that the address alone is inadequate.

Building Size: Provide in square meters or square feet.

No. of Floors: Enter the number of floors including basement or ground level floors.

Age in Years: Enter approximate age of the facility.

Present Use/Prior Use: Describe the primary use of the facility or enter the following codes: H - Hospital; S - School; P - Public Building; O - Office; I - Industrial; U - University or College; B - Ship; C - Commercial; or R - Residence.

- VI. Asbestos Detection Procedure: Describe methods and procedures used to determine whether ACM is present at the site, including a description of the analytical methods employed. This must be performed by a licensed asbestos consultant or site surveillance technician.
- VII. Approximate Amount of Asbestos Including: (1) Regulated ACM to be removed (including nonfriable ACM to be sanded, ground or abraded); (2) Category I ACM not removed, and (3) Category II ACM not removed.

For both removals and demolitions, enter the amount of RACM to be removed by entering a number in the appropriate box and an "X" for the unit. For demolitions only, enter the amount of Category I and II nonfriable asbestos not to be removed in the appropriate boxes.

Category I nonfriable material includes packing, gaskets, resilient floor covering and asphalt roofing materials containing more than one percent asbestos. Category II nonfriable material includes any material, excluding Category I products, containing more than one percent asbestos, that when dry, cannot be crumbled, pulverized or reduced to powder.

- VIII. Scheduled Dates of Asbestos Removal (MM/DD/YY): Enter scheduled dates (month/day/year) for asbestos removal work. Asbestos removal work includes any activity, including site preparation, which may break up, dislodge or disturb asbestos material.
- IX. Scheduled Dates of Demo/Renovation (MM/DD/YY): Enter scheduled dates (month/day/year) for beginning and ending the planned demolition or renovation.
- X. Description of Planned Demolition or Renovation Work and Method(s) to be Used: Include in this description of the demolition and renovation techniques to be used and a description of the areas and types of facility components which will be affected by this work.
- XI. Description of Engineering Controls and Work Practices to be Used to Control Emissions of Asbestos at the Demolition and Renovation Site: Describe the work practices and engineering controls selected to ensure compliance with the requirements of the regulations, including both asbestos removal and waste-handling emission control procedures.
- XII. Waste Transporter: Name, address and telephone number of the asbestos waste transporter.
- XIII. Waste Disposal Site: Identify the waste disposal site, including the complete name, location and telephone number of the facility. If ACM is to be disposed of at more than one site, provide complete information on an additional sheet submitted with the form.
- XIV. If Demolition Ordered by a Government Agency, please identify the Agency below: Provide the name of the responsible official, title and agency, authority under which the order was issued, the dates of the order and the dates of the ordered demolition.
- XV. Emergency Renovation Information: Provide the date and time of the emergency, a description of the event and a description of unsafe conditions, equipment damage or financial burden resulting from the event. The information should be detailed enough to evaluate whether a renovation falls within the emergency exception.
- XVI. Description of Procedures to be Followed in the Event that Unexpected Asbestos is Found or Previously Nonfriable Asbestos Material Becomes Crumbled, Pulverized or Reduced to Powder: Provide adequate information to demonstrate that appropriate actions have been considered and can be implemented to control asbestos emissions adequately, including at a minimum, conformance with applicable work practice standards.
- XVII Certification of Presence of Trained Supervisor: One year after promulgation of the applicable regulation, the notifier must certify that a person trained in asbestos-removal procedures will supervise the demolition or renovation. The supervisor is responsible for the activity on-site. Evidence that the training has been completed by the supervisor must be available for inspection during normal business hours.
- XVIII. Certification: Please certify the accuracy and completeness of the information provided by signing and dating the notification form.

Asbestos NESHAP Fees

Demolition Projects Without Asbestos	
Notification Fee	\$ 402.00
Demolition or Renovation Projects With Asbestos	
Less than 260 lineal feet of material; less than 160 square feet of material; or less than 35 cubic feet of material	\$ 402.00
260 lineal feet or more of material but less than 1,000 lineal feet of material; 160 square feet or more of material but less than 1,000 square feet of material; or 35 cubic feet or more of material but less than 1,000 cubic feet of material	\$ 632.00
1,000 lineal, square, or cubic feet or more of material but less than 10,000 lineal, square, or cubic feet of material	\$ 920.00
10,000 lineal, square, or cubic feet or more of material	\$1,495.00
Revisions	
Any notification revision	\$ 115.00

DEMOLITION: Notification and ten-working-day wait required on all subject demolitions even if Regulated Asbestos Containing Material (RACM) is not present.

RENOVATION: Notification and ten-working-day wait required on all subject renovations when RACM is more than threshold amount (threshold amounts: 260 LF, 160 SF, 35 CF). When RACM is below threshold amount, notification is not required.

RESIDENTIAL DEMOLITION AND RENOVATION: NESHAP notification requirements may not apply to a single family residential structure demolition or renovation project unless the residential property is subject to NESHAP by other means. Call the San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District (APCD) for applicability before you demolish any structure.

*Additional fees MAY apply to any project if significant APCD staff time is needed to determine compliance.

Annual notifications for small, unexpected jobs are assessed the appropriate fee and are due upon notification submittal.

For additional information, an Asbestos NESHAP Notification Form, or other Asbestos related issues, check our website at <u>www.slocleanair.org/business/asbestos.asp</u> or call the APCD at 805-781-5912.

NOTIFICATION OF DEMOLITION AND RENOVATION

OPE	DPERATOR PROJECT # POSTMARK			NOTIFICATION #			DATE	DATE RECEIVED		
I.	TYPE OF NOTIFICATION (O - Original R - Revised C			C - Cancelled (- Cancelled CO - Courtesy)			1.11		
Ц.	FACILITY INFORMATION (Identify Owner, Removal Contractor, and Other Operator)									
	UWNER NAME:									
	ADDRESS:			Sector Line						
_	CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:			-		
1	CONTACT: EMAIL		EMAIL:		1.1		п	LEPHONE		
	REMOVAL CONTRACTOR:									
_	ADDRESS:		4.5.5							
	CITY:			STATE:	E: ZIP:					
	CONTACT: EMAD		EMAIL:				T	TELEPHONE		
	OTHER OPERATOR:									
	ADDRESS:									
2	CITY:			STATE:	ZIP:					
	CONTACT:		EMAIL:	1			TE	LEPHONE:		
I.	TYPE OF OPERATION E - Emergency Renovation	D-Demo O- on/Demolition (Writh	Ordered D an approv	emo (Must have al/authorization i	written order issued by AP	r from mu CD)	nicipality)	R - Renovation		
v.	IS ASBESTOS PRESENT? Yes / No (Circle one) Attach an accredited asbestos survey in order to be accepted									
	FACILITY DESCRIPTION (Include building name, number, and floor or room number)									
	BUILDING NAME:									
	ADDRESS:	ADDRESS:								
	CITY:		STATE: C		COUL	UNTY:				
	SITE LOCATION:									
	BUILDING SIZE: N		N	UMBER OF FLOORS: AGE I		DN YEARS:				
	PRESENT USE:		PRIOR USE:							
Л.	PROCEDURE INCLUDING ANALYTICAL METHOD, IF APPROPRIATE, USED TO DETECT THE PRESENCE OF ASBESTOS MATERIAL									
Л	APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF 1. Regulated ACM to be removed 2. Category I ACM not removed 3. Category II ACM not removed			RACM TO BE REMOVED	NONFRIABLE ASBESTOS MATERIAL NOT TO BE REMOVED		NONFRIABLE ASBESTOS MATERIAL TO BE REMOVED		UNIT OF MEASURE	
-			1		CATI	CAT	CATI	CATI	h	
	PIPES				11		1.	-	Linear Feet	
	SURFACE AREA					1.0.1		1.0	Square Feet	
	VOL RACM OFF FACE	LITY COMPONENT			1	11.1.1			Cubic Feet	
Ш	SCHEDULED DATES ASBESTOS REMOVAL			START:		COMPLETE:				
T	NOTE: Date Changes Require Revisions Faxed to (805) 781-1002 and a per revision fee of \$115.00.									
x.	SCHEDULED DATES DEMO/RENOVATION NOTE: Date Changes Require Revisions Faxed to (805) 781-1002 per revision fee of \$115.00.			781-1002 and a	START: CO		COMPLETE)MPLETE:		

X.	DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED DEMOLITION OR RENOVATION WORK, AND METHOD(S) TO BE USED:							
XI.	DESCRIPTION OF WORK PRACTICES AND ENGINEERING CONTROLS AND TO BE USED TO PREVENT EMISSIONS OF ASBESTOS AT THE DEMOLITION AND RENOVATION SITE:							
XII.	ASBESTOS WASTE TRANSPORTER #1:							
	OWNER NAME:							
-	ADDRESS:	· · · ·						
ET I	CITY:	STATE:	ZIP:					
1	CONTACT:	TELEPHONE:						
1	ASBESTOS WASTE TRANSPORTER #2							
	NAME							
	ADDRESS:							
1. F.	СПУ:	STATE:	ZIP:					
11 1 1	CONTACT:	2 ° 0 ·	TELEPHONE:					
хш	ASBESTOS WASTE DISPOSAL SITE:							
1.15	NAME							
- -	ADDRESS:							
	CITY:	STATE:	ZIP:					
1.1.1	CONTACT:	TELEPHONE:						
XIV.	IF DEMOLITION ORDERED BY A GOVERNMENT AGENCY, PLEASE IDENTIFY THE AGENCY BELOW AND ATTACH ORDER.							
	NAME:							
	AUTHORITY:							
	DATE OF ORDER (MM/DD/YY):	DATE ORDERED	DATE ORDERED TO BEGIN (MM/DD/YY):					
5.00	ADDRESS:							
XV.	FOR EMERGENCY RENOVATIONS (Written authorization from the APCD is required):							
	DATE AND HOUR OR EMERGENCY (MM/DD/YY):							
-	DESCRIPTION OF THE SUDDEN, UNEXPECTED EVENT:							
	EXPLANATION OF HOW THE EVENT CAUSED UNSAFE CONDITIONS OR WOULD CAUSE EQUIPMENT DAMAGE OR AN UNREASONABLE FINANCIAL BURDEN:							
XVI.	DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURES TO BE FOLLOWED IN THE EVENT THAT UNEXPECTED ASBESTOS IS FOUND OR PREVIOUSLY NONFRIABLE ASBESTOS MATERIAL BECOMES CRUMBLED, PULVERIZED, OR REDUCED TO POWDER:							
XVII.	I CERTIFY THAT AN INDIVIDUAL TRAINED IN THE PROVISIONS OF THIS REGULATION (40 CFR PART 61, SUBPART M) WILL BE ON-SITE DURING THE DEMOLITION OR RENOVATION AND EVIDENCE THAT THE REQUIRED TRAINING HAS BEEN ACCOMPLISHED BY THIS PERSON WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR INSPECTION DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS (REQUIRED 1 YEAR AFTER PROMULGATION).							
	(Print Name)	(Signature of Owner/Operator)	(Date)					
XVIII.	I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS CORRECT.							
2	(Print Name)	(Date)						

NOTIFICATION OF DEMOLITION AND RENOVATION (Continued)
APPENDIX B

NATURALLY OCCURRING ASBESTOS ZONES



Source: SLOAPCD 2012

APPENDIX C

CONSISTENCY WITH CITY OF PASO ROBLES CLIMATE ACTION PLAN & SLOAPCD-RECOMMENDED STANDARD AIR QUALITY MITIGATION MEASURES

A. Project Information

Date:	6/12/2016			
Project Name:	PASO ROBLES RESORT HOTEL			
Project Address:	APNs 025-431-050, 025-436-029, 025-436-030			
Project Type:	Resort Lodging			
Project Size:	291 rooms total. 39.62 acres.			
Existing General Plan Land Use Designation(s):	PARKS OPEN SPACE / RESORT LODGING OVERLAY			
Proposed General Plan Land Use Designation(s):	N/A	Is Proposed Land Use Designation Consistent with Existing GP Land Use Designation(s)?:	Yes	No
Existing Zoning Designations(s):	PARKS OPEN SPACE / RESORT LODGING OVERLAY			
Proposed Zoning Designations(s):	N/A	Is Proposed Zoning Designation Consistent with Existing Zoning Designation(s)?:	Yes	No
Project Service Population (Residents + Employees):	N/A			
Brief Project Description:	The proposed project includes the construction of a total of four hotel include the construction of an approximate 136-room main hotel. A se constructed in future years, depending on market conditions.	facilities. The initial phase of construction is anticipated to begin in 2017 cond hotel, lodge, and boutique hotel totaling approximately 155 rooms	7 and w , may b	ould ie
Compliance Checklist Prepared By:	Kurt Legleiter, Principal, AMBIENT Air Quality & Noise Consulting, LL	c		
Compliance Checklist Prepared By:	Kurt Legleiter, Principal, AMBIENT Air Quality & Noise Consulting, LL	C ing/land-use-maps.asp		

*Existing Zoning Designations can be found at website url: http://www.prcity.com/Government/departments/commdev/planning/zoning.asp

PASO ROBLES RESORT HOTEL

B. CAP Measure Compliance Worksheet

Date:

Project Name:

6/12/2016

	THE OTHER THE OTHER THE			
Measure	Project Actions	Mandatory or Voluntary	Project Compliance (Yes/No/NA)	Details of Compliance*
Energy	and the second	and the second second	and the second second	
Measure E-4: Incentives for Exceeding Title 24 Energy Efficiency Building Standards	Does the project exceed 2013 Title 24 Building Energy Efficiency Standards?	Voluntary	Yes No N/A	
Measure E-5: Energy Efficient Public Realm Lighting Requirements	Does the project utilize high efficiency lights in parking lots, streets, and other public areas?	Mandatory	Yes No N/A	Yes. Mitigation has been included to require compliance with this measure.
Measure E-6: Small-Scale On-Site Solar PV Incentive Program	Does the project include installation of small-scale on- site solar PV systems and/or solar hot water heaters? If so, what type and how much renewable energy would be generated?	Voluntary	Yes No N/A	
Measure E-7: Income-Qualified Solar PV Program	Does the project include installation of small-scale on- site solar PV systems and/or solar hot water heaters on income-qualified housing units? If so, what type and how much renewable energy would be generated?	Voluntary	Yes No N/A	
Transportation and Land Use		and the second		
Measure TL-1: BicycleNetwork	For subdivisions and large developments, does the project incorporate bicycle lanes, routes, and/or shared- use paths into street systems to provide a continuous network of routes, facilitated with markings, signage, and bicycle parking?	Mandatory	Yes No N/A	Not Applicable. The project is not a residential development.
	For non-residential development, does the project comply with mandatory California Green Building Standards Code bicycle parking standards?	Mandatory	Yes No NA	Yes. Mitigation has been included to require compliance with this measure.
	Does the project incorporate bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required?	Voluntary	Yes No N/A	Yes. Mitigation has been included to require compliance with this measure.

Page 2 of 4

Page 3 of 4

B. CAP Measure Compliance Worksheet (Continued)

Date: Project Name: 6/12/2016 PASO ROBLES RESORT HOTEL

Measure	Project Actions	Mandatory or Voluntary	Project Compliance (Yes/No/NA)	Details of Compliance*
Transportation and Land Use (Contin	ued)	and the second		
Measure TL-2: Pedestrian Network	Does the project provide a pedestrian access network that internally links all uses and connects all existing or planned external streets and pedestrian facilities contiguous with the project site?	Mandatory	Yes No N/A	Yes. There are no existing bike paths/lanes in the project vicinity. However, Airport Road is planned for the construction of a future bike lane. This measure has been included as mitigation.
	Does project minimize barriers to pedestrian access and interconnectivity?	Mandatory	Yes No N/A	Yes. Mitigation has been included to provide a pedestrian interconnectivity between all proposed uses and to connect onsite uses to existing/planned pedestrian facilities contiguous with the project site.
	Does the project implement traffic calming improvements as appropriate (e.g., marked crosswalks, count-down signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised crosswalks, median islands, mini-circles, tight corner radii, etc.)?	Mandatory	Yes No N/A	Yee. Mitigation has been included to provide onsite traffic calming measures.
	Does the project incorporate pedestrian facilities and/or amenities beyond those required?	Voluntary	Yes No N/A	
Measure TL-3: Expand Transit Network	Does the project provide safe and convenient access to public transit within and/or contiguous to the project area?	Mandatory	Yes No N/A	Yee. There are no existing transit facilities contiguous to the project site. However, mitigation has been included to provide a shuttle service for guests to local destinations, including Paso Robles Transit/Amtrak. Station.
Measure TL-6: Parking Supply Management	Does the project include a reduced number of parking spaces or utilize shared parking?	Voluntary	Yes No N/A	
Measure TL-7: Electric Vehicle Network and Alternative Fueling Stations	Does the project include the installation of electric or other alternative fueling stations?	Voluntary	Yes No N/A	
Measure TL-8: Infill Development	Is the project consistent with the City's land use and zoning code?	Mandatory	Yes No N/A	Yes. The project site is zoned for resort lodging. The proposed project is consistent with current zoning.
	Does the project include any "smart growth" techniques, such as mixed use, higher density, and/or infill development near existing or planned transit routes, in existing community centers/downtowns, and/or in other designated areas?	Voluntary	Yes No N/A	

B. CAP Measure Compliance Worksheet (Continued)

Date:

Project Name:

6/12/2016

PASO ROBLES RESORT HOTEL

Measure	Project Actions	Mandatory or Voluntary	Project Compliance (Yes/No/NA)	Details of Compliance*
Off-Road			a line and a start of the	
Measure O-1: Equipment Upgrades, Retrofits, and Replacements	If the project involves construction or demolition, does equipment utilize low- or zero-emissions vehicles or equipment?	Voluntary	Yes No NA	Yes. Mitigation has been included to require compliance with this measure.
Water		at a second		
Measure W-1: Exceed SB X7-7 (Water Conservation Act of 2009), Water Conservation Target	Does the project meet CALGreen Tier 1 or Tier 2 standards for water efficiency and conservation?	Mandatory	Yes No N/A	Yes. Mitigation has been included to require compliance with this measure.
	Does the project incorporate grey Voluntary water or recycled water infrastructure?	Voluntary	Yes No N/A	
Solid Waste				
Measure S-1: Solid Waste Diversion Rate	If the project involves construction or demolition, will the contractor divert 85 percent of non-hazardous construction or demolition debris?	Mandatory	Yes No N/A	Yes. Mitigation has been included to require compliance with this measure.
	Does the project provide receptacles for the collection of organic waste?	Voluntary	Yes No N/A	
	Does the project include composting facilities?	Voluntary	Yes No N/A	
Tree Planting		and the second second		
Measure T-1: Tree Planting Program	Does the project include the planting of native and drought tolerant trees beyond those required as mitigation for tree removal? If so, how many?	Mandatory	Yes No NA	Yea. Mitigation has been included to require compliance with this measure.
	4			*

Page 4 of 4





Consistency with SLOAPCD-Recommended Standard Mitigation Measures for Operational Air Quality Impacts

SLOAPCD Standard Mitigation Measure	Proposed Mitigation Measure
1. Utilize green building materials (materials which are	Consistent. This measure has been included as a mitigation measure.
resource efficient, recycled, and sustainable)	
 Provide shade tree planting in parking lots to reduce evaporative emissions from parked vehicles. Design should provide 50% tree coverage within 10 years of construction using low ROG emitting, low maintenance native drought resistant trees. 	<i>Consistent.</i> This measure has been included as a mitigation measure.
3. Pave and maintain the roads and parking areas.	<i>Consistent</i> . This measure has been included in the project design.
 Plant drought tolerant, native shade trees along southern exposures of buildings to reduce energy used to cool buildings in summer. 	<i>Consistent</i> . This measure has been included as a mitigation measure.
5. Incorporate outdoor electrical outlets to encourage the use of electric appliances and tools.	<i>Consistent.</i> This measure has been included as a mitigation measure.
6. Install high-efficiency heating and cooling systems.	Consistent. This measure has been included as a mitigation measure.
7. Utilize high-efficiency gas or solar water heaters.	Consistent. This measure has been included as a mitigation measure.
8. Utilize built-in energy efficient appliances (i.e., Energy Star rated).	<i>Consistent.</i> This measure has been included as a mitigation measure.
9. Utilize double-paned windows.	<i>Consistent</i> . This measure has been included as a mitigation measure. This measure has been revised to state: "Utilize double- or triple- paned windows."
10. Utilize low energy street lights (i.e., sodium, light- emitting diode [LED]).	<i>Consistent.</i> This measure has been included as a mitigation measure. This measure has been revised to include light-emitting diode (LED) as an example.
11. Utilize energy-efficient interior lighting.	Consistent. This measure has been included as a mitigation measure.
12. Install door sweeps and weather stripping (if more efficient doors and windows are not available).	Consistent. This measure has been included as a mitigation measure.
13. Install energy-reducing programmable thermostats.	Consistent. This measure has been included as a mitigation measure.
14. Eliminate high water consumption landscape (e.g., plants and lawns) in residential design. Use native plants that do not require watering and are low ROG emitting.	<i>Consistent.</i> This measure has been included as a mitigation measure. This measure has been reworded to be consistent with GHG- reduction measures as follows: "Install low water consumption landscape. Use native plants that do not require watering after they are well established or minimal watering during the summer months and are low ROG emitting."
15. Develop recreational facility (e.g., parks, gym, pool, etc.) within one-quarter of a mile from site.	<i>Consistent</i> . Although the project does not include development of recreational facilities, the project site is located within ¹ / ₄ mile of Ravine Water Park.
16. Provide a designated parking space for alternatively fueled vehicles.	<i>Consistent</i> . This measure has been included as a mitigation measure.
17. Provide vanpool, shuttle, mini bus service (alternative fueled preferred).	<i>Consistent.</i> This measure has been revised to be consistent with recommended GHG-reduction measures, as follows: "Provide a shuttle service for guests to local destinations, including Paso Robles Transit/Amtrak Station."
18. Provide a pedestrian-friendly and interconnected streetscape to make walking more convenient, comfortable and safe (including appropriate signalization and signage).	<i>Consistent.</i> This measure has been revised to be consistent with recommended GHG-reduction measures, as follows: "Provide a pedestrian access network that internally links all uses and connects all existing or planned external streets and pedestrian facilities contiguous with the project site."
19. Provide secure on-site bicycle indoor storage, lockers, or Racks.	<i>Consistent.</i> This measure has been revised to be consistent with recommended GHG-reduction measures, as follows: "Provide onsite bicycle parking beyond those required by California Green Building Standards Code and related facilities to support long-term use (lockers, or a locked room with standard racks and access limited to bicyclists only)."

Consistency with SLOAPCD-Recommended Standard Mitigation Measures for Operational Air Quality Impacts

SLOAPCD Standard Mitigation Measure	Proposed Mitigation Measure				
20. Provide easements or land dedications and construct bikeways and pedestrian walkways.	<i>Consistent</i> . This measure has been included as a mitigation measure.				
21. Driveway design standards (e.g., speed bumps, curved driveway) for self-enforcing of reduced speed limits for unpaved driveways; and Implement on-site circulation design elements in parking lots to reduce vehicle queuing and improve the pedestrian environment.	<i>Consistent.</i> This measure has been revised to be consistent with recommended GHG-mitigation measures, as follows: "Implement traffic calming improvements as appropriate (e.g., marked crosswalks, count-down signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised crosswalks, median islands, mini-circles, tight corner radii, etc.)"				
The following additional mitigation measures have also been included:					
22. Install energy-saving systems in guest rooms that reduce energy usage when rooms are not occupied.					
23. Provide native and drought tolerant trees beyond the	bse required as mitigation for tree removal.				
The proposed mitigation measures identified in this table have be	en reviewed and approved by SLOAPCD.				

Summary of Proposed Mitigation Measures

Short-Term Construction

Mitigation Measures:

- AQ-1: The following measures shall be implemented to minimize construction-generated emissions. These measures shall be shown on grading and building plans:
 - a. Reduce the amount of the disturbed area where possible.
 - Use of water trucks or sprinkler systems in sufficient quantities to prevent airborne dust from leaving the site. Increased watering frequency would be required whenever wind speeds exceed 15 mph. Reclaimed (non-potable) water should be used whenever possible.
 - c. All dirt stock pile areas should be sprayed daily as needed.
 - d. Permanent dust control measures identified in the approved project revegetation and landscape plans should be implemented as soon as possible following completion of any soil disturbing activities;
 - e. Exposed ground areas that are planned to be reworked at dates greater than one month after initial grading should be sown with a fast germinating, non-invasive grass seed and watered until vegetation is established.
 - f. All disturbed soil areas not subject to revegetation should be stabilized using approved chemical soil binders, jute netting, or other methods approved in advance by the SLOAPCD.
 - g. All roadways, driveways, sidewalks, etc. to be paved should be completed as soon as possible. In addition, building pads should be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.
 - h. Vehicle speed for all construction vehicles shall not exceed 15 mph on any unpaved surface at the construction site.
 - All trucks hauling dirt, sand, soil, or other loose materials are to be covered or should maintain at least two feet of freeboard (minimum vertical distance between top of load and top of trailer) in accordance with CVC Section 23114.
 - j. Install wheel washers at the construction site entrance, wash off the tires or tracks of all trucks and equipment leaving the site, or implement other SLOAPCD-approved methods sufficient to minimize the track-out of soil onto paved roadways.
 - k. Sweep streets at the end of each day if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent paved roads. Water sweepers with reclaimed water should be used where feasible.
 - 1. The burning of vegetative material shall be prohibited.
 - m. The contractor or builder shall designate a person or persons to monitor the fugitive dust emissions and enhance the implementation of the measures as necessary to minimize dust complaints, reduce visible emissions below 20% opacity, and to prevent transport of dust offsite. Their duties shall include holidays and weekend periods when work may not be in progress. The name and telephone number of such persons shall be provided to the SLOAPCD Compliance Division prior to the start of any grading, earthwork or demolition.
 - n. Construction of the proposed project shall use low-VOC content paints not exceeding 50 grams per liter.
- AQ-3: The following measures shall be implemented to reduce expose of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. These measures shall be shown on grading and building plans:
 - a. Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-1, as identified in "Impact AQ-C", above.
 - b. Demolition of onsite structures shall comply with the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Emissions (NESHAP) requirements (NESHAP, 40 CFR, Part 61, Subpart M) for the demolition of existing structures. The SLOAPCD is delegated authority by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to implement the Federal Asbestos NESHAP. Prior to demolition of onsite structures, the SLOAPCD shall be notified, per NESHAP requirements. SLOAPCD notification form and reporting requirements are included in Appendix A. Additional information may be obtained at website url: http://slocleanair.org/business/asbestos.php.
 - c. If during demolition of existing structures, paint is separated from the construction materials (e.g. chemically or

Summary of Proposed Mitigation Measures

physically), the paint waste will be evaluated independently from the building material by a qualified hazardous materials inspector to determine its proper management. All hazardous materials shall be handled and disposed in accordance with local, state and federal regulations. According to the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), if paint is not removed from the building material during demolition (and is not chipping or peeling), the material can be disposed of as construction debris (a non-hazardous waste). The landfill operator will be contacted prior to disposal of building material debris to determine any specific requirements the landfill may have regarding the disposal of lead-based paint materials. The disposal of demolition debris shall comply with any such requirements. Contact the SLOAPCD Enforcement Division at (805) 781-5912 for more information. Approval of a lead work plan and permit may be required. Lead work plans, if required, will need to be submitted to SLOAPCD ten days prior to the start of demolition

- d. On-road diesel vehicles shall comply with Section 2485 of Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations. This regulation limits idling from diesel-fueled commercial motor vehicles with gross vehicular weight ratings of more than 10,000 pounds and licensed for operation on highways. It applies to California and non-California based vehicles. In general, the regulation specifies that drivers of said vehicles:
 - 1) Shall not idle the vehicle's primary diesel engine for greater than 5 minutes at any location, except as noted in Subsection (d) of the regulation; and,
 - 2) Shall not operate a diesel-fueled auxiliary power system to power a heater, air conditioner, or any ancillary equipment on that vehicle during sleeping or resting in a sleeper berth for greater than 5.0 minutes at any location when within 1,000 feet of a restricted area, except as noted in Subsection (d) of the regulation.
- e. Maintain all construction equipment in proper tune according to manufacturer's specifications;
- f. Fuel all off-road and portable diesel powered equipment with ARB certified motor vehicle diesel fuel (non-taxed version suitable for use off-road);
- g. Use diesel construction equipment meeting ARB's Tier 2 certified engines or cleaner off-road heavy-duty diesel engines, and comply with the State off-Road Regulation;
- h. Idling of all on and off-road diesel-fueled vehicles shall not be permitted when not in use. Signs shall be posted in the designated queuing areas and or job site to remind drivers and operators of the no idling limitation.
- i. Electrify equipment when possible;
- j. Substitute gasoline-powered in place of diesel-powered equipment, when available; and,
- k. Use alternatively fueled construction equipment on-site when available, such as compressed natural gas (CNG), liquefied natural gas (LNG), propane or biodiesel.

Long-Term Operation

- AQ-2: To reduce operational emissions, the proposed project shall implement the following measures. The project proponent shall submit proof to the Paso Robles Community Development Department Staff that implementation of all measures have been met in accordance with a time schedule deemed appropriate by Community Development Department staff.
 - a. Utilize green building materials (materials which are resource efficient, recycled, and sustainable) available locally if possible.
 - b. Provide shade tree planting in parking lots to reduce evaporative emissions from parked vehicles. Design should provide 50% tree coverage within 10 years of construction using low ROG emitting, low maintenance native drought resistant trees.
 - c. Pave and maintain roads in parking areas.
 - d. Plant drought tolerant native shade trees along southern exposures of buildings to reduce energy used to cool buildings in summer.
 - e. Provide native and drought tolerant trees beyond those required as mitigation for tree removal.
 - f. Incorporate outdoor electrical outlets to encourage the use of electric appliances and tools.

Summary of Proposed Mitigation Measures

- g. Install high-efficiency heating and cooling systems.
- h. Utilize high-efficiency gas or solar water heaters.
- i. Utilize built-in energy efficient appliances (i.e., Energy Star rated).
- j. Utilize double- or triple-paned windows.
- k. Utilize low energy street lights (i.e., sodium, light-emitting diode [LED]).
- 1. Utilize energy-efficient interior lighting.
- m. Install door sweeps and weather stripping (if more efficient doors and windows are not available).
- n. Install energy-reducing programmable thermostats.
- o. Install low water consumption landscape. Use native plants that do not require watering after they are well established or minimal watering during the summer months and are low ROG emitting.
- p. Provide a designated parking space for alternatively fueled vehicles.
- q. Provide a shuttle service for guests to local destinations, including Paso Robles Transit/Amtrak Station
- r. Install energy-saving systems in guest rooms that reduce energy usage when rooms are not occupied.
- s. Provide a pedestrian access network that internally links all uses and connects all existing or planned external streets and pedestrian facilities contiguous with the project site
- t. Provide on-site bicycle parking beyond those required by California Green Building Standards Code and related facilities to support long-term use (lockers, or a locked room with standard racks and access limited to bicyclists only).
- u. Implement traffic calming improvements as appropriate (e.g., marked crosswalks, count-down signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised crosswalks, median islands, mini-circles, tight corner radii, etc.)

GHG-1: The proposed project shall implement, at a minimum, the following GHG-reduction measures:

- a. Install high efficiency lights in parking lots, streets, and other public areas.
- b. Comply with mandatory California Green Building Standards Code bicycle parking standards.
- c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond those required in building standards.
- d. Incorporate a pedestrian access network that internally links all uses and connects all existing or planned external streets and pedestrian facilities contiguous with the project site.
- e. The project site shall be designed to minimize barriers to pedestrian access and interconnectivity.
- f. Implement traffic calming improvements as appropriate (e.g., marked crosswalks, count-down signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised crosswalks, median islands, mini-circles, tight corner radii, etc.).
- g. Comply with CALGreen Tier 1 or Tier 2 standards for water efficiency and conservation.
- h. Divert 65 percent of non-hazardous construction or demolition debris.
- i. Include the planting of native and drought tolerant trees beyond those required as mitigation for tree removal.
- j. Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-2.
- k. Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-3,e-k.

APPENDIX D

EMISSIONS MODELING

	UNMITIGATED DAILY EMISSIONS - SUMMER			
	ROG	NOX	ROG+NOX	EXH PM10
Demolition				
On-Site	4.05	42.7	46.75	2.13
Off-Site	0.09	0.48	0.57	0.006
Total	4.14	43.18	47.32	2.136
Site Preparation				
On-Site	4.84	51.75	56.59	2.75
Off-Site	0.07	0.1	0.17	0.001
Total	4.91	51.85	56.76	2.751
Grading/Excavation				
On-Site	6.1	69.59	75.69	3.32
Off-Site	0.08	0.11	0.19	0.001
Total	6.18	69.7	75.88	3.321
Building Construction-Yr2017				
On-Site	3.1	26.4	29.5	1.78
Off-Site	1.08	4.6	5.68	0.06
Total	4.18	31	35.18	1.84
Building Construction-Yr2018				
On-Site	2.67	23.26	25.93	1.49
Off-Site	0.98	4.18	5.16	0.06
Total	3.65	27.44	31.09	1.55
Paving				
On-Site	2.03	17.16	19.19	0.94
Off-Site	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.001
Total	2.08	17.23	19.31	0.941
Architectural Coating				
On-Site	319.37	2.01	321.38	0.15
Off-Site	0.09	0.13	0.22	0.002
Total	319.46	2.14	321.6	0.152
MAX DAILY-YR 2017	4.91	51.85	56.76	2,751
MAX DAILY- YR 2018	325.19	46.81	372	2.643
THRESHOLD			137	7

DAILY EMISSIONS SUMMARY: PHASE I - SUMMER

	MITIGATED DAILY EMISSIONS - SUMMER				
	ROG	NOX	ROG+NOX	EXH PM10	
Demolition					
On-Site	4.05	42.7	46.75	2.13	
Off-Site	0.09	0.48	0.57	0.006	
Total	4.14	43.18	47.32	2.136	
Site Preparation					
On-Site	4.84	51.75	56.59	2.75	
Off-Site	0.07	0.1	0.17	0.001	
Total	4.91	51.85	56.76	2.751	
Grading/Excavation					
On-Site	6.1	69.59	75.69	3.32	
Off-Site	0.08	0.11	0.19	0.001	
Total	6.18	69.7	75.88	3.321	
Building Construction-Yr2017					
On-Site	3.1	26.4	29.5	1.78	
Off-Site	1.08	4.6	5.68	0.06	
Total	4.18	31	35.18	1.84	
Building Construction-Yr2018					
On-Site	2.67	23.26	25.93	1.49	
Off-Site	0.98	4.18	5.16	0.06	
Total	3.65	27.44	31.09	1.55	
Paving					
On-Site	2.03	17.16	19.19	0.94	
Off-Site	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.001	
Total	2.08	17.23	19.31	0.941	
Architectural Coating					
On-Site	64.1	2.01	66.11	0.15	
Off-Site	0.09	0.13	0.22	0.002	
Total	64.19	2.14	66.33	0.152	
MAX DAILY-YR 2017	4.91	51.85	56.76	2.751	
MAX DAILY- YR 2018	69.92	46.81	116.73	2.643	
THRESHOLD			137	7	

*Assumes building construction, architectural coating, and paving could potentially occur simultaneously. Totals may not sum due to rounding.

	UNMITIGATED DAILY EMISSIONS - WINTER				
	ROG	NOX	ROG+NOX	EXH PM10	
Demolition					
On-Site	4.05	42.7	46.75	2.13	
Off-Site	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.006	
Total	4.15	43.2	47.35	2.136	
Site Preparation					
On-Site	4.84	51.75	56.59	2.75	
Off-Site	0.07	0.11	0.18	0.001	
Total	4.91	51.86	56.77	2.751	
Grading/Excavation					
On-Site	6.1	69.59	75.69	3.32	
Off-Site	0.08	0.13	0.21	0.001	
Total	6.18	69.72	75.9	3.321	
Building Construction-Yr2017					
On-Site	3.1	26.4	29.5	1.78	
Off-Site	1.25	4.76	6.01	0.06	
Total	4.35	31.16	35.51	1.84	
Building Construction-Yr2018					
On-Site	2.67	23.26	25.93	1.49	
Off-Site	1.13	4.33	5.46	0.06	
Total	3.8	27.59	31.39	1.55	
Paving					
On-Site	2.03	17.16	19.19	0.94	
Off-Site _	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.001	
Total	2.08	17.24	19.32	0.941	
Architectural Coating					
On-Site	319.37	2.01	321.38	0.15	
Off-Site	0.09	0.15	0.24	0.002	
Total	319.46	2.16	321.62	0.152	
MAX DAILY-YR 2017	4.91	51.86	56.77	2.751	
MAX DAILY- YR 2018	325.34	46.99	372.33	2.643	
THRESHOLD			137	7	

DAILY EMISSIONS SUMMARY: PHASE I - WINTER

	MITIGATED DAILY EMISSIONS - WINTER			
	ROG	NOX	ROG+NOX	EXH PM10
Demolition				
On-Site	4.05	42.7	46.75	2.13
Off-Site	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.006
Total	4.15	43.2	47.35	2.136
Site Preparation				
On-Site	4.84	51.75	56.59	2.75
Off-Site	0.07	0.11	0.18	0.001
Total	4.91	51.86	56.77	2.751
Grading/Excavation				
On-Site	6.1	69.59	75.69	3.32
Off-Site	0.08	0.13	0.21	0.001
Total	6.18	69.72	75.9	3.321
Building Construction-Yr2017				
On-Site	3.1	26.4	29.5	1.78
Off-Site	1.25	4.76	6.01	0.06
Total	4.35	31.16	35.51	1.84
Building Construction-Yr2018				
On-Site	2.67	23.26	25.93	1.49
Off-Site	1.13	4.33	5.46	0.06
Total	3.8	27.59	31.39	1.55
Paving				
On-Site	2.03	17.16	19.19	0.94
Off-Site	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.001
Total	2.08	17.24	19.32	0.941
Architectural Coating				
On-Site	64.1	2.01	66.11	0.15
Off-Site	0.09	0.15	0.24	0.002
Total	64.19	2.16	66.35	0.152
MAX DAILY-YR 2017	4.91	51.86	56.77	2.751
MAX DAILY- YR 2018	70.07	46.99	117.06	2.643
THRESHOLD			137	7

*Assumes building construction, architectural coating, and paving could potentially occur simultaneously. Totals may not sum due to rounding.

	UNMITIGATED DAILY EMISSIONS - SUMMER			
	ROG	NOX	ROG+NOX	EXH PM10
Site Preparation				
On-Site	3.73	38.86	42.59	1.93
Off-Site	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.001
Total	3.78	38.93	42.71	1.931
Grading/Excavation				
On-Site	2.56	25.9	28.46	1.37
Off-Site	0.04	0.06	0.1	0.001
Total	2.6	25.96	28.56	1.371
Building Construction-Yr2020				
On-Site	2.11	19.08	21.19	1.11
Off-Site	0.77	3.03	3.8	0.04
Total	2.88	22.11	24.99	1.15
Building Construction-Yr2021				
On-Site	1.89	17.34	19.23	0.96
Off-Site	0.73	2.49	3.22	0.04
Total	2.62	19.83	22.45	1
Paving				
On-Site	1.45	12.66	14.11	0.67
Off-Site	0.04	0.06	0.1	0.001
Total	1.49	12.72	14.21	0.671
Architectural Coating				
On-Site	263.6	1.52	265.12	0.09
Off-Site	0.06	0.09	0.15	0.002
Total	263.66	1.61	265.27	0.092
MAX DAILY-YR 2020	3.78	38.93	42.71	1.931
MAX DAILY- YR 2021	267.77	34.16	301.93	1.763
THRESHOLD			137	7

	MITIGATED DAILY EMISSIONS - SUMMER			
	ROG	NOX	ROG+NOX	EXH PM10
Site Preparation				
On-Site	3.73	38.86	42.59	1.93
Off-Site	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.001
Total	3.78	38.93	42.71	1.931
Grading/Excavation				
On-Site	2.56	25.9	28.46	1.37
Off-Site	0.04	0.06	0.1	0.001
Total	2.6	25.96	28.56	1.371
Building Construction-Yr2020				
On-Site	2.11	19.08	21.19	1.11
Off-Site	0.77	3.03	3.8	0.04
Total	2.88	22.11	24.99	1.15
Building Construction-Yr2021				
On-Site	1.89	17.34	19.23	0.96
Off-Site	0.73	2.49	3.22	0.04
Total	2.62	19.83	22.45	1
Paving				
On-Site	1.45	12.66	14.11	0.67
Off-Site	0.04	0.06	0.1	0.001
Total	1.49	12.72	14.21	0.671
Architectural Coating				
On-Site	52.89	1.52	54.41	0.09
Off-Site	0.06	0.09	0.15	0.002
Total	52.95	1.61	54.56	0.092
MAX DAILY-YR 2020	3.78	38.93	42.71	1.931
MAX DAILY- YR 2021	57.06	34.16	91.22	1.763
THRESHOLD			137	7

DAILY EMISSIONS SUMMARY: PHASE II - SUMMER

*Assumes building construction, architectural coating, and paving could potentially occur simultaneously. Totals may not sum due to rounding.

	UNMITIGATED DAILY EMISSIONS - WINTER			
	ROG	NOX	ROG+NOX	EXH PM10
Site Preparation				
On-Site	3.73	38.86	42.59	1.93
Off-Site	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.001
Total	3.78	38.94	42.72	1.931
Grading/Excavation				
On-Site	2.56	25.9	28.46	1.37
Off-Site	0.04	0.07	0.11	0.001
Total	2.6	25.97	28.57	1.371
Building Construction-Yr2020				
- On-Site	2.11	19.08	21.19	1.11
Off-Site	0.89	3.13	4.02	0.04
Total	3	22.21	25.21	1.15
Building Construction-Yr2021				
On-Site	1.89	17.34	19.23	0.96
Off-Site	0.84	2.59	3.43	0.04
 Total	2.73	19.93	22.66	1
Paving				
On-Site	1.45	12.66	14.11	0.67
Off-Site	0.04	0.06	0.1	0.001
Total	1.49	12.72	14.21	0.671
Architectural Coating				
On-Site	263.6	1.52	265.12	0.09
Off-Site	0.06	0.1	0.16	0.002
	263.66	1.62	265.28	0.092
MAX DAILY-YR 2020	3.78	38.94	42.72	1.931
MAX DAILY- YR 2021	267.88	34.27	302.15	1.763
THRESHOLD			137	7

DAILY EMISSIONS SUMMARY: PHASE II - WINTER	

	MITIGATED DAILY EMISSIONS - WINTER			
	ROG	NOX	ROG+NOX	EXH PM10
Site Preparation				
On-Site	3.73	38.86	42.59	1.93
Off-Site	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.001
 Total	3.78	38.94	42.72	1.931
Grading/Excavation				
On-Site	2.56	25.9	28.46	1.37
Off-Site	0.04	0.07	0.11	0.001
Total	2.6	25.97	28.57	1.371
Building Construction-Yr2020				
On-Site	2.11	19.08	21.19	1.11
Off-Site	0.89	3.13	4.02	0.04
Total	3	22.21	25.21	1.15
Building Construction-Yr2021				
On-Site	1 89	17 34	19.23	0.96
Off-Site	0.84	2 59	3 43	0.04
	2 73	19.93	22.66	1
Paving	2.75	19.95	22.00	1
On-Site	1 45	12 66	14 11	0.67
Off-Site	0.04	0.06	0.1	0.001
	1.49	12.72	14.21	0.671
Architectural Coating				
On-Site	52.80	1 5 7	54 41	0.09
Off-Site	0.06	0.1	0.16	0.003
	52.95	1.62	54 57	0.002
Total	52.55	1.02	54.57	0.092
	3 78	38 94	42 72	1 931
MAX DAIL1-11 2020 MAX DAIL1-11 2020	57.17	34.27	91.44	1.763
THRESHOLD	0,.17	01.27	137	7

*Assumes building construction, architectural coating, and paving could potentially occur simultaneously. Totals may not sum due to rounding.

CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE

		DAYS/QTR				
CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY	#DAYS	2017 Q1	2017 Q2	2017 Q3	2017 Q4	2018 Q1
DEMOLITION	20	20				
SITE PREPARATION	5	5				
GRADING	20	8				
BUILDING CONST-2017	224	27	60	60	60	
BUILDING CONST-2018	31					31
PAVING	20					20
ARCHITECTURAL COATING	20					20

ANNUAL UNMITIGATED CONSTRUCTION-GENERATED EMISSIONS

					PM10	
	ROG	NOX	ROG+NOX	FUG	EXH	TOT
DEMOLITION	0.04	0.43	0.47	0.00	0.02	0.02
	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.04	0.43	0.47	0.00	0.02	0.02
SITE PREPARATION	0.01	0.13	0.14	0.05	0.01	0.02
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.01	0.13	0.14	0.05	0.01	0.02
GRADING	0.06	0.70	0.76	0.12	0.01	0.02
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.06	0.70	0.76	0.12	0.01	0.02
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION-2017	0.33	2.84	3.17	0.00	0.19	0.19
	0.12	0.51	0.64	0.17	0.01	0.17
	0.46	3.35	3.81	0.17	0.20	0.36
TOTAL YR 2017:	0.57	4.61	5.18	0.35	0.24	0.43
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION-2018	0.03	0.27	0.30	0.00	0.02	0.02
	0.01	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.00	0.02
	0.04	0.32	0.36	0.02	0.02	0.04
PAVING-2018	0.02	0.17	0.19	0.00	0.01	0.02
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
—	0.02	0.17	0.19	0.00	0.01	0.02
ARCHITECTURAL COATING-2018	2.87	0.02	2.89	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2.88	0.02	2.89	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTAL YR 2018:	2.94	0.51	3.45	0.02	0.03	0.06
	ION CENEDAT		ONS		DM10	
QUARTERET UNWITTGATED CONSTRUCT	ROG			FLIG	FXH	TOT
EMISSIONS - 2017 01	Red	NOA	Red Mox	100	EAT	101
	0.04	0.43	0.47	0.00	0.02	0.02
SITE PREPARATION	0.04	0.45	0.14	0.00	0.02	0.02
GRADING	0.06	0.70	0.76	0.12	0.01	0.02
	0.06	0.70	0.46	0.02	0.02	0.02
	0.17	1.66	1.83	0.20	0.06	0.11
THRESHOLD	0127		2.5	2.5	0.13	0.111
EXCEEDS THRESHOLD?			NO	NO	NO	
EMISSIONS - 2017 Q2-Q4						
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	0.12	0.90	1.02	0.04	0.05	0.10
TOTAL	0.12	0.90	1.02	0.04	0.05	0.10
THRESHOLD			2.5	2.5	0.13	
EXCEEDS THRESHOLD?			NO	NO	NO	
EMISSIONS - 2018 Q1						
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	0.04	0.32	0.36	0.02	0.02	0.04
PAVING	0.02	0.17	0.19	0.00	0.01	0.02
ARCHITECTURAL COATING	2.88	0.02	2.89	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTAL	2.94	0.51	3.45	0.02	0.03	0.06
THRESHOLD			2.5	2.5	0.13	
EXCEEDS THRESHOLD?			YES	NO	NO	
QUARTERLY MITIGATED CONSTRUCTION	N-GENERATED	EMISSION	s		PM10	
EMISSIONS - 2018 Q1	ROG	NOX	ROG+NOX	FUG	EXH	TOT
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	0.04	0.32	0.36	0.02	0.02	0.04
PAVING	0.02	0.17	0.19	0.00	0.01	0.02

*Mitigation includes use of low-VOC paint (max 50 g/L).

ARCHITECTURAL COATING

EXCEEDS THRESHOLD?

TOTAL

THRESHOLD

0.58

0.64

0.02

0.51

0.00

0.02

2.5

NO

0.00

0.03

0.13

NO

0.00

0.06

0.60

1.15

2.5

NO

CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE

			DAYS/QTR		
#DAYS	2020 Q1	2020 Q2	2020 Q3	2020 Q4	2021 Q1
10	10				
20	8				
222	42	60	60	60	
8					8
20					20
20					20
	#DAYS 10 20 222 8 20 20	#DAYS 2020 Q1 10 10 20 8 222 42 8 20 20 20	#DAYS 2020 Q1 2020 Q2 10 10 20 8 222 42 60 8 20 20 20	#DAYS 2020 Q1 2020 Q2 2020 Q3 10 10 20 8 222 42 60 60 8 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	#DAYS 2020 Q1 2020 Q2 2020 Q3 2020 Q4 10 10 20 8 222 42 60 60 60 60 8 200 200 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20

ANNUAL UNMITIGATED CONSTRUCTION-GENERATED EMISSIONS

	GENERATED	Linission			PM10	
	ROG	NOX	ROG+NOX	FUG	EXH	TOT
SITE PREPARATION	0.02	0.19	0.21	0.09	0.01	0.10
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
—	0.02	0.19	0.21	0.09	0.01	0.10
GRADING	0.26	0.26	0.52	0.07	0.01	0.08
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
—	0.26	0.26	0.52	0.07	0.01	0.08
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION-2020	0.22	2.02	2.25	0.00	0.12	0.12
	0.09	0.33	0.42	0.15	0.00	0.16
	0.31	2.36	2.67	0.15	0.12	0.27
TOTAL YR 2020:	0.59	2.81	3.40	0.31	0.15	0.46
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION-2021	0.02	0.16	0.1/	0.00	0.01	0.01
_	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01
	0.02	0.18	0.20	0.01	0.01	0.02
PAVING-2021	0.01	0.13	0.14	0.00	0.01	0.01
_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.01	0.13	0.14	0.00	0.01	0.01
ARCHITECTURAL COATING-2021	2.64	0.02	2.65	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2.64	0.02	2.65	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTAL YR 2021:	2.68	0.32	3.00	0.02	0.02	0.03
			ONS		DN/10	
COARTERET UNWITIGATED CONSTRUCT	ROG	NOX	ROG+NOX	FUG	EXH	тот
EMISSIONS - 2020 01						
SITE PREPARATION	0.02	0.19	0.21	0.09	0.01	0.10
GRADING	0.26	0.26	0.52	0.07	0.01	0.08
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	0.06	0.45	0.50	0.03	0.02	0.05
	0.33	0.90	1.23	0.19	0.05	0.23
THRESHOLD	0.00	-	2.5	2.5	0.13	0.20
EXCEEDS THRESHOLD?			NO	NO	NO	
EMISSIONS - 2020 Q2-Q4	0.00	0.64	0.72	0.04	0.02	0.07
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	0.08	0.64	0.72	0.04	0.03	0.07
IOIAL	0.08	0.64	0.72	0.04	0.03	0.07
THRESHOLD			2.5	2.5	0.13	
EXCEEDS THRESHOLD?			NO	NO	NO	
EMISSIONS - 2021 01						
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	0.02	0.18	0.20	0.01	0.01	0.02
PAVING	0.01	0.13	0.14	0.00	0.01	0.01
ARCHITECTURAL COATING	2.64	0.02	2.65	0.00	0.00	0.00
ΤΟΤΑΙ	2.68	0.32	3.00	0.02	0.02	0.03
THRESHOLD	2.00	0.52	2.5	2.5	0.02	0.05
EXCEEDS THRESHOLD?			YES	NO	NO	
QUARTERLY MITIGATED CONSTRUCTIO	N-GENERATED	EMISSION	s		PM10	
EMISSIONS - 2021 Q1	ROG	NOX	ROG+NOX	FUG	EXH	TOT
BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	0.02	0.18	0.20	0.01	0.01	0.02
PAVING	0.01	0.13	0.14	0.00	0.01	0.01
ARCHITECTURAL COATING	0.53	0.02	0.55	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTAL	0.57	0.32	0.89	0.02	0.02	0.03
THRESHOLD			2.5	2.5	0.13	
EXCEEDS THRESHOLD?			NO	NO	NO	

EXCEEDS THRESHOLD? *Mitigation includes use of low-VOC paint (max 50 g/L).

EMISSIONS MODELING ASSUMPTIONS - AVERAGE VEHICLE TRIP LENGTH

TRIP-GENERATION RATE

TOTAL NUMBER OF ROOMS	291	
TRIP-GENERATION RATE	5.694	
TOTAL DAILY TRIPS-FULL BOOKING	1656.954	
INCOMING-DEPARTURE DAILY TRIPS (MAX 50% DEPARTURE, 50% ARRIVAL)	291	17.6%
IN-COUNTY DAILY GUEST TRIPS (TOTAL DAILY - INCOMING/DEPARTURE)	1365.954	82.4%

DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF GUEST TRIPS & DISTANCES

INCOMING/DEPARTURE TRIPS - AVERAGE DISTANCE OF TRAVEL

		PERCENT OF		PERCENT DISTRIBUTION	
		TOTAL	INCOMING	BY INCOMING	AVG. TRIP LENGTH
IN/OUT BOUND DIRECTION	CITY/AREA	GUESTS	HIGHWAY	HWY	(MILES)
EAST	FRESNO/NORTH CENTRAL VALLEY	24.15%	SR41/SR46	24.15%	33
EAST	BAKERSFIELD/SOUTH CENTRAL VALLEY	8.82%	SR46	31.50%	33
NORTH	MONTEREY/SF BAY AREA	12.67%	US101 N	12.67%	15
SOUTH	LOS ANGELES/SOCAL	45.36%	US101 S	22.68%	57.5
LOCAL	PASO ROBLES	9.00%	LOCAL	9.00%	13.5
	AVERAGE II	NCOMING/D	DEPARTURE	TRIP LENGTH:	30
AVERA	GE DAILY INCOMING/DEPARTURE VMT (30 m	niles x 291 ir		parture trips):	8,846
Based on survey data obtained from th	e Pismo Beach Oxford Hotel (Jan-Dec, 2012). Local trips assumes	a 13-mile trip lei	ngth, based on t	he rural setting defau	ılt obtained from

"Based on survey data obtained from the Pismo Beach Oxford Hotel (Jan-Dec, 2012). Local trips assumes a 13-mile trip length, based on the rural setting default obta CalEEMod. LA/SoCal trips were divided equally between South Central Valley and South County, assuming 50% traveling I-5, 50% traveling US101.

IN-COUNTY TRIPS - AVERAGE DISTANCE OF TRAVEL

In-County trips lengths were quantified based on a sampling of trip lengths from the project site to local and regional destinations, per SLOAPCD recommendations. The weighted average trip length for hotel patrons includes in-coming and out-going vehicle trip lengths, as noted above.

LOCAL DESTINATIONS		DISTANCE (MILES)
1 PASO ROBLES	HWY46/24TH STREET (GAS/EATERIES)	3
2 PASO ROBLES	DOWNTOWN PASO ROBLES	4
3 PASO ROBLES	PASO ROBLES EVENT CENTER	3
4 PASO ROBLES	RIVER OAKS GOLF COURSE	3
5 PASO ROBLES	HUNTER RANCH GOLF COURSE	3
6 PASO ROBLES	THE LINKS GOLF COURSE	5
7 PASO ROBLES	RAVINE WATER PARK	0.5
8 PASO ROBLES	TARGET SHOPPING CENTER	7
9 PASO ROBLES	WALMART SHOPPING CENTER	4
10 PASO ROBLES	CUESTA COLLEGE NORTH COUNTY CAMPUS	3
11 PASO ROBLES	ESTRELLA WARBIRD MUSEUM	3
12 CENTRAL COAST	CAMBRIA	33
13 CENTRAL COAST	HEARST CASTLE	36
14 CENTRAL COAST	SAN SIMEON	37
15 CENTRAL COAST	HARMONY	30
16 CENTRAL COAST	CAYUCOS	28
17 CENTRAL COAST	MORRO BAY	32
18 WINERIES-46 EAST	UNION ROAD TASTING ROOMS	1
21 WINERIES-46 EAST	TREANA WINERY	2
22 WINERIES-46 EAST	PARRISH FAMILY VINEYARDS	3
23 WINERIES-46 EAST	PASO ROBLES WINERIES	2.5
24 WINERIES-46 EAST	D ANDINO VINEYARDS	2.5
25 WINERIES-46 EAST	DERVY WINES	2.5
26 WINERIES-46 EAST	J LOHR VINEYARDS	3.5
27 WINERIES-46 EAST	UNCORKED TOURS	4
28 WINERIES-46 EAST	GRIZLEY REPUBLIC	3.5
29 WINERIES-46 EAST	BREAKAWAY TOURS	4
30 WINERIES-46 EAST	WINE LINE TOURS	4.5
31 WINERIES-46 EAST	PIANETTA	3.5
32 WINERIES-46 EAST	EBERLY WINERY	1.5
33 WINERIES-46 EAST	VINA ROBLES	1.5
34 WINERIES-46 EAST	ROBERT HALL	1.5
35 WINERIES-46 EAST	TOBIN JAMES	6.5
36 WINERIES-46 EAST	CHUMEIA	6.5
37 WINERIES-46 EAST	GREY WOLF	8.5
38 WINERIES-46 EAST	FIVE RIVERS	8
39 WINERIES-46 EAST	EOS	0.5
40 WINERIES-46 EAST	BIANCHI	5
41 WINERIES-46 EAST	ARCIERO	3
42 WINERIES-46 EAST	PAUL J ROSILEZ	3.5
43 WINERIES-46 EAST	MALOY O'NEIL	4
44 WINERIES-46 EAST	FALCON NEST	3.5
45 WINERIES-46 EAST	SAN ANTONIO	1.5
46 WINERIES-46 EAST	SEXTANT	2.5
47 WINERIES-46 EAST	STEINBECK	3.5
48 WINERIES-46 EAST	PEAR VALLEY	3
49 WINERIES-46 EAST	RIO SECO	2.5
50 WINERIES-46 EAST	PENMAN SPRINGS	3
51 WINERIES-46 EAST	CLAUTIERE	3.5

52 WINERIES-46 EAST	DERBY	3
53 WINERIES-46 EAST	NORMAN	2.5
54 WINERIES-46 EAST	GRAVEYARD	8.5
55 WINERIES-46 EAST	1&1	9
56 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH		8.5
57 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	STACKED STONE	6.5
58 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	ECLUSE	8
59 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH		/ 6 E
61 WINERIES WEST/NORTH		0.5
62 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	CARINA	0.5 g
63 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH		10.5
64 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	VILLA CREEK	3
65 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	RABBIT RIDGE	10.5
66 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	TABLAS	14.5
67 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	OPOLO	15.5
68 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	CALCAREOUS	8.5
69 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	ALMOND HILL	7
70 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	DENNER	13.5
71 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	CHRONIC	7
72 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	CAPARONE	12
73 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	FOUR VINES	8
74 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	LAWRENCE ANDREW	4.5
75 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH	PRWS	3.5
76 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH		4
77 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH		9
78 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH		ð
79 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH		o o
81 WINERIES-WEST/NORTH		0 0
82 WINERIES-46 WEST		9
83 WINERIES-46 WEST	SUMMERWOOD	8
84 WINERIES-46 WEST	EAGLE CASTLE	9
85 WINERIES-46 WEST	AUSTIN HOPE	9
86 WINERIES-46 WEST	QUAIL CREEK	8
87 WINERIES-46 WEST	DOCE ROBLES	7.5
88 WINERIES-46 WEST	ZENAIDA	8
89 WINERIES-46 WEST	CASTORO CELLARS	8.5
90 WINERIES-46 WEST	BOOKER	9.5
91 WINERIES-46 WEST	GREY WOLF	9
92 WINERIES-46 WEST	CALIZA	9.5
93 WINERIES-46 WEST		10
94 WINERIES-46 WEST	HUNT CELLARS	10
95 WINERIES-40 WEST		11
97 WINERIES-46 WEST		12
98 WINERIES-46 WEST	HEARTHSTONE	12.5
99 WINERIES-46 WEST	LINNE	10
100 WINERIES-46 WEST	CYPHER	11
101 WINERIES-46 WEST	DONATI	11
102 WINERIES-46 WEST	NINER	9.5
103 WINERIES-46 WEST	MIDNIGHT CELLARS	9.5
104 WINERIES-46 WEST	DARK STAR	9
105 WINERIES-46 WEST	FRATELLI PERATA	8.5
106 WINERIES-46 WEST	KENETH VOLK	9.5
107 WINERIES-46 WEST	WINDWARD	8
108 WINERIES-46 WEST	SHALE OAK	10.5
109 WINERIES-46 WEST		11.5
111 WINERIES-40 WEST		11 5
112 WINERIES-46 WEST		13 5
113 WINERIES-46 WEST	STEPHENS CELLAR	15.5
114 WINERIES-46 WEST	EPOCH ESTATE	16
115 WINERIES-46 WEST	LAGO GUISEPPE CELLARS	16
116 WINERIES-46 WEST	ROCKY CREEK	11
117 WINERIES-46 WEST	KALEIDOS	12.5
118 WINERIES-46 WEST	ORCHID HILL	12
	AVERAGE IN-COUNTY TRIP LENGTH:	8.2
	AVERAGE DAILY IN-COUNTY VMT:	11,252

CALCULATED WEIGHTED AVERAGE TRIP DISTANCE

TOTAL VMT (INCOMING, DEPARTURE & IN-COUNTY):	20,098
TOTAL TRIPS (INCOMING, DEPARTURE, IN-COUNTY):	1,657
AVERAGE TRIP DISTANCE (WEIGHTED ALL TRIPS):	12.1
MODELED TRIP DISTANCE (WEIGHTED ALL TRIPS):	12.5

All trip distances rounded up to the nearest 0.5 mile.

Paso Robles Resort Hotel - Phase I (Main Hotel)

San Luis Obispo County, Annual

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Other Asphalt Surfaces	48.00	1000sqft	1.10	48,000.00	0
Parking Lot	196.00	Space	1.76	78,400.00	0
Hotel	136.00	Room	36.33	197,472.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	3.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	44
Climate Zone	4			Operational Year	2019
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Comp	bany			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	546.6	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.025	N2O Intensity 0 (Ib/MWhr)	.005

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - 2017 Construction start year. Includes RPS adjustment.

Land Use - Main Hotel=136 rooms. Total site acreage=~39AC. 196 space parking lot. 48,000 sf other asphalt surfaces.

Construction Phase - 15-month overall construction period based on project-specific data. Construction activity durations based on model defaults.

Off-road Equipment - Construction equipment based on model defaults.

Trips and VMT - Construction vehicle trips based on model defaults.

Demolition - Includes demolition of residence (1195sf). Shop (5000sf) to be removed/repurposed by third party included for quantification of haul truck trips.

Grading - Material balanced onsite. Conservatively assumes entire site rough graded during Phase I.

Vehicle Trips - Based on trip-gen rate derived from the traffic analysis, 5.694 trips/room, includes trips for onsite amenities (e.g., restaurant, meeting rooms, etc.). 12.5m/C-C & 13m/C-W&C-NW trips.

Vechicle Emission Factors - Vehicle fleet mix based on model defaults (conservative).

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Energy Use - Includes RPS adjustment.

Sequestration - Assumes 280 mixed hardwood.

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - Includes watering, 15 mph speed limit, T3 equipment.

Area Mitigation - Assumes use of low VOC paint, maximum 50 g/L.

Energy Mitigation - Includes 30% increase in energy efficiency for non-commercial uses with compliance with current building standards, compared to previous standards (CEC 2015) and energy-efficient appliances.

Water Mitigation - Includes installation of low-flow water fixtures and water-efficient irrigation systems.

Waste Mitigation - Assumes minimum 25% diversion rate per state requirements.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialExteriorV alue	250	50
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialInteriorV alue	250	50
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	5.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	3.00

tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	6.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	9.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	55.00	20.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	740.00	238.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	50.00	20.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	75.00	20.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	55.00	20.00

tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	30.00	5.00
tblGrading	AcresOfGrading	50.00	187.50
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	4.53	36.33
tblProjectCharacteristics	CH4IntensityFactor	0.029	0.025
tblProjectCharacteristics	CO2IntensityFactor	641.35	546.6
tblProjectCharacteristics	N2OIntensityFactor	0.006	0.005
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2019
tblSequestration	NumberOfNewTrees	0.00	280.00
tblVehicleTrips	CC_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	CNW_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	5.69

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
2017	0.5728	4.6121	4.4573	6.6000e- 003	0.3781	0.2594	0.6376	0.1149	0.2426	0.3575	0.0000	565.9302	565.9302	0.1010	0.0000	568.0519
2018	2.9386	0.5115	0.5395	8.8000e- 004	0.0218	0.0288	0.0506	5.8500e- 003	0.0269	0.0328	0.0000	74.2674	74.2674	0.0141	0.0000	74.5636
Total	3.5115	5.1236	4.9968	7.4800e- 003	0.4000	0.2882	0.6881	0.1207	0.2695	0.3903	0.0000	640.1976	640.1976	0.1151	0.0000	642.6155

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	2 Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	tons/yr											MT/yr				
2017	0.2249	2.5790	4.2087	6.6000e- 003	0.2513	0.1280	0.3793	0.0727	0.1275	0.2002	0.0000	565.9297	565.9297	0.1010	0.0000	568.0514
2018	2.9026	0.3395	0.5669	8.8000e- 004	0.0218	0.0180	0.0398	5.8500e- 003	0.0179	0.0238	0.0000	74.2674	74.2674	0.0141	0.0000	74.5636
Total	3.1275	2.9185	4.7756	7.4800e- 003	0.2731	0.1460	0.4191	0.0786	0.1454	0.2240	0.0000	640.1971	640.1971	0.1151	0.0000	642.6150
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10 Total	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
					1 10110	T WITO	Total	1 11/2.5	1 1012.5	Total						
Percent Reduction	10.93	43.04	4.43	0.00	31.72	49.34	39.10	34.93	46.05	42.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Page 6 of 35

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr		MT/yr								
Area	1.5527	6.0000e- 005	6.4600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0132
Energy	0.0494	0.4490	0.3771	2.6900e- 003		0.0341	0.0341		0.0341	0.0341	0.0000	919.0732	919.0732	0.0291	0.0129	923.6812
Mobile	0.5281	1.5279	5.5710	0.0128	0.9020	0.0191	0.9211	0.2417	0.0176	0.2594	0.0000	936.9892	936.9892	0.0366	0.0000	937.7583
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	15.1147	0.0000	15.1147	0.8933	0.0000	33.8730
Water						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	1.0945	4.9609	6.0554	0.1126	2.7000e- 003	9.2578
Total	2.1302	1.9769	5.9546	0.0155	0.9020	0.0533	0.9553	0.2417	0.0518	0.2935	16.2092	1,861.035 7	1,877.244 9	1.0716	0.0156	1,904.583 5

Page 7 of 35

2.2 Overall Operational

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr		MT/yr								
Area	1.3229	6.0000e- 005	6.4600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0132
Energy	0.0361	0.3281	0.2756	1.9700e- 003		0.0249	0.0249		0.0249	0.0249	0.0000	742.9613	742.9613	0.0245	0.0101	746.5994
Mobile	0.5281	1.5279	5.5710	0.0128	0.9020	0.0191	0.9211	0.2417	0.0176	0.2594	0.0000	936.9892	936.9892	0.0366	0.0000	937.7583
Waste	19					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	11.3360	0.0000	11.3360	0.6699	0.0000	25.4048
Water						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.8756	4.0149	4.8905	0.0901	2.1600e- 003	7.4514
Total	1.8871	1.8560	5.8531	0.0148	0.9020	0.0441	0.9461	0.2417	0.0426	0.2843	12.2116	1,683.977 9	1,696.189 5	0.8212	0.0122	1,717.227 2

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	11.41	6.12	1.71	4.65	0.00	17.26	0.96	0.00	17.76	3.13	24.66	9.51	9.64	23.37	21.54	9.84

2.3 Vegetation

Vegetation

	CO2e
Category	MT
New Trees	205.5200
Total	205.5200

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2017	1/27/2017	5	20	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/28/2017	2/3/2017	5	5	
3	Grading	Grading	2/4/2017	3/3/2017	5	20	
4	Building Construction	Building Construction	3/4/2017	1/31/2018	5	238	
5	Paving	Paving	2/1/2018	2/28/2018	5	20	
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	3/1/2018	3/28/2018	5	20	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 187.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 371,736; Non-Residential Outdoor: 123,912 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	162	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	255	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	255	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	162	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	174	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	255	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	361	0.48
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	226	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	125	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	130	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	0.00	28.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	136.00	53.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	27.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Use Cleaner Engines for Construction Equipment

Use Soil Stabilizer

Water Exposed Area

Reduce Vehicle Speed on Unpaved Roads

Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust			1 1 1		3.1500e- 003	0.0000	3.1500e- 003	4.8000e- 004	0.0000	4.8000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0405	0.4270	0.3389	4.0000e- 004		0.0213	0.0213		0.0198	0.0198	0.0000	36.6182	36.6182	0.0101	0.0000	36.8292
Total	0.0405	0.4270	0.3389	4.0000e- 004	3.1500e- 003	0.0213	0.0244	4.8000e- 004	0.0198	0.0203	0.0000	36.6182	36.6182	0.0101	0.0000	36.8292

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	3.3000e- 004	4.0800e- 003	3.4600e- 003	1.0000e- 005	2.4000e- 004	5.0000e- 005	2.9000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	5.0000e- 005	1.1000e- 004	0.0000	0.9480	0.9480	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.9481
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	5.7000e- 004	9.3000e- 004	8.1700e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.1834	1.1834	7.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1848
Total	9.0000e- 004	5.0100e- 003	0.0116	3.0000e- 005	1.6800e- 003	6.0000e- 005	1.7400e- 003	4.5000e- 004	6.0000e- 005	5.0000e- 004	0.0000	2.1314	2.1314	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.1329

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					1.2300e- 003	0.0000	1.2300e- 003	1.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.9000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	9.4800e- 003	0.1876	0.2527	4.0000e- 004		8.8200e- 003	8.8200e- 003		8.8200e- 003	8.8200e- 003	0.0000	36.6182	36.6182	0.0101	0.0000	36.8291
Total	9.4800e- 003	0.1876	0.2527	4.0000e- 004	1.2300e- 003	8.8200e- 003	0.0101	1.9000e- 004	8.8200e- 003	9.0100e- 003	0.0000	36.6182	36.6182	0.0101	0.0000	36.8291

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	3.3000e- 004	4.0800e- 003	3.4600e- 003	1.0000e- 005	2.4000e- 004	5.0000e- 005	2.9000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	5.0000e- 005	1.1000e- 004	0.0000	0.9480	0.9480	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.9481
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	5.7000e- 004	9.3000e- 004	8.1700e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.1834	1.1834	7.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1848
Total	9.0000e- 004	5.0100e- 003	0.0116	3.0000e- 005	1.6800e- 003	6.0000e- 005	1.7400e- 003	4.5000e- 004	6.0000e- 005	5.0000e- 004	0.0000	2.1314	2.1314	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.1329

3.3 Site Preparation - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0452	0.0000	0.0452	0.0248	0.0000	0.0248	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0121	0.1294	0.0985	1.0000e- 004		6.8900e- 003	6.8900e- 003		6.3300e- 003	6.3300e- 003	0.0000	9.0789	9.0789	2.7800e- 003	0.0000	9.1373
Total	0.0121	0.1294	0.0985	1.0000e- 004	0.0452	6.8900e- 003	0.0521	0.0248	6.3300e- 003	0.0312	0.0000	9.0789	9.0789	2.7800e- 003	0.0000	9.1373

3.3 Site Preparation - 2017

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.7000e- 004	2.8000e- 004	2.4500e- 003	0.0000	4.3000e- 004	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	1.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.2000e- 004	0.0000	0.3550	0.3550	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.3554
Total	1.7000e- 004	2.8000e- 004	2.4500e- 003	0.0000	4.3000e- 004	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	1.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.2000e- 004	0.0000	0.3550	0.3550	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.3554

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0176	0.0000	0.0176	9.6800e- 003	0.0000	9.6800e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	2.3800e- 003	0.0487	0.0585	1.0000e- 004		2.4000e- 003	2.4000e- 003		2.4000e- 003	2.4000e- 003	0.0000	9.0788	9.0788	2.7800e- 003	0.0000	9.1373
Total	2.3800e- 003	0.0487	0.0585	1.0000e- 004	0.0176	2.4000e- 003	0.0200	9.6800e- 003	2.4000e- 003	0.0121	0.0000	9.0788	9.0788	2.7800e- 003	0.0000	9.1373

Page 14 of 35

3.3 Site Preparation - 2017

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.7000e- 004	2.8000e- 004	2.4500e- 003	0.0000	4.3000e- 004	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	1.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.2000e- 004	0.0000	0.3550	0.3550	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.3554
Total	1.7000e- 004	2.8000e- 004	2.4500e- 003	0.0000	4.3000e- 004	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	1.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.2000e- 004	0.0000	0.3550	0.3550	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.3554

3.4 Grading - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust			1 1 1		0.1596	0.0000	0.1596	0.0438	0.0000	0.0438	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0610	0.6959	0.4681	6.2000e- 004		0.0332	0.0332		0.0305	0.0305	0.0000	57.2739	57.2739	0.0176	0.0000	57.6424
Total	0.0610	0.6959	0.4681	6.2000e- 004	0.1596	0.0332	0.1928	0.0438	0.0305	0.0744	0.0000	57.2739	57.2739	0.0176	0.0000	57.6424
3.4 Grading - 2017

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.7000e- 004	1.2500e- 003	0.0109	2.0000e- 005	1.9300e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.9400e- 003	5.1000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.5779	1.5779	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.5797
Total	7.7000e- 004	1.2500e- 003	0.0109	2.0000e- 005	1.9300e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.9400e- 003	5.1000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.5779	1.5779	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.5797

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust			1		0.0623	0.0000	0.0623	0.0171	0.0000	0.0171	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0151	0.2978	0.3794	6.2000e- 004		0.0132	0.0132		0.0132	0.0132	0.0000	57.2739	57.2739	0.0176	0.0000	57.6424
Total	0.0151	0.2978	0.3794	6.2000e- 004	0.0623	0.0132	0.0755	0.0171	0.0132	0.0303	0.0000	57.2739	57.2739	0.0176	0.0000	57.6424

3.4 Grading - 2017

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.7000e- 004	1.2500e- 003	0.0109	2.0000e- 005	1.9300e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.9400e- 003	5.1000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.5779	1.5779	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.5797
Total	7.7000e- 004	1.2500e- 003	0.0109	2.0000e- 005	1.9300e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.9400e- 003	5.1000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.5779	1.5779	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.5797

3.5 Building Construction - 2017

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.3335	2.8386	1.9489	2.8800e- 003		0.1915	0.1915	5 5 6	0.1798	0.1798	0.0000	257.4400	257.4400	0.0634	0.0000	258.7706
Total	0.3335	2.8386	1.9489	2.8800e- 003		0.1915	0.1915		0.1798	0.1798	0.0000	257.4400	257.4400	0.0634	0.0000	258.7706

Page 17 of 35

3.5 Building Construction - 2017

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	7/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0680	0.4236	0.7821	9.7000e- 004	0.0254	5.5200e- 003	0.0309	7.2700e- 003	5.0800e- 003	0.0124	0.0000	86.1136	86.1136	7.0000e- 004	0.0000	86.1283
Worker	0.0559	0.0911	0.7959	1.5800e- 003	0.1408	1.0500e- 003	0.1418	0.0374	9.6000e- 004	0.0384	0.0000	115.3413	115.3413	6.4200e- 003	0.0000	115.4760
Total	0.1239	0.5147	1.5780	2.5500e- 003	0.1661	6.5700e- 003	0.1727	0.0447	6.0400e- 003	0.0507	0.0000	201.4549	201.4549	7.1200e- 003	0.0000	201.6043

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.0722	1.5237	1.9152	2.8800e- 003		0.0969	0.0969		0.0969	0.0969	0.0000	257.4397	257.4397	0.0634	0.0000	258.7703
Total	0.0722	1.5237	1.9152	2.8800e- 003		0.0969	0.0969		0.0969	0.0969	0.0000	257.4397	257.4397	0.0634	0.0000	258.7703

3.5 Building Construction - 2017

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0680	0.4236	0.7821	9.7000e- 004	0.0254	5.5200e- 003	0.0309	7.2700e- 003	5.0800e- 003	0.0124	0.0000	86.1136	86.1136	7.0000e- 004	0.0000	86.1283
Worker	0.0559	0.0911	0.7959	1.5800e- 003	0.1408	1.0500e- 003	0.1418	0.0374	9.6000e- 004	0.0384	0.0000	115.3413	115.3413	6.4200e- 003	0.0000	115.4760
Total	0.1239	0.5147	1.5780	2.5500e- 003	0.1661	6.5700e- 003	0.1727	0.0447	6.0400e- 003	0.0507	0.0000	201.4549	201.4549	7.1200e- 003	0.0000	201.6043

3.5 Building Construction - 2018

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.0307	0.2675	0.2016	3.1000e- 004		0.0172	0.0172	5 5 6	0.0162	0.0162	0.0000	27.2285	27.2285	6.6600e- 003	0.0000	27.3684
Total	0.0307	0.2675	0.2016	3.1000e- 004		0.0172	0.0172		0.0162	0.0162	0.0000	27.2285	27.2285	6.6600e- 003	0.0000	27.3684

Page 19 of 35

3.5 Building Construction - 2018

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	6.9900e- 003	0.0414	0.0811	1.0000e- 004	2.7200e- 003	5.4000e- 004	3.2600e- 003	7.8000e- 004	5.0000e- 004	1.2800e- 003	0.0000	9.0554	9.0554	7.0000e- 005	0.0000	9.0570
Worker	5.0800e- 003	8.5800e- 003	0.0735	1.7000e- 004	0.0151	1.1000e- 004	0.0152	4.0000e- 003	1.0000e- 004	4.1000e- 003	0.0000	11.8731	11.8731	6.2000e- 004	0.0000	11.8861
Total	0.0121	0.0500	0.1546	2.7000e- 004	0.0178	6.5000e- 004	0.0184	4.7800e- 003	6.0000e- 004	5.3800e- 003	0.0000	20.9285	20.9285	6.9000e- 004	0.0000	20.9430

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	7.7200e- 003	0.1630	0.2049	3.1000e- 004		0.0104	0.0104	5 5 6	0.0104	0.0104	0.0000	27.2285	27.2285	6.6600e- 003	0.0000	27.3684
Total	7.7200e- 003	0.1630	0.2049	3.1000e- 004		0.0104	0.0104		0.0104	0.0104	0.0000	27.2285	27.2285	6.6600e- 003	0.0000	27.3684

Page 20 of 35

3.5 Building Construction - 2018

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	7/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	6.9900e- 003	0.0414	0.0811	1.0000e- 004	2.7200e- 003	5.4000e- 004	3.2600e- 003	7.8000e- 004	5.0000e- 004	1.2800e- 003	0.0000	9.0554	9.0554	7.0000e- 005	0.0000	9.0570
Worker	5.0800e- 003	8.5800e- 003	0.0735	1.7000e- 004	0.0151	1.1000e- 004	0.0152	4.0000e- 003	1.0000e- 004	4.1000e- 003	0.0000	11.8731	11.8731	6.2000e- 004	0.0000	11.8861
Total	0.0121	0.0500	0.1546	2.7000e- 004	0.0178	6.5000e- 004	0.0184	4.7800e- 003	6.0000e- 004	5.3800e- 003	0.0000	20.9285	20.9285	6.9000e- 004	0.0000	20.9430

3.6 Paving - 2018

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.0161	0.1716	0.1449	2.2000e- 004		9.3900e- 003	9.3900e- 003		8.6400e- 003	8.6400e- 003	0.0000	20.3687	20.3687	6.3400e- 003	0.0000	20.5019
Paving	3.7500e- 003					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0199	0.1716	0.1449	2.2000e- 004		9.3900e- 003	9.3900e- 003		8.6400e- 003	8.6400e- 003	0.0000	20.3687	20.3687	6.3400e- 003	0.0000	20.5019

3.6 Paving - 2018

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	7/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	4.9000e- 004	8.2000e- 004	7.0500e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.1387	1.1387	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1400
Total	4.9000e- 004	8.2000e- 004	7.0500e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.1387	1.1387	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1400

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	5.4900e- 003	0.1106	0.1693	2.2000e- 004		5.9800e- 003	5.9800e- 003	1 1 1	5.9800e- 003	5.9800e- 003	0.0000	20.3687	20.3687	6.3400e- 003	0.0000	20.5019
Paving	3.7500e- 003		1 1 1 1 1			0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	9.2400e- 003	0.1106	0.1693	2.2000e- 004		5.9800e- 003	5.9800e- 003		5.9800e- 003	5.9800e- 003	0.0000	20.3687	20.3687	6.3400e- 003	0.0000	20.5019

3.6 Paving - 2018

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	4.9000e- 004	8.2000e- 004	7.0500e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.1387	1.1387	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1400
Total	4.9000e- 004	8.2000e- 004	7.0500e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.1387	1.1387	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1400

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2018

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Archit. Coating	2.8717					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	2.9900e- 003	0.0201	0.0185	3.0000e- 005		1.5100e- 003	1.5100e- 003		1.5100e- 003	1.5100e- 003	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	2.4000e- 004	0.0000	2.5584
Total	2.8747	0.0201	0.0185	3.0000e- 005		1.5100e- 003	1.5100e- 003		1.5100e- 003	1.5100e- 003	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	2.4000e- 004	0.0000	2.5584

Page 23 of 35

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2018

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	8.8000e- 004	1.4800e- 003	0.0127	3.0000e- 005	2.6000e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.6200e- 003	6.9000e- 004	2.0000e- 005	7.1000e- 004	0.0000	2.0497	2.0497	1.1000e- 004	0.0000	2.0519
Total	8.8000e- 004	1.4800e- 003	0.0127	3.0000e- 005	2.6000e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.6200e- 003	6.9000e- 004	2.0000e- 005	7.1000e- 004	0.0000	2.0497	2.0497	1.1000e- 004	0.0000	2.0519

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Archit. Coating	2.8717					0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	5.9000e- 004	0.0136	0.0183	3.0000e- 005		9.5000e- 004	9.5000e- 004		9.5000e- 004	9.5000e- 004	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	2.4000e- 004	0.0000	2.5584
Total	2.8723	0.0136	0.0183	3.0000e- 005		9.5000e- 004	9.5000e- 004		9.5000e- 004	9.5000e- 004	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	2.4000e- 004	0.0000	2.5584

Page 24 of 35

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2018

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	8.8000e- 004	1.4800e- 003	0.0127	3.0000e- 005	2.6000e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.6200e- 003	6.9000e- 004	2.0000e- 005	7.1000e- 004	0.0000	2.0497	2.0497	1.1000e- 004	0.0000	2.0519
Total	8.8000e- 004	1.4800e- 003	0.0127	3.0000e- 005	2.6000e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.6200e- 003	6.9000e- 004	2.0000e- 005	7.1000e- 004	0.0000	2.0497	2.0497	1.1000e- 004	0.0000	2.0519

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Mitigated	0.5281	1.5279	5.5710	0.0128	0.9020	0.0191	0.9211	0.2417	0.0176	0.2594	0.0000	936.9892	936.9892	0.0366	0.0000	937.7583
Unmitigated	0.5281	1.5279	5.5710	0.0128	0.9020	0.0191	0.9211	0.2417	0.0176	0.2594	0.0000	936.9892	936.9892	0.0366	0.0000	937.7583

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Hotel	773.84	773.84	773.84	2,396,226	2,396,226
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	773.84	773.84	773.84	2,396,226	2,396,226

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Hotel	13.00	12.50	12.50	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4
Other Asphalt Surfaces	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Parking Lot	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.455742	0.042240	0.214741	0.150125	0.067745	0.009842	0.017929	0.023584	0.002328	0.001395	0.008801	0.000839	0.004689

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

Exceed Title 24

Install Energy Efficient Appliances

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Electricity Mitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	385.8228	385.8228	0.0177	3.5300e- 003	387.2874
Electricity Unmitigated			1 1 1 1			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	430.3273	430.3273	0.0197	3.9400e- 003	431.9610
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.0361	0.3281	0.2756	1.9700e- 003		0.0249	0.0249	,	0.0249	0.0249	0.0000	357.1385	357.1385	6.8500e- 003	6.5500e- 003	359.3120
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.0494	0.4490	0.3771	2.6900e- 003		0.0341	0.0341		0.0341	0.0341	0.0000	488.7459	488.7459	9.3700e- 003	8.9600e- 003	491.7203

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Unmitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	s/yr							MT	Г/yr		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	• • • •	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hotel	9.15875e +006	0.0494	0.4490	0.3771	2.6900e- 003	• • • •	0.0341	0.0341	1 1 1 1 1	0.0341	0.0341	0.0000	488.7459	488.7459	9.3700e- 003	8.9600e- 003	491.7203
Total		0.0494	0.4490	0.3771	2.6900e- 003		0.0341	0.0341		0.0341	0.0341	0.0000	488.7459	488.7459	9.3700e- 003	8.9600e- 003	491.7203

Page 27 of 35

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	•	0.0000	0.0000	7	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hotel	6.69252e +006	0.0361	0.3281	0.2756	1.9700e- 003		0.0249	0.0249		0.0249	0.0249	0.0000	357.1385	357.1385	6.8500e- 003	6.5500e- 003	359.3120
Total		0.0361	0.3281	0.2756	1.9700e- 003		0.0249	0.0249		0.0249	0.0249	0.0000	357.1385	357.1385	6.8500e- 003	6.5500e- 003	359.3120

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		ΜT	7/yr	
Hotel	1.66666e +006	413.2219	0.0189	3.7800e- 003	414.7906
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	68992	17.1054	7.8000e- 004	1.6000e- 004	17.1704
Total		430.3273	0.0197	3.9400e- 003	431.9610

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity <u>Mitigated</u>

Total CO2 CH4 N20 CO2e Electricity Use Land Use kWh/yr MT/yr 3.3700e-003 370.1170 Hotel 1.48716e 368.7173 0.0169 +006 0.0000 Other Asphalt 0 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 4. Surfaces 68992 17.1054 7.8000e-1.6000e- 17.1704 Parking Lot ÷. . 004 004 385.8228 3.5300e-387.2874 Total 0.0176 003

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Interior

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Exterior

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Mitigated	1.3229	6.0000e- 005	6.4600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0132
Unmitigated	1.5527	6.0000e- 005	6.4600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	 ! ! !	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0132

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							MT	Г/yr		
Architectural Coating	0.2872					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	1.2649	• • • •				0.0000	0.0000	 - - - - -	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	6.1000e- 004	6.0000e- 005	6.4600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	1 1 1 1 1	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0132
Total	1.5527	6.0000e- 005	6.4600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0132

Page 30 of 35

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							MT	7/yr		
Architectural Coating	0.0574					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	1.2649					0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	6.1000e- 004	6.0000e- 005	6.4600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	1 1 1 1	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0132
Total	1.3229	6.0000e- 005	6.4600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0132

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet

Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet

Install Low Flow Toilet

Install Low Flow Shower

Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		MT	ī/yr	
Mitigated	4.8905	0.0901	2.1600e- 003	7.4514
Unmitigated	6.0554	0.1126	2.7000e- 003	9.2578

7.2 Water by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		МТ	/yr	
Hotel	3.44988 / 0.38332	6.0554	0.1126	2.7000e- 003	9.2578
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		6.0554	0.1126	2.7000e- 003	9.2578

Page 32 of 35

7.2 Water by Land Use

Mitigated

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		ΜT	ī/yr	
Hotel	2.7599 / 0.359938	4.8905	0.0901	2.1600e- 003	7.4514
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		4.8905	0.0901	2.1600e- 003	7.4514

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Institute Recycling and Composting Services

Category/Year

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e					
		MT/yr							
Mitigated	11.3360	0.6699	0.0000	25.4048					
Unmitigated	15.1147	0.8933	0.0000	33.8730					

8.2 Waste by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		МТ	7/yr	
Hotel	74.46	15.1147	0.8933	0.0000	33.8730
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		15.1147	0.8933	0.0000	33.8730

8.2 Waste by Land Use

Mitigated

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		МТ	/yr	
Hotel	55.845	11.3360	0.6699	0.0000	25.4048
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		11.3360	0.6699	0.0000	25.4048

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Vegetation

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		Μ	IT	
Unmitigated	205.5200	0.0000	0.0000	205.5200

10.2 Net New Trees

Species Class

	Number of Trees	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
			Μ	T	
Mixed Hardwood	280	205.5200	0.0000	0.0000	205.5200
Total		205.5200	0.0000	0.0000	205.5200

Paso Robles Resort Hotel - Phase I (Main Hotel)

San Luis Obispo County, Summer

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Other Asphalt Surfaces	48.00	1000sqft	1.10	48,000.00	0
Parking Lot	196.00	Space	1.76	78,400.00	0
Hotel	136.00	Room	36.33	197,472.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	3.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	44
Climate Zone	4			Operational Year	2019
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Comp	bany			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	546.6	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.025	N2O Intensity 0 (Ib/MWhr)	.005

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - 2017 Construction start year. Includes RPS adjustment.

Land Use - Main Hotel=136 rooms. Total site acreage=~39AC. 196 space parking lot. 48,000 sf other asphalt surfaces.

Construction Phase - 15-month overall construction period based on project-specific data. Construction activity durations based on model defaults.

Off-road Equipment - Construction equipment based on model defaults.

Trips and VMT - Construction vehicle trips based on model defaults.

Demolition - Includes demolition of residence (1195sf). Shop (5000sf) to be removed/repurposed by third party included for quantification of haul truck trips.

Grading - Material balanced onsite. Conservatively assumes entire site rough graded during Phase I.

Vehicle Trips - Based on trip-gen rate derived from the traffic analysis, 5.694 trips/room, includes trips for onsite amenities (e.g., restaurant, meeting rooms, etc.). 12.5m/C-C & 13m/C-W&C-NW trips.

Vechicle Emission Factors - Vehicle fleet mix based on model defaults (conservative).

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Energy Use - Includes RPS adjustment.

Sequestration - Assumes 280 mixed hardwood.

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - Includes watering, 15 mph speed limit, T3 equipment.

Area Mitigation - Assumes use of low VOC paint, maximum 50 g/L.

Energy Mitigation - Includes 30% increase in energy efficiency for non-commercial uses with compliance with current building standards, compared to previous standards (CEC 2015) and energy-efficient appliances.

Water Mitigation - Includes installation of low-flow water fixtures and water-efficient irrigation systems.

Waste Mitigation - Assumes minimum 25% diversion rate per state requirements.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialExteriorV alue	250	50
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialInteriorV alue	250	50
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	5.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	3.00

tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	6.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	9.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	55.00	20.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	740.00	238.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	50.00	20.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	75.00	20.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	55.00	20.00

tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	30.00	5.00
tblGrading	AcresOfGrading	50.00	187.50
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	4.53	36.33
tblProjectCharacteristics	CH4IntensityFactor	0.029	0.025
tblProjectCharacteristics	CO2IntensityFactor	641.35	546.6
tblProjectCharacteristics	N2OIntensityFactor	0.006	0.005
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2019
tblSequestration	NumberOfNewTrees	0.00	280.00
tblVehicleTrips	CC_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	CNW_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	5.69

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	lb/day										lb/day					
2017	6.1755	69.7038	47.9052	0.0640	18.2442	3.3186	20.9997	9.9779	3.0531	12.5129	0.0000	6,494.311 8	6,494.311 8	1.9441	0.0000	6,535.137 5
2018	287.5527	27.4419	29.4622	0.0511	1.5859	1.5506	3.1365	0.4255	1.4565	1.8820	0.0000	4,665.936 5	4,665.936 5	0.7055	0.0000	4,680.752 3
Total	293.7282	97.1458	77.3674	0.1151	19.8301	4.8692	24.1362	10.4034	4.5097	14.3950	0.0000	11,160.24 83	11,160.24 83	2.6496	0.0000	11,215.88 99

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Year	lb/day											lb/day					
2017	1.7506	29.8916	39.0434	0.0640	7.2238	1.3248	8.1862	3.9202	1.3247	4.8824	0.0000	6,494.311 8	6,494.311 8	1.9441	0.0000	6,535.137 5	
2018	287.3135	18.3552	29.7451	0.0511	1.5859	0.9579	2.5438	0.4255	0.9533	1.3788	0.0000	4,665.936 5	4,665.936 5	0.7055	0.0000	4,680.752 3	
Total	289.0641	48.2468	68.7885	0.1151	8.8097	2.2827	10.7299	4.3457	2.2780	6.2613	0.0000	11,160.24 83	11,160.24 83	2.6496	0.0000	11,215.88 99	
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10 Total	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e	
					T WITO	T WITO	Total	1 1012.5	1 11/2.5	Total							
Percent Reduction	1.59	50.34	11.09	0.00	55.57	53.12	55.54	58.23	49.49	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Page 6 of 29

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e							
Category	lb/day										y Ib/day									lb/day			
Area	8.5081	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879							
Energy	0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870		0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0							
Mobile	2.8563	7.9476	29.2124	0.0724	5.0873	0.1050	5.1923	1.3604	0.0967	1.4572		5,840.763 0	5,840.763 0	0.2220		5,845.425 2							
Total	11.6350	10.4080	31.3180	0.0872	5.0873	0.2921	5.3794	1.3604	0.2838	1.6443		8,792.901 3	8,792.901 3	0.2788	0.0541	8,815.534 1							

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day												lb/c	day		
Area	7.2493	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879
Energy	0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366		0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9
Mobile	2.8563	7.9476	29.2124	0.0724	5.0873	0.1050	5.1923	1.3604	0.0967	1.4572		5,840.763 0	5,840.763 0	0.2220		5,845.425 2
Total	10.3033	9.7456	30.7616	0.0832	5.0873	0.2417	5.3290	1.3604	0.2335	1.5939		7,997.985 1	7,997.985 1	0.2636	0.0396	8,015.780 1

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	11.45	6.36	1.78	4.55	0.00	17.24	0.94	0.00	17.74	3.06	0.00	9.04	9.04	5.46	26.92	9.07

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2017	1/27/2017	5	20	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/28/2017	2/3/2017	5	5	
3	Grading	Grading	2/4/2017	3/3/2017	5	20	
4	Building Construction	Building Construction	3/4/2017	1/31/2018	5	238	
5	Paving	Paving	2/1/2018	2/28/2018	5	20	
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	3/1/2018	3/28/2018	5	20	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 187.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 371,736; Non-Residential Outdoor: 123,912 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	162	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	255	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	255	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	162	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	174	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	255	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	361	0.48
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	226	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	125	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	130	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	0.00	28.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	136.00	53.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	27.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Use Cleaner Engines for Construction Equipment

Use Soil Stabilizer

Water Exposed Area

Reduce Vehicle Speed on Unpaved Roads

Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Demolition - 2017

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust		, , ,			0.3147	0.0000	0.3147	0.0477	0.0000	0.0477			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.0482	42.6971	33.8934	0.0399		2.1252	2.1252		1.9797	1.9797		4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1
Total	4.0482	42.6971	33.8934	0.0399	0.3147	2.1252	2.4399	0.0477	1.9797	2.0274		4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0305	0.3953	0.2776	1.0500e- 003	0.0244	4.9200e- 003	0.0293	6.6600e- 003	4.5200e- 003	0.0112		104.6007	104.6007	7.0000e- 004		104.6154
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	,	0.0000
Worker	0.0573	0.0839	0.8251	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	1.0800e- 003	0.1494	0.0393	9.9000e- 004	0.0403		135.7071	135.7071	7.2600e- 003	,	135.8595
Total	0.0878	0.4791	1.1027	2.7400e- 003	0.1727	6.0000e- 003	0.1786	0.0460	5.5100e- 003	0.0515		240.3077	240.3077	7.9600e- 003		240.4749

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					0.1228	0.0000	0.1228	0.0186	0.0000	0.0186		1 1 1	0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.9478	18.7614	25.2649	0.0399		0.8817	0.8817		0.8817	0.8817	0.0000	4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1
Total	0.9478	18.7614	25.2649	0.0399	0.1228	0.8817	1.0044	0.0186	0.8817	0.9003	0.0000	4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0305	0.3953	0.2776	1.0500e- 003	0.0244	4.9200e- 003	0.0293	6.6600e- 003	4.5200e- 003	0.0112		104.6007	104.6007	7.0000e- 004		104.6154
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	,	0.0000
Worker	0.0573	0.0839	0.8251	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	1.0800e- 003	0.1494	0.0393	9.9000e- 004	0.0403		135.7071	135.7071	7.2600e- 003	,	135.8595
Total	0.0878	0.4791	1.1027	2.7400e- 003	0.1727	6.0000e- 003	0.1786	0.0460	5.5100e- 003	0.0515		240.3077	240.3077	7.9600e- 003		240.4749

3.3 Site Preparation - 2017

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					18.0663	0.0000	18.0663	9.9307	0.0000	9.9307			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.8382	51.7535	39.3970	0.0391		2.7542	2.7542		2.5339	2.5339		4,003.085 9	4,003.085 9	1.2265		4,028.843 2
Total	4.8382	51.7535	39.3970	0.0391	18.0663	2.7542	20.8205	9.9307	2.5339	12.4646		4,003.085 9	4,003.085 9	1.2265		4,028.843 2

3.3 Site Preparation - 2017

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0687	0.1007	0.9902	2.0300e- 003	0.1780	1.3000e- 003	0.1793	0.0472	1.1900e- 003	0.0484		162.8485	162.8485	8.7100e- 003		163.0314
Total	0.0687	0.1007	0.9902	2.0300e- 003	0.1780	1.3000e- 003	0.1793	0.0472	1.1900e- 003	0.0484		162.8485	162.8485	8.7100e- 003		163.0314

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust	1 1 1				7.0458	0.0000	7.0458	3.8730	0.0000	3.8730			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.9515	19.4584	23.4003	0.0391		0.9611	0.9611		0.9611	0.9611	0.0000	4,003.085 9	4,003.085 9	1.2265		4,028.843 2
Total	0.9515	19.4584	23.4003	0.0391	7.0458	0.9611	8.0069	3.8730	0.9611	4.8340	0.0000	4,003.085 9	4,003.085 9	1.2265		4,028.843 2

3.3 Site Preparation - 2017

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0687	0.1007	0.9902	2.0300e- 003	0.1780	1.3000e- 003	0.1793	0.0472	1.1900e- 003	0.0484		162.8485	162.8485	8.7100e- 003		163.0314
Total	0.0687	0.1007	0.9902	2.0300e- 003	0.1780	1.3000e- 003	0.1793	0.0472	1.1900e- 003	0.0484		162.8485	162.8485	8.7100e- 003		163.0314

3.4 Grading - 2017

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Category	lb/day											lb/day						
Fugitive Dust	10 10 10				15.9643	0.0000	15.9643	4.3838	0.0000	4.3838			0.0000			0.0000		
Off-Road	6.0991	69.5920	46.8050	0.0617		3.3172	3.3172		3.0518	3.0518		6,313.369 0	6,313.369 0	1.9344		6,353.991 5		
Total	6.0991	69.5920	46.8050	0.0617	15.9643	3.3172	19.2815	4.3838	3.0518	7.4356		6,313.369 0	6,313.369 0	1.9344		6,353.991 5		

3.4 Grading - 2017

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Category	lb/day											lb/day						
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000		
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000		
Worker	0.0764	0.1118	1.1002	2.2600e- 003	0.1977	1.4400e- 003	0.1992	0.0524	1.3200e- 003	0.0538		180.9428	180.9428	9.6800e- 003		181.1460		
Total	0.0764	0.1118	1.1002	2.2600e- 003	0.1977	1.4400e- 003	0.1992	0.0524	1.3200e- 003	0.0538		180.9428	180.9428	9.6800e- 003		181.1460		

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e			
Category	lb/day											lb/day							
Fugitive Dust					6.2261	0.0000	6.2261	1.7097	0.0000	1.7097			0.0000			0.0000			
Off-Road	1.5128	29.7798	37.9432	0.0617		1.3234	1.3234		1.3234	1.3234	0.0000	6,313.369 0	6,313.369 0	1.9344		6,353.991 5			
Total	1.5128	29.7798	37.9432	0.0617	6.2261	1.3234	7.5495	1.7097	1.3234	3.0330	0.0000	6,313.369 0	6,313.369 0	1.9344		6,353.991 5			

3.4 Grading - 2017

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Category	lb/day										lb/day						
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	
Worker	0.0764	0.1118	1.1002	2.2600e- 003	0.1977	1.4400e- 003	0.1992	0.0524	1.3200e- 003	0.0538		180.9428	180.9428	9.6800e- 003		181.1460	
Total	0.0764	0.1118	1.1002	2.2600e- 003	0.1977	1.4400e- 003	0.1992	0.0524	1.3200e- 003	0.0538		180.9428	180.9428	9.6800e- 003		181.1460	

3.5 Building Construction - 2017

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Category	lb/day										lb/day						
Off-Road	3.1024	26.4057	18.1291	0.0268		1.7812	1.7812		1.6730	1.6730		2,639.805 3	2,639.805 3	0.6497		2,653.449 0	
Total	3.1024	26.4057	18.1291	0.0268		1.7812	1.7812		1.6730	1.6730		2,639.805 3	2,639.805 3	0.6497		2,653.449 0	
Page 16 of 29

3.5 Building Construction - 2017

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.5601	3.8417	5.6129	9.0100e- 003	0.2414	0.0510	0.2924	0.0689	0.0469	0.1158		887.0196	887.0196	7.0700e- 003		887.1680
Worker	0.5193	0.7605	7.4813	0.0153	1.3445	9.8000e- 003	1.3543	0.3566	8.9600e- 003	0.3656		1,230.410 9	1,230.410 9	0.0658		1,231.792 8
Total	1.0794	4.6022	13.0942	0.0244	1.5859	0.0608	1.6467	0.4255	0.0559	0.4814		2,117.430 5	2,117.430 5	0.0729		2,118.960 8

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016		0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,639.805 3	2,639.805 3	0.6497		2,653.449 0
Total	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016		0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,639.805 3	2,639.805 3	0.6497		2,653.449 0

Page 17 of 29

3.5 Building Construction - 2017

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.5601	3.8417	5.6129	9.0100e- 003	0.2414	0.0510	0.2924	0.0689	0.0469	0.1158		887.0196	887.0196	7.0700e- 003		887.1680
Worker	0.5193	0.7605	7.4813	0.0153	1.3445	9.8000e- 003	1.3543	0.3566	8.9600e- 003	0.3656		1,230.410 9	1,230.410 9	0.0658		1,231.792 8
Total	1.0794	4.6022	13.0942	0.0244	1.5859	0.0608	1.6467	0.4255	0.0559	0.4814		2,117.430 5	2,117.430 5	0.0729		2,118.960 8

3.5 Building Construction - 2018

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/d	lay		
Off-Road	2.6687	23.2608	17.5327	0.0268		1.4943	1.4943		1.4048	1.4048		2,609.939 0	2,609.939 0	0.6387		2,623.351 7
Total	2.6687	23.2608	17.5327	0.0268		1.4943	1.4943		1.4048	1.4048		2,609.939 0	2,609.939 0	0.6387		2,623.351 7

Page 18 of 29

3.5 Building Construction - 2018

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.5388	3.5121	5.4291	9.0000e- 003	0.2414	0.0470	0.2884	0.0689	0.0432	0.1121		871.9385	871.9385	6.9200e- 003		872.0839
Worker	0.4435	0.6690	6.5004	0.0153	1.3445	9.2700e- 003	1.3538	0.3566	8.5400e- 003	0.3651		1,184.059 0	1,184.059 0	0.0592		1,185.302 8
Total	0.9823	4.1811	11.9296	0.0243	1.5859	0.0563	1.6422	0.4255	0.0518	0.4773		2,055.997 6	2,055.997 6	0.0662		2,057.386 7

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016	5 5 6	0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,609.938 9	2,609.938 9	0.6387		2,623.351 7
Total	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016		0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,609.938 9	2,609.938 9	0.6387		2,623.351 7

Page 19 of 29

3.5 Building Construction - 2018

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.5388	3.5121	5.4291	9.0000e- 003	0.2414	0.0470	0.2884	0.0689	0.0432	0.1121		871.9385	871.9385	6.9200e- 003		872.0839
Worker	0.4435	0.6690	6.5004	0.0153	1.3445	9.2700e- 003	1.3538	0.3566	8.5400e- 003	0.3651		1,184.059 0	1,184.059 0	0.0592		1,185.302 8
Total	0.9823	4.1811	11.9296	0.0243	1.5859	0.0563	1.6422	0.4255	0.0518	0.4773		2,055.997 6	2,055.997 6	0.0662		2,057.386 7

3.6 Paving - 2018

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.6114	17.1628	14.4944	0.0223		0.9386	0.9386	1 1 1	0.8635	0.8635		2,245.269 5	2,245.269 5	0.6990		2,259.948 1
Paving	0.3747					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		 	0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.9861	17.1628	14.4944	0.0223		0.9386	0.9386		0.8635	0.8635		2,245.269 5	2,245.269 5	0.6990		2,259.948 1

3.6 Paving - 2018

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0489	0.0738	0.7170	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	1.0200e- 003	0.1493	0.0393	9.4000e- 004	0.0403		130.5948	130.5948	6.5300e- 003		130.7319
Total	0.0489	0.0738	0.7170	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	1.0200e- 003	0.1493	0.0393	9.4000e- 004	0.0403		130.5948	130.5948	6.5300e- 003		130.7319

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.5490	11.0645	16.9276	0.0223		0.5982	0.5982	1 1 1	0.5982	0.5982	0.0000	2,245.269 5	2,245.269 5	0.6990		2,259.948 1
Paving	0.3747					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		1	0.0000			0.0000
Total	0.9237	11.0645	16.9276	0.0223		0.5982	0.5982		0.5982	0.5982	0.0000	2,245.269 5	2,245.269 5	0.6990		2,259.948 1

3.6 Paving - 2018

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0489	0.0738	0.7170	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	1.0200e- 003	0.1493	0.0393	9.4000e- 004	0.0403		130.5948	130.5948	6.5300e- 003		130.7319
Total	0.0489	0.0738	0.7170	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	1.0200e- 003	0.1493	0.0393	9.4000e- 004	0.0403		130.5948	130.5948	6.5300e- 003		130.7319

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2018

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Archit. Coating	287.1661					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.2986	2.0058	1.8542	2.9700e- 003		0.1506	0.1506		0.1506	0.1506		281.4485	281.4485	0.0267		282.0102
Total	287.4647	2.0058	1.8542	2.9700e- 003		0.1506	0.1506		0.1506	0.1506		281.4485	281.4485	0.0267		282.0102

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2018

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0880	0.1328	1.2905	3.0400e- 003	0.2669	1.8400e- 003	0.2688	0.0708	1.7000e- 003	0.0725		235.0705	235.0705	0.0118		235.3175
Total	0.0880	0.1328	1.2905	3.0400e- 003	0.2669	1.8400e- 003	0.2688	0.0708	1.7000e- 003	0.0725		235.0705	235.0705	0.0118		235.3175

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Archit. Coating	287.1661					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.0594	1.3570	1.8324	2.9700e- 003		0.0951	0.0951		0.0951	0.0951	0.0000	281.4485	281.4485	0.0267		282.0102
Total	287.2255	1.3570	1.8324	2.9700e- 003		0.0951	0.0951		0.0951	0.0951	0.0000	281.4485	281.4485	0.0267		282.0102

Page 23 of 29

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2018

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0880	0.1328	1.2905	3.0400e- 003	0.2669	1.8400e- 003	0.2688	0.0708	1.7000e- 003	0.0725		235.0705	235.0705	0.0118		235.3175
Total	0.0880	0.1328	1.2905	3.0400e- 003	0.2669	1.8400e- 003	0.2688	0.0708	1.7000e- 003	0.0725		235.0705	235.0705	0.0118		235.3175

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Mitigated	2.8563	7.9476	29.2124	0.0724	5.0873	0.1050	5.1923	1.3604	0.0967	1.4572		5,840.763 0	5,840.763 0	0.2220		5,845.425 2
Unmitigated	2.8563	7.9476	29.2124	0.0724	5.0873	0.1050	5.1923	1.3604	0.0967	1.4572		5,840.763 0	5,840.763 0	0.2220		5,845.425 2

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Hotel	773.84	773.84	773.84	2,396,226	2,396,226
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	773.84	773.84	773.84	2,396,226	2,396,226

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Hotel	13.00	12.50	12.50	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4
Other Asphalt Surfaces	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Parking Lot	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.455742	0.042240	0.214741	0.150125	0.067745	0.009842	0.017929	0.023584	0.002328	0.001395	0.008801	0.000839	0.004689

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

Exceed Title 24

Install Energy Efficient Appliances

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	lay		
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366		0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870	 - - -	0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/	day							lb/e	day		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	• • • •	0.0000	0.0000	, , , , ,	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hotel	25092.5	0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148	• • • •	0.1870	0.1870		0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0
Total		0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870		0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0

Page 26 of 29

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	- 	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hotel	18.3357	0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366	1 1 1 1 1	0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9	0.0000	0.0000	,	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366		0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Interior

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Exterior

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/d	day		
Mitigated	7.2493	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879
Unmitigated	8.5081	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Architectural Coating	1.5735					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	6.9309	• • • •				0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		 - - - -	0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	3.7100e- 003	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	1 1 1 1 1	1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879
Total	8.5081	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879

Page 28 of 29

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day												lb/d	day		
Architectural Coating	0.3147					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	6.9309					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		, , , , ,	0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	3.7100e- 003	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	1 1 1 1	1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879
Total	7.2493	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet

Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet

Install Low Flow Toilet

Install Low Flow Shower

Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Institute Recycling and Composting Services

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Vegetation

Paso Robles Resort Hotel - Phase I (Main Hotel)

San Luis Obispo County, Winter

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Other Asphalt Surfaces	48.00	1000sqft	1.10	48,000.00	0
Parking Lot	196.00	Space	1.76	78,400.00	0
Hotel	136.00	Room	36.33	197,472.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	3.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	44
Climate Zone	4			Operational Year	2019
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Comp	bany			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	546.6	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.025	N2O Intensity 0 (Ib/MWhr)	.005

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - 2017 Construction start year. Includes RPS adjustment.

Land Use - Main Hotel=136 rooms. Total site acreage=~39AC. 196 space parking lot. 48,000 sf other asphalt surfaces.

Construction Phase - 15-month overall construction period based on project-specific data. Construction activity durations based on model defaults.

Off-road Equipment - Construction equipment based on model defaults.

Trips and VMT - Construction vehicle trips based on model defaults.

Demolition - Includes demolition of residence (1195sf). Shop (5000sf) to be removed/repurposed by third party included for quantification of haul truck trips.

Grading - Material balanced onsite. Conservatively assumes entire site rough graded during Phase I.

Vehicle Trips - Based on trip-gen rate derived from the traffic analysis, 5.694 trips/room, includes trips for onsite amenities (e.g., restaurant, meeting rooms, etc.). 12.5m/C-C & 13m/C-W&C-NW trips.

Vechicle Emission Factors - Vehicle fleet mix based on model defaults (conservative).

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Energy Use - Includes RPS adjustment.

Sequestration - Assumes 280 mixed hardwood.

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - Includes watering, 15 mph speed limit, T3 equipment.

Area Mitigation - Assumes use of low VOC paint, maximum 50 g/L.

Energy Mitigation - Includes 30% increase in energy efficiency for non-commercial uses with compliance with current building standards, compared to previous standards (CEC 2015) and energy-efficient appliances.

Water Mitigation - Includes installation of low-flow water fixtures and water-efficient irrigation systems.

Waste Mitigation - Assumes minimum 25% diversion rate per state requirements.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialExteriorV alue	250	50
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialInteriorV alue	250	50
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	5.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	3.00

tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	6.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	9.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	55.00	20.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	740.00	238.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	50.00	20.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	75.00	20.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	55.00	20.00

tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	30.00	5.00
tblGrading	AcresOfGrading	50.00	187.50
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	4.53	36.33
tblProjectCharacteristics	CH4IntensityFactor	0.029	0.025
tblProjectCharacteristics	CO2IntensityFactor	641.35	546.6
tblProjectCharacteristics	N2OIntensityFactor	0.006	0.005
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2019
tblSequestration	NumberOfNewTrees	0.00	280.00
tblVehicleTrips	CC_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	CNW_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	5.69

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
2017	6.1808	69.7188	47.9103	0.0639	18.2442	3.3186	20.9997	9.9779	3.0531	12.5129	0.0000	6,485.901 3	6,485.901 3	1.9441	0.0000	6,526.727 0
2018	287.5582	27.5854	32.0574	0.0504	1.5859	1.5514	3.1372	0.4255	1.4573	1.8828	0.0000	4,601.394 2	4,601.394 2	0.7055	0.0000	4,616.210 0
Total	293.7391	97.3041	79.9676	0.1142	19.8301	4.8700	24.1370	10.4034	4.5104	14.3957	0.0000	11,087.29 55	11,087.29 55	2.6496	0.0000	11,142.93 70

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Year	lb/day											lb/day					
2017	1.9160	29.9065	39.0484	0.0639	7.2238	1.3248	8.1862	3.9202	1.3247	4.8824	0.0000	6,485.901 3	6,485.901 3	1.9441	0.0000	6,526.727 0	
2018	287.3190	18.4987	32.3403	0.0504	1.5859	0.9587	2.5445	0.4255	0.9541	1.3796	0.0000	4,601.394 2	4,601.394 2	0.7055	0.0000	4,616.210 0	
Total	289.2350	48.4052	71.3887	0.1142	8.8097	2.2835	10.7307	4.3457	2.2788	6.2620	0.0000	11,087.29 54	11,087.29 54	2.6496	0.0000	11,142.93 70	
	POG	NOv	0.0	SO2	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	СНИ	N20	CO20	
		NOX		002	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total	510- 502	110-002		0114	1120	0026	
Percent Reduction	1.53	50.25	10.73	0.00	55.57	53.11	55.54	58.23	49.48	56.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Page 6 of 29

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day												lb/d	day		
Area	8.5081	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879
Energy	0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870	, 	0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0
Mobile	3.0793	8.4101	31.9112	0.0699	5.0873	0.1054	5.1927	1.3604	0.0971	1.4576		5,647.144 5	5,647.144 5	0.2222		5,651.810 6
Total	11.8580	10.8706	34.0168	0.0847	5.0873	0.2925	5.3798	1.3604	0.2842	1.6447		8,599.282 9	8,599.282 9	0.2790	0.0541	8,621.919 4

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Area	7.2493	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879
Energy	0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366		0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9
Mobile	3.0793	8.4101	31.9112	0.0699	5.0873	0.1054	5.1927	1.3604	0.0971	1.4576		5,647.144 5	5,647.144 5	0.2222		5,651.810 6
Total	10.5263	10.2081	33.4604	0.0807	5.0873	0.2422	5.3295	1.3604	0.2339	1.5943		7,804.366 6	7,804.366 6	0.2638	0.0396	7,822.165 4

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	11.23	6.09	1.64	4.69	0.00	17.21	0.94	0.00	17.71	3.06	0.00	9.24	9.24	5.46	26.92	9.28

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2017	1/27/2017	5	20	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/28/2017	2/3/2017	5	5	
3	Grading	Grading	2/4/2017	3/3/2017	5	20	
4	Building Construction	Building Construction	3/4/2017	1/31/2018	5	238	
5	Paving	Paving	2/1/2018	2/28/2018	5	20	
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	3/1/2018	3/28/2018	5	20	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 187.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 371,736; Non-Residential Outdoor: 123,912 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	162	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	255	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	255	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	162	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	174	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	255	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	361	0.48
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	226	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	125	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	130	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	0.00	28.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	136.00	53.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	27.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Use Cleaner Engines for Construction Equipment

Use Soil Stabilizer

Water Exposed Area

Reduce Vehicle Speed on Unpaved Roads

Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Demolition - 2017

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust		, , ,	1		0.3147	0.0000	0.3147	0.0477	0.0000	0.0477		1 1 1	0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.0482	42.6971	33.8934	0.0399		2.1252	2.1252	1 1 1 1	1.9797	1.9797		4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1
Total	4.0482	42.6971	33.8934	0.0399	0.3147	2.1252	2.4399	0.0477	1.9797	2.0274		4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0355	0.4045	0.3878	1.0500e- 003	0.0244	4.9300e- 003	0.0293	6.6600e- 003	4.5400e- 003	0.0112		104.3573	104.3573	7.1000e- 004		104.3723
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	,	0.0000
Worker	0.0613	0.0951	0.8289	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	1.0800e- 003	0.1494	0.0393	9.9000e- 004	0.0403		129.3992	129.3992	7.2600e- 003		129.5516
Total	0.0967	0.4996	1.2167	2.6600e- 003	0.1727	6.0100e- 003	0.1787	0.0460	5.5300e- 003	0.0515		233.7565	233.7565	7.9700e- 003		233.9239

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					0.1228	0.0000	0.1228	0.0186	0.0000	0.0186			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.9478	18.7614	25.2649	0.0399		0.8817	0.8817		0.8817	0.8817	0.0000	4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1
Total	0.9478	18.7614	25.2649	0.0399	0.1228	0.8817	1.0044	0.0186	0.8817	0.9003	0.0000	4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0355	0.4045	0.3878	1.0500e- 003	0.0244	4.9300e- 003	0.0293	6.6600e- 003	4.5400e- 003	0.0112		104.3573	104.3573	7.1000e- 004		104.3723
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	,	0.0000
Worker	0.0613	0.0951	0.8289	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	1.0800e- 003	0.1494	0.0393	9.9000e- 004	0.0403		129.3992	129.3992	7.2600e- 003	,	129.5516
Total	0.0967	0.4996	1.2167	2.6600e- 003	0.1727	6.0100e- 003	0.1787	0.0460	5.5300e- 003	0.0515		233.7565	233.7565	7.9700e- 003		233.9239

3.3 Site Preparation - 2017

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					18.0663	0.0000	18.0663	9.9307	0.0000	9.9307			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.8382	51.7535	39.3970	0.0391		2.7542	2.7542		2.5339	2.5339		4,003.085 9	4,003.085 9	1.2265		4,028.843 2
Total	4.8382	51.7535	39.3970	0.0391	18.0663	2.7542	20.8205	9.9307	2.5339	12.4646		4,003.085 9	4,003.085 9	1.2265		4,028.843 2

3.3 Site Preparation - 2017

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0735	0.1141	0.9947	1.9400e- 003	0.1780	1.3000e- 003	0.1793	0.0472	1.1900e- 003	0.0484		155.2790	155.2790	8.7100e- 003		155.4620
Total	0.0735	0.1141	0.9947	1.9400e- 003	0.1780	1.3000e- 003	0.1793	0.0472	1.1900e- 003	0.0484		155.2790	155.2790	8.7100e- 003		155.4620

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust	1 1 1				7.0458	0.0000	7.0458	3.8730	0.0000	3.8730			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.9515	19.4584	23.4003	0.0391		0.9611	0.9611		0.9611	0.9611	0.0000	4,003.085 9	4,003.085 9	1.2265		4,028.843 2
Total	0.9515	19.4584	23.4003	0.0391	7.0458	0.9611	8.0069	3.8730	0.9611	4.8340	0.0000	4,003.085 9	4,003.085 9	1.2265		4,028.843 2

3.3 Site Preparation - 2017

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0735	0.1141	0.9947	1.9400e- 003	0.1780	1.3000e- 003	0.1793	0.0472	1.1900e- 003	0.0484		155.2790	155.2790	8.7100e- 003		155.4620
Total	0.0735	0.1141	0.9947	1.9400e- 003	0.1780	1.3000e- 003	0.1793	0.0472	1.1900e- 003	0.0484		155.2790	155.2790	8.7100e- 003		155.4620

3.4 Grading - 2017

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust	10 10 10				15.9643	0.0000	15.9643	4.3838	0.0000	4.3838			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	6.0991	69.5920	46.8050	0.0617		3.3172	3.3172		3.0518	3.0518		6,313.369 0	6,313.369 0	1.9344		6,353.991 5
Total	6.0991	69.5920	46.8050	0.0617	15.9643	3.3172	19.2815	4.3838	3.0518	7.4356		6,313.369 0	6,313.369 0	1.9344		6,353.991 5

3.4 Grading - 2017

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0817	0.1268	1.1052	2.1500e- 003	0.1977	1.4400e- 003	0.1992	0.0524	1.3200e- 003	0.0538		172.5323	172.5323	9.6800e- 003		172.7355
Total	0.0817	0.1268	1.1052	2.1500e- 003	0.1977	1.4400e- 003	0.1992	0.0524	1.3200e- 003	0.0538		172.5323	172.5323	9.6800e- 003		172.7355

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					6.2261	0.0000	6.2261	1.7097	0.0000	1.7097			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	1.5128	29.7798	37.9432	0.0617		1.3234	1.3234		1.3234	1.3234	0.0000	6,313.369 0	6,313.369 0	1.9344		6,353.991 5
Total	1.5128	29.7798	37.9432	0.0617	6.2261	1.3234	7.5495	1.7097	1.3234	3.0330	0.0000	6,313.369 0	6,313.369 0	1.9344		6,353.991 5

3.4 Grading - 2017

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0817	0.1268	1.1052	2.1500e- 003	0.1977	1.4400e- 003	0.1992	0.0524	1.3200e- 003	0.0538		172.5323	172.5323	9.6800e- 003		172.7355
Total	0.0817	0.1268	1.1052	2.1500e- 003	0.1977	1.4400e- 003	0.1992	0.0524	1.3200e- 003	0.0538		172.5323	172.5323	9.6800e- 003		172.7355

3.5 Building Construction - 2017

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	3.1024	26.4057	18.1291	0.0268		1.7812	1.7812		1.6730	1.6730		2,639.805 3	2,639.805 3	0.6497		2,653.449 0
Total	3.1024	26.4057	18.1291	0.0268		1.7812	1.7812		1.6730	1.6730		2,639.805 3	2,639.805 3	0.6497		2,653.449 0

Page 16 of 29

3.5 Building Construction - 2017

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.6894	3.9010	8.2979	8.9600e- 003	0.2414	0.0519	0.2933	0.0689	0.0477	0.1166		877.4830	877.4830	7.3200e- 003		877.6366
Worker	0.5554	0.8620	7.5156	0.0146	1.3445	9.8000e- 003	1.3543	0.3566	8.9600e- 003	0.3656		1,173.219 4	1,173.219 4	0.0658		1,174.601 4
Total	1.2448	4.7631	15.8135	0.0236	1.5859	0.0617	1.6476	0.4255	0.0567	0.4822		2,050.702 4	2,050.702 4	0.0731		2,052.238 0

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016		0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,639.805 3	2,639.805 3	0.6497		2,653.449 0
Total	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016		0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,639.805 3	2,639.805 3	0.6497		2,653.449 0

Page 17 of 29

3.5 Building Construction - 2017

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.6894	3.9010	8.2979	8.9600e- 003	0.2414	0.0519	0.2933	0.0689	0.0477	0.1166		877.4830	877.4830	7.3200e- 003		877.6366
Worker	0.5554	0.8620	7.5156	0.0146	1.3445	9.8000e- 003	1.3543	0.3566	8.9600e- 003	0.3656		1,173.219 4	1,173.219 4	0.0658		1,174.601 4
Total	1.2448	4.7631	15.8135	0.0236	1.5859	0.0617	1.6476	0.4255	0.0567	0.4822		2,050.702 4	2,050.702 4	0.0731		2,052.238 0

3.5 Building Construction - 2018

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	2.6687	23.2608	17.5327	0.0268		1.4943	1.4943	1 1 1	1.4048	1.4048		2,609.939 0	2,609.939 0	0.6387		2,623.351 7
Total	2.6687	23.2608	17.5327	0.0268		1.4943	1.4943		1.4048	1.4048		2,609.939 0	2,609.939 0	0.6387		2,623.351 7

Page 18 of 29

3.5 Building Construction - 2018

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.6615	3.5657	8.0575	8.9400e- 003	0.2414	0.0478	0.2892	0.0689	0.0439	0.1129		862.5402	862.5402	7.1800e- 003		862.6909
Worker	0.4712	0.7588	6.4672	0.0146	1.3445	9.2700e- 003	1.3538	0.3566	8.5400e- 003	0.3651		1,128.915 1	1,128.915 1	0.0592		1,130.158 8
Total	1.1327	4.3245	14.5247	0.0235	1.5859	0.0571	1.6430	0.4255	0.0525	0.4780		1,991.455 2	1,991.455 2	0.0664		1,992.849 7

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016	5 5 6	0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,609.938 9	2,609.938 9	0.6387		2,623.351 7
Total	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016		0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,609.938 9	2,609.938 9	0.6387		2,623.351 7

Page 19 of 29

3.5 Building Construction - 2018

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.6615	3.5657	8.0575	8.9400e- 003	0.2414	0.0478	0.2892	0.0689	0.0439	0.1129		862.5402	862.5402	7.1800e- 003		862.6909
Worker	0.4712	0.7588	6.4672	0.0146	1.3445	9.2700e- 003	1.3538	0.3566	8.5400e- 003	0.3651		1,128.915 1	1,128.915 1	0.0592		1,130.158 8
Total	1.1327	4.3245	14.5247	0.0235	1.5859	0.0571	1.6430	0.4255	0.0525	0.4780		1,991.455 2	1,991.455 2	0.0664		1,992.849 7

3.6 Paving - 2018

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.6114	17.1628	14.4944	0.0223		0.9386	0.9386	1 1 1	0.8635	0.8635		2,245.269 5	2,245.269 5	0.6990		2,259.948 1
Paving	0.3747					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		 	0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.9861	17.1628	14.4944	0.0223		0.9386	0.9386		0.8635	0.8635		2,245.269 5	2,245.269 5	0.6990		2,259.948 1

3.6 Paving - 2018

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	lb/day										
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0520	0.0837	0.7133	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	1.0200e- 003	0.1493	0.0393	9.4000e- 004	0.0403		124.5127	124.5127	6.5300e- 003		124.6499
Total	0.0520	0.0837	0.7133	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	1.0200e- 003	0.1493	0.0393	9.4000e- 004	0.0403		124.5127	124.5127	6.5300e- 003		124.6499

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.5490	11.0645	16.9276	0.0223		0.5982	0.5982	- 	0.5982	0.5982	0.0000	2,245.269 5	2,245.269 5	0.6990		2,259.948 1
Paving	0.3747					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	0.9237	11.0645	16.9276	0.0223		0.5982	0.5982		0.5982	0.5982	0.0000	2,245.269 5	2,245.269 5	0.6990		2,259.948 1

3.6 Paving - 2018

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	lb/day										
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0520	0.0837	0.7133	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	1.0200e- 003	0.1493	0.0393	9.4000e- 004	0.0403		124.5127	124.5127	6.5300e- 003		124.6499
Total	0.0520	0.0837	0.7133	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	1.0200e- 003	0.1493	0.0393	9.4000e- 004	0.0403		124.5127	124.5127	6.5300e- 003		124.6499

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2018

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Category	lb/day										lb/day						
Archit. Coating	287.1661					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000	
Off-Road	0.2986	2.0058	1.8542	2.9700e- 003		0.1506	0.1506		0.1506	0.1506		281.4485	281.4485	0.0267		282.0102	
Total	287.4647	2.0058	1.8542	2.9700e- 003		0.1506	0.1506		0.1506	0.1506		281.4485	281.4485	0.0267		282.0102	

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2018

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	lb/day										
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0936	0.1507	1.2839	2.9000e- 003	0.2669	1.8400e- 003	0.2688	0.0708	1.7000e- 003	0.0725		224.1228	224.1228	0.0118		224.3698
Total	0.0936	0.1507	1.2839	2.9000e- 003	0.2669	1.8400e- 003	0.2688	0.0708	1.7000e- 003	0.0725		224.1228	224.1228	0.0118		224.3698

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Category	lb/day										lb/day							
Archit. Coating	287.1661					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000		
Off-Road	0.0594	1.3570	1.8324	2.9700e- 003		0.0951	0.0951		0.0951	0.0951	0.0000	281.4485	281.4485	0.0267		282.0102		
Total	287.2255	1.3570	1.8324	2.9700e- 003		0.0951	0.0951		0.0951	0.0951	0.0000	281.4485	281.4485	0.0267		282.0102		
Page 23 of 29

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2018

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0936	0.1507	1.2839	2.9000e- 003	0.2669	1.8400e- 003	0.2688	0.0708	1.7000e- 003	0.0725		224.1228	224.1228	0.0118		224.3698
Total	0.0936	0.1507	1.2839	2.9000e- 003	0.2669	1.8400e- 003	0.2688	0.0708	1.7000e- 003	0.0725		224.1228	224.1228	0.0118		224.3698

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	lay		
Mitigated	3.0793	8.4101	31.9112	0.0699	5.0873	0.1054	5.1927	1.3604	0.0971	1.4576		5,647.144 5	5,647.144 5	0.2222		5,651.810 6
Unmitigated	3.0793	8.4101	31.9112	0.0699	5.0873	0.1054	5.1927	1.3604	0.0971	1.4576		5,647.144 5	5,647.144 5	0.2222		5,651.810 6

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Hotel	773.84	773.84	773.84	2,396,226	2,396,226
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	773.84	773.84	773.84	2,396,226	2,396,226

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Hotel	13.00	12.50	12.50	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4
Other Asphalt Surfaces	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Parking Lot	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.455742	0.042240	0.214741	0.150125	0.067745	0.009842	0.017929	0.023584	0.002328	0.001395	0.008801	0.000839	0.004689

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

Exceed Title 24

Install Energy Efficient Appliances

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	lay		
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108	• • •	0.1366	0.1366	1 1 1	0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870		0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hotel	25092.5	0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870	1	0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0
Total		0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870		0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0

Page 26 of 29

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	- 	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hotel	18.3357	0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366	1 1 1 1 1	0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9	0.0000	0.0000	,	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366		0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Interior

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Exterior

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	lay		
Mitigated	7.2493	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000	1 1 1	1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879
Unmitigated	8.5081	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Architectural Coating	1.5735					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	6.9309					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		, , , , ,	0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	3.7100e- 003	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	1 1 1 1 1	1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879
Total	8.5081	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879

Page 28 of 29

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Architectural Coating	0.3147					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	6.9309					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		, , , , ,	0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	3.7100e- 003	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	1 1 1 1	1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879
Total	7.2493	3.6000e- 004	0.0392	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.3000e- 004		0.0879

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet

Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet

Install Low Flow Toilet

Install Low Flow Shower

Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Institute Recycling and Composting Services

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Vegetation

Paso Robles Resort Hotel - Phase I (Main Hotel)

San Luis Obispo County, Annual

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Other Asphalt Surfaces	48.00	1000sqft	1.10	48,000.00	0
Parking Lot	196.00	Space	1.76	78,400.00	0
Hotel	136.00	Room	36.33	197,472.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	3.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	44
Climate Zone	4			Operational Year	2022
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Com	pany			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	488.3	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.022	N2O Intensity 0. (Ib/MWhr)	005

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Assumes 2022 Buildout year. Construction does not apply.

Land Use - Main Hotel=136 rooms. Total site acreage=36.33. 196 space parking lot. 48,000 sf other asphalt surfaces.

Construction Phase - Const. does not apply.

Off-road Equipment - .

Trips and VMT - .

Demolition - .

Grading - .

Vehicle Trips - Based on trip-gen rate derived from the traffic analysis, 5.694 trips/room, includes trips for onsite amenities (e.g., restaurant, meeting rooms, etc.). 12.5/13 miles for NW/W trips.

Vechicle Emission Factors - Vehicle fleet mix based on model defaults (conservative).

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Energy Use - Includes RPS adjustment.

Sequestration - .

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - .

Area Mitigation - Assumes use of low VOC paint, maximum 50 g/L.

Energy Mitigation - Includes 30% increase in energy efficiency for non-commercial uses with compliance with current building standards, compared to previous standards (CEC 2015) and energy-efficient appliances.

Water Mitigation - Includes installation of low-flow water fixtures and water-efficient irrigation systems.

Waste Mitigation - Assumes minimum 25% diversion rate per state requirements.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialExteriorV alue	250	50
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialInteriorV alue	250	50
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	50.00	1.00
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	4.53	36.33
tblProjectCharacteristics	CH4IntensityFactor	0.029	0.022
tblProjectCharacteristics	CO2IntensityFactor	641.35	488.3
tblProjectCharacteristics	N2OIntensityFactor	0.006	0.005
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2022
tblVehicleTrips	CC_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	CNW_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	5.69

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
2017	2.6700e- 003	0.0290	0.0238	4.0000e- 005	6.3700e- 003	1.1500e- 003	7.5200e- 003	1.0300e- 003	1.0700e- 003	2.1000e- 003	0.0000	3.6506	3.6506	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	3.6615
Total	2.6700e- 003	0.0290	0.0238	4.0000e- 005	6.3700e- 003	1.1500e- 003	7.5200e- 003	1.0300e- 003	1.0700e- 003	2.1000e- 003	0.0000	3.6506	3.6506	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	3.6615

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
2017	2.6700e- 003	0.0290	0.0238	4.0000e- 005	6.3700e- 003	1.1500e- 003	7.5200e- 003	1.0300e- 003	1.0700e- 003	2.1000e- 003	0.0000	3.6506	3.6506	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	3.6615
Total	2.6700e- 003	0.0290	0.0238	4.0000e- 005	6.3700e- 003	1.1500e- 003	7.5200e- 003	1.0300e- 003	1.0700e- 003	2.1000e- 003	0.0000	3.6506	3.6506	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	3.6615

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Area	1.5526	6.0000e- 005	6.4100e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0131
Energy	0.0494	0.4490	0.3771	2.6900e- 003		0.0341	0.0341		0.0341	0.0341	0.0000	873.1748	873.1748	0.0267	0.0129	877.7332
Mobile	0.4536	1.1013	4.5942	0.0128	0.9027	0.0167	0.9194	0.2419	0.0154	0.2573	0.0000	888.5415	888.5415	0.0306	0.0000	889.1846
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	15.1147	0.0000	15.1147	0.8933	0.0000	33.8730
Water						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	1.0945	4.4318	5.5263	0.1126	2.7000e- 003	8.7281
Total	2.0556	1.5504	4.9778	0.0155	0.9027	0.0508	0.9535	0.2419	0.0495	0.2915	16.2092	1,766.160 5	1,782.369 7	1.0632	0.0156	1,809.532 0

2.2 Overall Operational

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Area	1.3229	6.0000e- 005	6.4100e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0131
Energy	0.0361	0.3281	0.2756	1.9700e- 003		0.0249	0.0249		0.0249	0.0249	0.0000	701.8097	701.8097	0.0224	0.0101	705.4034
Mobile	0.4536	1.1013	4.5942	0.0128	0.9027	0.0167	0.9194	0.2419	0.0154	0.2573	0.0000	888.5415	888.5415	0.0306	0.0000	889.1846
Waste	,,	,				0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	11.3360	0.0000	11.3360	0.6699	0.0000	25.4048
Water	//					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.8756	3.5867	4.4623	0.0901	2.1600e- 003	7.0228
Total	1.8126	1.4295	4.8762	0.0148	0.9027	0.0416	0.9444	0.2419	0.0403	0.2823	12.2116	1,593.950 4	1,606.162 0	0.8130	0.0122	1,627.028 7

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	11.82	7.80	2.04	4.65	0.00	18.08	0.96	0.00	18.55	3.15	24.66	9.75	9.89	23.53	21.54	10.09

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2017	1/2/2017	5	1	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 0

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	162	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	255	0.40

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Vehicle	Vendor	Hauling
	Count	Number	Number	Number	Length	Length	Length	Class	Vehicle Class	Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	0.00	52.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	'/yr		
Fugitive Dust			1		5.8500e- 003	0.0000	5.8500e- 003	8.9000e- 004	0.0000	8.9000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	2.0200e- 003	0.0214	0.0170	2.0000e- 005		1.0600e- 003	1.0600e- 003		9.9000e- 004	9.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.8309	1.8309	5.0000e- 004	0.0000	1.8415
Total	2.0200e- 003	0.0214	0.0170	2.0000e- 005	5.8500e- 003	1.0600e- 003	6.9100e- 003	8.9000e- 004	9.9000e- 004	1.8800e- 003	0.0000	1.8309	1.8309	5.0000e- 004	0.0000	1.8415

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	6.2000e- 004	7.5700e- 003	6.4300e- 003	2.0000e- 005	4.4000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	5.3000e- 004	1.2000e- 004	8.0000e- 005	2.1000e- 004	0.0000	1.7606	1.7606	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7608
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	3.0000e- 005	5.0000e- 005	4.1000e- 004	0.0000	7.0000e- 005	0.0000	7.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0592	0.0592	0.0000	0.0000	0.0592
Total	6.5000e- 004	7.6200e- 003	6.8400e- 003	2.0000e- 005	5.1000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	6.0000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	8.0000e- 005	2.3000e- 004	0.0000	1.8197	1.8197	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.8201

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	7/yr		
Fugitive Dust			1 1 1		5.8500e- 003	0.0000	5.8500e- 003	8.9000e- 004	0.0000	8.9000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	2.0200e- 003	0.0214	0.0170	2.0000e- 005		1.0600e- 003	1.0600e- 003		9.9000e- 004	9.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.8309	1.8309	5.0000e- 004	0.0000	1.8415
Total	2.0200e- 003	0.0214	0.0170	2.0000e- 005	5.8500e- 003	1.0600e- 003	6.9100e- 003	8.9000e- 004	9.9000e- 004	1.8800e- 003	0.0000	1.8309	1.8309	5.0000e- 004	0.0000	1.8415

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	6.2000e- 004	7.5700e- 003	6.4300e- 003	2.0000e- 005	4.4000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	5.3000e- 004	1.2000e- 004	8.0000e- 005	2.1000e- 004	0.0000	1.7606	1.7606	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7608
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	3.0000e- 005	5.0000e- 005	4.1000e- 004	0.0000	7.0000e- 005	0.0000	7.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0592	0.0592	0.0000	0.0000	0.0592
Total	6.5000e- 004	7.6200e- 003	6.8400e- 003	2.0000e- 005	5.1000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	6.0000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	8.0000e- 005	2.3000e- 004	0.0000	1.8197	1.8197	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.8201

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Mitigated	0.4536	1.1013	4.5942	0.0128	0.9027	0.0167	0.9194	0.2419	0.0154	0.2573	0.0000	888.5415	888.5415	0.0306	0.0000	889.1846
Unmitigated	0.4536	1.1013	4.5942	0.0128	0.9027	0.0167	0.9194	0.2419	0.0154	0.2573	0.0000	888.5415	888.5415	0.0306	0.0000	889.1846

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Aver	age Daily Trip Ra	ite	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Hotel	774.38	774.38	774.38	2,397,910	2,397,910
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	774.38	774.38	774.38	2,397,910	2,397,910

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Hotel	13.00	12.50	12.50	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4
Other Asphalt Surfaces	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Parking Lot	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.454968	0.042327	0.214633	0.150226	0.067641	0.009835	0.017975	0.024142	0.002353	0.001408	0.008947	0.000814	0.004731

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

Exceed Title 24

Install Energy Efficient Appliances

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Electricity Mitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	344.6712	344.6712	0.0155	3.5300e- 003	346.0913
Electricity Unmitigated	n					0.0000	0.0000	,	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	384.4289	384.4289	0.0173	3.9400e- 003	386.0129
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.0361	0.3281	0.2756	1.9700e- 003		0.0249	0.0249		0.0249	0.0249	0.0000	357.1385	357.1385	6.8500e- 003	6.5500e- 003	359.3120
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.0494	0.4490	0.3771	2.6900e- 003		0.0341	0.0341		0.0341	0.0341	0.0000	488.7459	488.7459	9.3700e- 003	8.9600e- 003	491.7203

Page 12 of 19

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	ıs/yr							MT	/yr		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hotel	9.15875e +006	0.0494	0.4490	0.3771	2.6900e- 003		0.0341	0.0341		0.0341	0.0341	0.0000	488.7459	488.7459	9.3700e- 003	8.9600e- 003	491.7203
Total		0.0494	0.4490	0.3771	2.6900e- 003		0.0341	0.0341		0.0341	0.0341	0.0000	488.7459	488.7459	9.3700e- 003	8.9600e- 003	491.7203

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	, , , , ,	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hotel	6.69252e +006	0.0361	0.3281	0.2756	1.9700e- 003		0.0249	0.0249		0.0249	0.0249	0.0000	357.1385	357.1385	6.8500e- 003	6.5500e- 003	359.3120
Total		0.0361	0.3281	0.2756	1.9700e- 003		0.0249	0.0249		0.0249	0.0249	0.0000	357.1385	357.1385	6.8500e- 003	6.5500e- 003	359.3120

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		Π	/yr	
Hotel	1.66666e +006	369.1479	0.0166	3.7800e- 003	370.6690
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	68992	15.2810	6.9000e- 004	1.6000e- 004	15.3439
Total		384.4289	0.0173	3.9400e- 003	386.0129

Mitigated

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		Π	/yr	
Hotel	1.48716e +006	329.3902	0.0148	3.3700e- 003	330.7474
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	68992	15.2810	6.9000e- 004	1.6000e- 004	15.3439
Total		344.6712	0.0155	3.5300e- 003	346.0913

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Interior Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Exterior

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		tons/yr							MT	/yr						
Mitigated	1.3229	6.0000e- 005	6.4100e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0131
Unmitigated	1.5526	6.0000e- 005	6.4100e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0131

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory		tons/yr							MT	ſ/yr						
Architectural Coating	0.2872				- 	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	1.2649	•	 - - - -			0.0000	0.0000	 - - - - -	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	6.0000e- 004	6.0000e- 005	6.4100e- 003	0.0000	1	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0131
Total	1.5527	6.0000e- 005	6.4100e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0131

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory		tons/yr					MT/yr									
Architectural Coating	0.0574					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	1.2649	• • • •				0.0000	0.0000	 - - - - -	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	6.0000e- 004	6.0000e- 005	6.4100e- 003	0.0000	,	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0131
Total	1.3229	6.0000e- 005	6.4100e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0125	0.0125	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0131

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet

Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet

Install Low Flow Toilet

Install Low Flow Shower

Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		MT	ī/yr	
Mitigated	4.4623	0.0901	2.1600e- 003	7.0228
Unmitigated	5.5263	0.1126	2.7000e- 003	8.7281

7.2 Water by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		MT	ī/yr	
Hotel	3.44988 / 0.38332	5.5263	0.1126	2.7000e- 003	8.7281
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		5.5263	0.1126	2.7000e- 003	8.7281

Page 17 of 19

7.2 Water by Land Use

Mitigated

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		ΜT	ī/yr	
Hotel	2.7599 / 0.359938	4.4623	0.0901	2.1600e- 003	7.0228
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		4.4623	0.0901	2.1600e- 003	7.0228

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Institute Recycling and Composting Services

Category/Year

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
		МТ	7/yr	
Unmitigated	15.1147	0.8933	0.0000	33.8730
Mitigated	11.3360	0.6699	0.0000	25.4048

8.2 Waste by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		МТ	/yr	
Hotel	74.46	15.1147	0.8933	0.0000	33.8730
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		15.1147	0.8933	0.0000	33.8730

Page 19 of 19

8.2 Waste by Land Use

Mitigated

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		МТ	7/yr	
Hotel	55.845	11.3360	0.6699	0.0000	25.4048
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		11.3360	0.6699	0.0000	25.4048

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Vegetation

Paso Robles Resort Hotel - Phase I at Buildout

San Luis Obispo County, Summer

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Other Asphalt Surfaces	48.00	1000sqft	1.10	48,000.00	0
Parking Lot	196.00	Space	1.76	78,400.00	0
Hotel	136.00	Room	36.33	197,472.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	3.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	44
Climate Zone	4			Operational Year	2022
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Com	pany			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	488.3	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.022	N2O Intensity 0. (Ib/MWhr)	005

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Assumes 2022 Buildout year. Construction does not apply.

Land Use - Main Hotel=136 rooms. Total site acreage=36.33. 196 space parking lot. 48,000 sf other asphalt surfaces.

Construction Phase - Const. does not apply.

Off-road Equipment - .

Trips and VMT - .

Demolition - .

Grading - .

Vehicle Trips - Based on trip-gen rate derived from the traffic analysis, 5.694 trips/room, includes trips for onsite amenities (e.g., restaurant, meeting rooms, etc.). 12.5/13 miles for NW/W trips.

Vechicle Emission Factors - Vehicle fleet mix based on model defaults (conservative).

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Energy Use - Includes RPS adjustment.

Sequestration - .

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - .

Area Mitigation - Assumes use of low VOC paint, maximum 50 g/L.

Energy Mitigation - Includes 30% increase in energy efficiency for non-commercial uses with compliance with current building standards, compared to previous standards (CEC 2015) and energy-efficient appliances.

Water Mitigation - Includes installation of low-flow water fixtures and water-efficient irrigation systems.

Waste Mitigation - Assumes minimum 25% diversion rate per state requirements.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialExteriorV alue	250	50
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialInteriorV alue	250	50
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	50.00	1.00
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	4.53	36.33
tblProjectCharacteristics	CH4IntensityFactor	0.029	0.022
tblProjectCharacteristics	CO2IntensityFactor	641.35	488.3
tblProjectCharacteristics	N2OIntensityFactor	0.006	0.005
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2022
tblVehicleTrips	CC_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	CNW_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	5.69

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	lb/day												lb/d	lay		
2017	5.2373	57.4618	45.0296	0.0808	12.7583	2.3089	15.0672	2.0595	2.1487	4.2081	0.0000	8,057.341 9	8,057.341 9	1.1407	0.0000	8,081.295 9
Total	5.2373	57.4618	45.0296	0.0808	12.7583	2.3089	15.0672	2.0595	2.1487	4.2081	0.0000	8,057.341 9	8,057.341 9	1.1407	0.0000	8,081.295 9

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	lb/day												lb/d	day		
2017	5.2373	57.4618	45.0296	0.0808	12.7583	2.3089	15.0672	2.0595	2.1487	4.2081	0.0000	8,057.341 9	8,057.341 9	1.1407	0.0000	8,081.295 9
Total	5.2373	57.4618	45.0296	0.0808	12.7583	2.3089	15.0672	2.0595	2.1487	4.2081	0.0000	8,057.341 9	8,057.341 9	1.1407	0.0000	8,081.295 9

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/c	day							lb/c	day		
Area	8.5080	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878
Energy	0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870		0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0
Mobile	2.4599	5.7133	23.9972	0.0725	5.0912	0.0917	5.1829	1.3615	0.0846	1.4460		5,536.628 5	5,536.628 5	0.1856		5,540.526 0
Total	11.2385	8.1737	26.1025	0.0872	5.0912	0.2788	5.3700	1.3615	0.2717	1.6331		8,488.766 9	8,488.766 9	0.2424	0.0541	8,510.634 7

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		lb/day											lb/d	day		
Area	7.2492	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878
Energy	0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366		0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9
Mobile	2.4599	5.7133	23.9972	0.0725	5.0912	0.0917	5.1829	1.3615	0.0846	1.4460		5,536.628 5	5,536.628 5	0.1856		5,540.526 0
Total	9.9068	7.5113	25.5461	0.0833	5.0912	0.2284	5.3196	1.3615	0.2213	1.5828		7,693.850 6	7,693.850 6	0.2272	0.0396	7,710.880 7

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	11.85	8.10	2.13	4.55	0.00	18.06	0.94	0.00	18.53	3.08	0.00	9.36	9.36	6.28	26.92	9.40

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2017	1/2/2017	5	1	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 0

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	162	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	255	0.40

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Vehicle	Vendor	Hauling
	Count	Number	Number	Number	Length	Length	Length	Class	Vehicle Class	Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	0.00	52.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	day		
Fugitive Dust		1 1 1			11.7054	0.0000	11.7054	1.7726	0.0000	1.7726			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.0482	42.6971	33.8934	0.0399		2.1252	2.1252		1.9797	1.9797		4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1
Total	4.0482	42.6971	33.8934	0.0399	11.7054	2.1252	13.8306	1.7726	1.9797	3.7523		4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Category	lb/day											lb/day					
Hauling	1.1318	14.6809	10.3110	0.0392	0.9046	0.1826	1.0873	0.2476	0.1680	0.4155		3,885.167 5	3,885.167 5	0.0261		3,885.715 3	
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	
Worker	0.0573	0.0839	0.8251	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	1.0800e- 003	0.1494	0.0393	9.9000e- 004	0.0403		135.7071	135.7071	7.2600e- 003		135.8595	
Total	1.1891	14.7647	11.1362	0.0409	1.0529	0.1837	1.2366	0.2869	0.1690	0.4558		4,020.874 6	4,020.874 6	0.0334		4,021.574 8	

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Category	lb/day											lb/day					
Fugitive Dust			1		11.7054	0.0000	11.7054	1.7726	0.0000	1.7726			0.0000			0.0000	
Off-Road	4.0482	42.6971	33.8934	0.0399		2.1252	2.1252		1.9797	1.9797	0.0000	4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1	
Total	4.0482	42.6971	33.8934	0.0399	11.7054	2.1252	13.8306	1.7726	1.9797	3.7523	0.0000	4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1	

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Category	lb/day											lb/day						
Hauling	1.1318	14.6809	10.3110	0.0392	0.9046	0.1826	1.0873	0.2476	0.1680	0.4155		3,885.167 5	3,885.167 5	0.0261		3,885.715 3		
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000		
Worker	0.0573	0.0839	0.8251	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	1.0800e- 003	0.1494	0.0393	9.9000e- 004	0.0403		135.7071	135.7071	7.2600e- 003		135.8595		
Total	1.1891	14.7647	11.1362	0.0409	1.0529	0.1837	1.2366	0.2869	0.1690	0.4558		4,020.874 6	4,020.874 6	0.0334		4,021.574 8		

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Category	lb/day											lb/day					
Mitigated	2.4599	5.7133	23.9972	0.0725	5.0912	0.0917	5.1829	1.3615	0.0846	1.4460		5,536.628 5	5,536.628 5	0.1856		5,540.526 0	
Unmitigated	2.4599	5.7133	23.9972	0.0725	5.0912	0.0917	5.1829	1.3615	0.0846	1.4460		5,536.628 5	5,536.628 5	0.1856		5,540.526 0	

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Aver	age Daily Trip Ra	ite	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday Saturday Sur		Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Hotel	774.38	774.38	774.38	2,397,910	2,397,910
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	774.38	774.38	774.38	2,397,910	2,397,910

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %		Trip Purpose %				
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by		
Hotel	13.00	12.50	12.50	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0		
Parking Lot	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0		

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.454968	0.042327	0.214633	0.150226	0.067641	0.009835	0.017975	0.024142	0.002353	0.001408	0.008947	0.000814	0.004731

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

Exceed Title 24

Install Energy Efficient Appliances

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day												lb/c	lay		
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366		0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870		0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0
Page 11 of 14

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	• • • •	0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hotel	25092.5	0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870		0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0
Total		0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870		0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hotel	18.3357	0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108	• • • •	0.1366	0.1366		0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	• • • •	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366		0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Interior Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Exterior

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
Mitigated	7.2492	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878
Unmitigated	8.5080	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	 1 1 1	1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/	day							lb/e	day		
Architectural Coating	1.5735					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	6.9309					0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	 - - - -		0.0000
Landscaping	3.6100e- 003	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	1 1 1 1 1	1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878
Total	8.5080	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/e	day							lb/o	day		
Architectural Coating	0.3147					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	6.9309					0.0000	0.0000	 - - - -	0.0000	0.0000		 - - - -	0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	3.6100e- 003	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	1 1 1 1 1	1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878
Total	7.2492	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet

Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet

Install Low Flow Toilet

Install Low Flow Shower

Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Institute Recycling and Composting Services

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Vegetation

Paso Robles Resort Hotel - Phase I at Buildout

San Luis Obispo County, Winter

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Other Asphalt Surfaces	48.00	1000sqft	1.10	48,000.00	0
Parking Lot	196.00	Space	1.76	78,400.00	0
Hotel	136.00	Room	36.33	197,472.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	3.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	44
Climate Zone	4			Operational Year	2022
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Com	pany			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	488.3	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.022	N2O Intensity 0. (Ib/MWhr)	005

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Assumes 2022 Buildout year. Construction does not apply.

Land Use - Main Hotel=136 rooms. Total site acreage=36.33. 196 space parking lot. 48,000 sf other asphalt surfaces.

Construction Phase - Const. does not apply.

Off-road Equipment - .

Trips and VMT - .

Demolition - .

Grading - .

Vehicle Trips - Based on trip-gen rate derived from the traffic analysis, 5.694 trips/room, includes trips for onsite amenities (e.g., restaurant, meeting rooms, etc.). 12.5/13 miles for NW/W trips.

Vechicle Emission Factors - Vehicle fleet mix based on model defaults (conservative).

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Energy Use - Includes RPS adjustment.

Sequestration - .

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - .

Area Mitigation - Assumes use of low VOC paint, maximum 50 g/L.

Energy Mitigation - Includes 30% increase in energy efficiency for non-commercial uses with compliance with current building standards, compared to previous standards (CEC 2015) and energy-efficient appliances.

Water Mitigation - Includes installation of low-flow water fixtures and water-efficient irrigation systems.

Waste Mitigation - Assumes minimum 25% diversion rate per state requirements.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialExteriorV alue	250	50
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialInteriorV alue	250	50
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	50.00	1.00
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	4.53	36.33
tblProjectCharacteristics	CH4IntensityFactor	0.029	0.022
tblProjectCharacteristics	CO2IntensityFactor	641.35	488.3
tblProjectCharacteristics	N2OIntensityFactor	0.006	0.005
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2022
tblVehicleTrips	CC_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	CNW_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	5.69

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
2017	5.4274	57.8178	49.1272	0.0807	12.7583	2.3095	15.0679	2.0595	2.1492	4.2087	0.0000	8,041.994 7	8,041.994 7	1.1410	0.0000	8,065.956 3
Total	5.4274	57.8178	49.1272	0.0807	12.7583	2.3095	15.0679	2.0595	2.1492	4.2087	0.0000	8,041.994 7	8,041.994 7	1.1410	0.0000	8,065.956 3

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
2017	5.4274	57.8178	49.1272	0.0807	12.7583	2.3095	15.0679	2.0595	2.1492	4.2087	0.0000	8,041.994 7	8,041.994 7	1.1410	0.0000	8,065.956 3
Total	5.4274	57.8178	49.1272	0.0807	12.7583	2.3095	15.0679	2.0595	2.1492	4.2087	0.0000	8,041.994 7	8,041.994 7	1.1410	0.0000	8,065.956 3

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Area	8.5080	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878
Energy	0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870		0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0
Mobile	2.6431	6.0708	26.3818	0.0700	5.0912	0.0920	5.1832	1.3615	0.0848	1.4463		5,355.444 5	5,355.444 5	0.1858		5,359.346 4
Total	11.4217	8.5312	28.4871	0.0847	5.0912	0.2791	5.3703	1.3615	0.2719	1.6334		8,307.582 9	8,307.582 9	0.2426	0.0541	8,329.455 1

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Area	7.2492	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878
Energy	0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366		0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9
Mobile	2.6431	6.0708	26.3818	0.0700	5.0912	0.0920	5.1832	1.3615	0.0848	1.4463		5,355.444 5	5,355.444 5	0.1858		5,359.346 4
Total	10.0900	7.8688	27.9307	0.0808	5.0912	0.2287	5.3199	1.3615	0.2216	1.5831		7,512.666 6	7,512.666 6	0.2274	0.0396	7,529.701 1

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	11.66	7.76	1.95	4.68	0.00	18.04	0.94	0.00	18.51	3.08	0.00	9.57	9.57	6.28	26.92	9.60

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2017	1/2/2017	5	1	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 0

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	162	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	255	0.40

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Vehicle	Vendor	Hauling
	Count	Number	Number	Number	Length	Length	Length	Class	Vehicle Class	Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	0.00	52.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	day		
Fugitive Dust		1 1 1			11.7054	0.0000	11.7054	1.7726	0.0000	1.7726			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.0482	42.6971	33.8934	0.0399		2.1252	2.1252		1.9797	1.9797		4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1
Total	4.0482	42.6971	33.8934	0.0399	11.7054	2.1252	13.8306	1.7726	1.9797	3.7523		4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	1.3179	15.0257	14.4049	0.0391	0.9046	0.1832	1.0879	0.2476	0.1685	0.4161		3,876.128 1	3,876.128 1	0.0265		3,876.683 7
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0613	0.0951	0.8289	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	1.0800e- 003	0.1494	0.0393	9.9000e- 004	0.0403		129.3992	129.3992	7.2600e- 003		129.5516
Total	1.3792	15.1207	15.2338	0.0407	1.0529	0.1843	1.2373	0.2869	0.1695	0.4564		4,005.527 3	4,005.527 3	0.0337		4,006.235 3

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	lay		
Fugitive Dust		1 1 1			11.7054	0.0000	11.7054	1.7726	0.0000	1.7726			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	4.0482	42.6971	33.8934	0.0399		2.1252	2.1252		1.9797	1.9797	0.0000	4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1
Total	4.0482	42.6971	33.8934	0.0399	11.7054	2.1252	13.8306	1.7726	1.9797	3.7523	0.0000	4,036.467 4	4,036.467 4	1.1073		4,059.721 1

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	1.3179	15.0257	14.4049	0.0391	0.9046	0.1832	1.0879	0.2476	0.1685	0.4161		3,876.128 1	3,876.128 1	0.0265		3,876.683 7
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0613	0.0951	0.8289	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	1.0800e- 003	0.1494	0.0393	9.9000e- 004	0.0403		129.3992	129.3992	7.2600e- 003		129.5516
Total	1.3792	15.1207	15.2338	0.0407	1.0529	0.1843	1.2373	0.2869	0.1695	0.4564		4,005.527 3	4,005.527 3	0.0337		4,006.235 3

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	lay		
Mitigated	2.6431	6.0708	26.3818	0.0700	5.0912	0.0920	5.1832	1.3615	0.0848	1.4463		5,355.444 5	5,355.444 5	0.1858		5,359.346 4
Unmitigated	2.6431	6.0708	26.3818	0.0700	5.0912	0.0920	5.1832	1.3615	0.0848	1.4463		5,355.444 5	5,355.444 5	0.1858		5,359.346 4

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Aver	age Daily Trip Ra	ite	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Hotel	774.38	774.38	774.38	2,397,910	2,397,910
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	774.38	774.38	774.38	2,397,910	2,397,910

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Hotel	13.00	12.50	12.50	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4
Other Asphalt Surfaces	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Parking Lot	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.454968	0.042327	0.214633	0.150226	0.067641	0.009835	0.017975	0.024142	0.002353	0.001408	0.008947	0.000814	0.004731

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

Exceed Title 24

Install Energy Efficient Appliances

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	lay							lb/c	lay		
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366		0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870		0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0

Page 11 of 14

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	- - - -	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	• • • •	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hotel	25092.5	0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148	• • • •	0.1870	0.1870		0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0
Total		0.2706	2.4601	2.0664	0.0148		0.1870	0.1870		0.1870	0.1870		2,952.055 2	2,952.055 2	0.0566	0.0541	2,970.021 0

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hotel	18.3357	0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366		0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9
Total		0.1977	1.7976	1.5100	0.0108		0.1366	0.1366		0.1366	0.1366		2,157.138 9	2,157.138 9	0.0414	0.0396	2,170.266 9

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Interior Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Exterior

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	ry Ib/day									lb/d	day					
Mitigated	7.2492	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878
Unmitigated	8.5080	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	 ! ! !	1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/	day					lb/day					
Architectural Coating	1.5735					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000		1 1 1	0.0000
Consumer Products	6.9309					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		 - - - -	0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	3.6100e- 003	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	1 1 1 1 1	1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004	1	0.0878
Total	8.5080	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/e	day							lb/o	day		
Architectural Coating	0.3147					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	6.9309					0.0000	0.0000	 - - - - -	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	3.6100e- 003	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	1 1 1 1 1	1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878
Total	7.2492	3.5000e- 004	0.0389	0.0000		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		1.4000e- 004	1.4000e- 004		0.0832	0.0832	2.2000e- 004		0.0878

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet

Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet

Install Low Flow Toilet

Install Low Flow Shower

Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Institute Recycling and Composting Services

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Vegetation

Paso Robles Resort Hotel - Phase II

San Luis Obispo County, Annual

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Parking Lot	187.00	Space	1.68	74,800.00	0
Hotel	155.00	Room	5.17	225,060.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	3.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	44
Climate Zone	4			Operational Year	2022
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Com	pany			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	488.3	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	sity 0.022 N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)		0.005

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - 2020 construction start year. Includes RPS adjustment.

Land Use - Phase II Hotels=155 rooms. 187 space parking lot.

Construction Phase - Construction schedule and activity durations based on model defaults.

Off-road Equipment - Construction equipment based on model defaults.

Trips and VMT - Construction vehicle trips based on model defaults.

Demolition - No demolition

Grading - Material balanced onsite.

Vehicle Trips - Based on trip-gen rate derived from the traffic analysis, 5.694 trips/room, includes trips for onsite amenities (e.g., restaurant, meeting rooms, etc.). 12.5/13 miles for NW/W trips.

Vechicle Emission Factors - Vehicle fleet mix based on model defaults (conservative).

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Energy Use - Includes RPS adjustment.

Sequestration - Carbon sequestration included in Phase I model run.

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - Includes watering, 15 mph speed limit, T3 equipment.

Area Mitigation - Assumes use of low VOC paint, maximum 50 g/L.

Energy Mitigation - Includes 30% increase in energy efficiency for non-commercial uses with compliance with current building standards, compared to previous standards (CEC 2015) and energy-efficient appliances.

Water Mitigation - Includes installation of low-flow water fixtures and water-efficient irrigation systems.

Waste Mitigation - Assumes minimum 25% diversion rate per state requirements.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialExteriorV alue	250	50
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialInteriorV alue	250	50
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	3.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00

tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	4.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	10.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseEndDate	1/25/2021	1/26/2021
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseStartDate	3/10/2020	3/11/2020
tblProjectCharacteristics	CH4IntensityFactor	0.029	0.022
tblProjectCharacteristics	CO2IntensityFactor	641.35	488.3
tblProjectCharacteristics	N2OIntensityFactor	0.006	0.005
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2022
tblVehicleTrips	CC_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	CNW_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	5.69

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2013.2.2

tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	5.69

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year		tons/yr											МТ	7/yr		
2020	0.3556	2.8110	3.3195	5.6800e- 003	0.3099	0.1460	0.4559	0.1247	0.1367	0.2615	0.0000	457.3575	457.3575	0.0787	0.0000	459.0109
2021	2.6756	0.3230	0.4153	7.3000e- 004	0.0167	0.0166	0.0333	4.4900e- 003	0.0155	0.0200	0.0000	59.7733	59.7733	0.0121	0.0000	60.0264
Total	3.0312	3.1339	3.7348	6.4100e- 003	0.3266	0.1625	0.4892	0.1292	0.1522	0.2814	0.0000	517.1308	517.1308	0.0908	0.0000	519.0373

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
2020	0.1708	2.0827	3.3556	5.6800e- 003	0.2148	0.1129	0.3277	0.0739	0.1125	0.1864	0.0000	457.3572	457.3572	0.0787	0.0000	459.0106
2021	2.6562	0.2768	0.4527	7.3000e- 004	0.0167	0.0154	0.0322	4.4900e- 003	0.0154	0.0199	0.0000	59.7732	59.7732	0.0121	0.0000	60.0263
Total	2.8269	2.3595	3.8083	6.4100e- 003	0.2315	0.1283	0.3598	0.0784	0.1279	0.2063	0.0000	517.1304	517.1304	0.0908	0.0000	519.0369

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	6.74	24.71	-1.97	0.00	29.11	21.08	26.44	39.33	15.97	26.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	is/yr							МТ	/yr		
Area	1.4350	5.0000e- 005	5.7700e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0112	0.0112	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0118
Energy	0.0563	0.5117	0.4298	3.0700e- 003		0.0389	0.0389		0.0389	0.0389	0.0000	992.3259	992.3259	0.0303	0.0147	997.5095
Mobile	0.5169	1.2552	5.2361	0.0146	1.0288	0.0190	1.0478	0.2757	0.0175	0.2933	0.0000	1,012.676 0	1,012.676 0	0.0349	0.0000	1,013.408 9
Waste	Franziska	1 1 1 1				0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	17.2258	0.0000	17.2258	1.0180	0.0000	38.6042
Water	Francisco	1 1 1 1				0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	1.2474	5.0509	6.2983	0.1284	3.0800e- 003	9.9474
Total	2.0083	1.7669	5.6716	0.0177	1.0288	0.0579	1.0868	0.2757	0.0565	0.3322	18.4732	2,010.064 0	2,028.537 2	1.2116	0.0178	2,059.481 8

Page 6 of 30

2.2 Overall Operational

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Area	1.2243	5.0000e- 005	5.7700e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0112	0.0112	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0118
Energy	0.0411	0.3739	0.3141	2.2400e- 003		0.0284	0.0284		0.0284	0.0284	0.0000	797.0201	797.0201	0.0254	0.0115	801.1042
Mobile	0.5169	1.2552	5.2361	0.0146	1.0288	0.0190	1.0478	0.2757	0.0175	0.2933	0.0000	1,012.676 0	1,012.676 0	0.0349	0.0000	1,013.408 9
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	12.9194	0.0000	12.9194	0.7635	0.0000	28.9531
Water						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.9979	4.0878	5.0857	0.1027	2.4600e- 003	8.0039
Total	1.7824	1.6291	5.5559	0.0168	1.0288	0.0475	1.0763	0.2757	0.0460	0.3217	13.9173	1,813.795 1	1,827.712 4	0.9265	0.0139	1,851.481 9

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	11.25	7.80	2.04	4.70	0.00	18.07	0.96	0.00	18.55	3.15	24.66	9.76	9.90	23.53	21.58	10.10

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/28/2020	2/10/2020	5	10	
2	Grading	Grading	2/11/2020	3/9/2020	5	20	
3	Building Construction	Building Construction	3/11/2020	1/26/2021	5	230	
4	Paving	Paving	1/27/2021	2/23/2021	5	20	
5	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	2/24/2021	3/23/2021	5	20	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 10

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 340,956; Non-Residential Outdoor: 113,652 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	255	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	1	8.00	162	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	174	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	255	0.40
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	226	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	125	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	130	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	126.00	49.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	25.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Use Cleaner Engines for Construction Equipment

Use Soil Stabilizer

Water Exposed Area

Reduce Vehicle Speed on Unpaved Roads

Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Site Preparation - 2020

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr												MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust		1 1 1			0.0903	0.0000	0.0903	0.0497	0.0000	0.0497	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0186	0.1943	0.1646	2.0000e- 004		9.6500e- 003	9.6500e- 003		8.8800e- 003	8.8800e- 003	0.0000	17.2015	17.2015	5.5600e- 003	0.0000	17.3183
Total	0.0186	0.1943	0.1646	2.0000e- 004	0.0903	9.6500e- 003	0.1000	0.0497	8.8800e- 003	0.0585	0.0000	17.2015	17.2015	5.5600e- 003	0.0000	17.3183

3.2 Site Preparation - 2020

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	2.3000e- 004	4.0000e- 004	3.3600e- 003	1.0000e- 005	8.7000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	8.7000e- 004	2.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.6319	0.6319	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.6326
Total	2.3000e- 004	4.0000e- 004	3.3600e- 003	1.0000e- 005	8.7000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	8.7000e- 004	2.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.6319	0.6319	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.6326

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust			1		0.0352	0.0000	0.0352	0.0194	0.0000	0.0194	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	4.7600e- 003	0.0973	0.1170	2.0000e- 004		4.8100e- 003	4.8100e- 003		4.8100e- 003	4.8100e- 003	0.0000	17.2015	17.2015	5.5600e- 003	0.0000	17.3183
Total	4.7600e- 003	0.0973	0.1170	2.0000e- 004	0.0352	4.8100e- 003	0.0400	0.0194	4.8100e- 003	0.0242	0.0000	17.2015	17.2015	5.5600e- 003	0.0000	17.3183

Page 11 of 30

3.2 Site Preparation - 2020

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	7/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	2.3000e- 004	4.0000e- 004	3.3600e- 003	1.0000e- 005	8.7000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	8.7000e- 004	2.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.6319	0.6319	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.6326
Total	2.3000e- 004	4.0000e- 004	3.3600e- 003	1.0000e- 005	8.7000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	8.7000e- 004	2.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.6319	0.6319	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.6326

3.3 Grading - 2020

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0655	0.0000	0.0655	0.0337	0.0000	0.0337	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0256	0.2590	0.2268	3.0000e- 004		0.0137	0.0137		0.0126	0.0126	0.0000	26.1236	26.1236	8.4500e- 003	0.0000	26.3010
Total	0.0256	0.2590	0.2268	3.0000e- 004	0.0655	0.0137	0.0793	0.0337	0.0126	0.0463	0.0000	26.1236	26.1236	8.4500e- 003	0.0000	26.3010

3.3 Grading - 2020

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	3.8000e- 004	6.7000e- 004	5.6000e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.0532	1.0532	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.0543
Total	3.8000e- 004	6.7000e- 004	5.6000e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.0532	1.0532	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.0543

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0256	0.0000	0.0256	0.0131	0.0000	0.0131	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	7.2500e- 003	0.1482	0.2038	3.0000e- 004		7.8500e- 003	7.8500e- 003		7.8500e- 003	7.8500e- 003	0.0000	26.1236	26.1236	8.4500e- 003	0.0000	26.3010
Total	7.2500e- 003	0.1482	0.2038	3.0000e- 004	0.0256	7.8500e- 003	0.0334	0.0131	7.8500e- 003	0.0210	0.0000	26.1236	26.1236	8.4500e- 003	0.0000	26.3010

3.3 Grading - 2020

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	3.8000e- 004	6.7000e- 004	5.6000e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.0532	1.0532	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.0543
Total	3.8000e- 004	6.7000e- 004	5.6000e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.0532	1.0532	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.0543

3.4 Building Construction - 2020

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.2238	2.0229	1.7817	2.8400e- 003		0.1180	0.1180		0.1109	0.1109	0.0000	244.4889	244.4889	0.0596	0.0000	245.7398
Total	0.2238	2.0229	1.7817	2.8400e- 003		0.1180	0.1180		0.1109	0.1109	0.0000	244.4889	244.4889	0.0596	0.0000	245.7398

Page 14 of 30

3.4 Building Construction - 2020

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	7/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0528	0.2743	0.6390	8.8000e- 004	0.0231	3.7600e- 003	0.0269	6.6200e- 003	3.4500e- 003	0.0101	0.0000	74.0782	74.0782	5.9000e- 004	0.0000	74.0906
Worker	0.0342	0.0594	0.4984	1.4400e- 003	0.1286	8.6000e- 004	0.1294	0.0342	8.0000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000	93.7801	93.7801	4.4900e- 003	0.0000	93.8744
Total	0.0870	0.3337	1.1374	2.3200e- 003	0.1517	4.6200e- 003	0.1563	0.0408	4.2500e- 003	0.0451	0.0000	167.8584	167.8584	5.0800e- 003	0.0000	167.9649

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.0712	1.5025	1.8885	2.8400e- 003		0.0956	0.0956	5 5 6	0.0956	0.0956	0.0000	244.4886	244.4886	0.0596	0.0000	245.7395
Total	0.0712	1.5025	1.8885	2.8400e- 003		0.0956	0.0956		0.0956	0.0956	0.0000	244.4886	244.4886	0.0596	0.0000	245.7395

Page 15 of 30

3.4 Building Construction - 2020

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	7/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0528	0.2743	0.6390	8.8000e- 004	0.0231	3.7600e- 003	0.0269	6.6200e- 003	3.4500e- 003	0.0101	0.0000	74.0782	74.0782	5.9000e- 004	0.0000	74.0906
Worker	0.0342	0.0594	0.4984	1.4400e- 003	0.1286	8.6000e- 004	0.1294	0.0342	8.0000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000	93.7801	93.7801	4.4900e- 003	0.0000	93.8744
Total	0.0870	0.3337	1.1374	2.3200e- 003	0.1517	4.6200e- 003	0.1563	0.0408	4.2500e- 003	0.0451	0.0000	167.8584	167.8584	5.0800e- 003	0.0000	167.9649

3.4 Building Construction - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.0170	0.1561	0.1488	2.4000e- 004		8.5900e- 003	8.5900e- 003	1 1 1	8.0800e- 003	8.0800e- 003	0.0000	20.7610	20.7610	5.0000e- 003	0.0000	20.8660
Total	0.0170	0.1561	0.1488	2.4000e- 004		8.5900e- 003	8.5900e- 003		8.0800e- 003	8.0800e- 003	0.0000	20.7610	20.7610	5.0000e- 003	0.0000	20.8660

Page 16 of 30

3.4 Building Construction - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e				
Category		tons/yr											MT/yr							
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000				
Vendor	4.2900e- 003	0.0187	0.0519	7.0000e- 005	1.9600e- 003	2.8000e- 004	2.2400e- 003	5.6000e- 004	2.6000e- 004	8.2000e- 004	0.0000	6.2766	6.2766	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	6.2777				
Worker	2.7000e- 003	4.6600e- 003	0.0391	1.2000e- 004	0.0109	7.0000e- 005	0.0110	2.9000e- 003	7.0000e- 005	2.9700e- 003	0.0000	7.8249	7.8249	3.6000e- 004	0.0000	7.8324				
Total	6.9900e- 003	0.0234	0.0910	1.9000e- 004	0.0129	3.5000e- 004	0.0132	3.4600e- 003	3.3000e- 004	3.7900e- 003	0.0000	14.1015	14.1015	4.1000e- 004	0.0000	14.1101				

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Category	tons/yr											MT/yr						
Off-Road	6.0400e- 003	0.1276	0.1603	2.4000e- 004		8.1100e- 003	8.1100e- 003	5 5 6	8.1100e- 003	8.1100e- 003	0.0000	20.7609	20.7609	5.0000e- 003	0.0000	20.8660		
Total	6.0400e- 003	0.1276	0.1603	2.4000e- 004		8.1100e- 003	8.1100e- 003		8.1100e- 003	8.1100e- 003	0.0000	20.7609	20.7609	5.0000e- 003	0.0000	20.8660		

Page 17 of 30

3.4 Building Construction - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Category	tons/yr											MT/yr						
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Vendor	4.2900e- 003	0.0187	0.0519	7.0000e- 005	1.9600e- 003	2.8000e- 004	2.2400e- 003	5.6000e- 004	2.6000e- 004	8.2000e- 004	0.0000	6.2766	6.2766	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	6.2777		
Worker	2.7000e- 003	4.6600e- 003	0.0391	1.2000e- 004	0.0109	7.0000e- 005	0.0110	2.9000e- 003	7.0000e- 005	2.9700e- 003	0.0000	7.8249	7.8249	3.6000e- 004	0.0000	7.8324		
Total	6.9900e- 003	0.0234	0.0910	1.9000e- 004	0.0129	3.5000e- 004	0.0132	3.4600e- 003	3.3000e- 004	3.7900e- 003	0.0000	14.1015	14.1015	4.1000e- 004	0.0000	14.1101		

3.5 Paving - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr						
Off-Road	0.0123	0.1266	0.1435	2.2000e- 004		6.6500e- 003	6.6500e- 003		6.1200e- 003	6.1200e- 003	0.0000	19.5975	19.5975	6.3400e- 003	0.0000	19.7306	
Paving	2.2000e- 003					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Total	0.0145	0.1266	0.1435	2.2000e- 004		6.6500e- 003	6.6500e- 003		6.1200e- 003	6.1200e- 003	0.0000	19.5975	19.5975	6.3400e- 003	0.0000	19.7306	

3.5 Paving - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e				
Category		tons/yr											MT/yr							
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000				
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000				
Worker	3.6000e- 004	6.2000e- 004	5.1700e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.0350	1.0350	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.0360				
Total	3.6000e- 004	6.2000e- 004	5.1700e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.0350	1.0350	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.0360				

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Category	tons/yr											MT/yr						
Off-Road	5.4900e- 003	0.1106	0.1693	2.2000e- 004		5.9800e- 003	5.9800e- 003		5.9800e- 003	5.9800e- 003	0.0000	19.5975	19.5975	6.3400e- 003	0.0000	19.7306		
Paving	2.2000e- 003					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Total	7.6900e- 003	0.1106	0.1693	2.2000e- 004		5.9800e- 003	5.9800e- 003		5.9800e- 003	5.9800e- 003	0.0000	19.5975	19.5975	6.3400e- 003	0.0000	19.7306		
3.5 Paving - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	7/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	3.6000e- 004	6.2000e- 004	5.1700e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.0350	1.0350	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.0360
Total	3.6000e- 004	6.2000e- 004	5.1700e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.4400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.4500e- 003	3.8000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.0350	1.0350	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.0360

3.6 Architectural Coating - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Archit. Coating	2.6339					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	2.1900e- 003	0.0153	0.0182	3.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	1.8000e- 004	0.0000	2.5569
Total	2.6361	0.0153	0.0182	3.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	1.8000e- 004	0.0000	2.5569

Page 20 of 30

3.6 Architectural Coating - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	6.0000e- 004	1.0300e- 003	8.6200e- 003	3.0000e- 005	2.4100e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.4200e- 003	6.4000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	6.5000e- 004	0.0000	1.7251	1.7251	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7267
Total	6.0000e- 004	1.0300e- 003	8.6200e- 003	3.0000e- 005	2.4100e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.4200e- 003	6.4000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	6.5000e- 004	0.0000	1.7251	1.7251	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7267

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Archit. Coating	2.6339					0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	5.9000e- 004	0.0136	0.0183	3.0000e- 005		9.5000e- 004	9.5000e- 004		9.5000e- 004	9.5000e- 004	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	1.8000e- 004	0.0000	2.5569
Total	2.6345	0.0136	0.0183	3.0000e- 005		9.5000e- 004	9.5000e- 004		9.5000e- 004	9.5000e- 004	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	1.8000e- 004	0.0000	2.5569

Page 21 of 30

3.6 Architectural Coating - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	7/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	6.0000e- 004	1.0300e- 003	8.6200e- 003	3.0000e- 005	2.4100e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.4200e- 003	6.4000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	6.5000e- 004	0.0000	1.7251	1.7251	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7267
Total	6.0000e- 004	1.0300e- 003	8.6200e- 003	3.0000e- 005	2.4100e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.4200e- 003	6.4000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	6.5000e- 004	0.0000	1.7251	1.7251	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7267

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Mitigated	0.5169	1.2552	5.2361	0.0146	1.0288	0.0190	1.0478	0.2757	0.0175	0.2933	0.0000	1,012.676 0	1,012.676 0	0.0349	0.0000	1,013.408 9
Unmitigated	0.5169	1.2552	5.2361	0.0146	1.0288	0.0190	1.0478	0.2757	0.0175	0.2933	0.0000	1,012.676 0	1,012.676 0	0.0349	0.0000	1,013.408 9

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Hotel	882.57	882.57	882.57	2,732,912	2,732,912
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	882.57	882.57	882.57	2,732,912	2,732,912

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Hotel	13.00	12.50	12.50	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4
Parking Lot	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.454968	0.042327	0.214633	0.150226	0.067641	0.009835	0.017975	0.024142	0.002353	0.001408	0.008947	0.000814	0.004731

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

Exceed Title 24

Install Energy Efficient Appliances

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Electricity Mitigated			1 1 1			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	389.9872	389.9872	0.0176	3.9900e- 003	391.5941
Electricity Unmitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	435.2994	435.2994	0.0196	4.4600e- 003	437.0930
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.0411	0.3739	0.3141	2.2400e- 003		0.0284	0.0284		0.0284	0.0284	0.0000	407.0329	407.0329	7.8000e- 003	7.4600e- 003	409.5100
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.0563	0.5117	0.4298	3.0700e- 003		0.0389	0.0389	 	0.0389	0.0389	0.0000	557.0265	557.0265	0.0107	0.0102	560.4165

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Unmitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hotel	1.04383e +007	0.0563	0.5117	0.4298	3.0700e- 003		0.0389	0.0389	1 1 1	0.0389	0.0389	0.0000	557.0265	557.0265	0.0107	0.0102	560.4165
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0563	0.5117	0.4298	3.0700e- 003		0.0389	0.0389		0.0389	0.0389	0.0000	557.0265	557.0265	0.0107	0.0102	560.4165

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hotel	7.62751e +006	0.0411	0.3739	0.3141	2.2400e- 003		0.0284	0.0284		0.0284	0.0284	0.0000	407.0329	407.0329	7.8000e- 003	7.4600e- 003	409.5100
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0411	0.3739	0.3141	2.2400e- 003		0.0284	0.0284		0.0284	0.0284	0.0000	407.0329	407.0329	7.8000e- 003	7.4600e- 003	409.5100

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		ΜT	7/yr	
Hotel	1.89951e +006	420.7201	0.0190	4.3100e- 003	422.4536
Parking Lot	65824	14.5793	6.6000e- 004	1.5000e- 004	14.6394
Total		435.2994	0.0196	4.4600e- 003	437.0930

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity <u>Mitigated</u>

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		Π	ī/yr	
Hotel	1.69493e +006	375.4079	0.0169	3.8400e- 003	376.9548
Parking Lot	65824	14.5793	6.6000e- 004	1.5000e- 004	14.6394
Total		389.9872	0.0176	3.9900e- 003	391.5941

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Interior

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Exterior

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Mitigated	1.2243	5.0000e- 005	5.7700e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0112	0.0112	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0118
Unmitigated	1.4350	5.0000e- 005	5.7700e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0112	0.0112	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0118

Page 26 of 30

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							MT	ī/yr		
Architectural Coating	0.2634				- 	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	1.1711	• • • •			,	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	5.4000e- 004	5.0000e- 005	5.7700e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0112	0.0112	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0118
Total	1.4350	5.0000e- 005	5.7700e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0112	0.0112	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0118

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Architectural Coating	0.0527					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	1.1711					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	5.4000e- 004	5.0000e- 005	5.7700e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	1 1 1 1 1	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0112	0.0112	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0118
Total	1.2243	5.0000e- 005	5.7700e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0112	0.0112	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0118

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet

- Install Low Flow Toilet
- Install Low Flow Shower

Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		MT	ī/yr	
Mitigated	5.0857	0.1027	2.4600e- 003	8.0039
Unmitigated	6.2983	0.1284	3.0800e- 003	9.9474

7.2 Water by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		MT	/yr	
Hotel	3.93185 / 0.436872	6.2983	0.1284	3.0800e- 003	9.9474
Parking Lot	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		6.2983	0.1284	3.0800e- 003	9.9474

7.2 Water by Land Use

Mitigated

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		ΜT	ī/yr	
Hotel	3.14548 / 0.410223	5.0857	0.1027	2.4600e- 003	8.0039
Parking Lot	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		5.0857	0.1027	2.4600e- 003	8.0039

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Institute Recycling and Composting Services

Category/Year

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
		MT	ī/yr	
Mitigated	12.9194	0.7635	0.0000	28.9531
Unmitigated	17.2258	1.0180	0.0000	38.6042

8.2 Waste by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		MT	/yr	
Hotel	84.86	17.2258	1.0180	0.0000	38.6042
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		17.2258	1.0180	0.0000	38.6042

Mitigated

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		МТ	/yr	
Hotel	63.645	12.9194	0.7635	0.0000	28.9531
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		12.9194	0.7635	0.0000	28.9531

9.0 Operational Offroad

	Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
--	----------------	--------	-----------	-----------	-------------	-------------	-----------

10.0 Vegetation

Paso Robles Resort Hotel - Phase II

San Luis Obispo County, Summer

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Parking Lot	187.00	Space	1.68	74,800.00	0
Hotel	155.00	Room	5.17	225,060.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	3.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	44
Climate Zone	4			Operational Year	2022
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Com	pany			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	488.3	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.022	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.005

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - 2020 construction start year. Includes RPS adjustment.

Land Use - Phase II Hotels=155 rooms. 187 space parking lot.

Construction Phase - Construction schedule and activity durations based on model defaults.

Off-road Equipment - Construction equipment based on model defaults.

Trips and VMT - Construction vehicle trips based on model defaults.

Demolition - No demolition

Grading - Material balanced onsite.

Vehicle Trips - Based on trip-gen rate derived from the traffic analysis, 5.694 trips/room, includes trips for onsite amenities (e.g., restaurant, meeting rooms, etc.). 12.5/13 miles for NW/W trips.

Vechicle Emission Factors - Vehicle fleet mix based on model defaults (conservative).

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Energy Use - Includes RPS adjustment.

Sequestration - Carbon sequestration included in Phase I model run.

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - Includes watering, 15 mph speed limit, T3 equipment.

Area Mitigation - Assumes use of low VOC paint, maximum 50 g/L.

Energy Mitigation - Includes 30% increase in energy efficiency for non-commercial uses with compliance with current building standards, compared to previous standards (CEC 2015) and energy-efficient appliances.

Water Mitigation - Includes installation of low-flow water fixtures and water-efficient irrigation systems.

Waste Mitigation - Assumes minimum 25% diversion rate per state requirements.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialExteriorV alue	250	50
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialInteriorV alue	250	50
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	3.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00

tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	4.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	10.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseEndDate	1/25/2021	1/26/2021
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseStartDate	3/10/2020	3/11/2020
tblProjectCharacteristics	CH4IntensityFactor	0.029	0.022
tblProjectCharacteristics	CO2IntensityFactor	641.35	488.3
tblProjectCharacteristics	N2OIntensityFactor	0.006	0.005
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2022
tblVehicleTrips	CC_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	CNW_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	5.69

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2013.2.2

tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	5.69

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
2020	3.7717	38.9359	33.6156	0.0493	18.2442	1.9320	20.1762	9.9779	1.7775	11.7553	0.0000	4,331.114 4	4,331.114 4	1.2332	0.0000	4,357.010 9
2021	263.6678	19.8338	25.2944	0.0493	1.4688	0.9938	2.4626	0.3941	0.9337	1.3278	0.0000	4,312.314 9	4,312.314 9	0.7039	0.0000	4,327.097 3
Total	267.4395	58.7696	58.9100	0.0985	19.7130	2.9259	22.6388	10.3720	2.7112	13.0832	0.0000	8,643.429 3	8,643.429 3	1.9371	0.0000	8,684.108 2

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
2020	1.4416	19.5303	27.1894	0.0493	7.2238	0.9622	8.1860	3.9202	0.9621	4.8823	0.0000	4,331.114 4	4,331.114 4	1.2332	0.0000	4,357.010 9
2021	263.5084	16.6677	26.5723	0.0493	1.4688	0.9405	2.4093	0.3941	0.9375	1.3315	0.0000	4,312.314 9	4,312.314 9	0.7039	0.0000	4,327.097 3
Total	264.9500	36.1980	53.7617	0.0985	8.6926	1.9028	10.5953	4.3142	1.8996	6.2138	0.0000	8,643.429 3	8,643.429 3	1.9371	0.0000	8,684.108 2

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.93	38.41	8.74	0.00	55.90	34.97	53.20	58.40	29.93	52.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Page 6 of 26

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/c	day							lb/d	day		
Area	7.8635	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790
Energy	0.3084	2.8037	2.3551	0.0168		0.2131	0.2131		0.2131	0.2131		3,364.474 7	3,364.474 7	0.0645	0.0617	3,384.950 4
Mobile	2.8036	6.5115	27.3498	0.0826	5.8025	0.1045	5.9069	1.5517	0.0964	1.6481		6,310.128 1	6,310.128 1	0.2115		6,314.570 1
Total	10.9754	9.3156	29.7399	0.0994	5.8025	0.3177	6.1201	1.5517	0.3096	1.8613		9,674.677 6	9,674.677 6	0.2762	0.0617	9,699.599 4

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
Area	6.7089	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790
Energy	0.2254	2.0488	1.7210	0.0123		0.1557	0.1557		0.1557	0.1557		2,458.503 9	2,458.503 9	0.0471	0.0451	2,473.466 0
Mobile	2.8036	6.5115	27.3498	0.0826	5.8025	0.1045	5.9069	1.5517	0.0964	1.6481		6,310.128 1	6,310.128 1	0.2115		6,314.570 1
Total	9.7378	8.5606	29.1057	0.0949	5.8025	0.2603	6.0628	1.5517	0.2522	1.8039		8,768.706 9	8,768.706 9	0.2588	0.0451	8,788.115 0

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	11.28	8.10	2.13	4.56	0.00	18.06	0.94	0.00	18.53	3.08	0.00	9.36	9.36	6.29	26.93	9.40

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/28/2020	2/10/2020	5	10	
2	Grading	Grading	2/11/2020	3/9/2020	5	20	
3	Building Construction	Building Construction	3/11/2020	1/26/2021	5	230	
4	Paving	Paving	1/27/2021	2/23/2021	5	20	
5	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	2/24/2021	3/23/2021	5	20	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 10

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 340,956; Non-Residential Outdoor: 113,652 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	255	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	1	8.00	162	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	174	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	255	0.40
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	226	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	125	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	130	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	126.00	49.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	25.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Use Cleaner Engines for Construction Equipment

Use Soil Stabilizer

Water Exposed Area

Reduce Vehicle Speed on Unpaved Roads

Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Site Preparation - 2020

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	lay		
Fugitive Dust		1 1 1			18.0663	0.0000	18.0663	9.9307	0.0000	9.9307			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.7250	38.8640	32.9264	0.0391		1.9309	1.9309		1.7764	1.7764		3,792.281 6	3,792.281 6	1.2265		3,818.038 1
Total	3.7250	38.8640	32.9264	0.0391	18.0663	1.9309	19.9971	9.9307	1.7764	11.7071		3,792.281 6	3,792.281 6	1.2265		3,818.038 1

3.2 Site Preparation - 2020

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0466	0.0719	0.6892	2.0200e- 003	0.1780	1.1600e- 003	0.1791	0.0472	1.0800e- 003	0.0483		144.9651	144.9651	6.6700e- 003		145.1051
Total	0.0466	0.0719	0.6892	2.0200e- 003	0.1780	1.1600e- 003	0.1791	0.0472	1.0800e- 003	0.0483		144.9651	144.9651	6.6700e- 003		145.1051

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust	1 1 1				7.0458	0.0000	7.0458	3.8730	0.0000	3.8730			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.9515	19.4584	23.4003	0.0391		0.9611	0.9611		0.9611	0.9611	0.0000	3,792.281 6	3,792.281 6	1.2265		3,818.038 1
Total	0.9515	19.4584	23.4003	0.0391	7.0458	0.9611	8.0069	3.8730	0.9611	4.8340	0.0000	3,792.281 6	3,792.281 6	1.2265		3,818.038 1

Page 11 of 26

3.2 Site Preparation - 2020

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0466	0.0719	0.6892	2.0200e- 003	0.1780	1.1600e- 003	0.1791	0.0472	1.0800e- 003	0.0483		144.9651	144.9651	6.6700e- 003		145.1051
Total	0.0466	0.0719	0.6892	2.0200e- 003	0.1780	1.1600e- 003	0.1791	0.0472	1.0800e- 003	0.0483		144.9651	144.9651	6.6700e- 003		145.1051

3.3 Grading - 2020

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					6.5523	0.0000	6.5523	3.3675	0.0000	3.3675			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	2.5551	25.8955	22.6816	0.0297		1.3735	1.3735		1.2636	1.2636		2,879.635 3	2,879.635 3	0.9313		2,899.193 2
Total	2.5551	25.8955	22.6816	0.0297	6.5523	1.3735	7.9258	3.3675	1.2636	4.6311		2,879.635 3	2,879.635 3	0.9313		2,899.193 2

3.3 Grading - 2020

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0389	0.0599	0.5743	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	9.7000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	9.0000e- 004	0.0402		120.8042	120.8042	5.5600e- 003		120.9209
Total	0.0389	0.0599	0.5743	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	9.7000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	9.0000e- 004	0.0402		120.8042	120.8042	5.5600e- 003		120.9209

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/c	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust	1 1 1 1 1		1 I		2.5554	0.0000	2.5554	1.3133	0.0000	1.3133			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.7250	14.8148	20.3762	0.0297		0.7854	0.7854		0.7854	0.7854	0.0000	2,879.635 3	2,879.635 3	0.9313		2,899.193 2
Total	0.7250	14.8148	20.3762	0.0297	2.5554	0.7854	3.3409	1.3133	0.7854	2.0988	0.0000	2,879.635 3	2,879.635 3	0.9313		2,899.193 2

3.3 Grading - 2020

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0389	0.0599	0.5743	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	9.7000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	9.0000e- 004	0.0402		120.8042	120.8042	5.5600e- 003		120.9209
Total	0.0389	0.0599	0.5743	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	9.7000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	9.0000e- 004	0.0402		120.8042	120.8042	5.5600e- 003		120.9209

3.4 Building Construction - 2020

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	2.1113	19.0839	16.8084	0.0268		1.1128	1.1128	5 5 6	1.0465	1.0465		2,542.479 9	2,542.479 9	0.6194		2,555.488 0
Total	2.1113	19.0839	16.8084	0.0268		1.1128	1.1128		1.0465	1.0465		2,542.479 9	2,542.479 9	0.6194		2,555.488 0

Page 14 of 26

3.4 Building Construction - 2020

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.4441	2.5252	4.5497	8.2900e- 003	0.2231	0.0352	0.2583	0.0637	0.0324	0.0961		773.8789	773.8789	6.0000e- 003		774.0050
Worker	0.3263	0.5030	4.8241	0.0142	1.2457	8.1200e- 003	1.2538	0.3304	7.5300e- 003	0.3379		1,014.755 5	1,014.755 5	0.0467		1,015.735 5
Total	0.7704	3.0282	9.3738	0.0225	1.4688	0.0433	1.5121	0.3941	0.0399	0.4340		1,788.634 5	1,788.634 5	0.0527		1,789.740 5

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	lay		
Off-Road	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016	5 5 6	0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,542.479 9	2,542.479 9	0.6194		2,555.488 0
Total	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016		0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,542.479 9	2,542.479 9	0.6194		2,555.488 0

Page 15 of 26

3.4 Building Construction - 2020

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.4441	2.5252	4.5497	8.2900e- 003	0.2231	0.0352	0.2583	0.0637	0.0324	0.0961		773.8789	773.8789	6.0000e- 003		774.0050
Worker	0.3263	0.5030	4.8241	0.0142	1.2457	8.1200e- 003	1.2538	0.3304	7.5300e- 003	0.3379		1,014.755 5	1,014.755 5	0.0467		1,015.735 5
Total	0.7704	3.0282	9.3738	0.0225	1.4688	0.0433	1.5121	0.3941	0.0399	0.4340		1,788.634 5	1,788.634 5	0.0527		1,789.740 5

3.4 Building Construction - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/d	lay		
Off-Road	1.8931	17.3403	16.5376	0.0268		0.9549	0.9549		0.8979	0.8979		2,542.781 7	2,542.781 7	0.6126		2,555.646 2
Total	1.8931	17.3403	16.5376	0.0268		0.9549	0.9549		0.8979	0.8979		2,542.781 7	2,542.781 7	0.6126		2,555.646 2

Page 16 of 26

3.4 Building Construction - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.4267	2.0285	4.2893	8.2700e- 003	0.2231	0.0309	0.2540	0.0637	0.0284	0.0921		772.2819	772.2819	6.0100e- 003		772.4081
Worker	0.3046	0.4651	4.4674	0.0142	1.2457	8.0600e- 003	1.2537	0.3304	7.4800e- 003	0.3379		997.2513	997.2513	0.0441		998.1778
Total	0.7313	2.4935	8.7567	0.0224	1.4688	0.0389	1.5077	0.3941	0.0359	0.4300		1,769.533 2	1,769.533 2	0.0501		1,770.585 9

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	lay		
Off-Road	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016	5 5 6	0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,542.781 7	2,542.781 7	0.6126		2,555.646 2
Total	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016		0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,542.781 7	2,542.781 7	0.6126		2,555.646 2

Page 17 of 26

3.4 Building Construction - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.4267	2.0285	4.2893	8.2700e- 003	0.2231	0.0309	0.2540	0.0637	0.0284	0.0921		772.2819	772.2819	6.0100e- 003		772.4081
Worker	0.3046	0.4651	4.4674	0.0142	1.2457	8.0600e- 003	1.2537	0.3304	7.4800e- 003	0.3379		997.2513	997.2513	0.0441		998.1778
Total	0.7313	2.4935	8.7567	0.0224	1.4688	0.0389	1.5077	0.3941	0.0359	0.4300		1,769.533 2	1,769.533 2	0.0501		1,770.585 9

3.5 Paving - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.2308	12.6607	14.3528	0.0223		0.6652	0.6652	1 1 1	0.6120	0.6120		2,160.253 0	2,160.253 0	0.6987		2,174.925 0
Paving	0.2201		1 1 1 1			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		1	0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.4509	12.6607	14.3528	0.0223		0.6652	0.6652		0.6120	0.6120		2,160.253 0	2,160.253 0	0.6987		2,174.925 0

3.5 Paving - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0363	0.0554	0.5318	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	9.6000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	8.9000e- 004	0.0402		118.7204	118.7204	5.2500e- 003		118.8307
Total	0.0363	0.0554	0.5318	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	9.6000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	8.9000e- 004	0.0402		118.7204	118.7204	5.2500e- 003		118.8307

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.5490	11.0645	16.9276	0.0223		0.5982	0.5982		0.5982	0.5982	0.0000	2,160.253 0	2,160.253 0	0.6987		2,174.925 0
Paving	0.2201					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		 - - - -	0.0000			0.0000
Total	0.7691	11.0645	16.9276	0.0223		0.5982	0.5982		0.5982	0.5982	0.0000	2,160.253 0	2,160.253 0	0.6987		2,174.925 0

3.5 Paving - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0363	0.0554	0.5318	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	9.6000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	8.9000e- 004	0.0402		118.7204	118.7204	5.2500e- 003		118.8307
Total	0.0363	0.0554	0.5318	1.6900e- 003	0.1483	9.6000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	8.9000e- 004	0.0402		118.7204	118.7204	5.2500e- 003		118.8307

3.6 Architectural Coating - 2021

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Archit. Coating	263.3885					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.2189	1.5268	1.8176	2.9700e- 003		0.0941	0.0941		0.0941	0.0941		281.4481	281.4481	0.0193		281.8537
Total	263.6074	1.5268	1.8176	2.9700e- 003		0.0941	0.0941		0.0941	0.0941		281.4481	281.4481	0.0193		281.8537

Page 20 of 26

3.6 Architectural Coating - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0604	0.0923	0.8864	2.8100e- 003	0.2472	1.6000e- 003	0.2488	0.0656	1.4800e- 003	0.0670		197.8673	197.8673	8.7500e- 003		198.0512
Total	0.0604	0.0923	0.8864	2.8100e- 003	0.2472	1.6000e- 003	0.2488	0.0656	1.4800e- 003	0.0670		197.8673	197.8673	8.7500e- 003		198.0512

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d			lb/c	lay							
Archit. Coating	263.3885					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.0594	1.3570	1.8324	2.9700e- 003		0.0951	0.0951		0.0951	0.0951	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0193		281.8537
Total	263.4479	1.3570	1.8324	2.9700e- 003		0.0951	0.0951		0.0951	0.0951	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0193		281.8537

Page 21 of 26

3.6 Architectural Coating - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/c	day				lb/c	day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0604	0.0923	0.8864	2.8100e- 003	0.2472	1.6000e- 003	0.2488	0.0656	1.4800e- 003	0.0670		197.8673	197.8673	8.7500e- 003		198.0512
Total	0.0604	0.0923	0.8864	2.8100e- 003	0.2472	1.6000e- 003	0.2488	0.0656	1.4800e- 003	0.0670		197.8673	197.8673	8.7500e- 003		198.0512

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/				lb/d	lay						
Mitigated	2.8036	6.5115	27.3498	0.0826	5.8025	0.1045	5.9069	1.5517	0.0964	1.6481		6,310.128 1	6,310.128 1	0.2115		6,314.570 1
Unmitigated	2.8036	6.5115	27.3498	0.0826	5.8025	0.1045	5.9069	1.5517	0.0964	1.6481		6,310.128 1	6,310.128 1	0.2115		6,314.570 1

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Hotel	882.57	882.57	882.57	2,732,912	2,732,912
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	882.57	882.57	882.57	2,732,912	2,732,912

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Hotel	13.00	12.50	12.50	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4
Parking Lot	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.454968	0.042327	0.214633	0.150226	0.067641	0.009835	0.017975	0.024142	0.002353	0.001408	0.008947	0.000814	0.004731

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

Exceed Title 24

Install Energy Efficient Appliances

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e			lb/d	lay							
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.2254	2.0488	1.7210	0.0123		0.1557	0.1557		0.1557	0.1557		2,458.503 9	2,458.503 9	0.0471	0.0451	2,473.466 0
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.3084	2.8037	2.3551	0.0168		0.2131	0.2131		0.2131	0.2131		3,364.474 7	3,364.474 7	0.0645	0.0617	3,384.950 4

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Hotel	28598	0.3084	2.8037	2.3551	0.0168		0.2131	0.2131	1 1 1	0.2131	0.2131		3,364.474 7	3,364.474 7	0.0645	0.0617	3,384.950 4
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.3084	2.8037	2.3551	0.0168		0.2131	0.2131		0.2131	0.2131		3,364.474 7	3,364.474 7	0.0645	0.0617	3,384.950 4

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hotel	20.8973	0.2254	2.0488	1.7210	0.0123		0.1557	0.1557		0.1557	0.1557		2,458.503 9	2,458.503 9	0.0471	0.0451	2,473.466 0
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.2254	2.0488	1.7210	0.0123		0.1557	0.1557		0.1557	0.1557		2,458.503 9	2,458.503 9	0.0471	0.0451	2,473.466 0

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Interior

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Exterior

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e				lb/d	day						
Mitigated	6.7089	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790
Unmitigated	7.8635	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004	 , , , ,	1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790
Page 25 of 26

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/o	day							lb/d	day		
Architectural Coating	1.4432			1 1 1		0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	6.4170		,			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		1	0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	3.2500e- 003	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790
Total	7.8635	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/o	day							lb/d	day		
Architectural Coating	0.2886					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	6.4170					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	3.2500e- 003	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790
Total	6.7089	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet Install Low Flow Toilet Install Low Flow Shower Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Institute Recycling and Composting Services

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Vegetation

Paso Robles Resort Hotel - Phase II

San Luis Obispo County, Winter

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Parking Lot	187.00	Space	1.68	74,800.00	0
Hotel	155.00	Room	5.17	225,060.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	3.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	44
Climate Zone	4			Operational Year	2022
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Com	pany			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	488.3	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.022	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.005

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - 2020 construction start year. Includes RPS adjustment.

Land Use - Phase II Hotels=155 rooms. 187 space parking lot.

Construction Phase - Construction schedule and activity durations based on model defaults.

Off-road Equipment - Construction equipment based on model defaults.

Trips and VMT - Construction vehicle trips based on model defaults.

Demolition - No demolition

Grading - Material balanced onsite.

Vehicle Trips - Based on trip-gen rate derived from the traffic analysis, 5.694 trips/room, includes trips for onsite amenities (e.g., restaurant, meeting rooms, etc.). 12.5/13 miles for NW/W trips.

Vechicle Emission Factors - Vehicle fleet mix based on model defaults (conservative).

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Vechicle Emission Factors -

Energy Use - Includes RPS adjustment.

Sequestration - Carbon sequestration included in Phase I model run.

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - Includes watering, 15 mph speed limit, T3 equipment.

Area Mitigation - Assumes use of low VOC paint, maximum 50 g/L.

Energy Mitigation - Includes 30% increase in energy efficiency for non-commercial uses with compliance with current building standards, compared to previous standards (CEC 2015) and energy-efficient appliances.

Water Mitigation - Includes installation of low-flow water fixtures and water-efficient irrigation systems.

Waste Mitigation - Assumes minimum 25% diversion rate per state requirements.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialExteriorV alue	250	50
tblAreaMitigation	UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialInteriorV alue	250	50
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	3.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00

tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	4.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	10.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseEndDate	1/25/2021	1/26/2021
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseStartDate	3/10/2020	3/11/2020
tblProjectCharacteristics	CH4IntensityFactor	0.029	0.022
tblProjectCharacteristics	CO2IntensityFactor	641.35	488.3
tblProjectCharacteristics	N2OIntensityFactor	0.006	0.005
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2022
tblVehicleTrips	CC_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	CNW_TL	5.00	12.50
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	8.19	5.69

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2013.2.2

tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	5.95	5.69
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	8.17	5.69

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
2020	3.7742	38.9455	33.6031	0.0486	18.2442	1.9320	20.1762	9.9779	1.7775	11.7553	0.0000	4,275.326 3	4,275.326 3	1.2332	0.0000	4,301.222 9
2021	263.6712	19.9287	27.5834	0.0485	1.4688	0.9943	2.4631	0.3941	0.9342	1.3282	0.0000	4,257.304 6	4,257.304 6	0.7039	0.0000	4,272.086 9
Total	267.4454	58.8742	61.1864	0.0971	19.7130	2.9263	22.6393	10.3720	2.7116	13.0836	0.0000	8,532.630 9	8,532.630 9	1.9371	0.0000	8,573.309 8

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
2020	1.5568	19.5399	29.4914	0.0486	7.2238	0.9622	8.1860	3.9202	0.9621	4.8823	0.0000	4,275.326 3	4,275.326 3	1.2332	0.0000	4,301.222 9
2021	263.5117	16.7626	28.8613	0.0485	1.4688	0.9410	2.4098	0.3941	0.9379	1.3320	0.0000	4,257.304 6	4,257.304 6	0.7039	0.0000	4,272.086 9
Total	265.0685	36.3025	58.3527	0.0971	8.6926	1.9032	10.5958	4.3142	1.9000	6.2143	0.0000	8,532.630 9	8,532.630 9	1.9371	0.0000	8,573.309 8

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.89	38.34	4.63	0.00	55.90	34.96	53.20	58.40	29.93	52.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Area	7.8635	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790
Energy	0.3084	2.8037	2.3551	0.0168		0.2131	0.2131		0.2131	0.2131		3,364.474 7	3,364.474 7	0.0645	0.0617	3,384.950 4
Mobile	3.0123	6.9190	30.0675	0.0798	5.8025	0.1048	5.9073	1.5517	0.0967	1.6484		6,103.631 6	6,103.631 6	0.2118		6,108.078 6
Total	11.1842	9.7230	32.4576	0.0966	5.8025	0.3180	6.1205	1.5517	0.3099	1.8616		9,468.181 1	9,468.181 1	0.2765	0.0617	9,493.107 9

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Area	6.7089	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790
Energy	0.2254	2.0488	1.7210	0.0123		0.1557	0.1557		0.1557	0.1557		2,458.503 9	2,458.503 9	0.0471	0.0451	2,473.466 0
Mobile	3.0123	6.9190	30.0675	0.0798	5.8025	0.1048	5.9073	1.5517	0.0967	1.6484		6,103.631 6	6,103.631 6	0.2118		6,108.078 6
Total	9.9466	8.9680	31.8234	0.0920	5.8025	0.2607	6.0631	1.5517	0.2525	1.8042		8,562.210 4	8,562.210 4	0.2591	0.0451	8,581.623 6

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	11.07	7.76	1.95	4.69	0.00	18.04	0.94	0.00	18.51	3.08	0.00	9.57	9.57	6.28	26.93	9.60

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/28/2020	2/10/2020	5	10	
2	Grading	Grading	2/11/2020	3/9/2020	5	20	
3	Building Construction	Building Construction	3/11/2020	1/26/2021	5	230	
4	Paving	Paving	1/27/2021	2/23/2021	5	20	
5	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	2/24/2021	3/23/2021	5	20	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 10

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 340,956; Non-Residential Outdoor: 113,652 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	255	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	1	8.00	162	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	174	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	255	0.40
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	226	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	125	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	130	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	126.00	49.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	25.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Use Cleaner Engines for Construction Equipment

Use Soil Stabilizer

Water Exposed Area

Reduce Vehicle Speed on Unpaved Roads

Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Site Preparation - 2020

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	lay		
Fugitive Dust		1 1 1			18.0663	0.0000	18.0663	9.9307	0.0000	9.9307			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.7250	38.8640	32.9264	0.0391		1.9309	1.9309		1.7764	1.7764		3,792.281 6	3,792.281 6	1.2265		3,818.038 1
Total	3.7250	38.8640	32.9264	0.0391	18.0663	1.9309	19.9971	9.9307	1.7764	11.7071		3,792.281 6	3,792.281 6	1.2265		3,818.038 1

3.2 Site Preparation - 2020

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0492	0.0815	0.6766	1.9300e- 003	0.1780	1.1600e- 003	0.1791	0.0472	1.0800e- 003	0.0483		138.1951	138.1951	6.6700e- 003		138.3351
Total	0.0492	0.0815	0.6766	1.9300e- 003	0.1780	1.1600e- 003	0.1791	0.0472	1.0800e- 003	0.0483		138.1951	138.1951	6.6700e- 003		138.3351

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust	1 1 1				7.0458	0.0000	7.0458	3.8730	0.0000	3.8730			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.9515	19.4584	23.4003	0.0391		0.9611	0.9611		0.9611	0.9611	0.0000	3,792.281 6	3,792.281 6	1.2265		3,818.038 1
Total	0.9515	19.4584	23.4003	0.0391	7.0458	0.9611	8.0069	3.8730	0.9611	4.8340	0.0000	3,792.281 6	3,792.281 6	1.2265		3,818.038 1

Page 11 of 26

3.2 Site Preparation - 2020

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0492	0.0815	0.6766	1.9300e- 003	0.1780	1.1600e- 003	0.1791	0.0472	1.0800e- 003	0.0483		138.1951	138.1951	6.6700e- 003		138.3351
Total	0.0492	0.0815	0.6766	1.9300e- 003	0.1780	1.1600e- 003	0.1791	0.0472	1.0800e- 003	0.0483		138.1951	138.1951	6.6700e- 003		138.3351

3.3 Grading - 2020

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					6.5523	0.0000	6.5523	3.3675	0.0000	3.3675			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	2.5551	25.8955	22.6816	0.0297		1.3735	1.3735		1.2636	1.2636		2,879.635 3	2,879.635 3	0.9313		2,899.193 2
Total	2.5551	25.8955	22.6816	0.0297	6.5523	1.3735	7.9258	3.3675	1.2636	4.6311		2,879.635 3	2,879.635 3	0.9313		2,899.193 2

3.3 Grading - 2020

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0410	0.0679	0.5639	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	9.7000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	9.0000e- 004	0.0402		115.1626	115.1626	5.5600e- 003		115.2793
Total	0.0410	0.0679	0.5639	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	9.7000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	9.0000e- 004	0.0402		115.1626	115.1626	5.5600e- 003		115.2793

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					2.5554	0.0000	2.5554	1.3133	0.0000	1.3133			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.7250	14.8148	20.3762	0.0297		0.7854	0.7854		0.7854	0.7854	0.0000	2,879.635 3	2,879.635 3	0.9313		2,899.193 2
Total	0.7250	14.8148	20.3762	0.0297	2.5554	0.7854	3.3409	1.3133	0.7854	2.0988	0.0000	2,879.635 3	2,879.635 3	0.9313		2,899.193 2

3.3 Grading - 2020

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0410	0.0679	0.5639	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	9.7000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	9.0000e- 004	0.0402		115.1626	115.1626	5.5600e- 003	,	115.2793
Total	0.0410	0.0679	0.5639	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	9.7000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	9.0000e- 004	0.0402		115.1626	115.1626	5.5600e- 003		115.2793

3.4 Building Construction - 2020

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day				lb/c	lay					
Off-Road	2.1113	19.0839	16.8084	0.0268		1.1128	1.1128	5 5 6	1.0465	1.0465		2,542.479 9	2,542.479 9	0.6194		2,555.488 0
Total	2.1113	19.0839	16.8084	0.0268		1.1128	1.1128		1.0465	1.0465		2,542.479 9	2,542.479 9	0.6194		2,555.488 0

Page 14 of 26

3.4 Building Construction - 2020

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.5411	2.5630	6.9393	8.2400e- 003	0.2231	0.0358	0.2589	0.0637	0.0329	0.0966		765.4805	765.4805	6.2500e- 003		765.6118
Worker	0.3445	0.5705	4.7365	0.0135	1.2457	8.1200e- 003	1.2538	0.3304	7.5300e- 003	0.3379		967.3659	967.3659	0.0467		968.3459
Total	0.8856	3.1336	11.6758	0.0218	1.4688	0.0439	1.5126	0.3941	0.0404	0.4345		1,732.846 4	1,732.846 4	0.0529		1,733.957 7

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016	5 5 6	0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,542.479 9	2,542.479 9	0.6194		2,555.488 0
Total	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016		0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,542.479 9	2,542.479 9	0.6194		2,555.488 0

3.4 Building Construction - 2020

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.5411	2.5630	6.9393	8.2400e- 003	0.2231	0.0358	0.2589	0.0637	0.0329	0.0966		765.4805	765.4805	6.2500e- 003		765.6118
Worker	0.3445	0.5705	4.7365	0.0135	1.2457	8.1200e- 003	1.2538	0.3304	7.5300e- 003	0.3379		967.3659	967.3659	0.0467		968.3459
Total	0.8856	3.1336	11.6758	0.0218	1.4688	0.0439	1.5126	0.3941	0.0404	0.4345		1,732.846 4	1,732.846 4	0.0529		1,733.957 7

Page 15 of 26

3.4 Building Construction - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day				lb/c	lay					
Off-Road	1.8931	17.3403	16.5376	0.0268		0.9549	0.9549		0.8979	0.8979		2,542.781 7	2,542.781 7	0.6126		2,555.646 2
Total	1.8931	17.3403	16.5376	0.0268		0.9549	0.9549		0.8979	0.8979		2,542.781 7	2,542.781 7	0.6126		2,555.646 2

Page 16 of 26

3.4 Building Construction - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.5159	2.0613	6.6715	8.2100e- 003	0.2231	0.0314	0.2545	0.0637	0.0289	0.0926		763.8812	763.8812	6.2700e- 003		764.0128
Worker	0.3212	0.5272	4.3742	0.0135	1.2457	8.0600e- 003	1.2537	0.3304	7.4800e- 003	0.3379		950.6417	950.6417	0.0441		951.5682
Total	0.8371	2.5884	11.0457	0.0217	1.4688	0.0394	1.5082	0.3941	0.0363	0.4304		1,714.522 9	1,714.522 9	0.0504		1,715.581 0

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016		0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,542.781 7	2,542.781 7	0.6126		2,555.646 2
Total	0.6712	14.1741	17.8156	0.0268		0.9016	0.9016		0.9016	0.9016	0.0000	2,542.781 7	2,542.781 7	0.6126		2,555.646 2

Page 17 of 26

3.4 Building Construction - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.5159	2.0613	6.6715	8.2100e- 003	0.2231	0.0314	0.2545	0.0637	0.0289	0.0926		763.8812	763.8812	6.2700e- 003		764.0128
Worker	0.3212	0.5272	4.3742	0.0135	1.2457	8.0600e- 003	1.2537	0.3304	7.4800e- 003	0.3379		950.6417	950.6417	0.0441	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, _,	951.5682
Total	0.8371	2.5884	11.0457	0.0217	1.4688	0.0394	1.5082	0.3941	0.0363	0.4304		1,714.522 9	1,714.522 9	0.0504		1,715.581 0

3.5 Paving - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	1.2308	12.6607	14.3528	0.0223		0.6652	0.6652	1 1 1	0.6120	0.6120		2,160.253 0	2,160.253 0	0.6987		2,174.925 0
Paving	0.2201					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		1	0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.4509	12.6607	14.3528	0.0223		0.6652	0.6652		0.6120	0.6120		2,160.253 0	2,160.253 0	0.6987		2,174.925 0

3.5 Paving - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0382	0.0628	0.5207	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	9.6000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	8.9000e- 004	0.0402		113.1716	113.1716	5.2500e- 003		113.2819
Total	0.0382	0.0628	0.5207	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	9.6000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	8.9000e- 004	0.0402		113.1716	113.1716	5.2500e- 003		113.2819

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	0.5490	11.0645	16.9276	0.0223		0.5982	0.5982		0.5982	0.5982	0.0000	2,160.253 0	2,160.253 0	0.6987		2,174.925 0
Paving	0.2201					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	0.7691	11.0645	16.9276	0.0223		0.5982	0.5982		0.5982	0.5982	0.0000	2,160.253 0	2,160.253 0	0.6987		2,174.925 0

3.5 Paving - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0382	0.0628	0.5207	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	9.6000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	8.9000e- 004	0.0402		113.1716	113.1716	5.2500e- 003		113.2819
Total	0.0382	0.0628	0.5207	1.6100e- 003	0.1483	9.6000e- 004	0.1493	0.0393	8.9000e- 004	0.0402		113.1716	113.1716	5.2500e- 003		113.2819

3.6 Architectural Coating - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Archit. Coating	263.3885					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.2189	1.5268	1.8176	2.9700e- 003		0.0941	0.0941		0.0941	0.0941		281.4481	281.4481	0.0193		281.8537
Total	263.6074	1.5268	1.8176	2.9700e- 003		0.0941	0.0941		0.0941	0.0941		281.4481	281.4481	0.0193		281.8537

Page 20 of 26

3.6 Architectural Coating - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0637	0.1046	0.8679	2.6800e- 003	0.2472	1.6000e- 003	0.2488	0.0656	1.4800e- 003	0.0670		188.6194	188.6194	8.7500e- 003		188.8032
Total	0.0637	0.1046	0.8679	2.6800e- 003	0.2472	1.6000e- 003	0.2488	0.0656	1.4800e- 003	0.0670		188.6194	188.6194	8.7500e- 003		188.8032

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Archit. Coating	263.3885					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.0594	1.3570	1.8324	2.9700e- 003		0.0951	0.0951		0.0951	0.0951	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0193		281.8537
Total	263.4479	1.3570	1.8324	2.9700e- 003		0.0951	0.0951		0.0951	0.0951	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0193		281.8537

Page 21 of 26

3.6 Architectural Coating - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/c	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0637	0.1046	0.8679	2.6800e- 003	0.2472	1.6000e- 003	0.2488	0.0656	1.4800e- 003	0.0670		188.6194	188.6194	8.7500e- 003		188.8032
Total	0.0637	0.1046	0.8679	2.6800e- 003	0.2472	1.6000e- 003	0.2488	0.0656	1.4800e- 003	0.0670		188.6194	188.6194	8.7500e- 003		188.8032

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	lay		
Mitigated	3.0123	6.9190	30.0675	0.0798	5.8025	0.1048	5.9073	1.5517	0.0967	1.6484		6,103.631 6	6,103.631 6	0.2118		6,108.078 6
Unmitigated	3.0123	6.9190	30.0675	0.0798	5.8025	0.1048	5.9073	1.5517	0.0967	1.6484		6,103.631 6	6,103.631 6	0.2118		6,108.078 6

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Hotel	882.57	882.57	882.57	2,732,912	2,732,912
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	882.57	882.57	882.57	2,732,912	2,732,912

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Hotel	13.00	12.50	12.50	19.40	61.60	19.00	58	38	4
Parking Lot	13.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.454968	0.042327	0.214633	0.150226	0.067641	0.009835	0.017975	0.024142	0.002353	0.001408	0.008947	0.000814	0.004731

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

Exceed Title 24

Install Energy Efficient Appliances

		ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
	NaturalGas Mitigated	0.2254	2.0488	1.7210	0.0123		0.1557	0.1557		0.1557	0.1557		2,458.503 9	2,458.503 9	0.0471	0.0451	2,473.466 0
ſ	NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.3084	2.8037	2.3551	0.0168		0.2131	0.2131		0.2131	0.2131		3,364.474 7	3,364.474 7	0.0645	0.0617	3,384.950 4

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/d	lay		
Hotel	28598	0.3084	2.8037	2.3551	0.0168		0.2131	0.2131	1 1 1	0.2131	0.2131		3,364.474 7	3,364.474 7	0.0645	0.0617	3,384.950 4
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.3084	2.8037	2.3551	0.0168		0.2131	0.2131		0.2131	0.2131		3,364.474 7	3,364.474 7	0.0645	0.0617	3,384.950 4

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/	day							lb/c	lay		
Hotel	20.8973	0.2254	2.0488	1.7210	0.0123		0.1557	0.1557		0.1557	0.1557		2,458.503 9	2,458.503 9	0.0471	0.0451	2,473.466 0
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.2254	2.0488	1.7210	0.0123		0.1557	0.1557		0.1557	0.1557		2,458.503 9	2,458.503 9	0.0471	0.0451	2,473.466 0

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Interior

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Exterior

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Mitigated	6.7089	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790
Unmitigated	7.8635	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004	 , , , ,	1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790

Page 25 of 26

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/o	day							lb/d	day		
Architectural Coating	1.4432			1 1 1		0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	6.4170		,			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		1	0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	3.2500e- 003	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790
Total	7.8635	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/o	day							lb/c	day		
Architectural Coating	0.2886					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	6.4170					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	3.2500e- 003	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004	1 1 1 1 1	1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790
Total	6.7089	3.2000e- 004	0.0350	0.0000		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		1.2000e- 004	1.2000e- 004		0.0749	0.0749	2.0000e- 004		0.0790

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet Install Low Flow Toilet Install Low Flow Shower Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Institute Recycling and Composting Services

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Vegetation

Paso Robles Resort Hotel - Existing Residential at Buildout

San Luis Obispo County, Annual

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Single Family Housing	1.00	Dwelling Unit	0.32	1,195.00	3

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	3.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	44
Climate Zone	4			Operational Year	2022
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Com	ipany			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	488.3	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.022	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.005

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Assumes year 2022 operations. Construction does not apply. Land Use - Existing residential 1195sf floor area. Construction Phase - Const. does not apply. Off-road Equipment - . Trips and VMT - . Demolition - . Grading - . Vehicle Trips - Based on default trip-gen. Vechicle Emission Factors - Vehicle fleet mix based on model defaults (conservative). Vechicle Emission Factors -Vechicle Emission Factors -Energy Use - Includes RPS adjustment. Sequestration - . Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - . Area Mitigation - . Energy Mitigation -Water Mitigation - . Waste Mitigation - Assumes minimum 25% diversion rate per state requirements. Area Coating -

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	10.00	1.00
tblLandUse	LandUseSquareFeet	1,800.00	1,195.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	0.00
tblProjectCharacteristics	CH4IntensityFactor	0.029	0.022
tblProjectCharacteristics	CO2IntensityFactor	641.35	488.3
tblProjectCharacteristics	N2OIntensityFactor	0.006	0.005
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2022

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
2017	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
2017	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Area	6.9500e- 003	1.6000e- 004	0.0136	0.0000		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0222	0.0222	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0227
Energy	1.9000e- 004	1.6300e- 003	6.9000e- 004	1.0000e- 005		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004	0.0000	3.4494	3.4494	1.1000e- 004	5.0000e- 005	3.4673
Mobile	5.2200e- 003	0.0115	0.0496	1.3000e- 004	9.1200e- 003	1.7000e- 004	9.2900e- 003	2.4400e- 003	1.6000e- 004	2.6000e- 003	0.0000	9.0275	9.0275	3.2000e- 004	0.0000	9.0341
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.2497	0.0000	0.2497	0.0148	0.0000	0.5596
Water						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0207	0.1099	0.1306	2.1300e- 003	5.0000e- 005	0.1912
Total	0.0124	0.0133	0.0639	1.4000e- 004	9.1200e- 003	3.8000e- 004	9.5000e- 003	2.4400e- 003	3.7000e- 004	2.8100e- 003	0.2704	12.6091	12.8794	0.0173	1.0000e- 004	13.2749

2.2 Overall Operational

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Area	6.9500e- 003	1.6000e- 004	0.0136	0.0000		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0222	0.0222	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0227
Energy	1.9000e- 004	1.6300e- 003	6.9000e- 004	1.0000e- 005		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004	0.0000	3.4494	3.4494	1.1000e- 004	5.0000e- 005	3.4673
Mobile	5.2200e- 003	0.0115	0.0496	1.3000e- 004	9.1200e- 003	1.7000e- 004	9.2900e- 003	2.4400e- 003	1.6000e- 004	2.6000e- 003	0.0000	9.0275	9.0275	3.2000e- 004	0.0000	9.0341
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.2497	0.0000	0.2497	0.0148	0.0000	0.5596
Water					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,, , , , , , , , , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , , ,, , , ,, , , ,, , , ,, , , ,, , , ,, , , , ,, , , , ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0207	0.1099	0.1306	2.1300e- 003	5.0000e- 005	0.1912
Total	0.0124	0.0133	0.0639	1.4000e- 004	9.1200e- 003	3.8000e- 004	9.5000e- 003	2.4400e- 003	3.7000e- 004	2.8100e- 003	0.2704	12.6091	12.8794	0.0173	1.0000e- 004	13.2748

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description	
1	Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2017	1/2/2017	5	1		

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 0

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	0	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	0	1.00	255	0.40
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0	6.00	97	0.37

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Vehicle	Vendor	Hauling
	Count	Number	Number	Number	Length	Length	Length	Class	Vehicle Class	Vehicle Class
Demolition	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr						
Fugitive Dust					0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr						
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
3.2 Demolition - 2017

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	'/yr		
Mitigated	5.2200e- 003	0.0115	0.0496	1.3000e- 004	9.1200e- 003	1.7000e- 004	9.2900e- 003	2.4400e- 003	1.6000e- 004	2.6000e- 003	0.0000	9.0275	9.0275	3.2000e- 004	0.0000	9.0341
Unmitigated	5.2200e- 003	0.0115	0.0496	1.3000e- 004	9.1200e- 003	1.7000e- 004	9.2900e- 003	2.4400e- 003	1.6000e- 004	2.6000e- 003	0.0000	9.0275	9.0275	3.2000e- 004	0.0000	9.0341

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Aver	age Daily Trip Ra	ite	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Single Family Housing	9.57	10.08	8.77	24,217	24,217
Total	9.57	10.08	8.77	24,217	24,217

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Single Family Housing	13.00	5.00	5.00	35.80	21.00	43.20	86	11	3

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.454968	0.042327	0.214633	0.150226	0.067641	0.009835	0.017975	0.024142	0.002353	0.001408	0.008947	0.000814	0.004731

5.0 Energy Detail

Page 11 of 18

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Electricity Mitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.5666	1.5666	7.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	1.5730
Electricity Unmitigated	Fi					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.5666	1.5666	7.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	1.5730
NaturalGas Mitigated	1.9000e- 004	1.6300e- 003	6.9000e- 004	1.0000e- 005		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004	0.0000	1.8828	1.8828	4.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	1.8943
NaturalGas Unmitigated	1.9000e- 004	1.6300e- 003	6.9000e- 004	1.0000e- 005		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004	0.0000	1.8828	1.8828	4.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	1.8943

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Single Family Housing	35283	1.9000e- 004	1.6300e- 003	6.9000e- 004	1.0000e- 005		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004	0.0000	1.8828	1.8828	4.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	1.8943
Total		1.9000e- 004	1.6300e- 003	6.9000e- 004	1.0000e- 005		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004	0.0000	1.8828	1.8828	4.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	1.8943

Page 12 of 18

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	ıs/yr							MT	/yr		
Single Family Housing	35283	1.9000e- 004	1.6300e- 003	6.9000e- 004	1.0000e- 005		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004	0.0000	1.8828	1.8828	4.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	1.8943
Total		1.9000e- 004	1.6300e- 003	6.9000e- 004	1.0000e- 005		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004		1.3000e- 004	1.3000e- 004	0.0000	1.8828	1.8828	4.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	1.8943

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		MT	ī/yr	
Single Family Housing	7072.94	1.5666	7.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	1.5730
Total		1.5666	7.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	1.5730

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity <u>Mitigated</u>

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		MT	/yr	
Single Family Housing	7072.94	1.5666	7.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	1.5730
Total		1.5666	7.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	1.5730

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	ī/yr		
Mitigated	6.9500e- 003	1.6000e- 004	0.0136	0.0000		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0222	0.0222	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0227
Unmitigated	6.9500e- 003	1.6000e- 004	0.0136	0.0000		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	 , , ,	8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0222	0.0222	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0227

Page 14 of 18

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	tons/yr								МТ	ī/yr						
Architectural Coating	1.8700e- 003				1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	4.6700e- 003				1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000	, , ,	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hearth	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	4.1000e- 004	1.6000e- 004	0.0136	0.0000		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	1 1 1 1	8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0222	0.0222	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0227
Total	6.9500e- 003	1.6000e- 004	0.0136	0.0000		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0222	0.0222	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0227

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	tons/yr								МТ	7/yr						
Architectural Coating	1.8700e- 003					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	4.6700e- 003					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hearth	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	4.1000e- 004	1.6000e- 004	0.0136	0.0000		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0222	0.0222	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0227
Total	6.9500e- 003	1.6000e- 004	0.0136	0.0000		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005		8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0222	0.0222	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0227

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e			
Category	MT/yr						
Mitigated	0.1306	2.1300e- 003	5.0000e- 005	0.1912			
Unmitigated	0.1306	2.1300e- 003	5.0000e- 005	0.1912			

7.2 Water by Land Use

Unmitigated

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Land Use	Mgal	MT/yr					
Single Family Housing	0.065154 / 0.0410754	0.1306	2.1300e- 003	5.0000e- 005	0.1912		
Total		0.1306	2.1300e- 003	5.0000e- 005	0.1912		

Mitigated

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
Land Use	Mgal	MT/yr				
Single Family Housing	0.065154 / 0.0410754	0.1306	2.1300e- 003	5.0000e- 005	0.1912	
Total		0.1306	2.1300e- 003	5.0000e- 005	0.1912	

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Category/Year

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e				
	MT/yr							
Mitigated	0.2497	0.0148	0.0000	0.5596				
Unmitigated	0.2497	0.0148	0.0000	0.5596				

8.2 Waste by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Land Use	tons	MT/yr					
Single Family Housing	1.23	0.2497	0.0148	0.0000	0.5596		
Total		0.2497	0.0148	0.0000	0.5596		

8.2 Waste by Land Use

Mitigated

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Land Use	tons	MT/yr					
Single Family Housing	1.23	0.2497	0.0148	0.0000	0.5596		
Total		0.2497	0.0148	0.0000	0.5596		

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Vegetation

Paso Robles Resort Hotel - Existing Residential at Buildout

San Luis Obispo County, Summer

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Single Family Housing	1.00	Dwelling Unit	0.32	1,195.00	3

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	3.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	44
Climate Zone	4			Operational Year	2022
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Com	pany			
CO2 Intensity (lb/MWhr)	488.3	CH4 Intensity (lb/MWhr)	0.022	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.005

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Assumes year 2022 operations. Construction does not apply. Land Use - Existing residential 1195sf floor area. Construction Phase - Const. does not apply. Off-road Equipment - . Trips and VMT - . Demolition - . Grading - . Vehicle Trips - Based on default trip-gen. Vechicle Emission Factors - Vehicle fleet mix based on model defaults (conservative). Vechicle Emission Factors -Vechicle Emission Factors -Energy Use - Includes RPS adjustment. Sequestration - . Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - . Area Mitigation - . Energy Mitigation -Water Mitigation - . Waste Mitigation - Assumes minimum 25% diversion rate per state requirements. Area Coating -

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	10.00	1.00
tblLandUse	LandUseSquareFeet	1,800.00	1,195.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	0.00
tblProjectCharacteristics	CH4IntensityFactor	0.029	0.022
tblProjectCharacteristics	CO2IntensityFactor	641.35	488.3
tblProjectCharacteristics	N2OIntensityFactor	0.006	0.005
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2022

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
2017	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
2017	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Page 5 of 13

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Area	0.0383	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.1516
Energy	1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, _,	7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416
Mobile	0.0299	0.0632	0.2702	7.8000e- 004	0.0544	1.0000e- 003	0.0554	0.0146	9.2000e- 004	0.0155		59.5032	59.5032	2.0200e- 003		59.5457
Total	0.0692	0.0731	0.3565	8.4000e- 004	0.0544	2.1800e- 003	0.0566	0.0146	2.1000e- 003	0.0166	0.0000	71.0242	71.0242	2.3800e- 003	2.1000e- 004	71.1389

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
Area	0.0383	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.1516
Energy	1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416
Mobile	0.0299	0.0632	0.2702	7.8000e- 004	0.0544	1.0000e- 003	0.0554	0.0146	9.2000e- 004	0.0155		59.5032	59.5032	2.0200e- 003		59.5457
Total	0.0692	0.0731	0.3565	8.4000e- 004	0.0544	2.1800e- 003	0.0566	0.0146	2.1000e- 003	0.0166	0.0000	71.0242	71.0242	2.3800e- 003	2.1000e- 004	71.1389

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2017	1/2/2017	5	1	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 0

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	0	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	0	1.00	255	0.40
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0	6.00	97	0.37

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Vehicle	Vendor	Hauling
	Count	Number	Number	Number	Length	Length	Length	Class	Vehicle Class	Vehicle Class
Demolition	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust		1 1 1			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/c	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	day		
Fugitive Dust		1 1 1			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/c	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/e	lay		
Mitigated	0.0299	0.0632	0.2702	7.8000e- 004	0.0544	1.0000e- 003	0.0554	0.0146	9.2000e- 004	0.0155		59.5032	59.5032	2.0200e- 003		59.5457
Unmitigated	0.0299	0.0632	0.2702	7.8000e- 004	0.0544	1.0000e- 003	0.0554	0.0146	9.2000e- 004	0.0155		59.5032	59.5032	2.0200e- 003		59.5457

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Aver	age Daily Trip Ra	ite	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Single Family Housing	9.57	10.08	8.77	24,217	24,217
Total	9.57	10.08	8.77	24,217	24,217

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Single Family Housing	13.00	5.00	5.00	35.80	21.00	43.20	86	11	3

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.454968	0.042327	0.214633	0.150226	0.067641	0.009835	0.017975	0.024142	0.002353	0.001408	0.008947	0.000814	0.004731

5.0 Energy Detail

Page 10 of 13

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/d	lay		
NaturalGas Mitigated	1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416
NaturalGas Unmitigated	1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Unmitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/e	lay		
Single Family Housing	96.6656	1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416
Total		1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Single Family Housing	0.0966656	1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416
Total		1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Mitigated	0.0383	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000	1 1 1	4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.1516
Unmitigated	0.0383	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.1516

Page 12 of 13

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Architectural Coating	0.0102					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	0.0256					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	2.4900e- 003	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004		0.1516
Total	0.0383	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.1516

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Architectural Coating	0.0102					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	0.0256					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	2.4900e- 003	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004		0.1516
Total	0.0383	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.1516

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

						,
Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Vegetation

Paso Robles Resort Hotel - Existing Residential at Buildout

San Luis Obispo County, Winter

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Single Family Housing	1.00	Dwelling Unit	0.32	1,195.00	3

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	3.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	44
Climate Zone	4			Operational Year	2022
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Com	ipany			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	488.3	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.022	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.005

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Assumes year 2022 operations. Construction does not apply. Land Use - Existing residential 1195sf floor area. Construction Phase - Const. does not apply. Off-road Equipment - . Trips and VMT - . Demolition - . Grading - . Vehicle Trips - Based on default trip-gen. Vechicle Emission Factors - Vehicle fleet mix based on model defaults (conservative). Vechicle Emission Factors -Vechicle Emission Factors -Energy Use - Includes RPS adjustment. Sequestration - . Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - . Area Mitigation - . Energy Mitigation -Water Mitigation - . Waste Mitigation - Assumes minimum 25% diversion rate per state requirements. Area Coating -

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	10.00	1.00
tblLandUse	LandUseSquareFeet	1,800.00	1,195.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	0.00
tblProjectCharacteristics	CH4IntensityFactor	0.029	0.022
tblProjectCharacteristics	CO2IntensityFactor	641.35	488.3
tblProjectCharacteristics	N2OIntensityFactor	0.006	0.005
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2022

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
2017	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
2017	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		lb/day											lb/d	day		
Area	0.0383	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.1516
Energy	1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416
Mobile	0.0323	0.0671	0.3031	7.5000e- 004	0.0544	1.0000e- 003	0.0554	0.0146	9.2000e- 004	0.0155		57.5535	57.5535	2.0300e- 003		57.5961
Total	0.0716	0.0770	0.3895	8.1000e- 004	0.0544	2.1800e- 003	0.0566	0.0146	2.1000e- 003	0.0167	0.0000	69.0745	69.0745	2.3900e- 003	2.1000e- 004	69.1893

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
Area	0.0383	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.1516
Energy	1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416
Mobile	0.0323	0.0671	0.3031	7.5000e- 004	0.0544	1.0000e- 003	0.0554	0.0146	9.2000e- 004	0.0155		57.5535	57.5535	2.0300e- 003		57.5961
Total	0.0716	0.0770	0.3895	8.1000e- 004	0.0544	2.1800e- 003	0.0566	0.0146	2.1000e- 003	0.0167	0.0000	69.0745	69.0745	2.3900e- 003	2.1000e- 004	69.1893

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2017	1/2/2017	5	1	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 0

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	0	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	0	1.00	255	0.40
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0	6.00	97	0.37

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Vehicle	Vendor	Hauling
	Count	Number	Number	Number	Length	Length	Length	Class	Vehicle Class	Vehicle Class
Demolition	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	day		
Fugitive Dust		1 1 1			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		1	0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/e	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000

3.2 Demolition - 2017

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
Mitigated	0.0323	0.0671	0.3031	7.5000e- 004	0.0544	1.0000e- 003	0.0554	0.0146	9.2000e- 004	0.0155		57.5535	57.5535	2.0300e- 003		57.5961
Unmitigated	0.0323	0.0671	0.3031	7.5000e- 004	0.0544	1.0000e- 003	0.0554	0.0146	9.2000e- 004	0.0155		57.5535	57.5535	2.0300e- 003		57.5961

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Aver	age Daily Trip Ra	ite	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Single Family Housing	9.57	10.08	8.77	24,217	24,217
Total	9.57	10.08	8.77	24,217	24,217

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Single Family Housing	13.00	5.00	5.00	35.80	21.00	43.20	86	11	3

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.454968	0.042327	0.214633	0.150226	0.067641	0.009835	0.017975	0.024142	0.002353	0.001408	0.008947	0.000814	0.004731

5.0 Energy Detail

Page 10 of 13

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/d	lay		
NaturalGas Mitigated	1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416
NaturalGas Unmitigated	1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Unmitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/e	lay		
Single Family Housing	96.6656	1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416
Total		1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/d	day							lb/d	day		
Single Family Housing	0.0966656	1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416
Total		1.0400e- 003	8.9100e- 003	3.7900e- 003	6.0000e- 005		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		7.2000e- 004	7.2000e- 004		11.3724	11.3724	2.2000e- 004	2.1000e- 004	11.4416

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/d	lay		
Mitigated	0.0383	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.1516
Unmitigated	0.0383	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	 	4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.1516

Page 12 of 13

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day								lb/day							
Architectural Coating	0.0102					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	0.0256					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	2.4900e- 003	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004		0.1516
Total	0.0383	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.1516

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day								lb/day							
Architectural Coating	0.0102					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	0.0256					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	2.4900e- 003	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004		0.1516
Total	0.0383	9.5000e- 004	0.0826	0.0000		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004		4.6000e- 004	4.6000e- 004	0.0000	0.1486	0.1486	1.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.1516

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

						,
Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Vegetation
Biological Report

for

Destino Paso

APN 025-436-029, -030

City of Paso Robles



Prepared for

Destino Paso 3350 Airport Road Paso Robles, CA 93446

by

ALTHOUSE AND MEADE, INC. BIOLOGICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES 1602 Spring Street Paso Robles, CA 93446 (805) 237-9626

June 2016

935.01

Table of Contents

Synops	sis		. iv
1.0	Intro	duction	1
1.1	Pro	ject Location and Description	1
1.2	Res	ponsible Parties	2
2.0	Meth	ods	2
3.0	Envi	ronmental Setting	4
3.1	Soil	ls	4
3.2	Hab	pitat Types	5
3.2	2.1	Irrigated pasture	5
3.2	2.2	Anthropogenic	6
3.2	2.3	Annual grassland	6
3.2	2.4	Blue oak woodland	6
3.2	2.5	Seasonal pond	6
3.2	2.6	Wetland	6
3.2	2.7	Riparian	7
4.0	Resu	lts	7
4.1	Spe	cial Status Plant Species	7
4.1	.1	Introduction to California rare plant ranks	7
4.1	.2	Introduction to CNDDB definitions	7
4.1	.3	Potential special status plant list	7
4.1	.4	Special status plants discussion	.14
4.2	Spe	cial Status Animal Species	15
4.2	2.1	Introduction to CNDDB definitions	.15
4.2	2.2	Potential special status animals list	.16
4.2	2.3	Special Status Animals Discussion	.20
4.3	Spe	cial Status Species Not Expected to Occur	21
4.4	Bot	anical Survey Results	21
4.5	Wil	dlife Survey Results	26
5.0	Poter	ntial Impacts to Biological Resources	30
5.1	Reg	gulatory Framework	30
5.1	.1	Federal Regulations	.30
5.1	.2	State Regulations	.31
5.2	Pote	ential Habitat Impacts	32
5.2	2.1	Annual grassland	.33
5.2	2.2	Wetland	.33
5.3	Pote	ential Oak Tree Impacts	33
5.4	Pote	ential Impacts to Common Wildlife	33

5.4.1	Nesting habitat	
5.4.2	Reduction of movement corridors	
5.4.3	Displacement and/or take	
5.5 Pot	tential Impacts to Special Status Species	
5.5.1	San Joaquin kit fox	
5.5.2	American badger	
5.5.3	Pallid bat	35
5.5.4	Burrowing owl	35
6.0 Reco	ommendations and Mitigations	
6.1 Hal	bitat Mitigations	
6.1.1	Annual grassland	35
6.1.2	Wetland	35
6.2 Cor	mmon Wildlife Mitigations	
6.2.1	Nesting habitat	35
6.3 Oal	k Tree Mitigations	
6.4 Spe	ecial Status Species Mitigations	
6.4.1	San Joaquin kit fox	
6.4.2	American badger	41
6.4.3	Pallid bat	41
6.4.4	Burrowing owl	41
7.0 Refe	erences	
Exhibit A -	Figures	
Exhibit B -	Photographs	52

List of Tables

TABLE 1. RESPONSIBLE PARTIES	2
TABLE 2. BIOLOGICAL SURVEYS	
TABLE 3. SPECIAL STATUS PLANT LIST	8
TABLE 4. SPECIAL STATUS ANIMAL LIST	17
TABLE 5. VASCULAR PLANT LIST	21
TABLE 6. WILDLIFE LIST	27
TABLE 7. POTENTIAL HABITAT IMPACTS	33

List of Figures

FIGURE 1.	LOCATION MAP	45
FIGURE 2.	AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH	46
FIGURE 3.	USDA SOILS MAP.	47
FIGURE 4.	CNDDB ANIMALS & USFWS CRITICAL HABITAT MAP	48
FIGURE 5.	CNDDB PLANTS MAP	49
FIGURE 6.	BIOLOGICAL CONSTRAINTS MAP	50
SITE PLAN	[51

Cover Page: View west at the proposed location of Hotel 3. March 17, 2016.

Synopsis

- This biological report provides information regarding botanical and zoological resources on a 40.36 acre Study Area (APN 025-436-029 and -030) located on Airport Road in the City of Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo County, California.
- The proposed project is development of a hotel complex consisting of three separate buildings and associated infrastructure.
- Habitat types identified and mapped in the Study Area consist of irrigated pasture, anthropogenic, annual grassland, blue oak woodland, seasonal pond, wetland, and riparian.
- Botanical surveys identified 155 species, subspecies and varieties of vascular plants in the Study Area. Appropriate habitat and soil conditions are present for 7 special status plants.
- Seven special status plants and eleven special status animals have the potential to occur on the property. No special status plants or animals were found on the property during our surveys.
- Wildlife species detected in the Study Area includes 2 invertebrates, 3 amphibians, 2 reptiles, 21 birds, and 6 mammals. Appropriate habitat and soil conditions are present on the property for 7 special status animal species. No state or federally listed animals have been detected in the Study Area
- Biological resources that could be impacted by the proposed development include grasslands, oak trees, nesting birds, and common wildlife. Mitigation measures are provided for each biological resource that could be impacted by the project.

1.0 Introduction

This report provides information regarding biological resources associated with a 40.36-acre site (Study Area) on Airport Road in the City of Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo County. Results are reported for botanical and wildlife surveys of the Study Area conducted in 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2016. A habitat inventory and results of database and literature searches for special status species reports within a nine 7.5-minute United States Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle search area of the Study Area are also included. Special status species that could occur in the Study Area or be affected by the proposed project are discussed, and lists of plant and animal species that were identified or are expected in the Study Area are provided.

We provide agencies and stakeholders with information regarding biological resources in the Study Area, and assess potential impacts to biological resources that could occur from the proposed project. An evaluation of the effect of the proposed project on biological resources is included, and mitigation measures are provided.

1.1 Project Location and Description

The Study Area is 40.36 acres located in the northeastern corner of the City of Paso Robles in San Luis Obispo County, California. The site is situated on the east side of Airport Road, north of Highway 46 East, in the Paso Robles United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5 minute quadrangle (Figure 1, Exhibit A). Approximate coordinates for the center of the Study Area are N35° 39' 5" / W120° 38' 13". Elevation varies from 720 to 825 feet above sea level.

The proposed project is a hotel development consisting of three separate buildings, roads, parking areas, and courtyards and swimming pools adjacent to hotel buildings. Landscaping includes the addition of trees to the property along roads and parking areas. The proposed development would encompass 8.4 acres of the 40 acre study area. A site plan is provided in Exhibit A.

1.2 Responsible Parties

TABLE 1.	RESPONSIBLE	PARTIES.	Contact	information	for	the	applicant/Owner,	Agent,	
Engineer, Biological Consultant, and Lead Agency, are provided.									

Applica	nt/Owner						
Karen Stier 4301 Valley Meadow Road Encino, CA 91436							
Architect	Engineer						
Michael Stanton Stanton Architecture 1501 Mariposa St., Suite 328 San Francisco, CA 94107 415-865-9600	North Coast Engineering 725 Creston Road, Suite B Paso Robles, CA 93446 805-239-3127 Contact: Larry Werner						
Biological Consultant	Lead Agency						
Althouse and Meade, Inc. 1875 Wellsona Road Paso Robles, CA 93446 805-467-1041 Contact: Daniel E. Meade	City of Paso Robles 1000 Spring Street Paso Robles, CA 93446 805-227-7276						

2.0 Methods

The Study Area was initially surveyed for biological resources in 2005, 2006 and 2007 by Althouse and Meade, Inc. biologists. Fieldwork conducted in March and April 2016 constitutes an update to the biological resources that occur within the Study Area (Table 1). Field work was conducted in 2016 by biologists Jason Dart, Monica Brick, and Matthew Beyers during daylight hours. Surveys were conducted throughout the property to compile species lists and search for rare plants and animals. Habitat types on the property were inspected, described, and mapped. All plant and animal species observed on the site were identified and recorded. Wildlife observations, including animal presence, nests, tracks, and sign, were documented. Birds were identified by sight (using 10 power binoculars) and vocalizations. Aquatic organisms were sampled using fine mesh dip nets.

Identification of botanical resources included field observations and laboratory analysis of collected material. Botanical surveys were conducted according to agency guidelines (USFWS 2000, California Department of Fish and Game [CDFG] 2009, and CNPS 2001) and were appropriately timed to identify a majority of the special status plant species known from the

region that have potential to occur in the Study Area. Botanical nomenclature used in this document follows the Jepson Manual, Second Edition (Baldwin et al. 2012).

Mapping efforts utilized hand notation on recent land survey and aerial photos. Maps were created using aerial photo interpretation, field notation, and GPS data imported to ArcGIS 10, a Geographic Information System (GIS) software program. Data were overlaid on a 2014 National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP) aerial of San Luis Obispo County (USDA 2014). Biological resource constraints were mapped in the field on site. Hand notation on field maps was incorporated into point and polygon layers and overlaid on high resolution aerial photographs.

We conducted a search of the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB March 17, 2016 data) and the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) On-line Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California for special status species known to occur in the nine USGS 7.5-minute quadrangles surrounding the Study Area: Creston, Templeton, Estrella, Ranchito Canyon, San Miguel, Bradley, Adelaida, York Mountain, and Paso Robles.

Special status species lists produced by database and literature searches were cross-referenced with the described habitat types in the Study Area to identify all potential special status species that could occur on or near the Study Area. Each special status species that could occur on or near the Study Area is individually discussed (see Sections 4.4 and 4.6).

Survey Date	Start Time Stop Time	Temp.	Wind	Weather Observations	Biologist(s)
11/17/05	0800 - 1100	65 °F	0-5 mph	Sunny	J. Dart
1/5/06	1530 - 1700	62 °F	0-5 mph	Sunny with high clouds	J. Dart
2/10/06	1500 - 1630	60 °F	0-5 mph	Mostly sunny, few clouds	J. Dart
2/27/06	1400 - 1530	60 °F	10-15 mph	Storm clouds, light rain	D. Meade J. Dart
3/30/06	1100 - 1400	58 °F	10-15 mph	Windy and stormy	J. Dart
5/2/06	0800 - 0930	57 °F	0-5 mph	Sunny	J. Dart
5/31/06	1300 - 1400	78 °F	5-10 mph	Sunny and warm	J. Dart M. Perry
7/31/06	1030 - 1130	88 °F	0-5 mph	Sunny and hot	J. Dart
8/29/07	1130 - 1300	95 °F	0 mph	Sunny and hot	D. Meade
3/1/16	10:05 - 10:40-	60 °F	0-5 mph	Sunny with high clouds	D. Meade
3/17/16	1130 - 1300	75 F	0-5 mph	Sunny and warm	M. Brick J. Dart
4/18/16	0845 - 1100	70 F	0-5 mph	Sunny and warm	M. Brick M. Beyers

TABLE 2. BIG	DLOGICAL SURVEYS.	Biological	survey date	s, times,	weather	observations,	and biologist(s)
are provided.		_					

3.0 Environmental Setting

The Study Area is situated on alluvial terraces on the east side of Huerhuero Creek in the northeastern corner of the City of Paso Robles. The eastern end of the site is on the terrace, and the western portion slopes down to include a small section of Huerhuero Creek, west of Airport Road. Most of the Study Area is annual grassland habitat. One existing residence with several detached outbuildings is located on the southwest corner of the site, with another residence and a large detached shop located at the northeast end of the site. Numerous large valley and blue oaks are scattered in the grassland habitat. Photographs of the Study Area are provided in Exhibit B.

Two drainages pass through the Study Area, each with a man-made seasonal stock pond. The main drainage flows northwest through the center of the site. Surface flows are seasonal, but standing water may be present into late spring or early summer. A smaller drainage meanders through the adjacent RV park and enters the Study Area from the south, terminating at large pond. The riparian canopy is open, consisting of blue and valley oaks. This pond is the larger of the two ponds on the site. A large cottonwood tree is in the center of the pond. Additionally, Huerhuero Creek passes through the northwest corner of the Study Area and an ephemeral drainage passes through the northeast corner. The smaller pond is located along the main drainage, east of the existing residence. An earthen dam occasionally breaches, spilling water through an irrigated pasture to a storm drain at Airport Road. During the 2016 surveys, no water was observed in the drainage or the smaller pond. The main drainage is shaded by a blue oak woodland canopy covering the north-facing slope and drainage bottom. The entire length of the drainage is approximately 0.5 mile, extending east of the Study Area into adjacent rangeland.

The main entrance road to the development and a large building pad were graded during the winter of 2005-06 and a residence was built.

3.1 Soils

The soils map in the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Survey of San Luis Obispo County, California, Paso Robles Area (1984) delineates four soil map units on the property (Figure 3): Arbuckle-Positas complex with 9 to 15 percent slopes (102), Arbuckle-Positas complex with 30 to 50 percent slopes (104), Arbuckle-San Ysidro complex with 2 to 9 percent slopes (106), and Xerofluvents-Riverwash association.

Soil map units typically encompass one or two dominant soils that cover more than 50 percent of the mapped area, and one to several soils that occur in small patches not differentiated in mapping at the 1 to 24,000 scale used for Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) soil maps. Due to the procedures followed in making a soil survey, users of soil survey data are cautioned that not all areas included within a soil survey are closely sampled using soil pits and site descriptions, and a specific site may not have been sampled at all. Therefore, care must be taken in drawing conclusions regarding site-specific soil resources based solely on NRCS soil survey work. Digitized spatial data from the Paso Robles Area are shown as an overlay of soil map units on an aerial photo of the region with the following caution from NRCS regarding maps: "Enlargement of these maps...could cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping. If enlarged, maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a larger scale."

The Arbuckle-Positas complex with 9 to 15 percent slopes (102) consists of approximately 40 percent Arbuckle fine sandy loam and 30 percent Positas coarse sandy loam. Both are very deep, well drained soils formed in alluvium from mixed rocks. The Arbuckle soil has moderately slow permeability and moderate to high available water capacity. The Positas soil has very slow permeability and moderate to high available water capacity. Also included in this map unit are areas of Greenfield fine sandy loam, Cropley clay, and Hanford fine sandy loam. This map unit occurs on flat areas on the west side of the property.

The Arbuckle-Positas complex with 30 to 50 percent slopes (104) consists of approximately 40 percent Arbuckle fine sandy loam and 30 percent Positas coarse sandy loam. These soil phases are very similar to Arbuckle-Positas soils on 9 to 15 percent slopes; they are very deep, well drained, and have a moderate to high available water capacity. Included in this complex in mapping are 15 percent Shimmon loam on north slopes, 10 percent soil similar to Positas coarse sandy loam except with a very gravelly sandy clay subsoil, and 5 percent small areas of Ayar silty clay, Balcom loam, Greenfield fine sandy loam, Linne Shaly clay loam, Nacimiento silty clay loam, and Badland. This map unit, with steeper slopes, encompasses the west facing slope in the north-half of the property, as well as the oak woodland drainage in the center of the property.

The Arbuckle-San Ysidro complex, with 2 to 9 percent slopes (106) consists of approximately 40 percent Arbuckle fine sandy loam and 20 percent San Ysidro loam. The Arbuckle soil is a very deep, well-drained soil formed in alluvium from mixed rocks. It has a moderately slow permeability and a moderate to high available water capacity. The San Ysidro soil is a very deep soil also formed in alluvium. It is moderately well drained, with very slow permeability and moderate to high available water capacity. Also included in this map unit are areas of Greenfield fine sandy loam, Hanford fine sandy loam, Cropley clay, Rincon clay loam, and Ryer clay loam. The Arbuckle-San Ysidro complex is found on the terrace at the northeast end of the property, supporting annual grassland habitat.

Xerofluvents-Riverwash association (212) consists of soils and barren areas on flood plains. The complex consists of approximately 50 percent xerofluvents and 30 percent riverwash. Xerofluvents occur on the flood plains and generally flood twice every four years. Riverwash is on barren areas in and along stream channels, flooding annually. Included in this map unit are areas of Elder loam, Metz loamy sand, and Tujunga fine sand. Xerofluvents-riverwash association occurs in Huerhuero Creek. The northwest property corner includes a small portion of this soil map unit.

3.2 Habitat Types

Seven habitat types occur in the Study Area: irrigated pasture, anthropogenic, annual grassland, blue oak woodland, seasonal pond, wetland, and riparian. Vernal pools were not observed on the property during our surveys.

3.2.1 Irrigated pasture

West of the existing residence is approximately 0.9 acres of irrigated pasture. The dominant grass species is Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*). Curly dock (*Rumex crispus*), dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*), and clover (*Trifolium* sp.) are also present. The pasture was formally heavily grazed, although no stock animals were present during our 2016 survey.

3.2.2 Anthropogenic

The residential area surrounding the existing home is landscaped with ornamental species and a lawn. A gravel driveway and detached sheds are also present. Plants growing in this area are weedy species typical of urban and rural areas. The main entrance road from Airport Road and new residence and shop are surrounded by annual grassland. This habitat type, covering approximately 5.4 acres of the property, is described as an anthropogenic habitat (a habitat shaped by human use).

3.2.3 Annual grassland

Annual grassland habitat occurs on ± 26.5 acres of the Study Area, encompassing flat terraces and moderate to steep slopes. The annual grassland is composed of non-native annual grass species, including soft chess brome (*Bromus hordeaceus*), wild oats (*Avena fatua, A. barbata*), foxtail barley (*Hordeum murinum*), annual fescue (*Vulpia myuros*), and nit grass (*Gastridium ventricosum*). Purple needlegrass (*Nassella pulchra*), a native bunchgrass, is present on steep slopes that have not been heavily grazed by cattle. Medusa-head (*Taeniatherum caput-medusae*) is an extremely invasive annual grass that occurs in small areas of the grassland habitat. Its long awns make it unpalatable by cattle. Typical forbs in the grassland habitat include vinegar weed (*Trichostema lanceolatum*), red maids (*Calandrinia ciliata*), dove weed (*Eremocarpus setigerus*), and Salinas tarplant (*Hemizonia pentactis*).

3.2.4 Blue oak woodland

Blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) woodland habitat occurs in the main drainage passing through the center of the property. The oaks are mostly on the north-facing slope and drainage bottom, with some trees occurring up the south-facing slope. The woodland canopy is contiguous, shading the creek and understory vegetation. The understory is composed entirely of grasses and herbaceous forbs such as melic grass (*Melica imperfecta*), elegant clarkia (*Clarkia unguiculata*), phlox-leaved bedstraw (*Galium andrewsii*), and golden stars (*Bloomeria crocea*). The shrub layer has been removed by heavy grazing pressure. The trees are similarly aged with few seedlings or younger trees. A small area of blue oak woodland is present in a swale originating in the far northeastern property corner, and in a separate swale at the southwest corner of the property. Oak trees on the property appear healthy, and provide foraging and nesting habitat for a variety of wildlife. Blue oak woodland comprises approximately six acres of the site.

3.2.5 Seasonal pond

A total of approximately 0.5 acre of seasonal pond habitat, comprised of two man-made stock ponds, is present in the Study Area. Both ponds are located in drainages and are created by earthen dams. Seasonal water is present in most years.

3.2.6 Wetland

Wetland conditions observed in the Study Area are associated with drainages and ponds and generally consist of seasonally moist areas supporting hydrophytic plant species such as annual beardgrass (*Polypogon monspeliensis*), Mexican rush (*Juncus mexicanus*), spikerush (*Eleocharis macrostachya, E. parishii*), toad rush (*Juncus bufonius*), loosestrife (*Lythrum hyssopifolium*) and others. A potential wetland area is indicated on Figure 6. A formal wetland delineation

conducted according to the standards of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers would determine whether this area is a jurisdictional wetland. The proposed project as currently designed would not affect potential wetland area, therefore a wetland delineation is not recommended.

3.2.7 Riparian

Riparian vegetation in the Study Area is very limited. The drainages are shaded by oak canopy with a few scattered willows in the main drainage. The property includes a small portion of the eastern shoreline of Huerhuero Creek, on the west side of Airport Road. Fremont cottonwood (*Populus fremontii*) trees are present, with an herbaceous assemblage of Mugwort (*Artemisia douglasiana*), California rose (*Rosa californica*), and other weedy species.

4.0 Results

4.1 Special Status Plant Species

4.1.1 Introduction to California rare plant ranks

Plant species are considered rare when their distribution is confined to localized areas, when there is a threat to their habitat, when they are declining in abundance, or when they are threatened in a portion of their range. The California Rare Plant Rank (CRPR) categories range from species with a low threat (CRPR 4) to species that are presumed extinct (CRPR 1A). The plants of CRPR 1B are rare throughout their range. All but a few species are endemic to California. All of them are judged to be vulnerable under present circumstances, or to have a high potential for becoming vulnerable.

4.1.2 Introduction to CNDDB definitions

"Special Plants" is a broad term used to refer to all the plant taxa inventoried by the CNDDB, regardless of their legal or protection status (CDFW 2016). Special plants include vascular plants and high priority bryophytes (mosses, liverworts, and hornworts).

4.1.3 Potential special status plant list

Table 3 lists the 39 special status plant species reported from the 9 quads surrounding the Study Area. Federal and California State status, and CNPS rank status for each species are given. Typical blooming period, habitat preference, potential habitat on-site, and whether or not the species was observed on the Study Area are also provided.

TABLE 3. SPECIAL STATUS PLANT LIST. The 39 special status plants reported from the region are listed. Potentially suitable habitat is present in the Study Area for seven special status plant species.

	Common and Scientific Names	Fed/State Status CRPR	Blooming Period	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat?	Detected Within Study Area?	Effect of Proposed Activity
1.	Bristlecone Fir Abies bracteata	None/None 1B.3	n/a	Lower montane coniferous forest. Rocky sites in Monterey and SLO Counties. 210-1600 m.	No. Appropriate habitat is not present.	No	No Effect
2.	Douglas' Fiddleneck Amsinckia douglasiana	None/None 4.2	March – June	Unstable shaly sedimentary slopes; (100) 150–1600 m. SCoR, w WTR	No. Appropriate habitat is not present.	No	No Effect
3.	Oval-leaved Snapdragon <i>Antirrhinum</i> <i>ovatum</i>	None/None 4.2	May - November	Heavy, adobe-clay soils on gentle, open slopes, also disturbed areas; 200-1000 m. s SnJV, s SCoRI	No. Recorded on the Chandler Ranch in 1991, but not reported there since. Appropriate soils not found on site.	No	No Effect
4.	Indian Valley Spineflower Aristocapsa insignis	None/None 1B.2	May - September	Foothill woodland; 300-600 m. SCoRI (Monterey, SLO Counties)	Yes. Appropriate gravelly substrates are present on slopes on the property.	No	No Effect
5.	Round-leaved Filaree California macrophylla	None/None 1B.2	March - May	Clay soils in cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland; 15- 1200 m. ScV, n SnJV, CW, SCo, n ChI	No. Appropriate clay soils are not present on the site.	No	No Effect
6.	La Panza Mariposa-lily Calochortus simulans	None/None 1B.3	April - May	Grassland, oak woodland & pine forest, on sand, granite, or serpentine; <1100 m. Endemic to SLO County	No. Appropriate soils are not present on the site.	No	No Effect
7.	Dwarf Calycadenia Calycadenia villosa	None/None 1B.1	May - October	Dry, rocky hills, ridges, in chaparral, woodland, meadows and seeps; <1100 m. c&s SCoRO	Yes. Appropriate gravelly substrates are present on slopes on the site.	No	No Effect

	Common and Scientific Names	Fed/State Status CRPR	Blooming Period	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat?	Detected Within Study Area?	Effect of Proposed Activity
8.	Santa Cruz Mountains Pussypaws Calyptridium parryi var. hesseae	None/None 1B.1	May – August	Sandy or gravelly openings in chaparral and cismontane woodland. 700-1100 m.	Unlikely. Potential habitat present, but outside the known range of the variety.	No	No Effect
9.	Hardham's Evening- primrose Camissoniopsis hardhamiae	None/None 1B.2	April - May	Decomposed carbonate soils, in chaparral, cismontane woodland. Monterey, SLO Counties	Unlikely. Appropriate carbonate soils are not present on site.	No	No Effect
10.	San Luis Obispo Owl's-clover Castilleja densiflora var. obispoensis	None/None 1B.2	April	Coastal grassland, <100 m. Endemic to SLO County.	Yes. Appropriate habitat is present on the site.	No	No Effect
11.	Lemmon's Jewelflower Caulanthus coulteri var. lemmonii	None/None 1B.2	March – May	Dry, exposed slopes, grassland, chaparral, scrub; 80-1100 m. sw SnJv, se SnFrb, e SCoRO, SCoRI	Yes. Appropriate gravelly soils are present on slopes on the site.	No	No Effect
12.	Santa Lucia Purple Amole Chlorogalum purpureum var. purpureum	FT/None 1B.1	April - June	Cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland, often with blue oaks. 300-330 m. Monterey, SLO Counties	Unlikely. Potentially suitable habitat is present, but the site is outside the known range for the variety.	No	No Effect
13.	Straight-awned Spineflower Chorizanthe rectispina	None/None 1B.3	May - July	Chaparral, dry woodland in sandy soil; 200-600 m. SCoRO	No. Appropriate soils are not present on the site.	No	No Effect
14.	Monkey-flower Savory Clinopodium mimuloides	None/None 4.2	June – October	Moist places, streambanks, chaparral, woodland; 400- 1800 m. CCo, SCoRO, WTR, SnGb	Unlikely. Moderately appropriate habitat may be present on the site.	No	No Effect

	Common and Scientific Names	Fed/State Status CRPR	Blooming Period	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat?	Detected Within Study Area?	Effect of Proposed Activity
15.	Small-flowered Morning-glory Convolvulus simulans	None/None 4.2	April - June	Clay substrates, occ serpentine, ann grassland, coastal-sage scrub, chaparral; 30-875 m.; s SNF, SnFrB, s SCoRO, Sco, ChI, WTR, PR; AZ, Baja CA.	No. Appropriate soils are not present on the site.	No	No Effect
16.	Umbrella Larkspur Delphinium umbraculorum	None/None 1B.3	April - June	Moist oak forest; 400-1600 m. SCoRO, WTR.	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the Property.	No	No Effect.
17.	Koch's Cord Moss Entosthodon kochii	None/None 1B.3	n/a	Cismontane woodland. Moss growing on soil;	No. Suitable habitat is not present.	No	No Effect
18.	Yellow-flowered Eriastrum Eriastrum luteum	None/None 1B.2	May – June	Bare sandy decomposed granite slopes in cismontane woodland, chaparral, forest; 360- 1000 m. SCoR, Monterey, SLO Counties	No. Appropriate soils are not present on the site.	No	No Effect
19.	Elegant Wild Buckwheat Eriogonum elegans	None/None 4.3	May – November	Sand or gravel; 200 – 1200 m. SnFrB, SCoR, WTR	Yes. Appropriate habitat is present in Huerhuero Creek.	No	No Effect
20.	Jepson's Woolly Sunflower Eriophyllum jepsonii	None/None 4.3	April – June	Dry oak woodland; 200-1000 m. SnFrB, SCoRI	Unlikely. Not known from San Luis Obispo County.	No	No Effect
21.	San Benito Poppy Eschscholzia hypecoides	None/None 4.3	March – June	Grassy area in woodland, chaparral; 200-1600 m. SCoRI	Unlikely. Potentially suitable habitat present, but no recent records from the Paso region.	No	No Effect
22.	Hogwallow Starfish Hesperevax caulescens	None/None 4.2	March - June	Clay soils, mesic sites in valley and foothill grassland; 0-505 m.	No. Appropriate soils are not present on the site.	No	No Effect

	Common and Scientific Names	Fed/State Status CRPR	Blooming Period	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat?	Detected Within Study Area?	Effect of Proposed Activity
23.	Mesa Horkelia Horkelia cuneata var. puberula	None/None 1B.1	February - September	Dry, sandy coastal chaparral; gen 70-700 m. SCoRO, SCo.	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
24.	Kellogg's Horkelia Horkelia cuneata var. sericea	None/None 1B.1	April - September	Old dunes, coastal sand hills; <200 m. CCo	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
25.	Santa Lucia Dwarf Rush Juncus luciensis	None/None 1B.2	April – July	Vernal pools, ephemeral drainages, wet meadow habitats, and streams; 300-1900 m. CaRH, n SNH, SCoRO, TR, PR, MP.	Yes. Suitable wetland habitat may be present on site.	No	No Effect
26.	Pale-yellow Layia Layia heterotricha	None/None 1B.1	March - June	Alkaline or clay soils, open areas, in pinyon-juniper woodland, grassland; 270-1705 m. Teh, SnJV, SCoR, n WTR	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
27.	Jared's Pepper- grass Lepidium jaredii ssp. jaredii	None/None 1B.2	March - May	Alkali bottoms, slopes, washes, <500 m. SCoRI, SnJV	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
28.	Davidson's Bush- mallow Malacothamnus davidsonii	None/None 1B.2	June - January	Sandy washes in coastal scrub, riparian woodland, chaparral; 180-855 m. c SCoRO, SCo	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
29.	Jones' Bush-mallow Malacothamnus jonesii	None/None 4.3	May - July	Open chaparral in foothill woodland; 250-830 m. SCoRO (Monterey, SLO Counties).	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
30.	Carmel Valley Malacothrix Malacothrix saxatilis var. arachnoidea	None/None 1B.2	March - December	Rock outcrops, steep rocky road cuts in chaparral; 25- 1215 m. Endemic to Monterey County	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect

	Common and Scientific Names	Fed/State Status CRPR	Blooming Period	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat?	Detected Within Study Area?	Effect of Proposed Activity
31.	Mt. Diablo Cottonweed Micropus amphibolus	None/None 3.2	March - May	Bare, grassy, or rocky slopes; 50-800 m. NCoR, SnFrB, s SCoRO	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
32.	Woodland Woolythreads Monolopia gracilens	None/None 1B.2	March – July	Chaparral, serpentine grassland, cismontane woodland, sandy to rocky soils; SnFrB, SCoR	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
33.	Spreading Navarretia <i>Navarretia</i> <i>fossalis</i>	FT/None 1B.1	April - June	Chenopod scrub, marshes and swamps, playas, and vernal pools; 30-1300m. SCoRO, SCo, to Baja Cal.	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
34.	Shining Navarretia Navarretia nigelliformis ssp. radians	None/None 1B.2	May - July	Vernal pools, clay depressions, dry grasslands; 150-1000 m. SCoR	Yes. Potentially suitable habitat is present on site	No	No Effect
35.	Prostrate Vernal Pool Navarretia Navarretia prostrata	None/None 1B.1	April - June	Vernal pools or alkaline soils in grasslands; 15-700 m. w SnJV, SCoRI, c SCo, PR	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
36.	Large-flowered Nemacladus Nemacladus secundiflorus var. secundiflorus	None/None 4.3	April – May	Dry, gravelly slopes; 200-2000 m. s SNH, SCoR	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
37.	Hooked Popcornflower Plagiobothrys uncinatus	None/None 1B.2	April - May	Canyon sides, chaparral; on sandstone 300-600 m. n SCoR (Gabilan Range, Santa Lucia Mountains)	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
38.	San Gabriel Ragwort Senecio astephanus	None/None 4.3	January - April	Drying alkaline flats, chaparral, cismontane woodland, coastal scrub; <400 m. CW, SCo, ChI	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect

12

	Common and Scientific Names	Fed/State Status CRPR	Blooming Period	Habitat Preference		Potential Habitat?	Detected Within Study Area?	Effect of Proposed Activity
39.	Santa Cruz Microseris Stebbinsoseris decipiens	None/None 1B.2	April - May	Open areas in loose soil derived from sandstone, shale, or serpentine; 10-500 m. n & c CCo		No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
Acception Abbreviations: California Geographic Subregion Abbreviations: CCo: Central Coast SnFrB: San Francisco Bay SCo: South Coast TR: Transverse Ranges SCoR: South Coast Ranges WTR: Western Transverse Ranges SCoRO: Outer South Coast Ranges SnJV: San Joaquin Valley SCoRI: Inner South Coast Ranges ScV: Sacramento Valley			Ranges	SLO: San Luis Ob SN: Sierra Nevada SnJt: San Jacinto M SnBr: San Bernardi Teh: Tehachapi Mt	spo CW: Central West SW: South West Itns DMoj: Mojave Desert no PR: Peninsular Range n Area			
State/Rank Abbreviations:FE: Federally EndangeredPT: Proposed FederallyFT: Federally ThreatenedCE: California EndangePE: Proposed Federally EndangeredCR: California Rare				Federally Threat Endangered Rare	rened	CT: California Threater Cand. CE: Candidate fo Cand. CT: Candidate fo	ned or California Er or California Th	idangered ireatened
California Rare Plant Ranks: CRPR 1A: Plants presumed extirpated in California and either rare or extinct elsewhere CRPR 1B: Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California and elsewhere CRPR 2A: Plants presumed extirpated in California, but common elsewhere								

CRPR 2B: Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California, but more common elsewhere CRPR 4: Plants of limited distribution - a watch list

CRPR Threat Ranks:

0.1 - Seriously threatened in California (over 80% of occurrences threatened / high degree and immediacy of threat)

0.2 - Moderately threatened in California (20-80% occurrences threatened / moderate degree and immediacy of threat)

0.3 - Not very threatened in California (less than 20% of occurrences threatened / low degree and immediacy of threat or no current threats known)

4.1.4 Special status plants discussion

There are seven special status plant species that could potentially occur in the Study Area based on an analysis of known ecological requirements of these species and the habitat conditions that were observed in the Study Area. We discuss each species and describe habitat, range restrictions, known occurrences, and survey results for the Study Area.

- A. Indian Valley Spineflower (*Aristocapsa insignis*) is a CRPR 1B.2 species that is endemic to Monterey and San Luis Obispo Counties. The CNDDB contains records of 4 documented localities for this species; two in Monterey County and two in San Luis Obispo County. The closest occurrence is in San Miguel (CNDDB 3), approximately 10 miles northwest of the Study Area. Moderately appropriate soils are present in the Study Area for this species, specifically on a drainage slope south of the proposed development. No suitable soil and vegetation conditions are present in or near the project footprint. Indian Valley spineflower was not found in the Study Area during surveys from 2005-2016.
- **B.** Dwarf Calycadenia (*Calycadenia villosa*) is a CNPS list 1B.1 species. The species is known from dry, rocky hills and gravelly outwashes in Monterey, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Fresno, and Kern Counties. The CNPS considers this species to be seriously endangered. Occurrences in the CNDDB for San Luis Obispo and Monterey Counties include the vicinity of Nacimiento and San Antonio Lakes, north to Jolon, with scattered occurrences in Parkfield to the east and in La Panza District, east of Santa Margarita. The closest reported occurrence to the Study Area is approximately eight miles northwest, on Camp Roberts in the Adelaida quadrangle (CNDDB 59). Moderately appropriate soils are present in the Study Area for this species, specifically on a drainage slope south of the proposed development. No suitable soil and vegetation conditions are present in or near the project footprint. Dwarf calycadenia was not found in the Study Area during surveys from 2005-2016.
- C. Obispo Indian Paintbrush (*Castilleja densiflora* ssp. *obispoensis*) is a CNPS List 1B.2 subspecies known only from San Luis Obispo County. It is an annual wildflower that occurs in coastal grasslands in sandy or clay soils, where it blooms in March and April. It is not generally known from inland areas, however there are recent reports from the Paso Robles region (CNDDB Occurrences 36, 37, 42, and 70). The closest reported occurrence is from 0.6 miles north of the Study Area near the intersection of Airport Road and Dry Creek Road (Occ. 42). Appropriate habitat is present in the project areas for this rare subspecies. Reference sites were visited for this species in April 2016 where it was observed in bloom. Obispo Indian paintbrush was not found in the Study Area during floristic surveys in 2016, or during previous botanical surveys of the site.
- **D. Lemmon's Jewel-flower** (*Caulanthus coulteri* var. *lemmonii*) is a CNPS list 1B.2 subspecies that grows on dry, exposed slopes in the Coast Ranges where it blooms from February to April. Numerous historical collection records are from the Paso Robles area. The nearest recent record (CNDDB 44) is approximately 14 miles northwest of the Study Area. Moderately appropriate habitat is present on south-facing slopes within the Study Area, specifically on a drainage slope south of the proposed development. No suitable soil and vegetation conditions are present in or near the project footprint. A reference site for Lemmon's jewel-flower was visited in on Davis Road east of Shandon in March 2016

where it was observed in bloom. Lemmon's jewel-flower was not found in the Study Area during floristic surveys in 2016, or during previous botanical surveys of the site.

- **E. Elegant Wild Buckwheat** (*Eriogonum elegans*) is a CRPR 4.3 annual species occurring in sandy or gravelly soil in cismontane woodlands and valley and foothill grasslands. It is known from numerous collection records in the Salinas River and elsewhere in the region. Potentially suitable habitat is present in Huerhuero Creek, across Airport Road from the proposed development sites. Elegant wild buckwheat was not detected in the Study Area during our botanical surveys conducted from 2005-2016.
- F. Santa Lucia Dwarf Rush (Juncus luciensis) is a CRPR 1B.2 species known from specimens collected in coastal counties from San Diego north to Monterey, and from scattered localities in northern California. It is a very small annual plant that grows in wet sandy soils in a variety of seasonally moist environments. It is cespitose, with small leaves and branches arising from the base, and rarely exceeds two inches in height. The closest reported occurrence to the Study Area is approximately four miles south, from damp grain fields six miles east of Paso Robles on Creston Road (CNDDB 8). Potentially suitable wetland habitat occurs in the northeast corner of the Study Area at the head of a small ephemeral drainage. Botanical surveys identified toad rush (Juncus bufonius), a common and widespread species, at this site. Like Santa Lucia dwarf rush, toad rush is a small annual rush, but it differs, in part, by having solitary flowers at nodes each with six stamens, instead of a terminal flower with two to three stamens. Santa Lucia dwarf rush was not found in the Study Area during floristic surveys in 2016, or during previous botanical surveys of the site.
- **G. Shining Navarretia** (*Navarretia nigelliformis* ssp. *radians*) is a CNPS List 1B.2 subspecies known from vernal pools, valley and foothill grassland, and cismontane woodland habitats in Fresno, Merced, Monterey, San Benito, and San Luis Obispo Counties, where it typically blooms from April to June. There are numerous occurrences of shining navarretia within one mile of the Study Area. Occurrence 68, located approximately 1.2 miles south of the Study Area, is from similar soil type as is present on site. Surveys conducted in May 2006 documented two species of navarretia in the Study Area, *N. atractyloides* and *N. pubescens*, both common species. The rare *N. nigelliformis* ssp. *radians* is known to occur with *N. pubescens* in the Paso Robles area. Shining navarretia was not found in the Study Area during surveys from 2005-2016. Shinning navarretia was in bloom in April 2016, at a reference site near the project.

4.2 Special Status Animal Species

4.2.1 Introduction to CNDDB definitions

"Special Animals" is a general term that refers to all of the animal taxa inventoried by the CNDDB, regardless of their legal or protection status (CDFW 2016). The Special Animals list is also referred to by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) as the list of "species at risk" or "special status species". These taxa may be listed or proposed for listing under the California and/or Federal Endangered Species Acts, but they may also be species deemed biologically rare, restricted in range, declining in abundance, or otherwise vulnerable.

Each species included on the Special Animals list has a corresponding Global and State Rank (refer to Table 4). This ranking system utilizes a numbered hierarchy from one to five following the Global (G-rank) or State (S-rank) category. The threat level of the organism decreases with an increase in the rank number (1=Critically Imperiled, 5=Secure). In some cases where an uncertainty exists in the designation, a question mark (?) is placed after the rank. More information is available at www.natureserve.org.

Animals listed as California Species of Special Concern (SSC) may or may not be listed under California or Federal Endangered Species Acts. They are considered rare or declining in abundance in California. The Special Concern designation is intended to provide the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, biologists, land planners and managers with lists of species that require special consideration during the planning process in order to avert continued population declines and potential costly listing under federal and state endangered species laws. For many species of birds, the primary emphasis is on the breeding population in California. For some species that do not breed in California but winter here, emphasis is on wintering range. The SSC designation thus may include a comment regarding the specific protection provided such as nesting or wintering.

Animals listed as Fully Protected are those species considered by CDFW as rare or faced with possible extinction. Most, but not all, have subsequently been listed under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) or the Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA). Fully Protected species may not be taken or possessed at any time and no provision of the California Fish and Game code authorizes the issuance of permits or licenses to take any Fully Protected species.

4.2.2 Potential special status animals list

Table 4 lists 19 special status animal species reported from the region. Federal and California State status, global and State rank, and CDFW listing status for each species are given. Typical nesting or breeding period, habitat preference, potential habitat on site, and whether or not the species was observed on the Study Area are also provided.

TABLE 4. SPECIAL STATUS ANIMAL LIST. The 19 special status animals known or reported from the region are listed. There are 7 special status animals that could potentially occur within the Study Area based on review of preferred habitat types.

	Common and Scientific Names	Fed/CA ESA Status CDFW Status	Nesting/ Breeding Period	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat?	Detected Within Study Area?	Effect of Proposed Activity
1.	Western Pond Turtle Actinemys marmorata pallida	None/None SSC	April - August	Permanent or semi-permanent streams, ponds, lakes.	No. Appropriate aquatic habitat is not present on site.	No	No Effect
2.	Tricolored Blackbird Agelaius tricolor	None/None SSC (nesting colonies)	March 15 - August 15	Requires open water, protected nesting substrate, & foraging area with insect prey near nesting colony.	No. Appropriate nesting habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
3.	Silvery Legless Lizard Anniella pulchra pulchra	None/None SSC	May - September	Sandy or loose loamy soils under coastal scrub or oak trees. Soil moisture essential.	Yes. Potential habitat is present in oak woodland habitat on the site.	No	No Effect
4.	Pallid Bat Antrozous pallidus	None/None SSC	Spring - Summer	Rock crevices, caves, tree hollows, mines, old buildings, and bridges.	Yes. Potential roosting habitat is present in oak trees on the site.	No	Potentially Adverse Effect Can Be Mitigated
5.	Golden Eagle Aquila chrysaetos	None/None FP	March 15 - August 15	Nests in large, prominent trees in valley and foothill woodland. Requires adjacent food source.	No. Appropriate trees for nesting are not present on the site. Eagles could forage in grasslands.	No	No Effect
6.	Burrowing Owl Athene cunicularia	None/None SSC	February 1 through August 31	Burrows in squirrel holes in open habitats with low vegetation.	Yes. Moderately appropriate habitat is present on the site, but burrowing owls are not known to nest in the Paso area.	No	Potentially Adverse Effect Can Be Mitigated
7.	Vernal Pool Fairy Shrimp Branchinecta lynchi	FT/None None	Rainy Season	Clear water sandstone depression pools, grassed swale, earth slump, or basalt flow depression pools.	Yes. Potential habitat is present in seasonal ponds on the site.	No	No Effect

	Common and Scientific Names	Fed/CA ESA Status CDFW Status	Nesting/ Breeding Period	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat?	Detected Within Study Area?	Effect of Proposed Activity
8.	Townsend's Big- eared Bat Corynorhinus townsendii	None/Cand. CT SSC	Spring - Summer	Caves, buildings, and mine tunnels. Cave like attics as day roosts. On coast roosts are normally within 100 m. of creeks.	No. Suitable roosting habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
9.	Bald Eagle Haliaeetus leucocephalus	None/CE FP	March 15 - August 15	Nests within 1 mile of water in tall live tree with open branches.	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
10.	San Joaquin Whipsnake Masticophis flagellum ruddocki	None/None SSC	May	Open, dry, treeless areas, including grasslands and saltbush scrub; takes refuge in burrows and under shaded vegetation	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
11.	Monterey Dusky- footed Woodrat Neotoma macrotis luciana	None/None SSC	n/a	Variety of habitats with moderate to dense understory vegetation	No. Appropriate dense woodland habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
12.	Salinas Pocket Mouse Perognathus inornatus psammophilus	None/None SSC	n/a	Annual grassland and desert shrub in Salinas Valley, with friable soils	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
13.	Coast Horned Lizard Phrynosoma blainvillii	None/None SSC	May - September	Frequents a wide variety of habitats, most common in lowlands along sandy washes with scattered low bushes.	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
14.	California Red- legged Frog <i>Rana draytonii</i>	FT/None SSC	January - March	Lowlands and foothills in or near sources of deep water with dense, shrubby or emergent riparian vegetation.	No. Lack of suitable aquatic habitat and known records for the area.	No	No Effect
15.	Western Spadefoot Toad Spea hammondii	None/none SSC	January – August	Vernal pools in grassland and woodland habitats	Yes. Seasonal ponds on site may provide appropriate breeding habitat.	No	No Effect

	Common and Scientific Names	Fed/CA ESA Status CDFW Status	Nesting/ Breeding Period	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat?	Detected Within Study Area?	Effect of Proposed Activity
16.	Coast Range Newt Taricha torosa	None/None SSC	December - May	Slow moving streams, ponds, and lakes with surrounding evergreen/oak forests along coast.	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on site.	No	No Effect
17.	American Badger Taxidea taxus	None/none SSC	February – May	Needs friable soils in open ground with abundant food source such as California ground squirrels.	Yes. Grasslands on the site could be used by badgers.	No	Potentially Adverse Effect Can Be Mitigated
18.	Least Bell's Vireo Vireo bellii pusillus	FE/CE (nesting) None	March 15 - August 15	Riparian habitat, near water or dry streambed, <2000 ft. Nests in willows, mesquite, Baccharis.	No. Appropriate habitat is not present on the site.	No	No Effect
19.	San Joaquin Kit Fox Vulpes macrotis mutica	FE/CT None	December – July	Annual grasslands or grassy open stages with scattered shrubby vegetation. Needs loose textured sandy soil and prey base.	Yes. Grasslands on the site could be used by kit fox.	No	Potentially Adverse Effect Can Be Mitigated

Habitat characteristics are from the Jepson Manual and the CDNNB.

Abbreviations:

FE: Federally Endangered FT: Federally Threatened

PE: Proposed Federally Endangered PT: Proposed Federally Threatened

CE: California Endangered CT: California Threatened Cand. CE: Candidate for California Endangered Cand. CT: Candidate for California Threatened

SSC: CDFW Species of Special Concern FP: CDFW Fully-Protected

4.2.3 Special Status Animals Discussion

Eight special status animal species could potentially occur in the Study Area. No special status animals were observed on the site during our site surveys in 2016. Reconnaissance surveys of aquatic habitats were conducted in February, March, and May 2006. Protocol surveys for listed vernal pool species were not conducted.

- A. Silvery legless lizard (Anniella pulchra pulchra) is a California Species of Special Concern that inhabits friable soils in a variety of habitats from coastal dunes to oak woodlands and chaparral. Legless lizards are known from the Paso Robles area, including the Chandler Ranch and Vina Robles Amphitheatre, where they were found in dry blue oak woodland habitat (Althouse and Meade, Inc. unpublished field notes). Appropriate habitat for silvery legless lizard is present in oak woodland habitat in the Study Area.
- **B.** Pallid Bat (*Antrozous pallidus*) is a California Species of Special Concern. Pallid bat is a large long-eared bat occurring throughout the state from deserts to moist forests. *A. pallidus* is primarily a crevice roosting species that selects roosts where it can retreat from view. Pallid bats frequently occur in oak woodlands where they may roost in tree cavities and rock outcrops. Attics may be used as roosts. Appropriate habitat for this species is found in oak trees within the Study Area, as well as unoccupied buildings.
- **C. Burrowing Owl** (*Athene cunicularia*) is a rare owl that nests and lives in mammal burrows in the ground in open habitats, most notably the burrows of California ground squirrel. It is a common resident in local areas of the interior, from Bitterwater Valley to the Carrizo Plain. Less frequent reports are from coastal grasslands. There are no reports in the CNDDB for burrowing owl in the immediate vicinity of the Study Area, however appropriate habitat is present, and transient owls could use the site on occasion. Burrowing owls or their sign were not observed in the Study Area during biological surveys in 2016, or during previous survey efforts.
- **D. Vernal Pool Fairy Shrimp** (*Branchinecta lynchi*) is a federally listed threatened species known from the vicinity of the subject property. Occurrence [#]287 and [#]380 in the CNDDB are from vernal pools less than half a mile southeast of the property. Two seasonal ponds are located in drainages in the Study Area that could support vernal pool fairy shrimp. No other seasonal pools were observed in the Study Area.
- **E. Western Spadefoot Toad** (*Spea hammondii*) is a California Species of Special Concern that breeds in ephemeral pools in open grassland habitats across the interior region of San Luis Obispo County. Spadefoot toads remain underground for most of the year, emerging to breed in seasonal wetland pools during the rainy season. Development of the larvae from egg to metamorphosis can be very quick when water temperatures are warm. Spadefoot toads are known to breed in seasonal pools in the vicinity Highway 46 and Airport Road, and along Huerhuero Road between Airport Road and the Salinas River. Appropriate breeding habitat for spadefoot toad is found in the seasonal ponds on the property. Aquatic sampling of the stock ponds conducted in February, March, and May 2006 did not find spadefoot toads, larvae, or egg masses.
- F. American Badger (Taxidea taxus) is a California Species of Special Concern known from open grassland habitats throughout San Luis Obispo County and elsewhere in

California. Appropriate habitat for badger is found on the property. No dens or other sign of badgers were observed on the property during our site surveys

G. San Joaquin Kit Fox (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*) is a federally listed endangered species and a state listed threatened species. They occur in the Carrizo Plain, Bitterwater Valley, Cholame Valley and historically at Camp Roberts, with transient individuals known to move between the populations. The last sighting in Camp Roberts was in 2007, and that population is presumed to be locally extinct. The last report of San Joaquin kit fox within three miles of the Study Area was from Chandler Ranch in 1991. Grassland habitat on the site is suitable for San Joaquin kit fox.

4.3 Special Status Species Not Expected to Occur

The remaining 12 sensitive species known to be present in the vicinity of the project site are not expected to occur on the property due to the absence of required soil type, lack of appropriate habitat, or because the project site is substantially outside the known range of the species.

4.4 Botanical Survey Results

The 155 species of plants identified in the Study Area consist of 95 native species and 60 introduced species (Table 5). No special status species were identified during floristic surveys conducted in the spring of 2016, or during previous botanical surveys of the site.

TABLE 5. VASCULAR PLANT LIST. The 155 species of vascular plants identified at the Study Area consist of 95 native species and 60 introduced species. The vascular plant list is separated into general life form categories, within which the taxa are listed alphabetically by family and scientific name.

Scientific Name	Scientific Name Special Status		Common Name					
Ferns – 1 Species								
Pentagramma triangularis ssp. triangularis	None Native		Goldback fern					
Trees - 12 Species								
Ailanthus altissima	None	Introduced	Tree of heaven					
Juniperus sp.	None	Planted	Juniper					
Olea europaea	None	Planted	Olive					
Pinus radiata	None	Planted	Monterey pine					
Populus fremontii ssp. fremontii	None	Native	Fremont cottonwood					
Pyrus calleryana	None	Planted	Callery pear					
Quercus douglasii	None	Native	Blue oak					
Quercus lobata	None	Native	Valley Oak					
<i>Robinia</i> sp.	None	Planted	Locust tree					

Scientific Name	Special Status	Origin	Common Name
Salix laevigata	None	Native	Red willow
Salix lasiolepis	None	Native	Willow
Ulmus sp.	None	Planted	Elm
	Shrubs -	5 Species	
Baccharis pilularis	None	Native	Coyote brush
Baccharis salicifolius	None	Native	Mule fat
Lonicera sp.	None	Native	Honeysuckle
Rosa californica	None	Native	California Rose
Rosmarinus officinalis	None	Planted	Rosemary
	Herbs - 11	7 Species	
Achyrachaena mollis	None	Native	Blow wives
Acmispon americanus var. americanus [=Lotus purshianus var. purshianus]	None	Native	Spanish clover
Acmispon brachycarpus [=Lotus humistratus]	None	Native	Bird-foot lotus, hill lotus
Agoseris heterophylla	None	Native	Annual mountain dandelion
Ambrosia psilostachya	None	Native	Western ragweed
Amsinckia menziesii	None	Native	Rancher's fireweed
Anthriscus caucalis	None	Introduced	Bur-chevil
Artemisia douglasiana	None	Native	Mugwort
Asclepias eriocarpa	None	Native	Indian milkweed
Asclepias fascicularis	None	Native	Narrow-leaved milkweed
Bloomeria crocea	None	Native	Golden stars
Bowlesia incana	None	Native	Hoary bowlesia
Brassica nigra	None	Introduced	Black mustard
Calandrinia ciliata	None	Native	Red maids
Calochortus venustus	None	Native	Butterfly mariposa lily
Capsella bursa-pastoris	None	Introduced	Shepherd's purse
Cardamine californica	None	Native	Milk maids
Carduus pycnocephalus	None	Introduced	Italian thistle
Castilleja attenuata	None	Native	Slender owl's clover
Centaurea melitensis	None	Introduced	Tocalote
Centaurea solstitialis	None	Introduced	Yellow star thistle
Centaurium davyi	None	Native	Centaury
Centromadia fitchii	None	Native	Fitch's tarweed

Scientific Name	Special Status	Origin	Common Name
Cerastium glomeratum	None	Introduced	Mouse-ear chickweed
Chamomilla suaveolens	None	Introduced	Pineapple weed
Chenopodium californicum	None	Introduced	California goosefoot
Chlorogalum pomeridianum var. pomeridianum	None	Native	Amole lily
Chorizanthe membranacea	None	Native	Pink spineflower
Chorizanthe staticoides	None	Native	Turkish rugging
Cirsium vulgare	None	Introduced	Bull thistle
Clarkia purpurea ssp. purpurea	None	Native	Wine cups
Clarkia speciosa ssp. speciosa	None	Native	Clarkia
Clarkia unguiculata	None	Native	Elegant clarkia
Claytonia parviflora ssp. parviflora	None	Native	Narrow leaved miner's lettuce
Claytonia perfoliata ssp. perfoliata	None	Native	Miner's lettuce
Crassula tillea	None	Introduced	Moss pygmyweed
Croton [=Eremocarpus] setigerus	None	Native	Turkey-mullein, dove weed
Deinandra kelloggii	None	Native	Kellogg's tarweed
Deinandra pentactis	None	Native	Salinas tarweed
Dichelostemma capitatum	None	Native	Bluedicks
Eleocharis macrostachya	None	Native	Common spikerush
Eleocharis parishii	None	Native	Parish's spikerush
<i>Epilobium</i> sp.	None	Native	Willow-herb
Erigeron foliosus var. foliosus	None	Native	Leafy daisy
Eriogonum nudum	None	Native	Naked buckwheat
Erodium botrys	None	Introduced	Storksbill filaree
Erodium cicutarium	None	Introduced	Redstem filaree
Erodium moschatum	None	Introduced	Greenstem filaree
Eryngium vaseyi var. vaseyi	None	Native	Coyote thistle
Eschscholzia californica	None	Native	California poppy
Filago gallica	None	Introduced	Herba impia
Galium andrewsii	None	Native	Phlox-leaved bedstraw
Galium aparine	None	Native	Goose grass
Gilia clivorum	None	Native	Blue-spot gilia
Gnaphalium palustre	None	Native	Marsh cudweed
Gnaphalium purpureum	None	Native	Everlasting
Heterotheca grandiflora	None	Introduced	Telegraph weed
Hirschfeldia incana	None	Introduced	Summer mustard

Scientific Name	Special Status	Origin	Common Name
Hypochaeris glabra	None	Introduced	Smooth cat's-ear
Juncus bufonius	None	Native	Toadrush
Juncus mexicanus	None	Native	Mexican rush
Lactuca serriola	None	Introduced	Prickly lettuce
Lagophylla ramosissima ssp. ramosissima	None	Native	Slender hareleaf
<i>Lemna</i> sp.	None	Native	Duckweed
Lepidium nitidum	None	Native	Pepperwort
Lomatium caruifolium	None	Native	Alkali parsnip
Lupinus bicolor	None	Native	Miniature lupine
Lupinus microcarpus	None	Native	Chick lupine
Lupinus succulentus	None	Native	Arroyo lupine
Lythrum hyssopifolium	None	Introduced	Loosestrife
Malva nicaeensis	None	Introduced	Bull mallow
Malva parviflora	None	Introduced	Cheeseweed
Meconella linearis	None	Native	Meconella
Medicago polymorpha	None	Introduced	Common bur-clover
Micropus californicus	None	Native	Slender cottonweed
Microseris douglasii ssp. douglasii	None	Native	Douglas' silverpuffs
Microseris douglasii ssp. tenella	None	Native	Short scaled micorseris
Montia fontana	None	Native	Water chickweed
Navarretia atractyloides	None	Native	Navarretia
Navarretia pubescens	None	Native	Pubescent navarretia
Nicotiana acuminata var. multiflora	None	Introduced	Tobacco
Phoradendron macrophyllum	None	Native	Big leaf mistletoe
Phoradendron villosum	None	Native	Oak mistletoe
Plagiobothrys bracteatus	None	Native	Popcorn flower
Plagiobothrys nothofulvus	None	Native	Popcorn flower
Plantago erecta	None	Native	California plantain
Plantago lanceolata	None	Introduced	English plantain
Polygonum arenastrum	None	Introduced	Common knotweed
Ranunculus californicus	None	Native	California buttercup
Ranunculus hebecarpus	None	Native	Annual buttercup
Rumex crispus	None	Introduced	Curly dock
Salsola tragus	None	Introduced	Russian thistle
Sanicula bipinnata	None	Native	Poison sanicle

Scientific Name	Special Status	Origin	Common Name
Sanicula crassicaulis	None	Native	Sanicle
Selaginella bigelovii	None	Native	Spike-moss
Senecio vulgaris	None	Introduced	Common groundsel
Silene gallica	None	Introduced	Windmill pink
Sonchus oleraceus	None	Introduced	Common sow thistle
Spergula arvensis	None	Introduced	Stickwort
Spergularia rubra	None	Introduced	Sand spurrey
Stellaria media	None	Native	Chickweed
Taraxacum officinale	None	Introduced	Dandelion
Thysanocarpus curvipes	None	Native	Lace pod
Trichostema lanceolatum	None	Native	Vinegar weed
Trifolium albopurpureum	None	Native	Dove clover
Trifolium hirtum	None	Native	Rose clover
Trifolium microcephalum	None	Native	Small-head clover
Trifolium oliganthum	None	Native	Few-flowered clover
Trifolium wormskioldii	None	Native	Marsh clover
Tropidocarpum gracile	None	Native	Doobie pod
Uropappus lindleyi	None	Native	Silver puffs
Verbena lasiostachys	None	Native	Verbena
Veronica anagallis-aquatica	None	Native	Water speedwell
Veronica peregrina	None	Native	Neckweed
Veronica persica	None	Introduced	Persian speedwell
Vicia villosa	None	Introduced	Winter vetch
Viola pedunculata	None	Native	Johnny jump-up
	Grasses - 2	20 Species	
Avena barbata	None	Introduced	Slender wild oat
Avena fatua	None	Introduced	Wild oat
Bromus diandrus	None	Introduced	Ripgut brome
Bromus hordeaceus	None	Introduced	Soft chess brome
Bromus madritensis ssp. rubens	None	Introduced	Redtop brome
Bromus sp.	None	Introduced	Brome
Crypsis schoenoides	None	Introduced	Swamp grass
Cynodon dactylon	None	Introduced	Bermuda grass
Distichlis spicata	None	Native	Salt grass
Festuca myuros	None	Introduced	Annual fescue

Scientific Name	Special Status	Origin	Common Name
Festuca perennis	None	Introduced	Italian rye grass
Gastridium ventricosum	None	Introduced	Nit grass
Hordeum marinum	None	Introduced	Seaside barley
Hordeum murinum	None	Introduced	Foxtail barley
Melica harfordii	None	Native	Harford melic
Nassella pulchra	None	Native	Purple needlegrass
Poa annua	None	Introduced	Annual bluegrass
Poa secunda	None	Introduced	Nevada blue grass
Polypogon monspeliensis	None	Introduced	Annual beard grass
Taeniatherum caput-medusae	None	Introduced	Medusa-head

4.5 Wildlife Survey Results

Many wildlife species commonly found in cismontane habitats of California's central coast are expected to occur on or near the Study Area. The grassland habitat provides foraging habitat for raptors and predators, including red-tail hawk, red-shouldered hawk, American kestrel, red fox, coyote, badger, and bobcat. Reptiles and amphibians are present in all habitats on the site, and include gopher snake, king snake, Western fence lizard, Pacific chorus frog, and black-bellied slender salamander. Raccoon, opossum, and striped skunk are likely to forage in riparian and woodland areas, and mule deer tracks are common on roads and trails throughout the site.

Nesting birds occur in the oaks and grassland habitats in the Study Area. An active red-tailed hawk nest was present in April 2016 in an oak tree along the main drainage on the site (see Figure 6) Nesting birds are protected from disturbance by The Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918, as regulated by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service.

The 97 animal species that were observed or could occur on or near the property include 3 aquatic invertebrates, 6 amphibians, 10 reptiles, 57 birds, and 21 mammals (Table 6). We provide this list as a guide to the wildlife observed in the Study Area and to the species that could potentially be present at least seasonally. Other species could occur as transients, particularly avian fauna.

П

TABLE 6. WILDLIFE LIST. At least 97 animal species have the potential to occur within the Study Area. The Special Status column indicates listing status of the organism under the Federal Endangered Species Act, the California Endangered Species Act, or by CDFW. Species observed at the site during our surveys are designated by the check symbol (\checkmark) in the fourth column.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Special Status	Found on Site	Habitat Type					
Aquatic Invertebrates - 3 species									
Vernal Pool Fairy Shrimp	Branchinecta lynchi	FT		Vernal pools, seasonal ponds					
Water Flea	Daphnia sp.	None	1	Vernal pools, seasonal ponds					
Seed Shrimp	Class Ostracoda	None	1	Vernal pools, seasonal ponds					
	Amphibi	ians - 6 sp	ecies						
Black-bellied Slender Salamander	Batrachoseps nigriventris	None	✓	Oak woodlands, moist areas					
California Toad	Bufo boreas halophilus	None		Grassland, woodland					
Monterey Ensatina	Ensatina eschscholzi	None		Moist habitats					
Pacific Chorus Frog	Pseudacris regilla	None	√	Many habitats near water					
Bullfrog	Rana catesbeiana	None	1	Perennial streams, ponds					
Western Spadefoot Toad	Spea hammondii	SSC		Grasslands with ephemeral pools for breeding					
Reptiles - 10 species									
Southwestern Pond Turtle	Actinemys marmorata pallida	SSC		Ponds, lakes, streams					
Silvery Legless Lizard	Anniella pulchra pulchra	SSC		Oak woodland					
Northern Pacific Rattlesnake	Crotalus oreganus oreganus	None		Dry, rocky habitats					
Monterey Ringneck Snake	Diadophis punctatus vandenburgii	None		Woodlands, grasslands					
California Alligator Lizard	Elgaria multicarinata multicarinata	None		Open grassland, woodland, chaparral					
California Kingsnake	Lampropeltis getula californiae	None		Woodland, grassland, streams					
Pacific Gopher Snake	Pituophis catenifer catenifer	None		Woodland, grassland					
Western Fence Lizard	Sceloporus occidentalis	None	1	Wide range					
Valley Garter Snake	Thamnophis sirtalis fitchii	None		Many habitats near water					
Side-blotched Lizard	Uta stansburiana	None	1	Dry habitats					
	Birds - 57 species								
Red-winged Blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus	None		Marshes, fields					
Western Scrub Jay	Aphelocoma californica	None	✓	Oak and riparian woodlands					

Common Name	Scientific Name	Special Status	Found on Site	Habitat Type
Great Egret	Ardea alba	None		Water habitats, grasslands
Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	None		Water habitats
Cedar Waxwing	Rombycella cedrorum	None	✓	Open habit
Great Horned Owl	Buho virginianus	None		Varied habitats
Red-tailed Hawk	Buteo iamaicensis	None	✓	Open, semi-open country
Red-shouldered Hawk	Buteo lineatus	None		Oak and riparian woodlands
California Ouail	Callipepla californica	None	✓	Oak, riparian woodlands
Anna's Hummingbird	Calvpte anna	None	✓	Oak, riparian woodland, scrub
Lesser Goldfinch	Carduelis psaltria	None		Riparian, oak woodlands
American Goldfinch	Carduelis tristis	None		Weedy fields woodlands
House Finch	Carpodacus mexicanus	None	✓	Wide habitat range
Turkey Vulture	Cathartes aura	None		Open country, oak woodlands
Killdeer	Charadrius vociferous	None	✓	Mud flats, stream banks
Lark Sparrow	Chondestes grammacus	WL	√	Grasslands, edge habitats
Red-shafted Flicker	Colaptes auratus	None	✓	Woodlands
Rock Dove	Columba livia	None		Urban areas
Western Wood Pewee	Contopus sordidulus	None		Riparian woodlands
American Crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos	None		Open oak, riparian woodland,
Yellow-rumped Warbler	Dendroica coronata	None	✓	Riparian, oak woodlands
Townsend's Warbler	Dendroica townsendii	None		Riparian, oak woodlands
Pacific-slope Flycatcher	Empidonax difficilis	None		Riparian, oak woodlands
California Horned Lark	Eremophila alpestris actia	WL		Grassland, oak savanna
Brewer's Blackbird	Euphagus cyanocephalus	None	~	Open habitats
American Kestrel	Falco sparverius	None		Open, semi-open country
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	None		Open country, farmyards
Dark-eyed Junco	Junco hyemalis	None		Oak woodlands
Acorn Woodpecker	Melanerpes formicivorus	None	~	Oak woodlands
Ash-throated Flycatcher	Myiarchus cinerascens	None		Open areas near oaks
Western Screech Owl	Otus kennicottii	None		Oak woodlands
Oak Titmouse	Parus inornatus	WL	~	Woodland, riparian, oak, conifer
Savannah Sparrow	Passerculus sandwichensis	None		Open habitats, marshes, grasslands

Common Name	Scientific Name	Special Status	Found on Site	Habitat Type				
House Snomore	Dagaan damaatiana	Nono		Linkow				
nouse sparrow	Passer admesticus Petrochelidon	None		Orban				
Cliff Swallow	pyrrhonota	None		Urban; open areas near water				
Yellow-billed Magpie	Pica nuttalli	None	✓	Oak savannah				
Nuttall's Woodpecker	Picoides nuttallii	None		Oak woodland, savanna				
Downy Woodpecker	Picoides pubescens	None	√	Riparian, oak woodlands				
California Towhee	Pipilo crissalis	None		Brushy habitats				
Bushtit	Psaltriparus minimus	None		Oak, riparian, chaparral, scrub				
Ruby-crowned Kinglet	Regulus calundula	None	~	Oak and riparian woodlands				
Black Phoebe	Sayornis nigricans	None	✓	Near water				
Say's Phoebe	Sayornis saya	None	1	Open country, grassland				
Western Bluebird	Sialia mexicana	None	√	Riparian woodland, ranch land				
Western Meadowlark	Sturnella neglecta	None		Grasslands				
European Starling	Sturnus vulgaris	None	✓	Agricultural, urban				
Tree Swallow	Tachycineta bicolor	None		Wooded habitats, water				
Violet-green Swallow	Tachycineta thalassina	None		Woodland habitats				
Bewick's Wren	Thryomanes bewickii	None		Shrubby areas				
House Wren	Troglodytes aedon	None		Shrubby areas				
American Robin	Turdus migratorius	None		Streamsides, woodlands				
Western Kingbird	Tyrannus verticalis	None		Open country with scattered trees, farms, roadsides				
Barn Owl	Tyto alba	None		Agricultural, woodlands				
Orange-crowned Warbler	Vermivora celata	None		Oak, riparian woodlands				
Mourning Dove	Zenaida macroura	None	✓	Open and semi-open area				
Golden-crowned Sparrow	Zonotrichia atricapilla	None		Shrubby, weedy areas				
White-crowned Sparrow	Zonotrichia leucophrys	None		Shrubby, weedy areas				
Mammals - 21 species								
Pallid Bat	Antrozous pallidus	SSC		Riparian, woodland, urban				
Coyote	Canis latrans	None	✓	Open woodlands, brushy areas, wide ranging				
Opossum	Didelphis marsupialis	None		Woodlands, streams				
Feral Cat	Felis catus	None	1	Varied				
Black-tailed Jackrabbit	Lepus californicus	None		Grasslands				
Bobcat	Lynx rufus	None		Chaparral and woodlands				
Striped Skunk	Mephitis mephitis	None		Mixed woods, chaparral				

Common Name	Scientific Name	Special Status	Found on Site	Habitat Type
California Vole	Microtus californicus	None		Grassland meadows
Long-tailed Weasel	Mustela frenata	None		Grasslands
California Myotis	Myotis californicus	None		Tunnels, hollow trees, crevices
Mule Deer	Odocoileus hemionus	None	√	Many habitats
California Mouse	Peromyscus californicus	None		Oak woodland, chaparral
Deer Mouse	Peromyscus maniculatus	None		All dry land habitats
Raccoon	Procyon lotor	None		Streams, lakes, rock cliffs,
Western Harvest Mouse	Reithrodontomys megalotis	None		Grassland, dense vegetation near water
California Ground Squirrel	Spermophilus beecheyi	None	~	Grasslands
Desert Cottontail	Sylvilagus audubonii	None		Brushy areas
American Badger	Taxidea taxus	SSC		Open grasslands
Valley Pocket Gopher	Thomomys bottae	None	1	Variety of habitats
Red Fox	Vulpes fulva	None	✓	Forest and open country
San Joaquin Kit Fox	Vulpes macrotis mutica	FE		Open grasslands, scrub

FE: Federally Endangered; FT: Federally Threatened; SSC: CDFW Species of Special Concern; WL: CDFW Watch List

5.0 Potential Impacts to Biological Resources

The proposed hotel project is situated in open annual grassland habitat, or in areas already developed. No sensitive species are anticipated to occur in the development footprint. Biological resources on the site that could be affected by development of the hotel project include non-native annual grassland habitat, oak trees, nesting birds and common wildlife.

Section 5.1 outlines the regulatory framework for impacts to biological resources. Sections 5.2 through 5.5 address potential impacts to biological resources from development of the site. We include in our analysis impacts to both common and special status species, as well as to habitats that are not sensitive. This consideration contributes to understanding cumulative impacts to the environment that may result from the loss of common species and habitat.

5.1 Regulatory Framework

5.1.1 Federal Regulations

<u>Endangered Species Act</u> – The federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) provides the legal framework for the listing and protection of species (and their habitats) identified as being endangered or threatened with extinction. Actions that jeopardize endangered or threatened species and the habitats upon which they rely are considered a 'take' under the Endangered Species Act. Take of a federally listed threatened or endangered species is prohibited without a

special permit. The Endangered Species Act allows for take of a threatened or endangered species incidental to development activities once a habitat conservation plan has been prepared to the satisfaction of the USFWS and an incidental take permit has been issued. The Endangered Species Act also allows for the take of threatened or endangered species after consultation has deemed that development activities will not jeopardize the continued existence of the species. The federal Endangered Species Act also provides for a Section 7 Consultation when a federal permit is required, such as a Clean Water Act Section 404 permit.

"Critical Habitat" is a term within the federal Endangered Species Act designed to guide actions by federal agencies (as opposed to state, local, or other agency actions) and defined as "an area occupied by a species listed as threatened or endangered within which are found physical or geographical features essential to the conservation of the species, or an area not currently occupied by the species which is itself essential to the conservation of the species."

<u>Section 404 Clean Water Act Regulations</u> – The Clean Water Act provides wetland regulation at the federal level and is administered by the USACE. The purpose of the Clean Water Act is to restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of all waters of the U.S. Permitting is required for filling waters of the U.S. (including wetlands). Permits may be issued on an individual basis, or may be covered under approved nationwide permits.

<u>Migratory Bird Treaty Act</u> – All migratory bird species that are native to the U.S. or its territories are protected under the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act, as amended under the Migratory Bird Treaty Reform Act of 2004. The Migratory Bird Treaty Act is generally protective of migratory birds.

5.1.2 State Regulations

<u>California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)</u> – CEQA requires that biological resources be considered when assessing the environmental impacts that are the result of proposed actions. The lead agencies determine the scope of what is considered an impact and what constitutes an "adverse effect" on a biological resource.

<u>California Fish and Game Code</u> – The California Fish and Game Code regulate the taking or possession of birds, mammals, fish, amphibians, and reptiles, as well as natural resources such as wetlands and waters of the state. It includes the California Endangered Species Act, Streambed Alteration Agreement regulations, and California Native Plant Protection Act. Fish and Game Code states that it is "unlawful to take, possess, or needlessly destroy the nest or eggs of any bird, except as otherwise provided by this code or any regulation made pursuant thereto," and "unlawful to take, possess, or destroy any birds of prey or to take, possess, or destroy the nest or eggs of any such bird" unless authorized.

<u>California Endangered Species Act</u> – The California Endangered Species Act (CESA), similar to the federal Endangered Species Act, contains a process for listing of species and regulating potential impacts to listed species. State threatened and endangered species include both plants and wildlife, but do not include invertebrates. The designation "rare species" applies only to California native plants. State threatened and endangered plant species are regulated largely under the Native Plant Preservation Act in conjunction with the California Endangered Species Act. State threatened and endangered animal species are legally protected against "take." The
CESA authorizes CDFW to enter into a memorandum of agreement for take of listed species to issue an incidental take permit for a state-listed threatened and endangered species only if specific criteria are met. Section 2080 of the CESA prohibits the take of species listed as threatened or endangered pursuant to the Act. Section 2081 allows CDFW to authorize take prohibited under Section 2080 provided that: 1) the taking is incidental to an otherwise lawful activity; 2) the taking will be minimized and fully mitigated; 3) the applicant ensures adequate funding for minimization and mitigation; and 4) the authorization will not jeopardize the continued existence of the listed species.

<u>Streambed Alteration Agreement Regulations</u> – Section 1602 of the Fish & Game Code requires any person, state, or local governmental agency to provide advance written notification to CDFW prior to initiating any activity that would: 1) divert or obstruct the natural flow of, or substantially change or remove material from the bed, channel, or bank of any river, stream, or lake; or 2) result in the disposal or deposition of debris, waste, or other material into any river, stream, or lake. The state definition of "lakes, rivers, and streams" includes all rivers or streams that flow at least periodically or permanently through a well-defined bed or channel with banks that support fish or other aquatic life, and watercourses with surface or subsurface flows that support or have supported riparian vegetation.

<u>California Native Plant Protection Act</u> – Section 1900-1913 of the California Fish and Game Code contains the regulations of the Native Plant Protection Act of 1977. The intent of this act is to help conserve and protect rare and endangered plants in the state.

<u>Regional Water Quality Control Board</u> – The RWQCB not only regulates impacts to water quality in federal waters of the U.S. under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act, but they also regulate any isolated waters that are impacted under the state Porter Cologne Act utilizing a Waste Discharge Requirement. Discharge of fill material into waters of the State not subject to the jurisdiction of the USACE pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act may require authorization pursuant to the Porter Cologne Act through application for waste discharge requirements or through waiver of waste discharge requirements.

<u>California Oak Woodland Conservation Act</u> – This act established the Oak Woodland Conservation Program, administered by the Wildlife Conservation Board, to help local jurisdictions protect and enhance their oak woodland resources. It offers landowners, conservation groups, and cities/counties and opportunity to obtain funding for projects designed to conserve and restore California's oak woodlands.

5.2 **Potential Habitat Impacts**

Habitat types are indicated on the Biological Constraints Map provided as Figure 6 in Exhibit A. Impact areas from development of the project are shown on the figure. The portion of the site that will be developed for the hotel project already has an existing paved access road, residence, and barn. The residence and barn will be removed and replaced with Hotel #2. Hotel #1 and #3 will be situated in annual grassland habitat. The existing access road would be utilized for the hotel project, and would extend to the east property boundary. The road extension passes south of potential wetland habitat. Native oak trees occur near the development footprint of Hotel #1 and Hotel #2.

Habitat Type	Approximate Impact (acres)
Annual Grassland	6.4
Blue Oak Woodland	0
Irrigated Pasture	0
Pond	0
Riparian	0
Wetland	0
Anthropogenic	n/a

TABLE 7. POTENTIAL HABITAT IMPACTS.

5.2.1 Annual grassland

Approximately 26.5 acres of annual grassland habitat is mapped in the Study Area. Proposed development on the site would result in a permanent loss of ± 6.4 acres of annual grassland habitat. A landscape plan was not available for review prior to this assessment. Landscape installations could impact additional annual grassland acreage. Impacts to annual grassland habitat require mitigation for impacts to San Joaquin kit fox habitat (see Section 5.5.1)

The grassland habitat on the site is potential habitat for several special status plants and animals. Impacts to annual grassland habitat that affect special status species can be mitigated (refer to Sections 5.4, 5.5, 6.2 and 6.4).

5.2.2 Wetland

Wetlands are located within some areas of the drainages on the property. A potential wetland is mapped in the northeast corner of the Study Area behind Hotel #2, at the head of an ephemeral drainage. The proposed road extension appears to avoid the potential wetland.

5.3 **Potential Oak Tree Impacts**

No oak trees are expected to be removed; however construction of the proposed project could impact the critical root zones of both blue and valley oaks.

The critical root zone (CRZ), as defined by the City of Paso Robles, is an area of root space that is within a circle circumscribed around the trunk of a tree using a radius of 1 foot per inch DBH, e.g., a 20-inch diameter tree has a CRZ with a radius of 20 feet as measured from the center of the tree (City of El Paso de Robles - Ordinance No. 835 N.S). This measurement often extends beyond the actual drip-line of the tree.

5.4 **Potential Impacts to Common Wildlife**

5.4.1 Nesting habitat

Impacts to or take of nesting birds could occur if grading or tree removal/trimming is conducted during nesting season (March 15 through August 15). Take of common nesting birds is

prohibited by federal and state code. Impacts to or take of common nesting birds can be avoided (refer to Section 6.2.1).

5.4.2 Reduction of movement corridors

Development of the proposed project would alter common wildlife species' patterns of movement across the site. Movement corridors through drainages to and from nearby Huerhuero Creek would not be completely disrupted, but free movement across the property would be reduced. Impacts to San Joaquin kit fox movement corridors are discussed in Section 6.4.1.

5.4.3 Displacement and/or take

Common wildlife species currently living on the property or using the site as transients would be displaced by development of the site. Take of common species may occur during construction activities. Displacement and/or take of common wildlife species is not a significant impact.

5.5 **Potential Impacts to Special Status Species**

Seven special status animals and seven special status plants have the potential to occur in the Study Area. Two special status mammals, San Joaquin kit fox and American badger, are known to occur in regional grassland habitats. Pallid bat, a Species of Special Concern, could roost in oak trees. Burrowing owls are unlikely to occur in the Study Area, however appropriate habitat with ground squirrel burrows is present. Silvery legless lizard could occur in oak woodland habitat, but is not likely to be impacted by the project. Vernal pool fair shrimp and western spadefoot toad could be present in seasonal ponds in the Study Area but are unlikely to be impacted by the project. None of the seven special status plants identified as having the potential to occur in the Study Area were identified during floristic surveys conducted in the spring of 2016.

5.5.1 San Joaquin kit fox

The project site is situated in grassland habitat within the range of San Joaquin kit fox. Approximately 6.4 acres of annual grassland habitat usable by San Joaquin kit fox would be removed. Precise acreages will be calculated upon approval of the proposed site plan by the City of Paso Robles, and completion of final grading and landscape plans. Removal of San Joaquin kit fox habitat can be mitigated (refer to Section 6.4.1).

5.5.2 American badger

Approximately 6.4 acres of annual grassland habitat usable by badgers would be removed. Indirect impacts to badgers include the loss of foraging and denning habitat. Direct impacts could occur if a badger takes up residence in the development footprint. The loss of grassland habitat is not a significant impact, although the cumulative loss of habitat in the Paso Robles region has negatively affected badger populations in the area. Disturbance of denning badgers can be avoided (refer to Section 6.4.2).

5.5.3 Pallid bat

Removal of mature trees with trunk cavities or loose bark could impact roosting bats and/or maternal bat colonies. Removal of buildings could also affect roosting bats. Disturbance of pallid bat or maternity colonies of any bat species can be avoided (refer to Section 6.4.3).

5.5.4 Burrowing owl

Burrowing owls are unlikely to occur in the Study Area. However, if present, impacts to nesting burrowing owl could occur if grading is conducted during nesting season. Impacts to burrowing owl can be avoided (refer to Section 6.2.1).

6.0 **Recommendations and Mitigations**

We recommend the following biological resource (BR) mitigation measures to prevent or mitigate for impacts to rare species and nesting birds.

6.1 Habitat Mitigations

6.1.1 Annual grassland

Impacts to annual grassland habitat in the Paso Robles region are not typically considered significant unless special status species are affected. Grassland habitat on the site is considered potential habitat for the federally endangered San Joaquin kit fox. Loss or permanent degradation of grassland habitat on the property is a significant but mitigable impact (refer to Section 6.4.1).

6.1.2 Wetland

It appears that the project will avoid all potential wetland habitat in the Study Area; therefore no mitigation recommendations are provided. A formal wetland delineation conducted on the property would determine wetland presence according to state and federal standards and would determine the extent of Clean Water Act section 404 jurisdictional wetlands and waters of the United States if impacts could occur from construction of the project.

6.2 Common Wildlife Mitigations

6.2.1 Nesting habitat

Migratory non-game native bird species are protected by international treaty under the Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) of 1918 (50 C.F.R. Section 10.13). Sections 3503, 3503.5 and 3513 of the California Fish and Game Code prohibit take of all birds and their active nests including raptors and other migratory non-game birds (as listed under the Federal MBTA).

BR-1. Within one week of ground disturbance or tree removal/trimming activities, if work occurs between March 15 and August 15, nesting bird surveys shall be conducted. To avoid impacts to nesting birds, grading and construction activities that affect trees and grasslands shall not be conducted during the breeding season from March 15 to

August 15. If construction activities must be conducted during this period, nesting bird surveys shall take place within one week of habitat disturbance. If surveys do not locate nesting birds, construction activities may be conducted. If nesting birds are located, no construction activities shall occur within 100 feet of nests until chicks are fledged. Construction activities shall observe a 300-foot buffer for occupied raptor nests. Buffers for active burrowing owl nests must comply with the CDFW Staff Report on Burrowing Owls (CDFW 2012). A pre-construction survey report shall be submitted to the lead agency immediately upon completion of the survey. The report shall detail appropriate fencing or flagging of the buffer zone and make recommendations on additional monitoring requirements.

6.3 Oak Tree Mitigations

Oak tree impacts and mitigation requirements shall be compiled by the project arborist or botanist. The following mitigation recommendations are modeled after guidelines set forth in the Paso Robles Tree Ordinance (City of El Paso de Robles - Ordinance No. 835 N.S).

- **BR-2.** Tree canopies and trunks within 50 feet of proposed disturbance zones should be mapped and numbered by a qualified biologist and a licensed land surveyor. Data for each tree should include date, species, number of stems, diameter at breast height (DBH) of each stem, critical root zone (CRZ) diameter, canopy diameter, tree height, health, habitat notes, and nests observed.
- **BR-3.** An oak tree protection plan shall be prepared and approved by the City of Paso Robles.
- **BR-4.** Impacts to the oak canopy or critical root zone (CRZ) should be avoided where practicable. Impacts include pruning, any ground disturbance within the dripline or CRZ of the tree (whichever distance is greater), and trunk damage.
- **BR-5.** Impacted oaks shall be mitigated for by planting one 24 inch boxed tree for impacts up to 25 percent of the root zone or canopy. Two 24 inch boxed trees shall be planted for trees with impacts up to 50 percent of the tree, and so on. The mitigation trees shall be incorporated into the landscape plan.
- **BR-6.** Replacement oaks for removed trees must be equivalent to 25 percent of the diameter of the removed tree(s). For example, the replacement requirement for removal of two trees of 15 inches DBH (30 total diameter inches), would be 7.5 inches (30 inches removed x 0.25 replacement factor). This requirement could be satisfied by planting five 1.5 inch trees, or three 2.5 inch trees, or any other combination totaling 7.5 inches. A minimum of two 24 inch box, 1.5 inch trees shall be required for each oak tree removed.
- **BR-7.** Replacement trees should be seasonally maintained (browse protection, weed reduction and irrigation, as needed) and monitored annually for at least 7 years.

6.4 Special Status Species Mitigations

6.4.1 San Joaquin kit fox

San Joaquin kit fox could occur in the project area. The project would result in a net loss of kit fox habitat. Construction activities could directly impact (take) San Joaquin kit fox. The following mitigation recommendations are designed to reduce the potential for direct impacts to kit fox to a less than significant level.

- **BR-8.** Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits, the applicant shall submit evidence to the City of Paso Robles (City) that states that one or a combination of the following three San Joaquin kit fox mitigation measures has been implemented:
 - a. Provide for the protection in perpetuity, through acquisition of fee or a conservation easement of **[Total number of mitigation acres required]** acres of suitable habitat in the kit fox corridor area (e.g. within the San Luis Obispo County kit fox habitat area, northwest of Highway 58), either on-site or off-site, and provide for a non-wasting endowment to provide for management and monitoring of the property in perpetuity. Lands to be conserved shall be subject to the review and approval of the California Department of Fish and Game (Department) and the City.

This mitigation alternative (a.) requires that all aspects of this program must be in place before City permit issuance or initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

b. Deposit funds into an approved in-lieu fee program, which would provide for the protection in perpetuity of suitable habitat in the kit fox corridor area within San Luis Obispo County, and provide for a non-wasting endowment for management and monitoring of the property in perpetuity.

Mitigation alternative (b) above, can be completed by providing funds to The Nature Conservancy (TNC) pursuant to the Voluntary Fee-Based Compensatory Mitigation Program (Program). The Program was established in agreement between the Department and TNC to preserve San Joaquin kit fox habitat, and to provide a voluntary mitigation alternative to project proponents who must mitigate the impacts of projects in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The fee, payable to "The Nature Conservancy", would total **\$[Amount of fee based on \$2,500 per acre]**. This fee is calculated based on the current cost-per-unit of \$2,500 per acre of mitigation, which is scheduled to be adjusted to address the increasing cost of property in San Luis Obispo County; your actual cost may increase depending on the timing of payment. This fee must be paid after the Department provides written notification about your mitigation options but prior to City permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

c. Purchase **[Total number of mitigation acres required]** credits in a Departmentapproved conservation bank, which would provide for the protection in perpetuity of suitable habitat within the kit fox corridor area and provide for a non-wasting endowment for management and monitoring of the property in perpetuity.

Mitigation alternative (c) above, can be completed by purchasing credits from the Palo Prieto Conservation Bank. The Palo Prieto Conservation Bank was established to preserve San Joaquin kit fox habitat, and to provide a voluntary mitigation alternative to project proponents who must mitigate the impacts of projects in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The cost for purchasing credits is payable to the owners of The Palo Prieto Conservation Bank, and would total **§**[Amount of mitigation acres required (i.e. credits), currently priced at **\$2,500 per credit**]. This fee is calculated based on the current cost-per-credit of \$2,500 per acre of mitigation. The fee is established by the conservation bank owner and may change at any time. Your actual cost may increase depending on the timing of payment. Purchase of credits must be completed prior to City permit issuance and initiation of any ground disturbing activities.

- **BR-9.** Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits, the applicant shall provide evidence that they have retained a qualified biologist acceptable to the City. The retained biologist shall perform the following monitoring activities:
 - i. Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits and within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction, the biologist shall conduct a pre-activity (i.e. pre-construction) survey for known or potential kit fox dens and submit a letter to the City reporting the date the survey was conducted, the survey protocol, survey results, and what measures were necessary (and completed), as applicable, to address any kit fox activity within the project limits.
 - ii. The qualified biologist shall conduct weekly site visits during site-disturbance activities (i.e. grading, disking, excavation, stock piling of dirt or gravel, etc.) that proceed longer than 14 days, for the purpose of monitoring compliance with required Mitigation Measures BR-10 through BR-19. Site disturbance activities lasting up to 14 days do not require weekly monitoring by the biologist unless observations of kit fox or their dens are made on-site or the qualified biologist recommends monitoring for some other reason (refer to BR-10iii). When weekly monitoring is required, the biologist shall submit weekly monitoring reports to the City.
 - iii. **Prior to or during project activities,** if any observations are made of San Joaquin Kit fox, or any known or potential San Joaquin kit fox dens are discovered within the project limits, the qualified biologist shall re-assess the probability of incidental take (e.g. harm or death) to kit fox. At the time a den is discovered, the qualified biologist shall contact USFWS and the CDFW for guidance on possible additional kit fox protection measures to implement and whether or not a Federal and/or State incidental take permit is needed. If a potential den is encountered during construction, work shall stop until such time the USFWS determines it is appropriate to resume work.

If incidental take of kit fox during project activities is possible, **before project** activities commence, the applicant must consult with the USFWS. The results of this consultation may require the applicant to obtain a Federal and/or State permit for incidental take during project activities. The applicant should be aware that the presence of kit foxes or known or potential kit fox dens at the project site could result in further delays of project activities.

- iv. In addition, the qualified biologist shall implement the following measures:
 - 1. Within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction, fenced exclusion zones shall be established around all known and potential kit fox dens. Exclusion zone fencing shall consist of either large flagged stakes connected by rope or cord, or survey laths or wooden stakes prominently flagged with survey ribbon. Each exclusion zone shall be roughly circular in configuration with a radius of the following distance measured outward from the den or burrow entrances:
 - Potential kit fox den: 50 feet
 - Known or active kit fox den: 100 feet
 - Kit fox pupping den: 150 feet
 - 2. All foot and vehicle traffic, as well as all construction activities, including storage of supplies and equipment, shall remain outside of exclusion zones. Exclusion zones shall be maintained until all project-related disturbances have been terminated, and then shall be removed.
 - 3. If kit foxes or known or potential kit fox dens are found on site, daily monitoring by a qualified biologist shall be required during ground disturbing activities.
- **BR-10.** Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permits, the applicant shall clearly delineate the following as a note on the project plans: "Speed signs of 25 mph (or lower) shall be posted for all construction traffic to minimize the probability of road mortality of the San Joaquin kit fox". Speed limit signs shall be installed on the project site within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction.
- **BR-11.** During the site disturbance and/or construction phase, grading and construction activities after dusk shall be prohibited unless coordinated through the City, during which additional kit fox mitigation measures may be required.
- **BR-12.** Prior to issuance of grading and/or construction permit and within 30 days prior to initiation of site disturbance and/or construction, all personnel associated with the project shall attend a worker education training program, conducted by a qualified biologist, to avoid or reduce impacts on sensitive biological resources (i.e. San Joaquin kit fox). At a minimum, as the program relates to the kit fox, the training shall include the kit fox's life history, all mitigation measures specified by the City, as well as any related biological report(s) prepared for the project. The applicant shall notify the City shortly prior to this meeting. A kit fox fact sheet shall also be developed prior to the training program, and distributed at the training program to all contractors, employers and other personnel involved with the construction of the project.
- **BR-13.** During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase, to prevent entrapment of the San Joaquin kit fox, all excavations, steep-walled holes and trenches in excess of two feet in depth shall be covered at the close of each working day by plywood or similar materials, or provided with one or more escape ramps constructed of earth fill or

wooden planks. Trenches shall also be inspected for entrapped kit fox each morning prior to onset of field activities and immediately prior to covering with plywood at the end of each working day. Before such holes or trenches are filled, they shall be thoroughly inspected for entrapped kit fox. Any kit fox so discovered shall be allowed to escape before field activities resume, or removed from the trench or hole by a qualified biologist and allowed to escape unimpeded.

- **BR-14.** During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase, any pipes, culverts, or similar structures with a diameter of four inches or greater, stored overnight at the project site shall be thoroughly inspected for trapped San Joaquin kit foxes before the subject pipe is subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way. If during the construction phase a kit fox is discovered inside a pipe, that section of pipe will not be moved. If necessary, the pipe may be moved only once to remove it from the path of activity, until the kit fox has escaped.
- **BR-15.** During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase, all food-related trash items such as wrappers, cans, bottles, and food scraps shall be disposed of only in closed containers. These containers shall be regularly removed from the site. Food items may attract San Joaquin kit foxes onto the project site, consequently exposing such animals to increased risk of injury or mortality. No deliberate feeding of wildlife shall be allowed.
- **BR-16.** Prior to, during and after the site-disturbance and/or construction phase, use of pesticides or herbicides shall be in compliance with all local, State and Federal regulations. This is necessary to minimize the probability of primary or secondary poisoning of endangered species utilizing adjacent habitats, and the depletion of prey upon which San Joaquin kit foxes depend.
- **BR-17.** During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase, any contractor or employee that inadvertently kills or injures a San Joaquin kit fox or who finds any such animal either dead, injured, or entrapped shall be required to report the incident immediately to the applicant and City. In the event that any observations are made of injured or dead kit fox, the applicant shall immediately notify the USFWS and CDFW by telephone. In addition, formal notification shall be provided in writing within three working days of the finding of any such animal(s). Notification shall include the date, time, location and circumstances of the incident. Any threatened or endangered species found dead or injured shall be turned over immediately to CDFW for care, analysis, or disposition.
- **BR-18.** Prior to final inspection, or occupancy, whichever comes first, should any long internal or perimeter fencing be proposed or installed, the applicant shall do the following to provide for kit fox passage:
 - i. If a wire strand/pole design is used, the lowest strand shall be no closer to the ground than 12 inches.
 - ii. If a more solid wire mesh fence is used, 8 by 12 inch openings near the ground shall be provided every 100 yards.

iii. Upon fence installation, the applicant shall notify the City to verify proper installation. Any fencing constructed after issuance of a final permit shall follow the above guidelines.

6.4.2 American badger

American badger could occur in the project areas. The project will result in a net loss of badger habitat. Mitigation is not required for loss of badger habitat. To ensure take of live badgers does not occur, the following mitigation recommendation shall be implemented:

BR-19. A pre-construction survey shall be conducted within thirty days of beginning work on the project to identify if badgers are using the site. The results of the survey shall be sent to the project manager, CDFW, and the City of Paso Robles.

If the pre-construction survey finds potential badger dens, they shall be inspected to determine whether they are occupied. The survey shall cover the entire property, and shall examine both old and new dens. If potential badger dens are too long to completely inspect from the entrance, a fiber optic scope shall be used to examine the den to the end. Inactive dens may be excavated by hand with a shovel to prevent re-use of dens during construction. If badgers are found in dens on the property between February and July, nursing young may be present. To avoid disturbance and the possibility of direct take of adults and nursing young, and to prevent badgers from becoming trapped in burrows during construction activity, no grading shall occur within 100 feet of active badger dens between February and July. Between July 1 and February 1 all potential badger dens shall be inspected to determine if badgers are present. During the winter badgers do not truly hibernate, but are inactive and asleep in their dens for several days at a time. Because they can be torpid during the winter, they are vulnerable to disturbances that may collapse their dens before they rouse and emerge. Therefore, surveys shall be conducted for badger dens throughout the year. If badger dens are found on the property during the pre-construction survey, the CDFW wildlife biologist for the area shall be contacted to review current allowable management practices.

6.4.3 Pallid bat

Roosting bats and/or maternal bat colonies may be present in trees with appropriate cavities or loose bark on the project site.

BR-20. Prior to removal of any mature oak trees or structures, a survey shall be conducted by a qualified biologist to determine if sensitive bat species or maternal bat colonies are present. Maternal bat colonies may not be disturbed.

6.4.4 Burrowing owl

Surveys for nesting burrowing owl will be conducted concurrently with surveys for other nesting birds (see Section 6.2.1)

7.0 References

- Althouse and Meade, Inc. November 2004. Preliminary Biological Assessment of the Olsen Ranch Specific Plan Area, Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo County.
- Althouse and Meade, Inc. June 2005. Biological Report for Airport Road Business Park, LLP, Parcel Map PR 04-0078, Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo County.
- Baldwin, B. G., D. H. Goldman, D. J. Keil, R. Patterson, T. J. Rosatti, and D. H. Wilken, editors. 2012. The Jepson manual: vascular plants of California, second edition. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). 2000. Guidelines for Assessing the Effects of Proposed Projects on Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Plants and Natural Communities. Revised May 8, 2000.
- California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). 2009. Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities. November 24.
- California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). 2012. Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation. State of California, Natural Resources Agency, Department of Fish and Game. March 7.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Natural Diversity Database (CDNNB). 2016. Special Animals List. Periodic publication. 51 pp. April.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB). 2016. Special Vascular Plants, Bryophytes, and Lichens List. Quarterly publication. 125 pp. April.
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS). 2001. CNPS Botanical Survey Guidelines. California Native Plant Society. December 9, 1983, revised June 2, 2001
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS). 2012. Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants (online edition, v8-01a). California Native Plant Society. Sacramento, CA. Accessed on April 20, 2016.
- Douglas Wood & Associates, Inc. 2000. Chandler Ranch Master Plan, Draft Environmental Impact Report. State Clearinghouse No. 1999061008. June.
- Hickman, James C. 1993. The Jepson Manual. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, California.
- Holland, V.L. and David J. Keil. 1995. California Vegetation. Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company, Dubuque, Iowa.
- Hoover, Robert F. 1970. The Vascular Plants of San Luis Obispo County, California. University of California Press. Berkeley, Los Angeles, and London.
- National Geographic. 1987. Field Guide to the Birds of North America, 3rd edition. National Geographic Society, Washington, D.C.
- Rincon Consultants, Inc. 2004. Draft City of El Paso de Robles Chandler Ranch Area Specific Plan Environmental Impact Report. Volume I: EIR Analysis. August.

- Sibley, David Allen. 2001. The Sibley Guide to Bird Life & Behavior. National Audubon Society. Alfred A. Knopf, New York.
- Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2001-Present. Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO II). Data available online at https://gdg.sc.egov.usda.gov/.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, National Cooperative Soil Survey. 1986. Soil Survey of San Luis Obispo County, California, Paso Robles Area.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2014. Aerial photomosaic of San Luis Obispo County. National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP).
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2000. Guidelines for Conducting and Reporting Botanical Inventories for Federally, Proposed, and Candidate Species. January.

Exhibit A - Figures

- Figure 1. Location Map
- Figure 2. Aerial Photograph
- Figure 3. USDA Soils Map
- Figure 4. CNDDB Animals & USFWS Critical Habitat Map
- Figure 5. CNDDB Plants Map
- Figure 6. Biological Constraints Map
- Site Plan



Figure 2. Aerial Photograph



Figure 3. USDA Soils Map



Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

Destino Paso Robles, CA

Map Updated: April 22, 2016, 09:56 AM



Agenda Item No. 1 Page 628 of 979



Figure 4. CNDDB Animals & USFWS Critical Habitat Map

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 629 of 979

Figure 5. CNDDB Plants Map





Figure 6. Biological Constraints Map

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 631 of 979





Exhibit B - Photographs

Photo 1. Proposed location of Hotel #3 looking north. 3/17/2016.



Photo 2. Main drainage looking west. 3/17/2016.



Photo 3. A small seasonal pond is located in the main drainage. 3/30/2006. This area would not be impacted by the project.



Photo 4. View west from existing residence toward Airport Road. 3/1/2016.



Photo 5. Existing residence and barn, view northwest. 3/1/2016.

Oak Tree Protection Plan

Destino Paso Resort Hotel, Airport Road

Prepared By

Chip Tamagni Certified Arborist #WE 6436-A Certified Hazard Risk Assessor #1209

Steven Alvarez Certified Arborist #WE 0511-A

> P.O. Box 1311 Templeton, CA 93465 (805) 434-0131



As consulting arborists, we have been hired to inform and educate how to protect trees both during the design phase and construction. Different species can adapt to more impacts than others just as young trees can sustain more root disturbance that older trees. All individuals and firms involved in the planning stages should be made completely aware of the limitations regarding setbacks from critical root zones that are recommended to protect the trees. When we are given a plan, it should show **all** possible disturbances within the critical root zone areas. This includes all cuts, fills, over-excavation limits, building clearances, and all utilities. We will suggest changes if we feel the impacts are too great and it is up to the owner or their designee to follow our recommendations. If the plan we receive is not complete with potential impacts, we will fairly assume any additions will fall completely out of the critical root zone areas. It is the burden of the property owner or their designee to inform us of any changes, omissions, or deletions that may impact the critical root zone area of the trees in any way.

It is the responsibility of the **owner** to provide a copy of this tree protection plan to any and all contractors and subs that work within the critical root zone of any native tree. We recommend making it mandatory that the grading/trenching operator have all of his/her employees sign that they have read this plan plans. It is highly recommended that all other contractors sign and acknowledge this tree protection plan as well. In addition, each their respective employees shall be made aware of this tree plan.

The term "critical root zone" is often referred to in this report. The CRZ is an imaginary circle around the trunk of the tree with a radius in feet equal to the tree's diameter in inches. Therefore, a 10 inch diameter tree would have a critical root zone with a 10 foot radius.

This tree evaluation and protection plan is in regard to Destino Paso on Airport Road. Plans are to construct four new hotels with parking. During the original tree inventory for a previous project, we inventoried 155 oak trees that may have had the potential to be impacted during construction. The species on site include both blue oaks (Quercus douglasii) and valley oaks (Quercus lobata). There are literally twice that many trees on the property with the majority being completely out of the impact areas. There are seven trees being proposed for removal at this time. Tree #1 is a 30" blue oak that is in major decline. It is located at the edge of the planned parking lot for one of the hotels. In the last 8 years, this tree has steadily declined to a point where only about 10% of its live canopy remains. The plans originally called for this tree to be saved, however, its useful life expectancy is probably less than three years at best. Tree #2 is located in the middle of the same parking lot. This tree is also a 30" blue oak. It is also showing signs of decline such as excessive dieback. Trees #18 (46"), #19 (18"), #20 (9"), and tree #156 (7") are all valley oaks located directly adjacent to Airport Road and will be in the way of improvements in that area. The large tree in this section is in poor condition with major deadwood beginning to fail from the upper canopy. Tree #155 (39") blue oak is

located directly in the roadway to the hotel on the south side. Unfortunately, this tree is one of the better trees on the property. We would like to see this tree saved. It is a focal tree directly off of Airport Road and it would be a shame to remove it. The other issue we have in this area is tree #154 (31") is slated to have soil cut away from the critical root zone on the downslope side and cut for over-excavation on the south side to accommodate the hotel. We strongly feel this tree will not survive these impacts. We feel that the road could be re-oriented in addition to the parking lot and hotel being built a little smaller to accommodate these two trees. We also noticed there is ample space higher up in the property that could potentially be used for the hotel site and not impact any trees at all. Some decisions need to be made with regard to these two trees as removing them does not follow the spirit of the Paso Robles Oak Tree Ordinance.

In addition to the standard mitigation measures listed later in this report, the following items are of significant importance. There is a planned deck that will encroach into the CRZ of tree #48. Due to the ideal shape of the canopy, very minor trimming will have to occur to accommodate the deck. Deck shall be constructed using pier/post or similar to minimize impacts to the CRZ of this tree. Tree #60 has some simple dg paths passing through the CRZ which should pose no problem. Other than the previous concerns regarding trees #154 and #155, there do no appear to be any other impacts to the trees.

Projects usually require an on-site pre-construction meeting with the city, owner, grading contractor and the arborist. Topics will include fencing, monitoring and requirements for a positive final occupancy letter. It is the owner's responsibility to adequately inform us prior to any meetings where we need to be present.

All trees potentially impacted by this project are numbered and identified on both the grading plan and the spreadsheet. Trees whose CRZ edges are greater than 50 feet from site disturbance will generally not be tagged and inventoried. Trees that are inherently protected by other saved trees will also not be tagged. Trees are numbered on the grading plans and in the field with an aluminum tag. Tree protection fencing is shown on the grading plan.

Tree Rating System

A rating system of 1-10 was used for visually establishing the overall condition of each tree on the spreadsheet.

Determining factors include:

- Previous impacts to tree root zone
- Observation of cavities, conks or other structurally limiting factors
- Pest, fungal, or bacterial disorders

- Past failures
- Current growth habit

The rating system is defined as follows:

<u>Rating</u>	Condition
0	Deceased
1	Evidence of massive past failures, extreme disease and is in severe decline.
2	May be saved with attention to class 4 pruning, insect/pest eradication and future monitoring.
3	Some past failures, some pests or structural defects that may be mitigated by class IV pruning.
4	May have had minor past failures, excessive deadwood or minor structural defects that can be mitigated with pruning.
5	Relatively healthy tree with little visual structural and or pest defects.
6	Healthy tree that probably can be left in its natural state. Future pruning may be required.
7-9	The tree has had proper arboricultural pruning and attention or have no apparent structural defects.
10	Specimen tree with perfect shape, structure and foliage in a protected setting (i.e. park, arboretum).

The following mitigation measures/methods must be fully understood and followed by anyone working within the drip line of any native tree. Any necessary clarification will be provided by us (the arborists) upon request.

Fencing: The proposed fencing shall be shown in orange ink on the grading plan. It must be a minimum of 4' high chain link, snow or safety fence staked at the edge of the CRZ or line of encroachment for each tree or group of trees. The fence shall be up before any construction or earth moving begins. The owner or their designee shall be responsible for maintaining an erect fence throughout the construction period. The arborist(s), upon notification, will inspect the fence placement once it is erected. After this time, fencing shall not be moved without arborist inspection/approval. If the orange plastic fencing is used, a minimum of four zip ties shall be used on each stake to secure the fence. All efforts shall be made to maximize the distance from each saved tree. The fencing must be constructed prior to the city pre-construction meeting for inspection by the city and the arborists. Fence maintenance is an issue with many job sites. Windy conditions and other issues can cause the fence to sage and fall. Keeping it erect should be a part of any general contractor's bid for a project.

Soil Aeration Methods: Soils within the CRZ that have been compacted by heavy equipment and/or construction activities must be returned to their original state before all work is completed. Methods include adding specialized soil conditioners, water jetting, adding organic matter, and boring small holes with an auger (18" deep, 2-3'

apart with a 2-4" auger) and the application of moderate amounts of nitrogen fertilizer. The arborist(s) shall advise.

Chip Mulch: All areas within the CRZ of the trees that cannot be fenced shall receive a 4-6" layer of chip mulch to retain moisture, soil structure and reduce the effects of soil compaction.

Trenching Within CRZ: All trenching/excavation for foundations within the CRZ of native trees shall be **hand dug**. All major roots shall be avoided whenever possible. All exposed roots larger than 1" in diameter shall be clean cut with sharp pruning tools and not left ragged. A **Mandatory** meeting between the arborists and grading/trenching contractor(s) shall take place prior to work start. This activity shall be monitored by the arborist(s) to insure proper root pruning is talking place. Any landscape architects and contractors involved shall not design any irrigation or other features within any drip line unless previously approved by the project arborist.

Grading Within CRZ: Grading shall not encroach within the drip line unless approved by the project arborist. Grading should not disrupt the normal drainage pattern around the trees. Fills should not create a ponding condition and excavations should not leave the tree on a rapidly draining mound.

Exposed Roots: Any exposed roots shall be re-covered the same day they were exposed. If they cannot, they must be covered with burlap or another suitable material and wetted down 2x per day until re-buried.

Paving Within The CRZ: The preferred method on paving within the drip line consists of placing base material on existing grade. Any grade lowering removes important surface roots. Pavers can be used with limitations. The base material must be above natural grade and the curbing to retain the pavers shall not be trenched any deeper than six inches into the natural grade.

Equipment Operation: Vehicles and all heavy equipment shall not be driven under the trees, as this will contribute to soil compaction. Also there is to be no parking of equipment or personal vehicles in these areas. All areas behind fencing are off limits unless pre-approved by the arborist. All soil compaction within drip line areas shall be mitigated as described previously.

Existing Surfaces: The existing ground surface within the CRZ of all native trees shall not be cut, filled, compacted or pared, unless shown on the grading plans **and** approved by the arborist.

Construction Materials And Waste: No liquid or solid construction waste shall be dumped on the ground within the CRZ of any native tree. The CRZ areas are not for storage of materials either. Any violations shall be remedied through proper cleanup approved by the project arborist at the expense of the owner.

Arborist Monitoring: An arborist shall be present for selected activities (trees identified on spreadsheet and items bulleted below). The monitoring does not necessarily have to be continuous but observational at times during these activities. It is the responsibility of the owner(s) or their designee to inform us prior to these events so

we can make arrangements to be present. It is the responsibility of the owner to contract (prior to construction) a locally licensed and insured arborist that will document all monitoring activities.

- pre-construction fence placement
- any utility or drainage trenching within any CRZ
- All grading and trenching near trees requiring monitoring on the spreadsheet

Pre-Construction Meeting: An on-site pre-construction meeting with the Arborist(s), Owner(s), Planning Staff, and all contractors and subs is highly recommended prior to the start of any work. At a minimum, the grading contractor shall be present. It is the sole responsibility of the owner that all topics covered during the preconstruction meeting are appropriately passed on to non-present contractors. Prior to final occupancy, a letter from the arborist(s) shall be required verifying the health and condition of all impacted trees and providing any recommendations for any additional mitigation. The letter shall verify that the arborist(s) were on site for all grading and/or trenching activity that encroached into the CRZ of the selected native trees, and that all work done in these areas was completed to the standards set forth above.

Pruning: All native tree pruning shall be completed by a licensed and insured D49 tree trimming contractor that has a valid city business license. Class 4 pruning includes: Crown reduction pruning consisting of reduction of tops, sides or individual limbs. A trained arborist shall perform all pruning. No pruning shall take more than 25% of the live crown of any native tree. Any trees that may need pruning for road/home clearance shall be pruned **prior** to any grading activities to avoid any branch tearing.

Landscape: All landscape under the CRZ shall be drought tolerant or native varieties. Lawns shall be avoided. All irrigation trenching shall be routed around drip lines; otherwise above ground drip-irrigation shall be used. It is the owner's responsibility to notify the landscape architect and contractor regarding this mitigation. The project arborist shall approve all landscape materials and irrigation within the CRZ of any oak tree.

Utility Placement: All utilities and sewer/storm drains shall be placed down the roads/driveways and when possible outside of the CRZ. If roads exist between two trees, the utilities shall be routed down the middle of the road or completely hand dug. The arborist shall supervise trenching within the CRZ. All trenches in these areas shall be exposed by air spade or hand dug with utilities routed under/over the roots. Roots greater than 2 inches in diameter shall not be cut.

Fertilization and Cultural Practices: As the project moves toward completion, the arborist(s) may suggest fertilization, insecticide, fungicide, soil amendments, and/or mycorrhiza applications that will benefit tree health.

The included spreadsheet includes trees listed by number, species and multiple stems if applicable, diameter and breast height (4.5'), condition (scale from poor to excellent), status (avoided, impacted, removed, exempt), percent of drip line impacted, mitigation

required (fencing, root pruning, monitoring), construction impact (trenching, grading), recommended pruning and individual tree notes.

If **all** the above mitigation measures are followed, we feel there will be no additional long-term significant impacts to the remaining native trees.

A & T Arborists strongly suggests that the responsible party (owner of their designee) make copies of this report. Any reproduction by A & T Arborists or changes to this original report will require an additional charge.

Please let us know if we can be of any future assistance to you for this project.

Steven G. Alvarez Certified Arborist #WC 0511

Chip Tamagni Certified Arborist #WE 6436-A

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
1	BO	Q. doug.	30	1	R	40%	GR	None	NO		POOR	severe decline	75/80
2	BO	Q. doug.	30	2	R	100%	GR	None	NO		POOR	dieback	50/49
3	BO	Q. doug.	16	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD	embeded wire	20 w
4	BO	Q. doug.	17	5	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD	embeded wire	22 w
5	BO	Q. doug.	13	5	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		10 w
6	BO	Q. doug.	5	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		FAIR		9 w
7	BO	Q. doug.	6	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		8 w
8	BO	Q. doug.	9	5	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		5 w
jen gen	BO	Q. doug.	8	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		4 w
a t 0	BO	Q. doug.	4	5	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		3 w
4 1	BO	Q. doug.	2	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		2 w
<u>¶</u> 2	BO	Q. doug.	22	6	А	0%		fencing	NO		EXCEL.		25 w
13	BO	Q. doug.	14	2	А	0%		fencing	NO		FAIR	split trunk	25 w
4	BO	Q. doug.	8	5	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		16 w
ä́[5	BO	Q. doug.	17	5	А	0%		fencing	NO		EXCEL.		12 w
36	VO	Q. lobata	40	2	А	0%		fencing	NO		FAIR	hollow cavity	25/33
17	BO	Q.doug.	38	6		5%	GR	F,RP,M	YES		EXCEL.		63/59
18	VO	Q. lobata	46	2	R	100%	GR	None	NO		POOR	declining	22 e
19	VOX4	Q. lobata	18	5	R	100%	GR	None	NO		GOOD		10/12
20	VO	Q. lobata	6	9	R	100%	GR	None	NO		GOOD		8/7

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
21	BO	Q. doug.	29	2	Α	0%			NO		GOOD	cavity	41/18
22	BO	Q. doug.	23	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		30 n
23	BO X 2	Q. doug.	14	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		12 n
24	BO	Q. doug.	14	5	А	0%			NO		EXCEL.		15 n
25	BO	Q. doug.	8	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		8 n
26	BO	Q. doug.	16	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		19 n
27	BO	Q. doug.	10	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	22 n
28	BO	Q. doug.	16	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	major deadwood	28 n
2 9	BO	Q. doug.	6	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		6 n
<u>\$</u> 0	BO	Q. doug.	17	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		21 n
3 1	BO	Q. doug.	13	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		27 n
<u>3</u> 2	BO	Q. doug.	13	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		20 n
33	BO	Q. doug.	18	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		20 n
34	BO	Q. doug.	12	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		19 n
35	BO	Q. doug.	15	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		21 n
36	BO	Q. doug.	25	6	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 n
37	BO	Q. doug.	28	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		22 n
38	BO	Q. doug.	6	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		16 n
39	BO	Q. doug.	6	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	8 n
40	BO	Q. doug.	16	4	A	0%			NO		GOOD		26 n

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
41	BO	Q. doug.	12	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	22 n
42	BO	Q. doug.	14	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		20 n
43	BO	Q. doug.	14	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		20 n
44	BO	Q. doug.	10	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		15 n
45	BO	Q. doug.	12	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 n
46	BO	Q. doug.	27	4	А	0%			NO		EXCEL.		25 n
47	BO	Q. doug.	10	4	А	0%		fencing	NO	I	GOOD		15/18
48	BO	Q. doug.	25	4	I	15%	GR	F,M	YES	I	GOOD		25/33
4 9	BO	Q. doug.	22	5	А	0%			NO		EXCEL.		50/45
ີ <u>ອີ</u> 0	BO	Q. doug.	14	5	А	0%		fencing	NO	I	EXCEL.		30/30
51	BO	Q. doug.	6	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		8/10
5 2	BO	Q. doug.	5	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		6/10
53	BO	Q. doug.	18	5	А	0%			NO		EXCEL.		25/28
5 4	BO	Q. doug.	20	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		26/30
5 5	BO	Q. doug.	7	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		5/5
56	BO	Q. doug.	9	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15/15
57	BO	Q. doug.	2	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		4/4
58	BO	Q. doug.	8	6	А	0%		fencing	NO	I	GOOD		20/18
59	BO	Q. doug.	17	5		10%	GR	F,M	YES		GOOD	mistletoe	25/27
60	BO	Q. doug.	35	2		15%	GR	F,M	YES	IV	GOOD	past failures	35/40

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
61	BO	Q. doug.	12	5	А	0%			NO	I	EXCEL.		22 n
62	BO	Q. doug.	12	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	18 n
63	BO	Q. doug.	7	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	16 n
64	BO	Q. doug.	19	5	А	0%			NO		FAIR		24 n
65	BO	Q. doug.	14	5	А	0%			NO		FAIR		20 n
66	BO	Q. doug.	5	5	А	0%			NO		FAIR		8 n
67	BO	Q. doug.	17	6	А	0%			NO		GOOD		20/20
68	BO	Q. doug.	7	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		10 n
\$ 9	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		19 n
7 0	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		19 n
7 1	BO	Q. doug.	26	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		25 n
<u>7</u> 2	BO	Q. doug.	30	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		15 n
73	BO	Q. doug.	13	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12 n
74	BO	Q. doug.	14	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		18 n
25	BO	Q. doug.	13	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		18 n
76	BO	Q. doug.	23	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		10 n
77	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		12 n
78	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 n
79	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 n
80	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		22 n

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
81	BO	Q. doug.	24	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		17 s
82	BO	Q. doug.	15	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		20 s
83	BO	Q. doug.	13	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD	mistletoe	18 s
84	BO	Q. doug.	18	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD	mistletoe	20 s
85	BO	Q. doug.	11	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		13 s
86	BO	Q. doug.	17	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		14 s
87	BO	Q. doug.	7	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	6 s
88	BO	Q. doug.	20	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	8 s
\$9	BO	Q. doug.	14	3	А	0%			NO		GOOD		10 s
90	BO	Q. doug.	19	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		15 s
9 1	BO	Q. doug.	8	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12 s
<u>9</u> 2	BO	Q. doug.	12	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		17 s
93	BO	Q. doug.	6	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		6 s
9 4	BO	Q. doug.	18	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		12 s
95	BO	Q. doug.	14	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		12 s
96	BO	Q. doug.	8	2	А	0%			NO		POOR		5 s
97	BO	Q. doug.	22	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		15 s
98	BO	Q. doug.	8	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12 s
99	BO	Q. doug.	22	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		18 s
100	BO	Q. doug.	5	4	A	0%			NO		GOOD		6 s

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
101	BO	Q. doug.	4	2	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	6 s
102	BO	Q. doug.	8	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		10 s
103	BO	Q. doug.	10	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12 s
104	BO	Q. doug.	14	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 s
105	BO	Q. doug.	16	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		12 s
106	BO	Q. doug.	15	6	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 s
107	BO	Q. doug.	18	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		15 s
108	BO	Q. doug.	10	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		18 s
1 09	BO	Q. doug.	12	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		15 s
É 10	BO	Q. doug.	9	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		8 s
f 11	BO	Q. doug.	12	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		10 s
<u>1</u> 12	BO	Q. doug.	10	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		8 s
113	BO	Q. doug.	15	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12 s
1 ,14	BO	Q. doug.	14	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		13 s
É 15	BO	Q. doug.	13	5	А	0%			NO		FAIR		17 s
1816	BO	Q. doug.	9	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	5 s
117	BO	Q. doug.	12	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		10 s
118	BO	Q. doug.	14	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		12 s
119	BO	Q. doug.	8	2	А	0%			NO		FAIR		6 s
120	BO	Q. doug.	18	5	A	0%			NO		GOOD		22 s

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
121	BO	Q. doug.	36	4	А	0%			NO		EXCEL.		55/60
122	BO	Q. doug.	29	7	А	0%			NO		GOOD		45/55
123	BO	Q. doug.	9	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		10 s
124	BO	Q. doug.	9	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		18 s
125	BO	Q. doug.	16	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD	embedded wire	20 s
126	BO	Q. doug.	7	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12 s
127	BO	Q. doug.	13	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		16 s
127	BO	Q. doug.	6	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	10 s
1 29	BO	Q. doug.	13	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	mistletoe	10 s
1 30	BO	Q. doug.	12	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		18 s
	BO	Q. doug.	13	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		18 s
1 32	BO	Q. doug.	16	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		25 s
133	BO	Q. doug.	15	1	А	0%			NO		POOR	declining	6 s
1 34	BO	Q. doug.	26	5	А	0%			NO		GOOD		25 s
135	BO	Q. doug.	18	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	18 s
136	BO	Q. doug.	33	5	А	0%			NO		EXCEL.		56/60
137	BO	Q. doug.	32	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		40 45
138	BO	Q. doug.	32	4	A	0%			NO		GOOD		35/37
139	BO	Q. doug.	26	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR	mistletoe	30/45
140	BO	Q. doug.	26	3	A	0%			NO		FAIR	mistletoe	30/45

1 = TREE #: MOSTLY CLOCKWISE FROM DUE NORTH

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

13= NORTH SOUTH/ EAST WEST CANOPY SPREAD

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
TREE	TREE	SCIENTIFIC	TRUNK	TREE	CONST	CRZ %	CONST	MITIGATION	MONT	PRUNING	AESTH.	FIELD	NS
#	SPECIES	NAME	DBH	CONDITION	STATUS	IMPACT	IMPACT	PROPOSAL	REQUIRED	CLASS	VALUE	NOTES	EW
141	VO	Q. lobata	13	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	15/10
142	VO	Q. lobata	7	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR	suppressed	10/12
143	VO	Q. lobata	26	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		40/45
144	VO	Q. lobata	26	4	А	0%			NO		GOOD		60/55
145	VO	Q. lobata	13	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		20/22
146	VO	Q. lobata	13	4	А	0%			NO		FAIR		23/20
147	VO	Q. lobata	13	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		25/30
148	VO	Q. lobata	22	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		25/30
1 49	VO	Q. lobata	13	3	А	0%			NO		FAIR		12/12
150	VO	Q. lobata	25	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		25/25
f <u></u> 51	VO	Q. lobata	30	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		EXCEL.		50/60
<u>1</u> 52	VO	Q. lobata	12	4	А	0%		fencing	NO		GOOD		11/15
153	VO	Q. lobata	30	5	I	20%	GR	F,M	YES	II	GOOD		60/50
154	BO	Q. doug.	31	6		40%	GR	F,RP,M	YES		EXCEL.	too much impact	60/50
1 55	BO	Q. doug.	39	6	R	100%	GR	NONE	NO		EXCEL.	try to save	50/50
156	VO	Q. lobata	7	5	R	100%	GR	NONE	NO		GOOD		10/8

1 = TREE #: MOSTLY CLOCKWISE FROM DUE NORTH

2 = TREE TYPE: COMMON NAME IE.W.O.= WHITE OAK

3= SCIENTIFIC NAME

4 = TRUNK DIAMETER @ 4'6"

5 = TREE CONDITION: 1 = POOR, 10 = EXCELLENT

6 = CONSTRUCTION STATUS: AVOIDED, IMPACTED, REMOVAL

7 = CRZ: PERCENT OF IMPACTED CRITICAL ROOT ZONE

8 = CONSTRUCTION IMPACT TYPE: GRADING, COMPACTION, TRENCHING

9 = MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS: FENCING, MONITORING, ROOTPRUNING,

10 = ARBORIST MONITORING REQUIRED: YES/NO

11 = PERSCRIBED PRUNING: CLASS 1-4

12= AESTHETIC VALUE

12 = FIELD NOTES

13= NORTH SOUTH/ EAST WEST CANOPY SPREAD



Tree #1



Tree #2



Tree #18



Tree #19



Tree #20



Tree #155



Tree #156



Agenda Item No. 1 Page 658 of 979



Agenda Item No. 1 Page 659 of 979

ARCHAEOLOGICAL INVENTORY SURVEY FOR THE DESTINO PASO HOTEL PROJECT, 3340 and 3350 AIRPORT ROAD, PASO ROBLES, SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

[APN: 025-436-029/030]

Prepared for:

Karen Stier 4301 Valley Meadow Road Encino, California 91436

Prepared by:

Nancy Farrell Cultural Resource Management Services 829 Paso Robles Street Paso Robles, California 93446

June, 2016

Paso Robles 7.5' Quadrangle



CULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT SERVICES

CRMS Project No. 50-903

INTRODUCTION

At the request of Ms. Karen Steir, Cultural Resource Management Services (CRMS) conducted an archaeological inventory survey of a parcel, at xxx Airport Road [APN: 025-436-029/030]. The parcel is approximately three miles east of the City of Paso Robles and one-quarter mile north of California Highway 46, San Luis Obispo County, California (Figure 1). The purpose of this investigation was to identify any prehistoric or historic archaeological resources present on the parcel. This work was completed in order to comply with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), San Luis Obispo County, and the City of Paso Robles.

PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The project area consists of approximately 40 acres, on the east side of Paso Robles, California (Figure 2, 3, 4 and 5). The survey area is one-quarter mile north of Hwy 46 East, and south of the Paso Robles Municipal Airport. The parcel is bordered on the west by Airport Road, and on the north and east by other rural parcels, and on the south by a recreational vehicle park. A phased resort/hotel development is planned for the property

The soils of the project area consist mainly of the Arbuckle-Posita complex. These soils are very deep and well-drained soils formed in alluvial material from mixed rocks, and range in color from light gray-brown to pale brown. The remainder of the parcel contains Arbuckle-San Ysidro complex. It is also a very deep, welldrained soil formed in alluvium (Lindsey, 1983: 16-20). The soil contains few inclusions, with occasional tool-quality, unworked Monterey chert fragments, and small gravels and river cobbles.

The vegetation in this part of Paso Robles is primarily oak savanna and grassland interspersed with chaparral. On the project parcel, feral oats (*Avena* sp.), barley (*Hordeum* sp.), other annual grasses and invasive species such as yellow star thistle (*Cirsium solstitialis*), bull thistle (*Cirsium vulgare*) and bur-clover (*Medicago* sp.) have taken over following the abandonment of agriculture. Oaks (*Quercus spp.*),

-1-



Figure 1: Vicinity Map (No Scale)



Figure 2: USGS 7.5' Quadrangle, Paso Robles, CA



Figure 3: USGS 1:7200 Quadrangle, Paso Robles, CA



Figure 4: Assessor's Parcel Map w/Parcel Shown By Red Arrow



Figure 5: Paso Robles Airport Land Use Plan-Runway Safety Zones

occasional chamise (*Adenostoma fasciculatum*) shrubs, mustard (*Brassica* spp.), and thistles (*Cirsium spp*.) were observed during the survey, along with jimson weed (*Datura stramonium*), blue curl (*Trichostema lanatum*), bush morning glory (*Convolvulus cneorum*), and turkey mullein (*Croton setigerus*).

Animal species commonly occurring in the area include blacktail deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*), coyote (*Canis latrans*), ground squirrel (*Spermophilus beecheyi*), western gray squirrel (*Sciurus griseus*), pocket gopher (*Thomomys sp.*), California scrub jay (*Aphelocoma coerulescens*), red-tailed hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*), turkey vulture (*Cathartes aura*), acorn woodpecker (*Melanerpes formicuvorus*), crow (*Corvus brachyrhynchos*) Western meadowlark (*Sturnella neglecta*) and valley quail (*Lophortyx californicus*).

CULTURAL BACKGROUND

Prehistoric Overview

Archaeological evidence indicates that San Luis Obispo County was occupied as early as 9000 years ago, as indicated by dates from excavations at Diablo Canyon (Greenwood 1972), Edna Valley (Fitzgerald 2000) and Paso Robles (Stevens et al. 2004). Because of the small amount of archaeological work that has occurred in the interior south coast ranges, a definitive cultural historical sequence has not yet been constructed for this region. Olsen and Payen (1969) constructed a cultural chronology for the eastern portion of the region based on materials from San Luis, Little Panoche, and Los Banos Reservoirs. The dating of individual cultural units was later revised by Mikkelsen and Hildebrandt (1990) based on the *Olivella* bead typology developed by Bennyhoff and Hughes (1987). The following discussion on culture history incorporates these changes and extends the Millingstone period back to 10,000 years before present (B.P.). Important cultural changes are discussed within the framework of four time periods based on Central Valley (e.g. Bennyhoff and Hughes 1987) and central coast (Jones 1993) sequences:

Paleoindian Period	ca. 11,000 BP - 8500 BP
Millingstone Period	8500 - 5500 BP
Early Period	5500 - 2600 BP

-7-

Middle Period	2600 - 1000 BP
Middle/Late	
Transition Period	1000 - 750 BP
Late Period	750 - historic contact

The characteristics of each of these periods are manifested primarily in changes in the material culture and elaboration of the social structure.

Evidence for Millingstone period occupations in this region is sparse, amounting to materials recovered from two widely-separated sites. The first of these sites is the Grayson site (MER-94) in the San Luis Reservoir area (Olsen and Payen 1969). In the deepest levels of this multi-component deposit was a suite of artifacts including millingstones, handstones, small shaped mortars and pestles, simple flaked stone tools, perforated stone pendants, and beads made of whole *Olivella* shells. The second site with a possible Millingstone period occupation in the interior south coast ranges is the Salinas River Crossing Site (SLO-1756) reported by Fitzgerald (1997). Although the association between artifacts and dates at this site is not straightforward, it also yielded an artifact assemblage similar to Millingstone Horizon sites in southern California and produced a date of 7000 B.P. Other important Millingstone period sites are found nearer the coast in the Edna Valley south of San Luis Obispo (Fitzgerald 2000), and at Diablo Canyon (Greenwood 1972).

Along the coast and in interior areas, the Early period is marked by the appearance of mortars and pestles and contracting-stemmed projectile points (Olsen and Payen 1969; Jones 1993). Other artifacts found with Early period occupations are also found in Millingstone period sites including *Olivella* class L beads, large side-notched projectile points, and millingslabs and handstones. Greater numbers of sites are known from the Early period, possibly signaling a population increase.

The Middle period is well represented at sites along the central coast and increasingly in interior regions as well. The types of artifacts found in Middle period occupations are similar to those from the Early period although a larger number of bone implements and bead types are known (Olsen and Payen 1969; Jones and Waugh 1995). Projectile points tend to be contracting-stemmed types with large side-notched and square-stemmed points apparently no longer used. Excavations at Fort Hunter Liggett have shown that Middle period occupations in that area resemble those found along the coast (Jones and Haney 1997).

Late period assemblages from the interior south coast ranges are distinguished by a suite of new bead types, small side-notched and triangular arrow points, and hopper mortars as well as many artifact types found in earlier periods (Olsen and Payen 1969). At Fort Hunter Liggett, Late period occupations also included small arrow points, new bead types, as well as bedrock mortars and unshaped pestles (Jones 2000; Haney et al. 2002). On the whole, the Late period assemblages from a wide area of the central coast and interior regions appear superficially similar, but this was probably a time of continued cultural differentiation due to higher population densities.

There is clearly still a great deal to learn about the prehistory of the interior south coast ranges, but comparisons between findings in coastal areas and the relatively smaller amount of work conducted locally show that a similar set of cultural changes probably occurred in both areas. What is not well understood at this point is how people living in the interior interacted with those living along the coast. Also, it is not known how the development of complex societies further south in the Santa Barbara Channel area may have affected groups living to the north. The presence of marine shell beads in interior areas and obsidian obtained from the desert east in coastal areas is testimony to the wide-ranging trade and social networks that existed from an early date. Future work may yet uncover archaeological evidence necessary to understand these and other important issues that have only recently begun to be explored in this region.

Ethnographic Overview

At the time of European contact, the surrounding region was probably occupied by the Salinan people, although some confusion still exists among experts as to the dividing line between the Chumash and the Salinan in this area. The Salinan were bordered by the Esselen and Costanoan to the north and the Chumash to the south (Kroeber 1925). Unfortunately, very little of substance is known about Salinan culture

-9-

because of the early influence of the missions and the remoteness of their territory, meaning their traditional lifeways were altered early on and few people outside of the mission system were present to record what remained after secularization (Mason 1912).

The Salinan, like nearly all of California's original inhabitants, practiced a hunting and gathering economy. Major plant foods included acorns and a variety of small seeds while major animal foods included a diverse assortment of terrestrial mammals, marine and freshwater fish, shellfish, birds, as well as reptiles and insects. It is unclear to what extent people living inland ventured to the coast and vice versa, but it is likely that people were mobile enough to take advantage of plant and animal foods when and where they occurred. If this were the case, then diets probably varied from season to season, and from year to year, depending on what was available at any one time.

Records of the mission fathers suggest there were two, or possibly three different Salinan groups occupying different core territories and speaking slightly different versions of the same language (Mason 1912; Gibson 1983). The most well documented division was between northern and southern peoples, the Antoniño and Migueliño respectively. The third Playano (or "beach people") division is mentioned in mission registers, but has not been substantiated by linguistic or other evidence. Gibson (1983) suggests that individuals recorded as Playano speakers may have in fact been northern Chumash. Given the rugged nature of the southern Big Sur coast, it is possible that contiguous groups (e.g. Chumash, Esselen) shared the coastal area with the Salinan on a seasonal basis, although possibly not always amicably (Mason 1912).

Historic Overview

European contact in the San Luis Obispo County region may have begun as early as 1587 with the visit of Pedro de Unamuno to Morro Bay, although some scholars have questioned this based on the ambiguity of Unamano's descriptions (Mathes 1968). A visit in 1595 by Sebastian Rodriguez Cermeño is better documented (Jones *et al.* 1994:11). The earliest well-documented descriptions come from accounts by members

-10-

of Gaspar de Portola's land expedition, which passed through the region in 1769 (Squibb 1984). No large villages, such as those seen along the Santa Barbara channel, were reported by early travelers in the San Luis Obispo region.

Permanent Spanish settlement of the region began with the founding of Mission San Antonia de Padua (near King City) in 1771 and San Luis Obispo de Tolosa (in San Luis Obispo) in 1772. Twenty-five years later, Mission San Miguel Archangel was founded in the heart of southern Salinan territory. The mission properties were extensive and included an outlying rancho station near present day Paso Robles. As elsewhere, induction into the missions had a devastating effect on the local inhabitants, requiring them to live and work at the mission and abandon their former lifeways. Under the guidance of the mission fathers, the natives were instructed in farming methods, including the production of wheat, beans and various kinds of fruit. The earliest farming was intended to foster independence; thus making the import of supplies up from Mexico unnecessary.

The inauguration of Spanish colonization brought about major and devastating changes in the aboriginal society, due primarily to the introduction of European diseases. The consequent high mortality rate, and the pressure of overwhelming social change, decimated the population. By 1805, most native villages had been abandoned, and the populace had either fled or moved into the mission system (Gibson 1983). The natives who had survived the Spanish colonization period, went on to build and staff the ranchos of the Mexican and American periods which followed. By the beginning of the 20th Century, the Chumash and Salinan had been integrated into American society (Gibson 1983 and 1990; King 1984).

In 1822, Mexico attained independence of Spain and California became a Mexican territory. The Secularization Act, passed by the Mexican congress in 1833, provided for the immediate break-up of the missions and the transfer of mission lands to settlers and Indians. Work toward this end began in 1834 under Governor Figueroa. Grants were made to individuals by the governor on the recommendation of the local *alcalde* of the Mission. During the years from 1840 to 1846, a series of land grants were made from the lands of Mission San Miguel by the governors of Mexican California.

-11-

The project area was a portion of the Rancho 17,774+ acre Rancho Santa Ysabel, granted on May 12, 1844 by Mexican Governor Manuel Micheltorena to Francisco Arce (Ohles 1997: 104-110). In 1848 at the end of the Mexican war, California was ceded to the United States, and admitted to the Union is 1850. All grants were then subject to validation under U. S. laws. Based on the quality of the soil and general accessibility, a Board of Equalization in San Luis Obispo considered the parcel to be a Third Class Mexican Land Grant Ranchero. The U.S. Land Commission issued a patent on the parcel on May 21, 1866 (Cowan 1977: 93).

In 1878, a San Miguel Mission administrator, Don Innocenti Garcia, related to one Thomas Savage that Arce had sold the land to Don Francisco Rico (Temple 1974); however, no other record of this transaction has been located (Ohles 1997:110). Ownership had passed to W. V. Huntington by 1886. The West Coast Land Co. was incorporated on March 27, 1886. Their immediate objective was to purchase and develop 64,000 acres of land for resale. The land was comprised of the ranchos Santa Ysabel, El Paso de Robles, Eureka, and the unsold portion of Huer Huero. The purchase was based upon the expectation that the Southern Pacific Railroad would build a coastal line between San Francisco and Los Angeles through San Luis Obispo County (Nicholson 1993).

The 26,000 acre rancho El Paso de los Robles, granted May 12, 1844 to Pedro Navarez by Mexican Governor Manuel Micheltorena was located on the western side of the Salinas River. A patent was obtained July 20, 1866 by Petronillo Rios, but prior to the patent, the parcel was sold in two separate transactions, first to Daniel and James Blackburn on September 21, 1858. The second portion was sold July 9, 1861 to Lazarus Godchaux. They immediately began making improvements to the hot sulphur springs which had been used by local inhabitants for generations. By the 1870s, the Paso Robles Hot Springs was a well known destination for people seeking the famous curative powers of the springs. With the coming of the Southern Pacific Railroad in 1886, a town plan for Paso Robles, on the western side of the Salinas River, was commissioned and was completed by 1887. Throughout the later part of the nineteenth and most of the twentieth century, the economy of the Paso Robles region was largely

-12-

agricultural. Cattle ranches, dairies, almond and other fruit orchards, and large tracts devoted to dry land grain production comprised the rural landscape.

With the onset of World War II, Paso Robles became home to a Marine Corps Air Station. An article in the Paso Robles Press on August 27, 1942, announced the plan to build what would be known as the Naval Auxiliary Air Station, Paso Robles, just six miles northeast of the City. Doudell Construction Company, San Jose, broke ground on September 3, 1942 with the arrival of 2000 construction workers. Two new 4700' runways, along with 43 buildings that included housing, administration, and storage facilities were completed by April 8, 1943. On that day, the Navy handed over control of the Air Station to the Army Air Forces, deciding that stations in the San Joaquin Valley were more favorable. The Air Station became the Estrella Army Air Field, and would be used for night flight training. By December 1943, over 1500 military personnel were stationed both at Estrella, and at Sherwood Field, the Navy's auxiliary airfield southeast of Paso Robles. On October 15, 1944, the airfield was inactivated, and in August 1947 the 966.8 acres was transferred to the County of San Luis Obispo, with the stipulation that it be used as a public airport. An additional 90 acres was transferred to the State of California in August 1948, with buildings on that parcel to be used for a boys' school. In 1973, the County sold the property to the City of Paso Robles, and the air base officially became the Paso Robles Municipal Airport.

Agriculture has continued to be the mainstay of the region up to the present, with increasing emphasis on viticulture and wine-making. The proliferation of wineries in the last 25 years has lead to tourism once again becoming a major component of the local economy.

MAP AND RECORDS SEARCH RESULTS

Concurrent with the field survey, a records and literature search was conducted at the Central Coast Information Center (CCIC), U.C. Santa Barbara, which is the Statedesignated regional clearinghouse for archaeological site information for San Luis Obispo County. Eight previous cultural resource studies have been conducted within a one-quarter mile radius of the project area (Waldron 1985; E-S, Inc. 1988; PAR 1992;

-13-

Singer 1996, 2000; Glover 1999; Conway 2001; Gibson 2006). Two of these previous reconnaissance level investigations included part or all of the project area. No archaeological sites have been identified within the search radius (Exhibit A). The State Historic Property Data Files, National Register of Historic Places, National Register of Determined Eligible Properties, California Historical Landmarks, California Points of Historic Interest, California OHP Archaeological Determinations of Eligibility, and the Caltrans State and Local Bridge Surveys were also searched . No historic property evaluations were found within the search radius (Exhibit A).

In addition, a Sacred Lands search was conducted at the Native American Heritage (HAHC) commission in Sacramento. The results of that search were negative. In accordance with the results letter from the NAHC, letters were written to the interested Native Americans and groups listed in their response (Exhibit B).

RESULTS OF FIELD INVESTIGATION

A field reconnaissance of the project area was made on June 12, 2016 by Nancy Farrell and Ron Rose of CRMS. A previous attempt at the investigation proved fruitless, due to the dense growth of oats and other grasses following winter rains. At CRMS request, the parcel was mowed. This improved the mineral surface visibility to 80%. The survey was accomplished by the two aforementioned archaeologists walking straight-line transects across the entire property, spaced at four meter intervals. Although a few pieces of Monterey chert (a preferred flaked stone tool material) were present, no prehistoric or historic cultural resources were encountered during the survey (Figure 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12).



Figure 6: Overview To Northeast



Figure 7: Overview To Northwest



Figure 8: Overview To Northwest



Figure 9: Overview To Southeast



Figure 10: Overview To West



Figure 11: Early 20th Century Farmhouse-View To Northwest



Figure 12: Farmstead Barn-View To Northeast

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Due to the fact that no significant cultural resources were located within the survey area, no other archaeological investigations are recommended for this proect. It is always possible, however, that significant cultural resources could lie buried below the surface. Therefore, if artifacts, burials, or other indicators of significant cultural resources are encountered during grading or other earth-moving construction activities, work should stop immediately and a qualified archaeologist should be called to the site to evaluate the find and suggest mitigation measures, if necessary.

On the property is an existing farmstead consisting of a house and barn dating to the early 20th century. At this time, the planned development excludes this portion of the property, and no impact to this resource is anticipated. Should future development, not presently planned, impact these structures, then a historic structures assessment should be performed prior to development and any negative impacts.

It must also be noted that the subject property lies within safety zones 2, 3 and 4 of the Paso Robles Municipal Airport Land Use Plan. The compatible uses and densities are dictated by this document (City of Paso Robles 1977).

REFERENCES CITED

Bennyhoff, J. A., and R. E. Hughes

1987 Shell Beads and Ornament Exchange Networks Between California and the Western Great Basin. American Museum of Natural History Anthropological Papers 64(2).

City of Paso Robles

1977 *City of Paso Robles Municipal Airport Land Use Plan,* adopted November 1977, amended February 16, 2005, and further amended May 16, 2007.

Conway, Thor

2001 An Archaeological Surface Survey at the Black Ranch, Highway 46, Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo County, CA.

Engineering-Science, Inc.

1988 Draft Hazardous Wast Mangement Plan, Environmental Impact report.

Fitzgerald, Richard T.

- 1997 Archaeological Data Recovery at the Salinas River Crossing Site, CA-SLO-1756, San Luis Obispo County, California, for the Coastal Branch, Phase II Project. Submitted to the California Department of Water Resources, Sacramento, California. Garcia and Associates, Santa Cruz, California.
- 2000 *Cross Creek: An Early Holocene/Millingstone Site*. California State Water Project, Coastal Branch Series Paper No. 12. San Luis Obispo County Archaeological Society.

Gibson, Robert O.

- 1983 *Ethnogeography of the Salinan People: A Systems Approach*. Master's Thesis, California State University, Hayward.
- 2006 Results of Archival Records Search and Phase One Archaeological surface Survey for the Vina Robles 12-inch Waterline Project, Highway 46 East, Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo County, CA.

Glover, Leslie C. Et.al

1999 Archaeological Survey Report for a Highway Widening from Two lanes to Four along Highway 46, San Luis Obispo County, CA.

Greenwood, R.S.

1972 9000 Years of Prehistory at Diablo Canyon, San Luis Obispo County, California. San Luis Obispo County Archaeological Society Occasional Paper 2, San Luis Obispo.

Haney, Jefferson W, Terry L. Jones, and Jennifer M. Farqhuar

2002 *Phase II Archaeological Investigation of CA-MNT-879 Fort Hunter Liggett, Monterey County, California.* Report submitted to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District.

Jones, Terry L.

- 1993 Big Sur: A Keystone in Central California Cultural History. *Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly* 29(1):1-78.
- 2000 Archaeological Evaluations at CA-MNT-237 and CA-MNT-519, Fort Hunter Liggett, Monterey County, California. Report submitted to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District.

Jones, Terry L., Kathleen Davis, Glenn Farris, Steven D. Grantham, Teresa W. Fung, and Betty Rivers

1994 Toward a Prehistory of Morro Bay: Phase II Archaeological Investigations for the Highway 41 Widening Project, San Luis Obispo County, California. Report prepared for Caltrans District 5, San Luis Obispo, California.

Jones, Terry L., and Jefferson W. Haney

1997 Archaeological Evaluation of CA-MNT-521, Fort Hunter Liggett, Monterey County, California. Report submitted to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District.

Jones, Terry L. and Georgie Waugh

1995 Central California Prehistory: A View from Little Pico Creek. *Perspectives in California Archaeology, Vol. 3.* Institute of Archaeology, University of California, Los Angeles.

Kroeber, Alfred

1925 *Handbook of the Indians of California*. Facsimile edition, California Book Company, 1953.

Lindsey, Wesley C.

1983 *Soil Survey of San Luis Obispo County, California. Paso Robles Area.* United States Department of Agriculture.

Mason, J.A.

1912 The Ethnology of the Salinan Indians. *University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology*, Vol. 10, No.4, pp.97-240, pls. 21-37. Berkeley, The University Press.

-19-

Mathes, W. M.

1968 *Sebastián Vizcaino and Spanish Expansion in the Pacific Ocean, 1580-1630.* California Historical Society, San Francisco

Mikkelsen, P.J., and W. R. Hildebrandt

1990 Archaeological Inventory and Evaluation for the Proposed Los Banos Grandes Reservoir, Merced County, California. Report on file, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Sacramento.

Olsen, W. H., and L. A. Payen

1969 *Archaeology of the Grayson Site*. California Department of Parks and Recreation Archaeological Report No. 12. Sacramento.

City of Paso Robles

1977 Paso Robles Airport Land Use Plan, Amended 2005 and 2007.

PAR Environmental Services

1992 Historic Property Survey Report for Proposed Lane Widening of State Route 46, PM 32.2 to 36.4.

Rivers, Betty

2000 A Line Through the Past: Historical and Ethnographic Background for the Branch Canal. *California State Water Project, Coastal Branch Series Paper* No. 1. San Luis Obispo County Archaeological Society.

Singer, Clay

- 1996 Cultural Resources Survey and Impact Assessment for the Huer Huero Golf Course Project in the City of El Paso de Robles, San Luis Obispo County, California.
- 2000 Cultural resources survey and impact assessment for a 35 acre property at Highway 46 and Airport Road in the City of El Paso de Robles, San Luis Obispo county, California [APN 025-431-023]

Squibb, Paul

1984 *Captain Portola in San Luis Obispo County in 1769.* Tabula Rasa Press. Morro Bay, California.

Stevens, Nathan, Richard T. Fitzgerald, Nancy Farrell, Mark A. Giambastiani, Jennifer M. Farquar, and Dayna Tinsley

2004 *Archaeological Test Excavations at Santa Ysabel Ranch, Paso Robles, San Luis Obispo County, California.* Report submitted to Weyrich Development Company, LLC. Cultural Resource Management Services, Paso Robles.

-20-

Treinen, Richard M.

2007 *The Watershed of San Luis Obispo County*, Earth and Soil Sciences Department, California Polytechnic State University

Waldron, Wendy

1985 Survey of Road Widening along Highway 46, including bridges no. 49-165 and 49-34, located between the junction of Routes 101/46 and Airport Road, SLO County.

Exhibit A

Records and Literature Search Cental Coast Information Center University of California Santa Barbara, CA California Archaeological Inventory

> SAN LUIS OBISPO AND SANTA BARBARA COUNTIES

Central Coast Information Center Department of Anthropology University of California, Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3210 (805) 893-2474

(805) 893-2474 FAX (805) 893-8707 centralcoastinfo@gmail.com

4/18/2016

Nancy Farrell Cultural Resource Management Services 829 Paso Robles Street Paso Robles, CA 93446

Re: Destino Paso Hotel

The Central Coast Information Center received your record search request for the project area referenced above, located on the Paso Robles USGS 7.5' quad(s). The following reflects the results of the records search for the project area and a one-quarter mile radius:

As indicated on the data request form, the locations of reports and resources are provided in the following format: Ecustom GIS maps Shapefiles I hand-drawn maps

Resources within project area:	None	
Resources within ¼-mile radius:	None	
Reports within project area:	SL-01643, -04246, -04360, -06175	
Reports within ¼-mile radius:	SL-00486, -02333, -03002, -04020	

Resource Database Printout (list):	Li enclosed	Li not requested	in nothing listed	
Resource Database Printout (details):	enclosed	□ not requested	nothing listed	
Resource Digital Database Records:	enclosed	Inot requested	□ nothing listed	
Report Database Printout (list):	enclosed	□ not requested	□ nothing listed	1
Report Database Printout (details):	enclosed	I not requested	\Box nothing listed	
Report Digital Database Records:	enclosed	Inot requested	□ nothing listed	
Resource Record Copies:	enclosed	□ not requested	Inothing listed	
Report Copies:	enclosed	Inot requested	nothing listed	
OHP Historic Properties Directory:	enclosed	not requested	nothing listed	
Archaeological Determinations of Eligibility:	enclosed	□ not requested	nothing listed	
CA Inventory of Historic Resources (1976):	enclosed	I not requested	nothing listed	

Caltrans Bridge Survey:	\Box enclosed \Box not requested \Box nothing listed
Ethnographic Information:	🗆 enclosed 🔳 not requested 🗆 nothing listed
Historical Literature:	enclosed not requested nothing listed
Historical Maps:	🗆 enclosed 🔳 not requested 🗆 nothing listed
Local Inventories:	🗆 enclosed 🔳 not requested 🖾 nothing listed
GLO and/or Rancho Plat Maps:	□ enclosed □ not requested □ nothing listed
Shipwreck Inventory:	🗆 enclosed 🔳 not requested 🗆 nothing listed
Soll Survey Maps:	🗆 enclosed 📕 not requested 🖾 nothing listed

Please forward a copy of any resulting reports from this project to the office as soon as possible. Due to the sensitive nature of archaeological site location data, we ask that you do not include resource location maps and resource location descriptions in your report if the report is for public distribution. If you have any questions regarding the results presented herein, please contact the office at the phone number listed above.

The provision of California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) data via this records search response does not in any way constitute public disclosure of records otherwise exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act or any other law, including, but not limited to, records related to archeological site information maintained by or on behalf of, or in the possession of, the State of California, Department of Parks and Recreation, State Historic Preservation Officer, Office of Historic Preservation, or the State Historical Resources Commission.

Due to processing delays and other factors, not all of the historical resource reports and resource records that have been submitted to the Office of Historic Preservation are available via this records search. Additional information may be available through the federal, state, and local agencies that produced or paid for historical resource management work in the search area. Additionally, Native American tribes have historical resource information not in the CHRIS Inventory, and you should contact the California Native American Heritage Commission for information on local/regional tribal contacts.

Should you require any additional information for the above referenced project, reference the record search number listed above when making inquiries. Requests made after initial invoicing will result in the preparation of a separate invoice.

Thank you for using the CHRIS.

Sincerely,

Jessika Akmenkalns, M.A. Assistant Coordinator
Exhibit B

Sacred Lands Search (NAHC) Response From NAHC Early Participation Notice To Native Americans and Groups Response From Native Americans and Groups

Cultural Resource Management Services



CULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT SERVICES

829 Paso Robles Street Paso Robles, CA 93446 Phone 805-237-3838 Fax 805-237-3849

April 4, 2016

Ms. Katy Sanchez, Program Analyst California Native American Heritage Commission 1550 Harbor Blvd., Suite 100 West Sacramento, CA 95691

RE: Destino Paso Resort Hotel, 42 Acres 3340 and 3350 Airport Road, Paso Robles, CA, APN: 025-436-029 and 030

Dear Ms. Sanchez:

The owners of the property described are planning a phased development to include a resort hotel, as well as additional infrastructure and amenities.

Cultural Resource Management Services (CRMS) has been retained, to prepare a Phase I surface survey as well as consult with interested Native Americans and Native American groups relative to the proposed construction project.

Please review the sacred lands files for any Native American Sacred resources or sites that may be within or adjacent to the area of potential effect (APE). Please verify that any sacred sites in the vicinity are not in the APE. The project area is within the incorporated limits of the City of Paso Robles, and is identified on the attached portion of the USGS Paso Robles 7.5' Quadrangle. The parcel is in Section 23 and 24, Range 12 East Township 26 South MDM.

Also provide a list, including names and addresses, of Native American individuals and organizations who may have knowledge of cultural resources in the project area; or who may have a concern or wish to comment on the project. If you have any questions contact me at the phone number or address shown, or by email <u>ronrose@crms.com</u>. We look forward to your reply.

Best regards,

Ron Rose Vice President

Encl: Portion of USGS 7.5' Quadrangle Paso Robles, CA



Portion of USGS 7.5' Quadrangle, Paso Robles, CA

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Gavernor

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION 1550 Harbor Blvd., Sulte 100 Weat Sacramento, CA 96691 (916) 973-53710 (916) 973-5471 FAX



April 21, 2016

Ron Rose Cultural Resource Management Services

Sent by e-mail: ronrose@crms.com Number of Pages: 3

RE: Proposed Destino Paso Resort Hotel Project, Paso Robles USGS Quadrangles, San Luis Obispo County, California

Dear Mr. Rose:

Attached is a consultation list of tribes with traditional lands or cultural places located within the boundaries of the above referenced counties. Please note that the intent above reference codes is to mitigate impacts to tribal cultural resources, as defined, for California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) projects.

As of July 1, 2015, Public Resources Code Sections 21080.3.1 and 21080.3.2 require public agencies to consult with California Native American tribes identified by the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) for the purpose mitigating impacts to tribal cultural resources:

Within 14 days of determining that an application for a project is complete or a decision by a public agency to undertake a project, the lead agency shall provide formal notification to the designated contact of, or a tribal representative of, traditionally and culturally affiliated California Native American tribes that have requested notice, which shall be accomplished by means of at least one written notification that includes a brief description of the proposed project and its location, the lead agency contact information, and a notification that the California Native American tribe has 30 days to request consultation pursuant to this section. (Public Resources Code Section 21080.3.1(d))

The law does not preclude agencies from initiating consultation with the tribes that are culturally and traditionally affiliated with their jurisdictions. The NAHC believes that in fact that this is the best practice to ensure that tribes are consulted commensurate with the intent of the law.

In accordance with Public Resources Code Section 21080.3.1(d), formal notification must include a brief description of the proposed project and its location, the lead agency contact information, and a notification that the California Native American tribe has 30 days to request consultation. The NAHC believes that agencies should also include with their notification letters information regarding any cultural resources assessment that has been completed on the APE, such as:

- The results of any record search that may have been conducted at an Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS), including, but not limited to:
 - A listing of any and all known cultural resources have already been recorded on or adjacent to the APE;
 - Copies of any and all cultural resource records and study reports that may have been provided by the Information Center as part of the records search response;
 - If the probability is low, moderate, or high that cultural resources are located in the APE.
 - Whether the records search indicates a low, moderate or high probability that unrecorded cultural
 resources are located in the potential APE; and
 - If a survey is recommended by the Information Center to determine whether previously unrecorded cultural resources are present.

-28-

- 2. The results of any archaeological inventory survey that was conducted, including:
 - Any report that may contain site forms, site significance, and suggested mitigation measurers.

All information regarding site locations, Native American human remains, and associated funerary objects should be in a separate confidential addendum, and not be made available for public disclosure in accordance with Government Code Section 6254.10.

- The results of any Sacred Lands File (SFL) check conducted through Native American Heritage Commission. <u>A search of the SFL was completed for the USGS quadrangle information provided with</u> negative results.
- 4. Any ethnographic studies conducted for any area including all or part of the potential APE; and
- 5. Any geotechnical reports regarding all or part of the potential APE.

Lead agencies should be aware that records maintained by the NAHC and CHRIS is not exhaustive, and a negative response to these searches does not preclude the existence of a cultural place. A tribe may be the only source of information regarding the existence of a tribal cultural resource.

This information will aid tribes in determining whether to request formal consultation. In the case that they do, having the information beforehand well help to facilitate the consultation process.

If you receive notification of change of addresses and phone numbers from tribes, please notify me. With your assistance we are able to assure that our consultation list contains current information.

If you have any questions, please contact me at my email address: gayle.totton@nahc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Atulis Joth

Gayle Totton, M.A., PhD. Associate Governmental Program Analyst



Cultural Resource Management Services

June 3, 2016

829 Paso Robles Street Paso Robles, CA 93446 Phone 805-237-3838 Fax 805-237-3849

RE: Destino Paso Resort Hotel, 42 Acres 3340 and 3350 Airport Road, Paso Robles, CA, APN: 025-436-029 and 030

Dear XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

The owners of the property described are planning a phased development to include a resort hotel, as well as additional infrastructure and amenities.

Cultural Resource Management Services (CRMS) has been retained, to prepare a Phase I surface survey as well as consult with interested Native Americans and Native American groups relative to the proposed construction project.

Cultural Resource Management Services (CRMS) has been retained by the Owners to prepare a Phase I surface survey as well as inform and request input from interested Native Americans and organizations relative to the proposed project. The project area is depicted on the attached portion of the Paso Robles 7.5' Quadrangle. The parcel is in Section 23 and 24, Range 12 East Township 26 South MDM. A Sacred Lands Search with the Native American Heritage Commission revealed no Sacred Sites within the project area of potential effect (APE) nor in the immediate vicinity.

Please contact me as soon as possible if you or your organization have any information about the study area, including any knowledge of any possible Sacred Sites, or concerns about the anticipated project. You may phone me or write me at the numbers and address listed or email me at: <u>ronrose@crms.com</u>. Once again, if you wish to comment, respond as soon as possible.

Thanks for your help.

Best regards,

Ron Rose Vice President

Encl: Portion of USGS 7.5' Quadrangle, Paso Robles, CA

The letter on the previous page was sent to the following individuals and groups. XXXX substituted for address and salutation.

Native American Heritage Commission Tribal Consultation List San Luis Obispo County April 21, 2016

Salinan Tribe of Monterey, San Luis Obispo Counties Patti Dunton, Tribal Administrator 7070 Morro Road, Suite A Salinan Atascadero CA 93422 Chumash salinantribe@aol.com (805) 464-2650 (805) 235-2730 Cell

Xolon-Salinan Tribe Karen White, Council Chairperson PO Box 7045 Salinan Spreckels , CA 93962 blukat41@yahoo.com 831-238-1488

This list is current only as of the date of this document and is based on the information available to the Commission on the date it was produced. Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list applicable only for consultation with Native American tribes under Public Resources Code Sections 21080.3.1 for the proposed Destino Paso Resort Hotel Project, City of Paso Robles, Paso Robles USGS Quadrangle, San Luis Oblepo County, California. Response to letters written:

Letters were sent on June 3, 2016, and again on June 15. No responses have been received.

Even though there has been response to the early participation notice dated June 3, 2016 and subsequent mailing, little response to the initial contact does not imply no interest, since the tribes receive much similar correspondence monthly from private parties and state and federal agencies. Limited tribal resources and government protocol impact the response process. As the project planning continues, or-going efforts should be made to contact and work with the tribes, arranging face-to-face meetings. This on-going consultation relationship with the tribes may enhance information sharing, and benefit the project.

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING AND LID INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS DESTINO PASO AIRPORT ROAD PASO ROBLES, CALIFORNIA

April 11, 2016

Prepared for

Mrs. Karen Stier

Prepared by

Earth Systems Pacific 4378 Old Santa Fe Road San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Copyright © 2016



April 11, 2016

4378 Old Santa Fe Road San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 Ph: 805.544.3276 esp@earthsystems.com www.earthsystems.com

PID-000358-001

Mrs. Karen Stier 4301 Valley Meadow Road Encino, CA 91436

- PROJECT: DESTINO PASO AIRPORT ROAD PASO ROBLES, CALIFORNIA
- SUBJECT: Geotechnical Engineering Report and LID Infiltration Test Results
- REF: Proposal to provide a Geotechnical Engineering Report and LID Infiltration Testing, Destino Paso Hotel, Airport Road, Paso Robles, California, by Earth Systems Pacific, Doc. No. 1603-011.PRP, dated March 3, 2016

Dear Mrs. Stier:

As per your authorization of the referenced proposal, this geotechnical engineering report has been prepared for use in the development of plans and specifications for the proposed Destino Paso hotel project, in Paso Robles, California. Low Impact Development (LID) infiltration testing was also performed. Preliminary geotechnical engineering recommendations for site preparation, grading, utility trenches, foundations, interior slabs-on-grade and exterior pedestrian flatwork, screen and retaining walls, the swimming pool and spa, pavement sections, drainage and maintenance, and observation and testing are presented herein. Two bound copies and one electronic copy of the report are furnished for your use. As requested, an electronic copy has been forwarded as indicated below.

We appreciate the opportunity to have provided professional services for this project and look forward to working with you again in the future. If there are any questions concerning this report, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely, Earth Systems Pacific No. 80666 Exp. 3 Robert Down, PE Kyle Martinez, PE Senior Engineer **Project Engineer** 4/11/16 4/11/10 OF CALIFORN Copy to: Mr. Dick Sargon North Coast Engineering, Attn.: Mr. Larry Werner Stanton Architecture, Attn.: Mr. Michael Stanton

Doc. No.: 1604-024.SER/jr



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		page
COVER	R LETTER	ii
1.0	INTRODUCTION AND SITE SETTING	1
2.0	SCOPE OF SERVICES	2
3.0	FIELD INVESTIGATION	3
4.0	LABORATORY ANALYSIS	4
5.0	GENERAL SUBSURFACE PROFILE	4
6.0	LID INFILTRATION TESTING	4
7.0	CONCLUSIONS	5
8.0	PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING RECOMMENDATIONS	7
	Site Preparation Grading Utility Trenches Foundations Interior Slabs-on-Grade and Exterior Pedestrian Flatwork Screen and Retaining Walls Swimming Pool and Spa Pavement Sections Drainage and Maintenance. Observation and Testing	8 9 11 12 14 16 18 20 23 25
9.0	CLOSURE	26
	TECHNICAL REFERENCES	28

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Boring and Infiltration Test Location Map Boring Log Legend Boring and Infiltration Logs
APPENDIX B	Laboratory Test Results
APPENDIX C	LID Infiltration Test Results
APPENDIX D	Typical Detail A: Pipe Placed Parallel to Footing



1.0 INTRODUCTION AND SITE SETTING

The new resort hotel will be constructed on the east side of Airport Road, approximately 0.5 miles north of its intersection with Highway 46 in Paso Robles, California. We understand that conceptual plans call for the site to be developed with three hotel buildings; a main hotel, a phase 2 hotel, and a lodge. At this phase of the development however, only the main hotel is planned for development and is, therefore the only structure addressed within this report. The structure will have a footprint of approximately 20,000 square feet, will be one to three stories, constructed of conventional stud framing, and will utilize slab-on-grade floors. We have assumed that conventional continuous and spread foundations are desired to support the structure. For the purposes of this report, we have assumed maximum continuous loads of 3 klf and maximum isolated loads of 150 kips.

Additional improvements will include a porte cochere, swimming pool, spa, pedestrian flatwork, landscaping, trash enclosures, and parking areas to serve the main hotel. Access to the hotel will be from Airport Road; the parking area will located on the east side of hotel. Roadway and parking areas will be surfaced with hot-mixed asphalt (HMA), Portland Cement Concrete (PCC), permeable pavers, or a combination of these. Low Impact Development (LID) Stormwater Control Measures (SCM), such as permeable pavers, bioswales, vegetated swales, and/or infiltration basins are planned to be incorporated into the project.

The site is currently occupied by a single family residence and barn structure. The planned location of the main hotel structure is relatively flat and lies at an elevation of approximately 100 feet higher than Airport Road. The site ascends mildly to the east from Airport Road for about 500 linear feet then transitions to a slightly steeper slope up to the proposed building pad area. Along the southern boundary of the building pad, the site descends at an approximate gradient of 3:1 to 2:1 (horizontal to vertical) for a height of about 35 feet. Grading for the planned improvements will entail cuts and fills on the order of 4 feet, or less; with less than 2 feet in the building area. Screen and/or retaining walls, not exceeding a height of 6 feet, may also be constructed to accommodate the planned grading. No sunken rooms or basements are planned for this project.

At the time that this report was prepared, the site was occupied with the single family residence and barn structures, and surfaced with seasonal grasses.



Destino Paso Paso Robles, CA

2.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of work for the geotechnical engineering report included a general site reconnaissance, subsurface exploration, laboratory analysis of soil samples, geotechnical analysis of the data, and the preparation of this report. LID infiltration testing was also performed.

The geotechnical analysis and subsequent recommendations were based, in part, upon conceptual site plan and email information provided by Mr. Larry Werner of North Coast Engineering, the project's civil consultant. This report and recommendations are intended to comply with applicable requirements of Sections 1803.2 through 1803.6, J104.3 and J104.4 of the 2013 California Building Code (CBC), and common geotechnical engineering practice in this area under similar conditions at this time. The test procedures were accomplished in general conformance with the standards noted, as modified by common geotechnical engineering practice in this area under similar conditions at this time.

Preliminary geotechnical engineering recommendations for site preparation, grading, utility trenches, foundations, interior slabs-on-grade and exterior pedestrian flatwork, screen and retaining walls, the swimming pool and spa, pavement sections, drainage and maintenance, and observation and testing are presented herein. As there may be geotechnical issues yet to be resolved, the geotechnical engineer should be retained to provide consultation as the design progresses, to assist in verifying that the pertinent geotechnical issues have been addressed and to aid in the conformance with the intent of this report. It may also be advantageous to retain the geotechnical engineer to review the project plans as they near completion, to further aid in conformance of the plans with the intent of this report.

It is our intent that this report be used exclusively by the client to form the geotechnical basis of the design of the project and in the preparation of plans and specifications. Application beyond this intent is strictly at the user's risk.

This report does not address issues in the domain of contractors such as, but not limited to, site safety, loss of volume due to stripping of the site, shrinkage of soils during compaction, excavatability, temporary slope angles, shoring, construction means and methods, etc. Analyses of areal or site geology, or of the soil for corrosivity, lead or mold potential, radioisotopes, asbestos (either man-made or naturally occurring), hydrocarbons, or other chemical properties are beyond the scope of this report. Evaluation of ancillary features such as temporary access roads, fences, light and flag poles, signage, and nonstructural fills are all not within our scope and are also not addressed.



In the event that there are any changes in the nature, design, or location of improvements, or if any assumptions used in the preparation of this report prove to be incorrect, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report shall not be considered valid unless the changes are reviewed and the conclusions of this report verified or modified in writing by the geotechnical engineer. The criteria presented in this report are considered preliminary until such time as any peer review or review by any jurisdiction has been completed, conditions are observed by the geotechnical engineer in the field during construction, and the recommendations have been verified as appropriate, or modified in writing by the geotechnical engineer.

As part of our work, infiltration tests were performed in the proposed LID improvement areas. The results of the tests were tabulated and conclusions were developed regarding the *general* suitability of the areas tested for disposal of stormwater runoff. However, specific evaluation of the infiltration test results, and development of infiltration criteria as they pertain to location, sizing, and design of stormwater systems are the responsibility of others.

3.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION

Exploratory Borings

On March 15, 2016, six exploratory borings were drilled to a maximum depth of 21.5 feet below the existing ground surface at the site. The approximate locations of the borings are shown on the Boring and Infiltration Test Location Map in Appendix A. A CME-75 Drill rig, equipped with an 8-inch outside diameter hollow stem auger and an automatic trip hammer for sampling was used to drill the borings. As the borings were drilled, soil samples were retrieved using a ring-lined barrel sampler (ASTM D 3550-01/07, with shoe similar to D 2937-10). Standard Penetration Tests (ASTM D 1586-11) were also conducted at selected depths in the borings and bulk samples were obtained from the auger cuttings.

Soils encountered in the borings were categorized and logged in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System and ASTM D 2488-09a. Logs of the borings are presented in Appendix A, along with a boring log legend. In reviewing the boring logs and legend, the reader should recognize that the legend is intended as a guideline only, and there are a number of conditions that may influence the soil characteristics as observed during drilling. These include, but are not limited to, the presence of cobbles or boulders, cementation, variations in soil moisture, presence of groundwater, and other factors. Consequently, the logger must exercise judgment in interpreting soil characteristics, possibly resulting in soil descriptions that vary from the legend.



LID Infiltration Borings

Also on March 15, 2016, eight infiltration test borings will drilled at the site using the same drill rig described above. The approximate locations of the test borings are shown on the Boring and Infiltration Test Location Map in Appendix A. The test borings are designated on the map as Infiltration Test Locations A through H and were drilled to depths ranging from 3.5 to 10 feet below the existing ground surface. The test procedure and results of the testing are discussed in the "LID Infiltration Testing" section below.

4.0 LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Selected ring samples were tested for bulk density (ASTM D 2937-10) and moisture (ASTM D 2216-10); two ring samples were tested for cohesion and angle of internal friction by direct shear (ASTM D 3080/D3080M-11). One bulk sample was tested for maximum density and optimum moisture (ASTM D 1557-12), expansion index (ASTM D 4829-11), sieve analysis (ASTM D 422-63/07), and R-Value (ASTM D 2844/D2844M-13). A second bulk sample was also tested for maximum density and optimum moisture. The laboratory test results can be found in Appendix B.

5.0 GENERAL SUBSURFACE PROFILE

Similar subsurface conditions were found throughout the site. The site was found to be surfaced with a 1 to 2.5-foot thick veneer of brown clayey sand topsoil in a loose to medium dense condition. Underlying the topsoil were deposits of the Paso Robles Formation. The Paso Robles Formation is a sedimentary formation that typically comprises mixtures of clay, silt, sand, and gravel. In some areas, the formation is characterized by rock-like structure, consistency and hardness, and is described as a sandstone, siltstone, claystone, or conglomerate material. In others, the material has more soil-like qualities. At this site, the formation exhibited soil-like qualities, and was logged as medium dense to very dense clayey sand and well graded sand. In Boring 2, a layer of hard sandy lean clay was encountered between 11 and 15.5 feet below the existing ground surface.

The soils were logged as being slightly moist to very moist. Free subsurface water was not encountered in any of the borings to the maximum depth explored of 21.5 feet

6.0 LID INFILTRATION TESTING

After drilling was completed, a 2-inch diameter perforated pipe was installed in each of the test borings and the annulus spaces around the pipes were filled with gravel. Infiltration testing was performed in general accordance with the referenced methods (Earth Systems Pacific 2013).



Initially, testing consisted of introducing water into each of the test borings to just below existing grade. This water level was then maintained at constant head for 30 minutes. After the 30-minute period, the water was shut off and the amount of water introduced into each of the test borings was recorded. Readings of the change in water level were then recorded at various time intervals over a period of approximately 2 to 5 hours. Following testing, the pipes were removed and the test borings were backfilled with on-site soil. The LID infiltration test results are presented in Appendix C.

Constant head infiltration testing resulted in introducing 0.01 to 1.39 cubic feet of water over a period of 30 minutes at 3.0 to 9.5 feet of head. Initial and final falling head tests resulted in infiltration rates of 4 to 444 inches per hour and 1 to 32 inches per hour, respectively. These test results indicate generally slow rates of infiltration in Infiltration Tests A though D and slow to moderate rates in Infiltration Tests E through H. It should also be noted that the rates are dependent upon head pressure. The test results only indicate the infiltration rates at the specific location and under specific conditions. Sound engineering judgment should be exercised in extrapolating the test results for other conditions or locations. Technical design references vary in methods they present for using these types of test results. However, most references include reduction, safety, and/or correction factors for several parameters including, but not limited to, size of the LID system relative to the test volume, number of tests conducted, variability in the soil profile, anticipated silt loading, anticipated biological buildup, anticipated long-term maintenance, and other factors. Typically, in aggregate these factors range from about 2.5 to 50 depending upon the method used. The final determination of the means by which these data are used is left to the design engineer.

7.0 CONCLUSIONS

In our opinion, the site is suitable, from a geotechnical engineering standpoint, for the proposed development and other improvements discussed in the "Introduction and Site Setting" section of the report, provided the recommendations contained herein are implemented in the design and construction. The primary geotechnical concerns at this site are the potential for differential settlement, and the proximity of the hotel structure, pool, and possible retaining walls to descending slopes. The expansion potential of the on-site materials, results of our seismic analysis, the potential for liquefaction, and the erodible nature of the site soils are also discussed below.





Differential Settlement

The planned finish floor elevation of the main hotel is currently unknown; however, based upon the anticipated cuts and fills described in the "Introduction and Site Setting" section of this report, there is a potential for the foundations of the structure to be founded in newly placed fill materials, in-site topsoil materials, in-situ Paso Robles formation materials, or a combination thereof. This creates a potential for differential settlement. Differential settlement occurs when the foundation of a particular structure spans materials having different settlement characteristics. This can stress and damage foundations, often resulting in severe cracks and displacement.

To reduce the potential of differential settlement, it is necessary for all foundations of the structure to bear within sufficiently uniform material. As a result, it is recommended that the foundations of the main hotel structure be founded within the underlying Paso Robles formation. If the building pad area is to be elevated above existing grade, additional and/or revised geotechnical engineering recommendations may be required.

Descending Slope

Foundations and/or improvements constructed on or near a descending slope may be subject to insufficient vertical and lateral support due to erosion, future grading, etc. Also, when a foundation, particularly one that carries sustained lateral loads such as the foundation of a retaining wall, sits near a descending slope, it tends to settle and move laterally toward the slope unless a sufficient depth and distance back from the slope is maintained. To reduce the potential for insufficient vertical and lateral support, and reduce potential for lateral movement, deepening of the footings or other measures may be necessary to ensure stability of the footings. This is further discussed in the "Foundations" and "Screen and Retaining Walls" sections of the report.

Expansive Soils

An expansion index test was performed on a sample of the Paso Robles formation, and produced a value of 0. This indicates that the on-site soils are nonexpansive and no remedial measures are considered to be necessary. It should be noted, however that a layer of sandy lean clay, which is believe to be expansive, was encountered in Boring 2 at an approximate depth of 11 feet below the existing ground surface. This is within the proposed pool and spa area. The depth of the pool is currently unknown, however if the excavation bottom for the pool shell is in close proximity to this layer, the pool should be adequately protected from expansive soils. This is further discussed in the "Swimming Pool and Spa" section of this report.



Seismic Analysis

A seismic analysis was undertaken to provide seismic acceleration design parameters. The ASCE 7-10 method (2013), available on the United States Geological Survey Earthquake Hazards Program website (USGS 2016), was used. The project was considered to be a "nonessential" facility from the perspective of risk category as described by the CBC. Site coordinates of 34.6504 degrees north and 120.6374 degrees west as taken from the Google Earth website (Europa Technologies 2016) were used in the analysis. Based upon the subsurface conditions encountered during our investigation, Site Class C (very dense soil) was used. The results of the seismic hazard analysis are presented in the "Foundations" section of this report.

Liquefaction Potential

Considering that the site us primarily underlain by Paso Robles Formation which dates to about 400,000 years ago, and was further logged as being medium dense to very dense, it is our opinion that the potential for liquefaction and seismically induce settlement to occur is very low.

Erosion Potential

The soils are considered to be highly erodible. Caution should be exercised to protect the soil from erosion during and following construction.

8.0 PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING RECOMMENDATIONS

These recommendations are applicable for the new development as described in the "Introduction and Site Setting" section of this report. If other improvements not previously mentioned are included, the geotechnical engineer should be contacted for revised recommendations.

Unless otherwise noted, the following definitions are used in these recommendations presented below. Where terms are not defined, definitions commonly used in the construction industry are intended.

• Pad Grade: The elevation of the building pad as shown on the grading plan; if no elevation is shown on the grading plan, the elevation to which the grading contractor typically will place compacted fill in the building area. Does not include any sand or gravel layer specified for protection of slabs from subsurface moisture.



Destino Paso Paso Robles, CA

- Building Area: The area within and extending to the perimeter foundation of the building. Includes the porte cochere, and any flatwork, exterior stairways, covered walkways, etc., which are connected to the building and are expected to perform in a manner similar to it.
- Pool Area: The footprint of the proposed swimming pool and spa.
- Deck area: The footprint of the planned pool deck.
- Retaining and Screen Wall Foundation Areas: The footprint of the footing for screen and/or retaining walls.
- Flatwork Area: Exterior PCC areas such as sidewalks, decks, and patios which will only be subjected to pedestrian foot traffic.
- Pavement Areas: The area within and extending to the perimeter of any areas to receive HMA, PCC, and/or permeable pavers that are to accommodate vehicle loads.
- Grading Area: The entire area to be graded, including the building area, pool area, deck area, retaining and screen wall foundation areas, flatwork areas, pavement areas, and other areas to receive site improvements.
- Scarify or Scarified: Ripping, or plowing of the soil in two orthogonal directions to a minimum depth of 12 inches prior to moisture conditioning and compaction.
- Moisture Conditioning: Adjusting the water content of the soil to optimum moisture content, or just above, and thoroughly mixing the soil prior to application of compactive effort.
- Compaction or Compacted: Scarified soils, or fill or backfill soils placed in level lifts not exceeding 8 inches in loose thickness, and compacted to a minimum of 90 percent of the maximum dry density, unless stated otherwise. Standard tests used to establish maximum dry density and field density should be ASTM D 1557-12 and ASTM D 6938-15 respectively, or other methods acceptable to the geotechnical engineer and jurisdiction.

Site Preparation

- 1. Throughout the grading area, the ground surface should be prepared for grading by removing all existing vegetation, large roots, debris, and other deleterious materials.
- 2. Existing utility lines that will not remain in service should be either removed or properly abandoned. The appropriate method of utility abandonment will depend upon the type and depth of the utility. Recommendations for abandonment can be made as necessary.



3. Voids created by the removal of materials or utilities described above and extending below the recommended depth of overexcavation should be called to the attention of the geotechnical engineer. No fill should be placed unless the underlying soil has been observed by the geotechnical engineer.

Grading

Building Area

- Following site preparation, the soils in the building area should be excavated to pad grade elevation. The resulting soil surface should be scarified, moisture conditioned, and recompacted. If fill is required to reach pad grade, the exposed soil surface should be scarified, moisture conditioned, and recompacted prior to the placement of any fill. All fill in the building area should be nonexpansive as described below.
- 2. The soil in foundation footprint for the building and porte cochere should be excavated to planned bottom-of-footing elevation. The resulting soil surface should be moisture conditioned and recompacted. This should also be performed for any improvements connected to the building, intended to perform in a similar manner as the building, and supported by a conventional continuous or spread foundation.

Pool and Deck Areas

- 1. Following site preparation, the pool area should be excavated to a minimum of 6 inches below planned bottom-of-pool shell. As discussed in the "Conclusions" section, sandy lean clay soils may be found near the bottom of the pool shell. If clay soils are encountered at the bottom of the excavation, the excavation should be deepened by an additional 6 inches.
- 2. Following site preparation, the deck area should be excavated to planned bottom-ofdeck elevation. The resulting soil surface should be scarified, moisture conditioned, and recompacted. All fill in the deck area should be nonexpansive.

Retaining and Screen Wall Foundation Areas

The soil in foundation areas for retaining screen walls should be excavated to planned bottomof-footing elevation (not including any keyway). The resulting soil surface should be moisture conditioned and recompacted.



Flatwork Areas

The soil in areas to receive pedestrian flatwork should be excavated to subgrade elevation. The resulting soil surface should be scarified, moisture conditioned, and recompacted. If fill is required to reach subgrade, the exposed soil surface should be scarified, moisture conditioned, and recompacted prior to the placement of any fill.

Pavement Areas

Following site preparation, the pavement areas should be excavated to planned subgrade elevation. The resulting surface should be scarified, moisture conditioned, and recompacted *to a minimum of 95 percent of maximum dry density*. If fill is required to reach subgrade elevation, the prepared soil surface should be scarified, moisture conditioned, and recompacted prior to placement of fill. Fill should then be placed and compacted; the upper 12 inches of the subgrade and all aggregate base in areas to receive pavement should be compacted *to a minimum of 95 percent of maximum dry density*. Subgrade and aggregate base should be firm and unyielding when proofrolled with heavy, rubber-tired grading equipment prior to continuing construction.

Grading - General

- 1. In the remainder of the grading area, the prepared soil surfaces soil should be scarified, moisture conditioned, and recompacted prior to the placement of any fill.
- 2. Where fill will be placed on existing slopes that are steeper than 10 percent, the slope or existing grade should be cut into level benches. Soil exposed in the benches should be scarified a minimum of 12 inches, moisture conditioned, and recompacted. The geotechnical engineer should be contacted for additional recommendations if fill is to be placed on slopes steeper than 20%.
- 3. Voids created by dislodging rocks and/or debris during scarification should be backfilled and compacted, and the dislodged materials should be removed from the work area.
- 4. Nonexpansive materials are defined as materials that fall in the GW, GP, GM, GC, SP, SW, SC and SM categories per ASTM D 2487-11, and that have an expansion index of 10 or less (ASTM D 4829-11). Any proposed nonexpansive materials, if necessary, should be reviewed by the geotechnical engineer before being brought to the site, and on an intermittent basis during placement.



Destino Paso Paso Robles, CA

- 5. Fill soils should be placed, moisture conditioned, and compacted. In areas to be paved, the upper foot of subgrade and all aggregate base should be compacted to a *minimum of 95 percent* of maximum dry density. Subgrade and aggregate base in areas to be paved should be firm and unyielding when proofrolled with heavy, rubber-tired grading equipment prior to continuing construction.
- 6. All materials used as fill should be cleaned of all debris and any rocks larger than 3 inches in maximum dimension. When fill material includes rocks, the rocks should be placed in a sufficient soil matrix to ensure that voids caused by nesting of the rocks will not occur and that the fill can be properly compacted.
- 7. If the soils are overly moist so that they become unstable or if the recommended compaction cannot be readily achieved, drying the soil to optimum moisture content, or just above, may be necessary. Placement of gravel layers or geotextiles may also be necessary to help stabilize unstable soils. If such conditions are found, the geotechnical engineer should be contacted to assist the contractor in selecting appropriate measures for stabilization of unstable soils.
- 8. Permanent cut and fill slopes should not exceed a 2:1 (horizontal to vertical) slope angle.

Utility Trenches

- 1. Utility trenches adjacent to foundations should not be excavated within the zone of foundation influence, as shown in Typical Detail A in Appendix D.
- 2. Utilities that must pass beneath a foundation should be placed with properly compacted utility trench backfill and the foundation should be designed to span the trench.
- 3. A select, noncorrosive sand should be used as bedding and shading immediately around utilities, and as backfill within the building area. The site soil, similar imported soil, or additional select import may be used for trench backfill above the bedding and shading, in trenches that lie beyond the building area.
- 4. In general, trench backfill should be compacted a minimum of 90 percent of maximum dry density. A minimum of 95 percent of maximum dry density should be obtained in the upper foot of subgrade and in all aggregate base in pavement areas.



- 5. Trench backfill should be placed in level lifts not exceeding 6 inches in loose thickness and compacted to the minimums recommended above.
- 6. Compaction of trench backfill by jetting or flooding is not recommended except under extraordinary circumstances. However, to aid in *encasing* utility conduits, particularly corrugated drain pipes, and multiple, closely spaced conduits in a single trench, jetting or flooding may be useful. Flooding or jetting should only be attempted with extreme caution, and any jetting operation should be subject to review by the geotechnical engineer.
- 7. Long-term settlement of properly compacted imported sand or gravel trench backfill should be assumed to be about 0.25 to 0.5 percent of the depth of the backfill. Where trenches are backfilled with site soils, the anticipated settlement would be somewhat greater that of sand or gravel backfill. Improvements that are constructed over or near trenches should be designed to accommodate the potential for settlement.
- 8. Where utility trenches will pass through areas intended for infiltration, lean concrete or sand/cement slurry plugs should be placed in the trenches at the perimeter of the infiltration area to ensure that the stormwater is contained within the infiltration area and will not flow throughout the site in the utility trenches. The plugs should extend a minimum of 2 feet below the bottom of the trench and should be cut a minimum of 2 feet into the sides of the trench. The top of the plug should extend upward to the curb, cut off wall, or other feature intended to contain the infiltration area. If sand/cement slurry is used, is should contain a minimum of 3 sacks of cement per cubic yard of slurry mixture.
- 9. The recommendations of this section are minimums only, and may be superseded by the architect/engineer, requirements of pipe manufacturers, utility companies or the governing jurisdiction based upon soil corrosivity or other factors.

Foundations

1. Continuous and spread (pad) footings bearing a minimum of 6 inches into compacted Paso Robles formation material may be used to support the proposed main hotel. Footings supporting one or two stories should penetrate a minimum of 18 inches below pad grade or the lowest grade within 5 feet of the footing, whichever is deeper. Footings supporting three stories should penetrate a minimum of 24 inches below pad grade or the lowest grade within 5 feet of the footing, whichever is deeper.



- 2. Continuous footings should be reinforced, at a minimum, by two No. 5 rebar, one at the top and one at the bottom, or as required by the architect/engineer. Spread footings should be reinforced in accordance with the requirements of the architect/engineer.
- 3. Footings bearing in compacted Paso Robles formation material may be designed using maximum allowable bearing capacities of 2,500 psf dead load and 3,500 psf dead plus live loads. Using these criteria, maximum settlement and differential settlement are expected to be on the order of 3/4-inch and 1/2-inch in 25 feet, respectively.
- 4. In calculating resistance to lateral loads, a passive equivalent fluid pressure of 300 pcf for foundations bearing in Paso Robles formation may be used. A coefficient of friction for compacted soil of 0.4 may also be utilized in the design. Lateral capacity is based on the assumption that the soil adjacent to the foundations is properly undisturbed. Passive and friction resistance components may be combined in the analysis without reduction to either value.
- 5. Allowable capacities may be increased by one-third when transient loads such as wind or seismicity are included. Foundations may be designed using the following 2013 CBC seismic parameters:

Mapped Spectral Response Acceleration for Site Class B		Site Coefficie Site Clas	ents for is C	Adjuste Spectral R Acceleratio Clas	d MCE Response ns for Site s C	Design Response A for Site	Spectral accelerations e Class C
Seismic Parameter	Value (g)	Site Coefficient	Value	Seismic Parameter	Value (g)	Seismic Parameter	Value (g)
Ss	1.406	Fa	1.000	S _{MS}	1.406	S _{DS}	0.938
S ₁	0.514	Fv	1.300	S _{M1}	0.668	S _{D1}	0.445
Peak Mean Ground Acceleration (PGA _M): 0.511g							
Seismic Design Category: D							

SEISMIC PARAMETERS

6. Foundations constructed on or near a descending slope should be offset a minimum of 10 feet from the tops of any descending slopes, and deepened to maintain a minimum setback distance of 12 feet from the outermost face of the footing at its base to the face of the slopes.



7. Foundation excavations should be observed by the geotechnical engineer prior to placement of reinforcing steel or concrete. Footing excavations should be moisture conditioned prior to concrete placement.

Interior Slabs-on-Grade and Exterior Pedestrian Flatwork

- 1. Conventional interior slabs-on-grade and exterior pedestrian flatwork should have a minimum thickness of 4 full inches. All slabs and pedestrian flatwork should be reinforced per the specifications of the architect/engineer. At a minimum, nonstructural slabs should be reinforced with No. 3 rebar at 24-inch spacing each way; structural slabs should contain minimum rebar meeting the criteria of ACI-318, Section 7.12.2 (ACI 2011).
- 2. Due to the current use of impermeable floor coverings, water-soluble flooring adhesives, and the speed at which buildings are now constructed, moisture vapor transmission through slabs is a much more common problem than in past years. Where moisture vapor transmitted from the underlying soil would be undesirable, the slabs should be protected from subsurface moisture vapor. A number of options for vapor protection are discussed below; however, the means of vapor protection, including the type and thickness of the vapor retarder, if specified, are left to the discretion of the architect/engineer.
- 3. Where specified, vapor retarders should conform to ASTM E 1745-11. This standard specifies properties for three performance classes, Class "A", "B" and "C". The appropriate class should be selected based on the sensitivity of floor coverings to moisture intrusion and the potential for damage to the vapor retarder during placement of slab reinforcement and concrete.
- 4. Several recent studies, including those of American Concrete Institute (ACI) Committee 302, have concluded that excess water above the vapor retarder increases the potential for moisture damage to floor coverings and could increase the potential for mold growth or other microbial contamination. The studies also concluded that it is preferable to eliminate the typical sand layer beneath the slab and place the slab concrete in direct contact with a Class "A" vapor retarder, particularly during wet weather construction. However, placing the concrete directly on the vapor retarder requires special attention to using the proper vapor retarder (see discussion below), a very low water-cement ratio in the concrete mix, and special finishing and curing techniques.



- 5. Probably the next most effective option would be the use of vapor-inhibiting admixtures in the slab concrete mix and/or application of a sealer to the surface of the slab. This would also require special concrete mixes and placement procedures, depending upon the recommendations of the admixture or sealer manufacturer.
- 6. Another option that may be a reasonable compromise between effectiveness and cost considerations is the use of a subslab vapor retarder protected by a sand layer. If a Class "A" vapor retarder (see discussion below) is specified, the retarder can be placed directly on pad grade. The retarder should be covered with a minimum 2 inches of *clean* sand. If a less durable vapor retarder is specified (Class "B" or "C"), a minimum of 4 inches of clean sand should be provided on pad grade, and the retarder should be placed in the center of the clean sand layer. Clean sand is defined as well or poorly graded sand (ASTM D 2487-10) of which less than 3 percent passes the No. 200 sieve.
- 7. If sand is used between the vapor retarder and the slab, it should be moistened only as necessary to promote concrete curing; saturation of the sand should be avoided, as the excess moisture would be on top of the vapor retarder, potentially resulting in vapor transmission through the slab for months or years.
- 8. Regardless of the underslab vapor retarder selected, proper installation of the retarder is critical for optimum performance. All seams must be properly lapped, and all seams and utility penetrations properly sealed in accordance with the vapor retarder manufacturer's recommendations. Installation should conform to ASTM E 1643-11. The vapor retarder should be placed a minimum of 1 inch above the flow line of any drainage path surrounding the structure, 2 inches above retaining wall drains, or 1 inch above the area drain grates if area drains are used to collect runoff around the structure, whichever is higher.
- 9. Where it is desired to maintain the elevation of flatwork at doorways and other areas, the flatwork should be doweled to the perimeter foundations or adjacent improvements, at a minimum, by No. 3 dowels lapped to the flatwork rebar at 24 inches on-center. In other areas, the flatwork may be doweled to the foundation or the flatwork may be allowed to "float free," at the discretion of the architect/engineer. Flatwork that is intended to float free should be separated from foundations by a felt joint or other means.



10. To reduce shrinkage cracks in concrete, the concrete aggregates should be of appropriate size and proportion, the water/cement ratio should be low, the concrete should be properly placed and finished, contraction joints should be installed, and the concrete should be properly cured. This is particularly applicable to slabs that will be cast directly upon a vapor retarder and those that will be protected from transmission of vapor by use of admixtures or surface sealers. Concrete materials, placement, and curing specifications should be at the direction of the architect/engineer; ACI 302.1R-04 (ACI 2004) is suggested as a resource for the architect/engineer in preparing such specifications.

Screen and Retaining Walls

The following recommendations are for the screen and retaining walls described in the "Introduction and Site Setting" section with maximum wall heights of 6 feet.

- 1. Screen and site retaining walls should be founded in Paso Robles formation materials that have been moisture conditioned and recompacted per the recommendations presented in the "Grading" section of this report.
- 2. Foundations for all screen walls and site retaining walls up to 6 feet tall should have a minimum depth of 18 inches (not including keyway) below the lowest grade within 5 feet of the toe of the foundation. Wall foundations constructed on or near a descending slope should be deepened to maintain a minimum setback distance of 5 feet from toe of the footing at its base to the face of the slopes.
- 3. All retaining wall and sitework retaining wall excavations should be observed by the geotechnical engineer prior to placing reinforcing steel in the excavations.



Destino Paso Paso Robles, CA

- 5. No surcharges are taken into consideration in the values presented above. The maximum bearing pressure is an *allowable* value to which factors of safety have been applied. No factors of safety, load factors or other factors have been applied to any of the remaining values.
- 6. The above pressures are applicable to a horizontal retained surface behind the wall. Walls having a retained surface that slopes upward from the wall should be designed for an additional equivalent fluid pressure of 1 pcf for the active case and 1.5 pcf for the atrest case, for every degree of slope inclination.
- 7. Section 1803.5.12.1 of the 2013 CBC requires that dynamic seismic lateral earth pressures be provided by the geotechnical engineer for walls retaining more than 6 feet of backfill. Since the planned retaining wall heights for this project will not exceed a height of 6 feet, this is not considered to be necessary.
- 8. The final foot behind retaining walls should be backfilled with native soil, except in areas where pavement will abut the top of the wall. In those cases, the backfill should extend to the aggregate base underlying the pavement, as appropriate.
- 9. If crushed gravel is used as backfill, it should be vibrated by means of a vibrating plate compactor or other suitable equipment as it is placed. To reduce infiltration of the soil or other fines into any gravel backfill, if utilized, a permeable synthetic filter fabric conforming to the Standard Specifications (Caltrans 2010), Section 88-1.02B Class C, should be placed between the two. Where backfill materials other than crushed gravel are utilized, they should be placed in level moisture conditioned lifts, not exceeding 6 inches in loose thickness, and compacted to a minimum of 90 percent of maximum dry density. Backfill within the upper foot of subgrade in areas to be paved, and in all aggregate base, should be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of maximum dry density.
- 10. Long-term settlement of properly compacted imported sand or gravel retaining wall backfill should be assumed to be about 0.25 to 0.5 percent of the depth of the backfill. Long-term settlement of properly compacted site soil retaining wall backfill may be somewhat greater than that of sand or gravel backfill. Improvements that are constructed near the tops of retaining walls should be designed to accommodate the potential for settlement.



- 11. All retaining walls should be drained with perforated pipe encased in a free-draining gravel blanket. The pipe should be placed atop the wall foundation with perforations downward, and should discharge in a nonerosive manner away from foundations and other improvements. The gravel blanket should have a width of approximately 1 foot and should extend upward to approximately 1 foot from the top of the wall backfill. The upper foot should be backfilled with native soil and/or aggregate base, as described above. To reduce infiltration of the soil or other fines into the gravel, a permeable synthetic fabric, as defined above, should be placed between the two. Manufactured synthetic drains, such as Miradrain or Enkadrain are acceptable alternatives to the use of gravel, provided that they are installed in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer.
- 12. Where weep hole drainage can be properly discharged, the perforated pipe may be omitted in lieu of weep holes on maximum 4-foot centers. A filter fabric as described above should be placed between the weep holes and the drain gravel.
- 13. Walls facing areas where moisture transmission through the wall would be undesirable should be *thoroughly* waterproofed in accordance with the specifications of the architect/engineer.
- 14. The architect/engineer should bear in mind that retaining walls by their nature are flexible structures, and that surface treatments on walls often crack. Where walls are to be plastered or otherwise have a finish applied, the flexibility should be considered in determining the suitability of the surfacing material, spacing of horizontal and vertical control joints, etc. The flexibility should also be considered where a retaining wall will abut or be connected to a rigid structure, and where the geometry of the wall is such that its flexibility will vary along its length.

Swimming Pool and Spa

- 1. The pool area should be excavated as per the recommendations in the "Grading" section of this report.
- 2. The bottom of the excavation should be filled with 0.75 to 1.5-inch clean, *crushed* gravel to bottom-of-pool shell elevation. The gravel layer will function as a blanket drain beneath the pool and will provide a stable surface for placement of rebar and



construction of the pool. A rigid, 4-inch diameter PVC drain pipe should be placed from the lowest point of the gravel to daylight or a sump. Minimum slope of the drain pipe should be 1 percent. A screen or other means of keeping animals out should be fitted over the discharge end of the pipe.

- 3. As discussed in the "Conclusions" and "Grading" sections, if clay soils are exposed at the bottom of the pool area excavation, the excavation should be deepened an additional 6 inches and the *crushed* gravel section should be increased accordingly.
- 4. The drain system described above should not be relied upon to relieve all hydrostatic pressure in the event that the pool is drained. A hydrostatic relief valve should also be installed.
- 5. Expansion Index testing resulted in a value of 0. Accordingly, the pool and spa design may be based upon the nonexpansive soil category per CBC Table 18-I-B. If specific lateral pressures are needed for the designs, please see the "Screen and Retaining Walls" section. The design parameters in that section are for drained conditions.
- 6. The pool deck area should conform to the recommendations presented in the "Grading" section.
- 7. Unless there is a structural reason to do otherwise, the pool deck should be dowelled into the bond beams at the top of the pool shell by minimum No. 3 rebar lapped to the deck rebar. A felt or another type of spacer should be placed between the deck and the pool bond beam so that the connection will act as a hinge and allow minor movement between the deck and the pool shell. If the deck is not dowelled to the shell, more differential movement should be anticipated than if it is dowelled. Without the dowelling, a trip hazard could result around the rim of the pool.
- 8. The pool deck should slope toward drain inlets; no uncontrolled surface drainage should flow off the edges of the pool deck. All joints in the pool deck should be sealed with a flexible, UV resistant sealer.



Pavement Sections

HMA Pavement

The following HMA pavement sections are based upon a tested R-value, or resistance to deformation under repeated loading, of 21. The pavement sections are also based on assumed Traffic Indices (TIs) of 4.5 through 7.0. Determination of the appropriate TI for specific areas of the project is left to others. The AC sections were calculated in accordance with the method presented in the "Highway Design Manual" (Caltrans 2012). The calculated HMA and Class 2 aggregate base (AB) thicknesses are for compacted material. Normal Caltrans construction tolerances should apply. The R-value should be verified during construction.

<u>R-value</u>	Traffic Index	<u>HMA (in)</u>	<u>Class 2 AB (in)</u>
21	4.5	2.50	6.5
21	5.0	2.75	7.5
21	5.5	3.00	9.0
21	6.0	3.25	10.0
21	6.5	3.75	10.5
21	7.0	4.00	11.5

1. Aggregate base should conform to the requirements of Section 26 of the Standard Specifications (Caltrans 2010).

PCC Pavement

- 1. If plain Portland cement concrete pavement is planned, the following section is recommended:
 - 8 inches plain PCC (4,000 psi minimum)
 - #4 smooth joint dowels at 12-inch centers
 - 12 inches Class 2 aggregate base
- 2. If reinforced concrete pavement is planned, the following section may be used:
 - 6 inches PCC (4,000 psi minimum)
 - No. 4 rebar at 18-inch centers each way
 - No. 4 smooth joint dowels at 18-inch centers
 - 12 inches Class 2 aggregate base



Destino Paso Paso Robles, CA

3. Alternately, the pavement may be designed by the architect/engineer for the appropriate loads. Provided that a minimum of 12 inches of aggregate base compacted to a minimum of 95 percent is provided, the design may be based on a subgrade modulus of 300 pci (psi/in). Specification of concrete properties and reinforcing is left to the architect/engineer.

Permeable Pavers

- 1. Pavers should be installed per the manufacturer's recommendations.
- 2. Except where the paver manufacturer recommends otherwise, the pavers should generally be installed per ICPI Drawing ICPI-68 (ICPI 2011). A concrete curb should bound the outside of the pavers and the bed of No. 2 stone subbase; the subbase should not extend beyond the curb. The curb should be an "A" curb or a flush curb, as applicable and should penetrate a minimum of 6 inches below the subbase bed.
- 3. Unless otherwise recommended by the paver manufacturer, the structural paver section should consist of the following (from top to bottom):
 - Concrete pavers, 3.125-inch thickness, minimum
 - 1.5 to 2.0 inches No. 8 aggregate bedding course
 - 4 inches No. 57 open-graded stone base
 - 8 inches No. 2 stone subbase
 - Tensar, TriAx TX190 geogrid, or equivalent, conforming to the Greenbook, 2013 Supplement, Table 213-5.2 (E), Type R3 (BNI 2013)
 - Subgrade rolled to a smooth surface and compacted to the extent allowed by the architect/engineer; 95 percent of maximum dry density is preferable
 - Thickness of pervious concrete pavement is left to the discretion of the architect/engineer. Pervious concrete pavement should be placed over a minimum 8-inch thick layer of No. 57 open-graded stone base, No. 2 stone subbase, or a combination of the two. The subgrade should be rolled to a smooth surface and compacted to the extent allowed by the architect/engineer; 95 percent of maximum dry density is preferable. Tensar, TriAx TX190 geogrid, or equivalent, conforming to the Greenbook, 2013 Supplement, Table 213-5.2 (E), Type R3 (BNI 2013) should be placed over the subgrade



- 4. All No. 8 aggregate, No. 57 base, and No. 2 subbase should be *crushed*; rounded or riverrun materials should not be used. Gradation of these materials should be per ASTM D 448-12. Drains should be provided in the subbase beneath the pavers as discussed in the following section.
- 5. The geogrid should be placed per the geogrid manufacturer's recommendations, throughout the subgrade area. At a minimum, the geogrid should be stretched tightly and pinned in position prior to placement of the subbase. Minimum overlap at roll ends and edges should be 2 feet unless the manufacturer recommends a larger overlap.
- 6. The No. 2 stone subbase should be placed over the geogrid and then rolled with a light static roller or otherwise compacted. At a minimum, rolling and compaction should be accomplished in two orthogonal directions.
- 7. The No. 57 base should then be placed and similarly compacted.
- 8. The No. 8 aggregate should then be placed and the pavers set as recommended by the manufacturer.
- 9. At a minimum, the permeable pavers should be properly maintained, and any ruts, depressions, broken pavers, etc. should be immediately repaired. Periodic removal of pavers and refurbishment of the No. 8 bedding course may also be necessary.
- 10. Permeable pavers should not be placed within 5 feet of any foundation. If for aesthetic reasons the pavers must encroach to within 5 feet of a foundation, the base and subbase should be discontinued and Portland cement concrete (PCC) should be used beneath the pavers.
- 11. In this 5-foot section adjacent foundations, the PCC beneath the pavers should have a minimum thickness of 5 full inches and should be reinforced, at a minimum by No. 4 rebar at 18-inch spacing each way. The PCC should be placed over a minimum 6 inches of Class 2 aggregate base compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of maximum dry density. The uppermost 12 inches of the subgrade beneath the aggregate base should be similarly compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of maximum dry density.



Pavement Sections - General

- 1. HMA and PCC pavement, and permeable pavers should be constrained by curbs, gutters, flatwork, walls, etc.; free edges to the pavement or pavers should be avoided.
- 2. HMA and PCC pavement, and permeable pavers should be set back a minimum of 4 feet from any descending slope. Alternately, deepened curbs may be used to constrain the pavement or paver sections. Where curbs will be deepened in lieu of the recommended set back, the individual situation should be reviewed and specific recommendations prepared by the geotechnical engineer.
- 3. The upper 12 inches of subgrade and all aggregate base in pavement areas should be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of maximum dry density. Subgrade and aggregate base should be firm and unyielding when proofrolled with heavy, rubber-tired grading equipment prior to continuing construction.
- 4. Finished pavement surfaces should be sloped to freely drain toward appropriate drainage facilities. Water should not be allowed to stand or pond on or adjacent to pavement, as it could cause premature pavement deterioration or improvement damage.
- 5. To reduce migration of surface drainage into the subgrade, maintenance of pavement areas is critical. Any cracks that develop in the pavement should be promptly sealed.
- 6. The local jurisdiction may have additional requirements for pavement or pavers that could take precedence over the above recommendations.

Drainage and Maintenance

- 1. Unpaved ground surfaces should be *graded during construction* and, per Section 1804.3 of the CBC, *finish graded* to direct surface runoff away from foundations, slopes, retaining walls, and other improvements at a minimum 5 percent grade for a minimum distance of 10 feet. If this is not feasible due to the terrain, property lines, or other factors, swales with improved surfaces, area drains, or other drainage features should be provided to divert drainage away from these areas.
- 2. Finished surfaces should be sloped to freely drain toward appropriate drainage facilities. Water should not be allowed to stand or pond on or adjacent to foundations.



Destino Paso Paso Robles, CA

- 3. Any raised planter boxes constructed adjacent to the proposed structure should be installed with drains, and sealed sides and bottoms to reduce the potential for planter drainage gaining access to subslab areas. Drains should also be provided in all areas adjacent to foundations that would not otherwise drain freely.
- 4. All eaves of the building should be provided with roof gutters. Runoff from roof gutters, downspouts, area drains, etc., should discharge to an appropriate outlet in a nonerosive manner away from foundations and other improvements in accordance with the requirements of the governing agencies. Erosion protection should be placed at drainage outlets unless discharge is to an improved surface.
- 5. As recommended previously, where utility trenches will pass through areas intended for infiltration, lean concrete or sand/cement slurry plugs should be placed in the trenches at the perimeter of the infiltration area to ensure that the stormwater is contained within the infiltration area and will not flow throughout the site in the utility trenches. The plugs should extend a minimum of 2 feet below the bottom of the trench and should be cut a minimum of 2 feet into the sides of the trench. The top of the plug should extend upward to the curb, cut off wall, or other feature intended to contain the infiltration area. If sand/cement slurry is used, it should contain a minimum of 3 sacks of cement per cubic yard of slurry mixture.
- 6. The site soils are erodible. To reduce erosion damage, it is essential that the surface soils, particularly those disturbed during construction, be stabilized by vegetation or other means *during and following construction*. Care should be taken to establish and maintain vegetation. The landscaping and exterior flatwork should be installed to maintain the surface drainage recommended above.
- 7. Maintenance of drainage and other improvements is critical to the long-term stability of slopes and the integrity of the roadway improvements. Site improvements, particularly drainage improvements, should be inspected and maintained on a regular basis. All exterior drains should be maintained to be free-flowing.
- 8. Vegetation, erosion matting or other forms of erosion protection should be used in all areas disturbed by construction, as required by the architect/engineer. Vegetation, erosion matting, etc., should be maintained or augmented as needed to ensure a high level of erosion protection. Irrigation systems should be maintained so that the soils are not over-watered or allowed to desiccate.


9. To reduce the potential for disruption of drainage patterns and undermining of foundations and other improvements, rodent activity should be aggressively controlled.

Observation and Testing

- 1. It must be recognized that the recommendations contained in this report are based on a limited number of exploratory borings and rely on continuity of the subsurface conditions encountered. Therefore, the geotechnical engineer should be retained to provide consultation during the design phase, to review plans as they near completion, to interpret this report during construction, and to provide construction monitoring in the form of testing and observation.
- 2. At a minimum, the geotechnical engineer should be retained to provide:
 - Consultation during the design phase
 - Professional observation during grading
 - Oversight of compaction testing during grading and backfill
 - Oversight of soil special inspection during grading and foundation construction
- 3. Special inspection of grading should be provided as per Section 1705.6 and Table 1705.6 of the 2013 CBC; the special inspector should be under the direction of the geotechnical engineer. In our opinion, there are no operations that are sufficiently critical as to warrant *continuous* special inspection; periodic special inspection should suffice. Subject to the approval of the Building Official, the exception to continuous special inspection (Section 1704.2, Subparagraph 1) should be specified by the architect/engineer and *periodic* special inspection of the following should be provided by the special inspector:
 - Stripping and clearing of existing improvements, vegetation, and debris
 - Utility trench backfill
 - Fill quality, placement, moisture conditioning, and compaction
 - Foundation excavations
 - Retaining wall drains and backfill
 - Pavement subgrade, sub-base, and AB



Destino Paso Paso Robles, CA

- 4. A program of quality control should be developed prior to beginning grading. The contractor or project manager should determine any additional inspection items required by the architect/engineer or the governing jurisdiction.
- 5. Locations and frequency of compaction tests should be as per the recommendation of the geotechnical engineer at the time of construction. The recommended test location and frequency may be subject to modification by the geotechnical engineer, based upon soil and moisture conditions encountered, size and type of equipment used by the contractor, the general trend of the results of compaction tests, or other factors.
- 6. A preconstruction conference among the owner, the geotechnical engineer, the soil special inspector, the architect/engineer, City of Paso Robles, and contractors is recommended to discuss planned construction procedures and quality control requirements.
- 7. The geotechnical engineer should be notified at least 48 hours prior to beginning construction operations.

9.0 CLOSURE

Our intent was to perform the investigation in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing in the locality of this project under similar conditions. No representation, warranty, or guarantee is either expressed or implied. This report is intended for the exclusive use by the client as discussed in the "Scope of Services" section. Application beyond the stated intent is strictly at the user's risk.

This report is valid for conditions as they exist at this time for the type of project described herein. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report could be rendered invalid, either in whole or in part, due to changes in building codes, regulations, standards of geotechnical or construction practice, changes in physical conditions, or the broadening of knowledge. If Earth Systems Pacific is not retained to provide construction observation and testing services, it shall not be responsible for the interpretation of the information by others or any consequences arising there from.

If changes with respect to project type or location become necessary, if items not addressed in this report are incorporated into plans, or if any of the assumptions used in the preparation of this report are not correct, the geotechnical engineer shall be notified for modifications to this report. Any items not specifically addressed in this report should comply with the CBC and the requirements of the governing jurisdiction.



The preliminary recommendations of this report are based upon geotechnical conditions encountered at the site, and may be augmented by additional requirements of the architect/engineer, or by additional recommendations provided by the geotechnical engineer based on peer or jurisdictional reviews, or conditions exposed at the time of construction.

This document, the data, conclusions, and recommendations contained herein are the property of Earth Systems Pacific. This report shall be used in its entirety, with no individual sections reproduced or used out of context. Copies may be made only by Earth Systems Pacific, the client, and the client's authorized agents for use exclusively on the subject project. Any other use is subject to federal copyright laws and the written approval of Earth Systems Pacific.

Thank you for this opportunity to have been of service. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact this office at your convenience.

End of Text.



TECHNICAL REFERENCES

- ACI (American Concrete Institute). 2004. "Guide for Concrete Floor and Slab Construction." Document 302.1R04.
- ACI (American Concrete Institute). 2011. "Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete." Document 318.
- ASCE (American Society of Civil Engineers). 2013. *Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and other Structures (7-10, third printing).* Standards ASCE/SEI 7-10.
- BNI (Building News). 2013 Supplement to "Greenbook" Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction, 2012 Edition.
- CBSC (California Building Standards Commission). 2013. California Building Code (CBC).
- Caltrans (California Department of Transportation), Standard Specifications, May 2010
- Caltrans (California Department of Transportation). 2012 September. *Highway Design Manual.*
- Earth Systems Pacific. 2013 December. "Native Soil Assessment for Small Infiltration-Based Stormwater Control Measures." Prepared for the Central Coast Low Impact Development Initiative, retrieved from

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb3/water_issues/programs/stormwater/docs/lid/hydro mod_lid_docs/infiltration_methodologies_12_19_13.pdf

Europa Technologies. 2016. Google Earth [website], retrieved from: <u>http://www.google.com/earth/index.html</u>

- ICPI (Interlocking Concrete Pavement Institute). 2011 May. Structural Design of Interlocking Concrete Pavement for Roads and Parking Lots, Drawing ICPI-68.
- USGS (United States Geological Survey). 2016. Earthquake Hazards Program, retrieved from: <u>http://earthquake.usqs.gov/hazards/designmaps/</u>

APPENDIX A

Boring and Infiltration Test Location Map Boring Log Legend Boring and Infiltration Logs



				T	LIN			SIEICA	TION OV	STEM /A	CTRA -	2407	1	-
	rth Su	etoma D	acifi	M	AJOR	GROUP		TYPIC	LIUN SY		STIVIL	5 2487) GF	RAPH
Ed	rth Sy	stems P	acific	DIV	ISIONS	SYMBOL	WELL GRADE	D GRAVE	S. GRAVEL-S	SAND MIXTU	IRES, LIT	TLE OR	SY	MBOL
				OILS	AL	CD	NO FINES POORLY GRA	DED GRA	ELS. OR GR	AVEL-SAND			200	3000
				000	ATER.	GP	MIXTURES, LI	TTLE OR	O FINES	MIXTURES	NON-PI	ASTIC	Br	rol
E	SOF	KING			OF M/ AN #20	GIVI	FINES	VELS GR				ASTIC	BIQ	361
	LC)G		R I	HALF ER TH	GC	FINES		AVEL-OAND-C		NES, FLA	SILC	Pr	,0,
L	.EG	END		0	ARGE	SVV	WELL GRADE	D SANDS,	GRAVELLY S	SANDS, LITTI		O FINES	-	_
				SSE	AORE IS I	SP	FINES	DED SAN	JS OK GRAV	ELLY SANDS	, LITTLE	ORINO	1	TITT
		_	_	OA	2	SM	SILTY SANDS	SAND-SIL	T MIXTURES	, NON-PLAS	TIC FINE	S	Щ	Щ
SAMPLE / S WATER	SUBSU	RFACE DLS	GRAPH. SYMBOL	0		SC	CLAYEY SAND	DS, SAND-	CLAY MIXTUR	RES, PLASTI	C FINES		1	11
CALIFORM		FIED	1	ILS		ML	FINE SANDS (DR CLAYE	YERY FINE S	ANDS, SILTY I SLIGHT PL	ASTICIT	AYEY /	Щ	Щ
STANDARD PEN	ETRATION	N TEST (SPT)		So	TERIA	CL	INORGANIC C	Y CLAYS,	LOW TO MED SILTY CLAYS	UM PLASTIC , LEAN CLAY	CITY, GR YS	AVELLY	1	11,
SHELE			-		AN #2	OL	ORGANIC SIL	IS AND OF	RGANIC SILT	Y CLAYS OF	LOW			
B	UK		0	AIN	ER TH	MH	INORGANIC SI OR SILTY SOIL	LTS, MICA S, ELAST	CEOUS OR D	DIATOMACEO	OUS FINE	E SANDY		
SUBSUR	FACEWA	TER		SR 1	OR M SIE	СН	INORGANIC C	LAYS OF H	HIGH PLASTIC	CITY, FAT CL	AYS			111
DURIN	BORING AMPLE / SUBSURFACE GRA WATER SYMBOLS SYM CALIFORNIA MODIFIED Image: Symmetric symmetris symmetris symmetris symmetric symmetris symmetris symmetris sym		-	Ш	HALF	OH	ORGANIC CLA SILTS	YS OF ME	DIUM TO HIG	H PLASTICI	TY, ORG	ANIC		
AFTE	CALIFORNIA MODIFIED ■ ANDARD PENETRATION TEST (SPT) ● SHELBY TUBE □ BULK ○ SUBSURFACE WATER DURING DRILLING ● SUBSURFACE WATER AFTER DRILLING ● COARSE GRAINED SUBSURFACE WATER AFTER DRILLING ● UCARSE GRAINED SUBSURFACE WATER AFTER DRILLING ● UCARSE GRAINED SUBSURFACE WATER AFTER DRILLING ● U.S. STANDARD SUBSURFACE WATER U.S. STANDARD SUBSURFACE WATER A LOP DIV/ISIONIS ●		¥	Ē		PT	PEAT AND OT	HER HIGH	LY ORGANIC	SOILS			V	
				C	DBSEF	RVED	IOISTURE	COND	TION					
DRY		SLIGHT	LY MC	DIST		MO	IST	VE	RY MOIS	T W	ET (S	ATUR	ATE	ED)
					C	ONSIS	STENCY							
-	COAR	SE GRAINE	ED SOI	LS				F	INE GRAI	NED SOIL	S			
SPT	BLOWS/FO	CA SAMPLE	R	DESC	RIPTIVE	TERM	SPT	BLOW	S/FOOT		DESC		TER	RM
0-10		0-16		MER	LOOSE	NOF	0-2	100	0-	3	V	ERY SO	-T	
31-50		51-83		IVIEL	DENSE	NSE	3-4 5-8		4-	13	ME	DIUM ST	IFF	-
OVER 50		OVER 83	-	VE	RY DEN	SE	9-15 16-30		14-26-	25 50	v	STIFF ERY STI	FF	
7			-	-		CDAIN	OVER 3	0	OVE	R 50	1.	HARD		_
	U.	S STANDA	RD SE	RIES	SIEV		51225	CLEA				NG	-	-
# 2	00	# 40		# 11		- #	1	3//	IN OQUAIN		12	in G	-	-
11 2	00	# 40	SAND	<i>m</i> 10	,	<i>n</i> -	4	SRAVEI			12	-	-	-
SILT & CLAY	EIN	E		T	COAL	DOE	EINE		OADRE	COBBL	ES	BOULI	DER	s
	1 114			TVD					UARSE	-		-	_	_
		1	-	TTP	ICAL I	BEDRU		NESS					_	_
EXTREMELY		CORE, FRAG	MENT. O	REXP	OSURE	CANNOT	BE SCRATCHE		IONS			BE CHIPE	PED	_
EXTREMELY	HARD	WITH REPEA	SCRATCI	HED W	MMER E	LOWS					EDEATE			_
VERY HAP	RD	HAMMER BL	OWS	WITH			DICK WITH DIE							_
HARD	-	REQUIRED T	O BREAK	SPEC					ODEDATE OF	SURE); HEA		WER BLC		
MODERATELY	HARD	OR FRAGME	NT BREA	KS WI	TH LIGHT	F HAMME	R BLOW OR HE	AVY MAN	JAL PRESSU	RE RE	ESSURE	CORE		
SOFT	_	FINGERNAIL;	BREAKS	WITH	LIGHT T	O MODER	RATE MANUAL I	PRESSUR	E E E	ESSURE, CA	N BE SC	RATCHE	:D W	/ITH
VERY SOF	T	LIGHT MANU	AL PRES	SURE	, GROOV	VED OR G	OUGED WITH I	INGERNA	IL, OR CARV	ED WITH KN	IFE; BRE	AKS WIT	Ή	
	_	_	Т	YPIC	AL B	EDROC	K WEATH	ERING						-
MAJOR DIVIS	SIONS				_	T	YPICAL DES	SCRIPT	ONS					
FRESH		NO DISCOLO	RATION,	NOT C	XIDIZED)								
SLIGHTLY WEAT	HERED	DISCOLORAT FELDSPAR C	RYSTALS	OXIDA S ARE	TION IS L	IMITED T	O SURFACE O	F, OR SHC	RT DISTANC	E FROM, FR	ACTURE	S: SOME		
MODERATE WEATHER	ELY	DISCOLORAT "RUSTY", FEL	DSPAR	OXIDA CRYST	TION EX ALS ARE	TENDS FE	ROM FRACTUR	ES, USUAI	LY THROUG	HOUT; Fe-Mg	g MINER.	ALS ARE		-
INTENSELY WEA	LIGHTLY WEATHERED DISCOLORATION OR FELDSPAR CRYSTALS MODERATELY WEATHERED DISCOLORATION OR "RUSTY", FELDSPAR O DISCOLORATION OR TENSELY WEATHERED DISCOLORATION OR TO SOME EXTENT, OI		OXIDA	TION THE	ROUGHO	UT; FELDSPAR	AND Fe-M	g MINERALS	ARE ALTERI	ED TO CI	LAY	_	-	
DECOMPOS	MODERATELY WEATHERED DISCOLORATION OF "RUSTY". FELDSPAR ENSELY WEATHERED DISCOLORATION OF TO SOME EXTENT, C DECOMPOSED DISCOLORATION OF FELDSPAR AND Fe-		ND Fe-Mo		TION THE	ROUGHO	UT, BUT RESIS		ERALS SUCH	AS QUARTZ	MAY BE	UNALTE	RED	D;

J		GGE RILL F IGER	D BY: R. Wagner RIG: CME-75 RTYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger				Bor PID- DAT	ing No. AGE 1 OF 000358-00 E: 03/15/1
	S		DESTINO PASO		SA	MPLE [DATA	
(feet)	SCS CLAS	SYMBOL	Airport Road Paso Robles, California	ERVAL feet)	MPLE	DENSITY (pcf)	STURE (%)	.OWS R 6 IN.
	ŝ		SOIL DESCRIPTION	INI C	SA	DRY I	MOI	BI
	SC	11	CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist	10	1	-		
1	SC	ANN S	CLAYEY SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation)					
Ī			dense, slightly cemented	5.0 - 6.0	-	111.9	2.9	36 50/3.0"
102	sw		WELL SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation)					
-				10.0 - 11.5		122.4	11 7	24 36
			dense, moist, some clay					50/4.
				15.0 - 16.5	•			6 12 20
			End of Boring @ 16.5' No subsurface water encountered					
l								
;				i. (

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered. It applies at the location and time of drilling Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and time. Agenda Item No. 1 Page 728 of 979

Earth Systems Pacific



A	UGEF	R TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger	T			DAT	E: 03/15/16
S		DESTINO PASO		SAI		DATA	
SCS CLAS	SYMBOL	Airport Road Paso Robles, California	ERVAL (feet)	AMPLE	DENSITY (pcf)	ISTURE (%)	LOWS R 6 IN
s		SOIL DESCRIPTION	I I I	l's	DRΥ	MO	E H
SC	N	CLAYEY SAND: brown, loose, moist (Topsoil)					
SC	HIII .	CLAYEY SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist (Paso Robles Formation)					
	Chille Chille	dense, slightly cemented, trace gravel	5.0 - 6.0		107.3	5.2	39 50/4.5"
	N/N	medium dense, moist, increasing clay content	10.0 - 11.5	-	109.5	19.3	23 21 25
CL		SANDY LEAN CLAY: orange brown, hard, moist	- 12.0 - 14.0	0			
			15.0 - 16.0	-	107.0	53	35
SW		WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, dense, slightly moist, trace to some clay, trace gravel	-			0.0	0017.0
		very dense, trace caliche deposits	20.0 - 21.5	•			21 23 29
		End of Boring @ 21.5' No subsurface water encountered					

Earth Systems Pacific

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered. It applies at the location and time of drilling Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and times. Agenda Item No. 1 Page 729 of 979

LO DF AU	GGE ILL F GER	D BY: R. Wagner RIG: CME-75 TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger				PID- DAT	ING NO. AGE 1 OF 000358-0 E: 03/15/
s		DESTINO PASO		SA	MPLE [DATA	
SCS CLAS	SYMBOL	Airport Road Paso Robles, California	rerval (feet)	AMPLE TYPE	DENSITY (pcf)	ISTURE (%)	LOWS ER 6 IN.
>		SOIL DESCRIPTION	Z	S.	DRY	Ŵ	88
SC	$\langle \rangle \rangle$	CLAYEY SAND: dark brown, medium dense,					
SW		WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay (Paso Robles Formation)					
		slightly cemented, very dense	5.0 - 5.5		104.4	3.6	50
			10.0 - 11.5	-	115.6	6.6	21 42 50/5
		moist, medium dense, increasing clay content					6
			15.0 - 16.5	-	124.7	10.9	15 2
		trace caliche deposits	20.0 - 21.5	•			5 6
		End of Boring @ 21.5' No subsurface water encountered					
			LOGGED BY: R. Wagner DRILL RIG: CME-75 AUGER TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger DESTINO PASO Airport Road Paso Robles, California SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAYEY SAND: dark brown, medium dense, moist (Topsoil) SW WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay (Paso Robles Formation) slightly cemented, very dense moist, medium dense, increasing clay content trace caliche deposits End of Boring @ 21.5' No subsurface water encountered	LOGGED BY: R. Wagner DRILL RIG: CME-75 AUGER TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger SC C DESTINO PASO Airport Road Paso Robles, California SOIL DESCRIPTION SC CLAYEY SAND: dark brown, medium dense, moist (Topsoil) SW VELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay (Paso Robles Formation) Sum slightly cemented, very dense 5.0 - 5.5 10.0 - 11.5 10.0 - 11.5 10.0 - 11.5 10.0 - 11.5 20.0 - 21.5 No subsurface water encountered	LOGGED BY: R. Wagner DRILL RIG: CME-75 AUGER TYPE: 8' Hollow Stem Auger group DESTINO PASO Airport Road Paso Robles, California SA SOIL DESCRIPTION SC CLAYEY SAND: dark brown, medium dense, moist (Topsoil) Weiger SW Very SAND: dark brown, medium dense, moist (Topsoil) 10.0 - 11.5 SW Sightly cemented, very dense 5.0 - 5.5 slightly cemented, very dense 10.0 - 11.5 moist, medium dense, increasing clay content 15.0 - 16.5 Trace caliche deposits 20.0 - 21.5 No subsurface water encountered 20.0 - 21.5	LOGGED BY: R. Wagner DRILL RIG: CME-75 AUGER TYPE: 8' Hollow Stem Auger SOUL DESCRIPTION SC CLAYEY SAND: dark brown, medium dense, model (Topsoli) SW WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay (Paso Robles Formation) slightly cemented, very dense slightly cemented, very dense slightly cemented, very dense Trace caliche deposits Trace caliche deposits Trace caliche deposits Trace caliche deposits Trace caliche deposits Trace caliche deposits	LOGGED BY: R. Wagner P AUGER TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger Paid Paid Paid Paid Paid Paid Paid Paid

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered It applies at the location and time of drilling Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and time Agenda Item No. 1 Page 730 of 979

		D BY: R. Wagner RIG: CME-75 RTYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger				Bor P PID- DAT	ing No. AGE 1 OF 000358-00 E: 03/15/
ŝ		DESTINO PASO	-	SA	MPLE [DATA	
SCS CLAS	SYMBOL	Airport Road Paso Robles, California	ERVAL (feet)	AMPLE FYPE	DENSITY (pcf)	ISTURE (%)	LOWS R 6 IN.
Š		SOIL DESCRIPTION	E.	้ง	DRY	WO	
SC	Ŋ	CLAYEY SAND: brown, loose to medium dense, very moist (Topsoil)					
sw		WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay (Paso Robles Formation)	5.0 - 6.5	-	116.4	3.4	16 24 2'
		very dense, moist	10.0 - 11.5	-	120.7	8.7	24 34 5
		medium dense, increasing clay content	15.0 - 16.5	•			4 7 1
		End of Boring @ 16.5' No subsurface water encountered					
h						ĥ I,	
	LC FAU SC INCONCISCION SC INCO	LOGGE DRILL SSU SC SW SW	LOGGED BY: R. Wagner RUGER TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger Soll DESTINO PASO Airport Road Paso Robles, California SOIL DESCENIPTION SC SOIL DESCENIPTION SC CLAYEY SAND: brown, loose to medium dense, very moist (Topsoil) SW WEL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay (Paso Robles Formation) SW Very dense, moist Very dense, moist medium dense, increasing clay content End of Boring @ 16.5' No subsurface water encountered No subsurface water encountered	Server DRILL RIG: CME-76 AUGER TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger Server Driver DESTINO PASO Airport Road Paso Robles, California SC CLAYEY SAND: brown, loose to medium dense, very moist (Topsoil) SW WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay (Paso Robles Formation) SW Very dense, moist cemented, trace gravel 5.0 - 6.5 very dense, moist 10.0 - 11.5 Tend of Boring @ 16.5' No subsurface water encountered 15.0 - 16.5	LOGGED BY: R. Wagner DRILL RIG: CME-75 AUGER TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger	LOGGED BY: R. Wagner DRILL RIG: CME-73 AUGER TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger gg DESTINO PASO Airport Road Paso Robles, California SAMPLE I TV gg SC CLAYEY SAND: trown, loose to medium dense, very moist (Topsoil) July 42 Value Age SW WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay (Paso Robles Formation) 5.0 - 6.5 Image 116.4 very dense, moist 10.0 - 11.5 Image 120.7 medium dense, increasing clay content 15.0 - 16.5 Image 120.7 Medium dense, increasing clay content 15.0 - 16.5 Image Image Image End of Boring @ 16.5' No subsurface water encountered 15.0 - 16.5 Image Image	Bor DRILL RIG: CME-75 AUGER TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered. It applies at the location and time of drilling Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and times Agenda Item No. 1 Page 731 of 979



9	LC DF AL	ogge Rill f	ED BY: R. Wagner RIG: CME-75 R TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger				Bor PID- DAT	ing No. AGE 1 OF -000358-00 E: 03/15/
	S		DESTINO PASO		SA	MPLE [DATA	
DEPTH (feet)	SCS CLAS	SYMBOL	Airport Road Paso Robles, California	ERVAL (feet)	AMPLE FYPE	DENSITY (pcf)	ISTURE (%)	LOWS R 6 IN
	Š		SOIL DESCRIPTION	E.	l's	DRY	MO	
1	SC		CLAYEY SAND: brown, loose to medium dense, moist (Topsoil)					
2 3 4	SW		WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, slightly cemented, trace to some clay (Paso Robles Formation)					28
5 - 6 - 7			very dense, trace gravel	5.0 - 6.0	-	131.8	3.0	50/3.0"
8			well cemented					
10 - 11 - 12				10.0 - 10.5	-	105.0	5.6	50
13 - 14 - 15				15.0 - 16.5	•			20 29 14
16 - 17 -			moist, dense End of Boring @ 16.5' No subsurface water encountered					
18 - 19 - 20 -								
21 - 22 - 23								
24 - 25 -								i.

Earth Systems Pacific

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered. It applies at the location and time of drilling Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and times Agenda Item No. 1 Page 732 of 979

Earth	S
	_

arth Systems Pacific

LOGGED BY: R. Wagner DRILL RIG: CME-75 AUGER TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger Boring No. 6 PAGE 1 OF 1 PID-000358-001 DATE: 03/15/16

	G		DESTINO PASO		SA	MPLE C	DATA	
(feet)	USCS CLAS	SYMBOL	Airport Road Paso Robles, California	INTERVAL (feet)	SAMPLE TYPE	RY DENSITY (pcf)	MOISTURE (%)	BLOWS PER 6 IN
-0	SC	N.	CLAYEY SAND: brown, loose, very moist (Topsoil)			-D	2	
2 - 3 -	SC	<u> </u>	CLAYEY SAND: orange brown, medium dense, moist (Paso Robles Formation)	2.0 - 6.0	0			
4 - 5 - 6		N.S.	slightly moist, slightly cemented, dense very dense, trace gravel	5.0 - 6.5	-	113.3	3.0	15 32 50/5.0
- 7 - 8 -	SW		WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, very dense, slightly moist, trace clay, trace gravel (Paso Robles Formation)					
9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13			dense, moist, cementation ends	10.0 - 11.5		118.1	12.8	23 31 34
4 5 6			medium dense, increasing clay	15.0 - 16.5	•			5 9 14
7 8 9 0 - 1			End of Boring @ 16.5' No subsurface water encountered					
23 - 24 - 25 - 26								

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered It applies at the location and time of drilling Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and times Agenda Item No. 1 Page 733 of 979

State Destino PASO Airport Road Paso Robles, California SAMPLE DATA SOIL DESCRIPTION Wey and a state and a state SOIL DESCRIPTION Soil Clavery SAND: torown, medium dense, moist (Toppoil) and a state SW CLAVEY SAND: torown, medium dense, moist (Paso Robles Formation) Bit of infiltration Test @ 4.0' No subsurface water encountered		OGGI RILL UGEF	ED BY: R. Wagner RIG: CME-75 R TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger			Inf	Filtratio PA PID-C DATE	n Test GE 1 OF 000358-00 E: 03/15/	
Hono Solution Harbort Road Paso Robles, California Harbort Road Paso Robles, California Solution Solution Solution Harbort Road Paso Robles, California Solution Solution Solution Harbort Road Paso Robles, California Solution Solution Solution Harbort Road Paso Robles, California Solution CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist (Toscil) Harbort Road Well GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace ciay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) End of Infiltration Test @ 4.0' No subsurface water encountered	0		DESTINO PASO	_	SA	MPLE DATA			
3 SOIL DESCRIPTION E 3 § § § SC CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist (Tossell) WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) Image: Soil and trace clay, travel grav	(feet) (SCS CLAS	SYMBOL	Airport Road Paso Robles, California	ERVAL feet)	MPLE	DENSITY (pcf)	STURE (%)	.OWS R 6 IN.	
SC CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist (Topsoil) SW WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace (day, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) End of Infiltration Test @ 4.0° No subsurface water encountered	SN	3	SOIL DESCRIPTION	INI C	SA	DRY	MO	PE BL	
SW WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace lay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation) End of Infiltration Test @ 4.0' No subsurface water encountered	SC		CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist						
End of Infiltration Test @ 4.0' No subsurface water encountered	1 SV 2 - 3 -	V	WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation)						
	4		End of Infiltration Test @ 4.0' No subsurface water encountered	=					
	- 9 - 10 -								
	12 - 13 - 14								
	15 - 16 - 17								
	18 - 19 -								
	- 21 - 22 -								
25	24 - 25								

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample I Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered. It applies at the location and time of drilling Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and times Agenda Item No. 1 Page 734 of 979

I		IGGE	D BY: R. Wagner RIG: CME-75 TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger			Int	filtratio PA PID-C DATE	n Test GE 1 OF 000358-0 E: 03/15/
	S		DESTINO PASO		SA	MPLE I	DATA	
DEPTH (feet)	Image: Construction of the state of the	ERVAL feet)	MPLE	DENSITY (pcf)	STURE (%)	OWS R 6 IN.		
	n S		SOIL DESCRIPTION	I NI	SA	DRY	WO	E E
-0	SC	N)	CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist	10000				
1 2 - 3 - 4 -	SW		WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation)					
5 - 6 - 7			End of Infiltration Test @ 4.8' No subsurface water encountered					
1 8 1 9								
10 11 12								
- 13 - 14 -								
15 - 16 - 17								
18 - 19 -								
20 - 21 - 22								
- 23 - 24 -								
25 - 26						۰.		

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered It applies at the location and time of drilling Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and time. Agenda Item No. 1 Page 735 of 979

	LOGG DRILL AUGE	ED BY: R. Wagner RIG: CME-75 R TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger			Int	iltratio PA PID-0 DATE	n Test GE 1 OF 000358-00 E: 03/15/
		DESTINO PASO		SA	MPLE I	DATA	
(feet)	CS CLASS	Airport Road Paso Robles, California	ERVAL feet)	MPLE	DENSITY pcf)	STURE (%)	OWS 3 6 IN.
	3	SOIL DESCRIPTION	ENI C	SA	DRY I	MOI	PEI BL
S	C	CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist					
S	W	WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation)					
		End of Infiltration Test @ 3.5' No subsurface water encountered					
5							
2							
3 4 5							
26							

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered It applies at the location and time of drilling Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and times Agenda Item No. 1 Page 736 of 979

)GGE RILL F JGER	D BY: R. Wagner RIG: CME-75 TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger			Inf	iltratio PA PID-0 DATE	n Test GE 1 OF 000358-0 E: 03/15/		
S		DESTINO PASO	1.11	SAMPLE DATA					
AUGER TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger DESTINO PASO Airport Road Paso Robles, California SOIL DESCRIPTIOE CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, mc (Topsoil)	Airport Road Paso Robles, California	ERVAL feet)	MPLE YPE	DENSITY (pcf)	STURE (%)	.OWS R 6 IN.			
No.		SOIL DESCRIPTION	INI	SA	DRYI	MOI	B H		
SC		CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist (Topsoil) orange brown, increasing clay							
5		End of Infiltration Test @ 4.5' No subsurface water encountered							
)									
1 2 3					1				
4 5									

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered. It applies at the location and time of drilling Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and time genda. Item No. 1 Page 737 of 979

I	UOGGED BY: R. Wagner DRILL RIG: CME-75 AUGER TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger				Infiltration Tes PAGE 1 PID-000358 DATE: 03/1				
SS			DESTINO PASO		SA	MPLE (DATA		
(feet)	CS CLAS	SYMBOL	Airport Road Paso Robles, California	ERVAL feet)	MPLE	DENSITY pcf)	STURE (%)	OWS R 6 IN.	
	N		SOIL DESCRIPTION	L NI	SA	DRY [MOI	BL	
1	SC	<u> </u>	CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist (Topsoil)	1					
2 3	SW		WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation)						
4 5 6 7 8			End of Infiltration Test @ 3.5' No subsurface water encountered						
9 - 10 - 11 - 12									
3 - 4 - 5 -									
6 7 8 9									
• 0 • 1									
3									
-									

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered It applies at the location and time of drilling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and time. Agenda Item No. 1 Page 738 of 979

I	LC DF AL) GGE RILL F JGER	D BY: R. Wagner RIG: CME-75 RTYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger	Infiltration Tes PAGE 1 PID-000358 DATE: 03/1					
DEPTH (feet) CS CLASS SYMBOL			DESTINO PASO		SA	MPLE [DATA		
		SYMBOL	Airport Road Paso Robles, California	ERVAL feet)	MPLE	DENSITY (pcf)	STURE (%)	.OWS R 6 IN.	
	I SN		SOIL DESCRIPTION	TNI C)	SA		MO	BL	
-0	SC	N.	CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist (Topsoil)	1					
2 3 4	SW		WELL GRADED SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist, trace clay, travel gravel (Paso Robles Formation)						
5 - - 7 -			trace gravel						
8 - 9 - 10			increasing coarse gravel to trace cobble						
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 - 23 -			No subsurface water encountered						
24 - 25 -									

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered. It applies at the location and time of drilling Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and time Agenda Item No. 1 Page 739 of 979

Į	LOGGED BY: R. Wagner DRILL RIG: CME-75 AUGER TYPE: 8" Hollow Stem Auger			Infiltration Test C PAGE 1 OF PID-000358-00 DATE: 03/15/1				
SS			DESTINO PASO		SA	MPLE I	DATA	
(feet)	SCS CLAS	SYMBOL	Airport Road Paso Robles, California	ERVAL feet)	MPLE	DENSITY (pcf)	STURE (%)	OWS R 6 IN.
	Š		SOIL DESCRIPTION	LNI C	SA AS	DRY I	MO	E E
0 — - 1	SC	X	CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist (Topsoil)					
3	SC		CLAYEY SAND: orange brown, medium dense, slightly moist (Paso Robles Formation)					
5 6 7 8			End of Infiltration Test @ 5.0' No subsurface water encountered	=				
0-11-2-3								
4								
6								
8								
0								
2								
4								

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered. It applies at the location and time of drilling Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and times Agenda Item No. 1 Page 740 of 979

SYMBOL	DESTINO PASO Airport Road Paso Robles, California SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist (Topsoil) decreasing clay End of Infiltration Test @ 4.0' No subsurface water encountered	INTERVAL (feet)	SAMPLE	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	MOISTURE (%)	BLOWS PER 6 IN
SYMBOL	Airport Road Paso Robles, California SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist (Topsoil) decreasing clay End of Infiltration Test @ 4.0' No subsurface water encountered	INTERVAL (feet)	SAMPLE	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	MOISTURE (%)	BLOWS PER 6 IN
	SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist (Topsoil) decreasing clay End of Infiltration Test @ 4.0' No subsurface water encountered	TN TN	S	DRY I	MOI	
	CLAYEY SAND: brown, medium dense, moist (Topsoil) decreasing clay End of Infiltration Test @ 4.0' No subsurface water encountered					
	End of Infiltration Test @ 4.0' No subsurface water encountered	_				

LEGEND: Ring Sample O Grab Sample I Shelby Tube Sample SPT NOTE: This log of subsurface conditions is a simplification of actual conditions encountered It applies at the location and time of drilling Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and times Agenda Item No. 1 Page 741 of 979

APPENDIX B

Laboratory Test Results



PID-000358-001

BULK DENSITY TEST RESULTS

ASTM D 2937-10 (modified for ring liners)

April 1, 2016

BORING NO.	DEPTH feet	MOISTURE	WET DENSITY, pcf	DRY DENSITY, pcf
1	5.5 - 6.0	2.9	115.1	111.9
1	11.0 - 11.5	11.7	136.7	122.4
2	5.5 - 6.0	5.2	112.8	107.3
2	11.0 - 11.5	19.3	130.6	109.5
2	15.5 - 16.0	5.3	112.7	107.0
3	5.0 - 5.5	3.6	108.2	104.4
3	11.0 - 11.5	6.6	123.2	115.6
3	16.0 - 16.5	10.9	138.4	124.7
4	6.0 - 6.5	3.4	120.4	116.4
4	11.0 - 11.5	8.7	131.1	120.7
5	5.5 - 6.0	3.0	135.7	131.8
5	10.0 - 10.5	5.6	110.9	105.0
6	6.0 - 6.5	3.0	116.6	113.3
6	11.0 - 11.5	12.8	133.1	118.1

EXPANSION INDEX TEST RESULTS

ASTM D 4829-11

BORING	DEPTH	EXPANSION
NO.	feet	INDEX
6	2.0 - 6.0	0



PID-000358-001

PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS

Boring #6 @ 2.0 - 6.0' Orange Brown Clayey Sand (SC)

Sieve size	% Retained	% Passing
3/8" (9.5-mm)	0	100
#4 (4.75-mm)	1	99
#8 (2.36-mm)	4	96
#16 (1.18-mm)	13	87
#30 (600-μm)	25	75
#50 (300-μm)	40	60
#100 (150-μm)	53	47
#200 (75-μm)	60	40





PID-000358-001

April 1, 2016

ASTM D 1557-12 (Modified)

Orange Brown Clayey Sand (SC)

Boring #6 @ 2.0 - 6.0'

MOISTURE-DENSITY COMPACTION TEST

PROCEDURE USED: A PREPARATION METHOD: Moist RAMMER TYPE: Mechanical SPECIFIC GRAVITY: 2.70 (assumed)





PID-000358-001

RESISTANCE 'R' VALUE AND EXPANSION PRESSURE

ASTM D 2844/D2844M-13

April 1, 2016

Boring #6 @ 2.0 - 6.0' Orange Brown Clayey Sand (SC) Dry Density @ 300 psi Exudation Pressure: 118.2-pcf %Moisture @ 300 psi Exudation Pressure: 11.9% R-Value - Exudation Pressure: 21 R-Value - Expansion Pressure: N/A

R-Value @ Equilibrium: 21





DIRECT SHEAR

ASTM D 3080/D3080M-11 (modified for consolidated, undrained conditions)

April 1, 2016

Boring #2 @ 5.5 - 6.0' Clayey Sand (SC) Compacted to 90% RC, saturated INITIAL DRY DENSITY: 102.7 pcf INITIAL MOISTURE CONTENT: 5.2 % PEAK SHEAR ANGLE (Ø): 22° COHESION (C): 526 psf



SHEAR vs. NORMAL STRESS

NORMAL STRESS, psf



DIRECT SHEAR continued	ASTM D 3	080/D3080M-11 (mod	lified for consolidated	, undrained conditions)
Boring #2 @ 5.5 - 6.0'				April 1, 2016
Clayey Sand (SC)				
Compacted to 90% RC, saturated			SPECIFIC GRA	VITY: 2.65 (assumed)
SAMPLE NO.:	1	2	3	AVERAGE
INITIAL				
WATER CONTENT, %	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
DRY DENSITY, pcf	104.9	101.7	101.5	102.7
SATURATION, %	23.9	22.0	21.9	22.6
VOID RATIO	0.577	0.626	0.629	0.611
DIAMETER, inches	2.410	2.410	2.410	
HEIGHT, inches	1.00	1.00	1.00	
AT TEST				
WATER CONTENT, %	21.0	22.0	20.8	
DRY DENSITY, pcf	106.1	104.4	108.4	
SATURATION, %	100.0	100.0	100.0	
VOID RATIO	0.558	0.584	0.526	
HEIGHT, inches	0.99	0.97	0.94	



Agenda Item No. 1 Page 748 of 979



DIRECT SHEAR

ASTM D 3080/D3080M-11 (modified for consolidated, undrained conditions)

April 1, 2016

Boring #2 @ 11.0 - 11.5' Sandy Lean Clay (CL) Compacted to 90% RC, saturated INITIAL DRY DENSITY: 107.2 pcf INITIAL MOISTURE CONTENT: 19.3 % PEAK SHEAR ANGLE (Ø): 18° COHESION (C): 1,459 psf



SHEAR vs. NORMAL STRESS



DIRECT SHEAR continued	ASTM D 3	080/D3080M-11 (mod	lified for consolidated	, undrained conditions)
Boring #2 @ 11.0 - 11.5'				April 1, 2016
Sandy Lean Clay (CL)				
Compacted to 90% RC, saturated			SPECIFIC GRA	VITY: 2.70 (assumed)
SAMPLE NO.:	1	2	3	AVERAGE
INITIAL				
WATER CONTENT, %	19.3	19.3	19.3	19.3
DRY DENSITY, pcf	105.1	108.4	108.2	107.2
SATURATION, %	86.5	94.1	93.4	91.3
VOID RATIO	0.602	0.554	0.558	0.571
DIAMETER, inches	2.410	2.410	2.410	
HEIGHT, inches	1.00	1.00	1.00	
AT TEST				
WATER CONTENT, %	25.5	19.1	21.9	
DRY DENSITY, pcf	105.9	109.9	114.6	
SATURATION, %	100.0	96.9	100.0	
VOID RATIO	0.591	0.534	0.471	
HEIGHT, inches	0.99	0.99	0.94	



APPENDIX C

LID Infiltration Test Results



TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 6 inches

TEST HOLE DEPTH: 4.0 feet

TEST DURATION: 5.5 hours

INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS

INFILTRATION TEST: A

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:

30 minutes

Volume Added During Constant Head: 0.01 cubic feet

FALLING HEAD DATA

INTERVAL (Minutes)	READING (Inches)	INCREMENTAL FALL (Inches)	INFILTRATION RATE (Minutes / Inch)	INFILTRATION RATE (Inches / Hour)
0	7.00			222
30	10.50	3.50	8.57	7
30	12.00	1.50	20.00	3
30	13.50	1.50	20.00	3
30	14.75	1.25	24.00	3
60	17.50	2.75	21.82	3
60	20.50	3.00	20.00	3
60	23.00	2.50	24.00	3



INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS

INFILTRATION TEST: B

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:

Volume Added During Constant Head: 0.04 cubic feet

FALLING HEAD DATA

30 minutes

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 6 inches TEST HOLE DEPTH: 4.8 feet TEST DURATION: 5.5 hours

INTERVAL (Minutes)	READING (Inches)	INCREMENTAL FALL (Inches)	INFILTRATION RATE (Minutes / Inch)	INFILTRATION RATE (Inches / Hour)
0	7.50			
30	9.25	1.75	17.14	4
30	11.75	2.50	12.00	5
30	14.25	2.50	12.00	5
30	16.25	2.00	15.00	4
60	19.00	2.75	21.82	3
60	20.00	1.00	60.00	1
60	21.00	1.00	60.00	1



INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS

INFILTRATION TEST: C

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 8 inches

TEST HOLE DEPTH: 3.5 feet

TEST DURATION: 5.5 hours

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:

30 minutes

Volume Added During Constant Head: 0.12 cubic feet

FALLING HEAD DATA

INTERVAL (Minutes)	READING (Inches)	INCREMENTAL FALL (Inches)	INFILTRATION RATE (Minutes / Inch)	INFILTRATION RATE (Inches / Hour)
0	7.00			
30	10.50	3.50	8.57	7
30	13.50	3.00	10.00	6
30	13.75	0.25	120.00	1
30	14.00	0.25	120.00	1
60	15.00	1.00	60.00	1
60	16.00	1.00	60.00	1
60	17.00	1.00	60.00	1



INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS

INFILTRATION TEST: D

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 8 inches TEST HOLE DEPTH: 4.3 feet

TEST DURATION: 5.5 hours

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:

30 minutes

Volume Added During Constant Head: 0.51 cubic feet

FALLING HEAD DATA

INTERVAL (Minutes)	READING (Inches)	INCREMENTAL FALL (Inches)	INFILTRATION RATE (Minutes / Inch)	INFILTRATION RATE (Inches / Hour)
0	15.00			
30	35.00	20.00	1.50	40
30	40.00	5.00	6.00	10
30	42.00	2.00	15.00	4
30	42.75	0.75	40.00	2
60	44.00	1.25	48.00	1
60	45.00	1.00	60.00	1
60	46.00	1.00	60.00	1



TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 6 inches

TEST HOLE DEPTH: 3.2 feet

TEST DURATION: 2.5 hours

INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS

INFILTRATION TEST: E

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:

30 minutes

Volume Added During Constant Head: 0.07 cubic feet

FALLING HEAD DATA

INTERVAL READING INCREMENTAL INFILTRATION INFILTRATION (Minutes) (Inches) FALL RATE RATE (Inches / Hour) (Inches) (Minutes / Inch) 0 2.00 ---han 5 3.50 1.50 3.33 18 5 6.00 2.50 2.00 30 5 10.00 4.00 1.25 48 5 11.50 1.50 3.33 18 5 13.00 1.50 3.33 18 5 14.25 4.00 15 1.25 5 16.00 1.75 2.86 21 5 18.00 2.00 2.50 24 5 20.00 2.00 2.50 24 5 21.00 1.00 5.00 12 5 22.00 1.00 5.00 12 5 23.00 1.00 5.00 12 20 26.50 3.50 5.71 11 20 5.71 30.00 3.50 11 20 33.00 3.00 6.67 9


PID-000358-001

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 6 inches

TEST HOLE DEPTH: 9.5 feet

TEST DURATION: 2.5 hours

INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS

INFILTRATION TEST: F

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:

Volume Added During Constant Head: 1.39 cubic feet

30 minutes

FALLING HEAD DATA

INTERVAL READING **INCREMENTAL** INFILTRATION INFILTRATION (Minutes) (Inches) FALL RATE RATE (Inches) (Minutes / Inch) (Inches / Hour) 0 --------12.00 ----5 49.00 37.00 0.14 444 5 61.00 12.00 0.42 144 5 79.00 18.00 0.28 216 5 85.00 6.00 0.83 72 5 89.00 4.00 1.25 48 5 93.00 4.00 1.25 48 5 96.50 3.50 42 1.43 5 100.00 3.50 1.43 42 5 103.50 3.50 1.43 42 5 107.00 3.50 1.43 42 5 109.00 2.00 24 2.50 5 111.50 2.50 2.00 30 7.00 Recharge --------..... 20 79.00 0.28 72.00 216 20 1.29 94.50 15.50 47 20 105.00 10.50 1.90 32



PID-000358-001

INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS

INFILTRATION TEST: G

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:

Volume Added During Constant Head: 0.2 cubic feet

FALLING HEAD DATA

INTERVAL READING **INCREMENTAL** INFILTRATION INFILTRATION (Minutes) (Inches) FALL RATE RATE (Inches) (Minutes / Inch) (Inches / Hour) 0 5.00 -------------5 8.00 3.00 1.67 36 5 10.00 2.00 2.50 24 5 12.00 2.00 2.50 24 5 14.00 2.00 2.50 24 5 16.00 2.00 2.50 24 5 18.00 2.00 2.50 24 5 20.00 2.00 2.50 24 5 20.50 0.50 10.00 6 5 21.00 0.50 10.00 6 5 22.00 1.00 5.00 12 5 23.50 1.50 3.33 18 5 24.50 1.00 5.00 12 10 25.50 1.00 6 10.00 10 26.50 1.00 10.00 6 10 27.25 0.75 13.33 5 10 28.00 0.75 13.33 5 10 28.75 0.75 13.33 5 10 29.25 0.50 3 20.00

30 minutes

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 6 inches TEST HOLE DEPTH: 4.7 feet TEST DURATION: 2.5 hours



PID-000358-001

INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS

INFILTRATION TEST: H

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:

Volume Added During Constant Head: 0.64 cubic feet

30 minutes

FALLING HEAD DATA

INTERVAL READING INCREMENTAL INFILTRATION INFILTRATION (Minutes) (Inches) FALL RATE RATE (Minutes / Inch) (Inches / Hour) (Inches) 0 3.50 -------5 20.00 16.50 0.30 198 5 27.00 7.00 0.71 84 5 32.00 5.00 1.00 60 5 36.00 4.00 1.25 48 5 39.00 3.00 1.67 36 5 40.50 1.50 3.33 18 5 42.00 1.50 3.33 18 5 43.50 1.50 3.33 18 5 45.00 1.50 3.33 18 Recharge 2.00 --------..... 5 11.50 9.50 0.53 114 5 24.50 13.00 0.38 156 5 30.50 6.00 0.83 72 5 34.50 4.00 1.25 48 5 37.00 2.50 2.00 30 5 39.00 2.00 2.50 24 5 40.75 1.75 2.86 21 5 42.00 1.25 4.00 15 5 43.50 1.50 3.33 18 5 45.00 1.50 3.33 18

TEST HOLE DEPTH: 3.8 feet TEST DURATION: 2.2 hours

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 6 inches

APPENDIX D

Typical Detail A: Pipe Placed Parallel to Footing



SCHEMATIC ONLY NOT TO SCALE



Earth Systems Pacific

4378 Old Santa Fe Road San Luis Obispo, CA 93401-8116

(805) 544-3276 • FAX (805) 544-1786 E-mail: esp@earthsys.com







Agenda Item No. 1 Page 763 of 979

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 764 of 979



Agenda Item No. 1 Page 765 of 979







Agenda Item No. 1 Page 767 of 979



STORMWATER CONTROL PLAN

For

Destino Paso, Tract 2962

New Hotels on Proposed Lot 2, 3, 4 and Lot 6 3350 and 3360 Airport Road Paso Robles, CA APN 025-436-029 and APN 025-436-030

> Karen Stier 4301 Valley Meadow Road Encino, CA 91436

Jerry & Kathie Handley P.O. Box 1011 Paso Robles, CA 93446

Prepared by: North Coast Engineering, Inc. 725 Creston Road, Suite B Paso Robles, CA 93446

May 2016

Table of Contents

I. Introduction
A. The Property1
B. The Project
C. The Purpose
II. Methodology
A. Post-Construction Stormwater Management Requirements 4
1. Performance Requirement No. 1
2. Performance Requirement No. 2
3. Performance Requirement No. 3
4. Performance Requirement No. 4
B. Hydrology9
III. Results
A. Statement of Compliance
B. Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan 14

ADDITIONAL TABLES:

Drainage Management Area (DMA) Summary Table Composite Curve Number (CN) Summary 95th Percentile Required Retention Volume Summary Basin Provided Retention Volume Summary DMA Breakdown Tables (A, B, C, D, E, HTL4) Basin Ponding Area Summary Tables (Basins A, B, C, D, HTL4)

APPENDICES:

Appendix A: Location Map
Appendix B: Watershed Management Zone Exhibit
Appendix C: Conceptual Plans (for reference) and Basin Sections
Appendix D: Watershed Exhibits
Appendix E: NRCS Web Soil Survey – Hydrologic Soil Group Data
Appendix F: NOAA Atlas 14 Rainfall Information
Appendix G: SSA Model and Results
Appendix H: Earth Systems Pacific Infiltration Test Data from March 16, 2016

I. Introduction

	Project Name:	Destino Paso, Tract 2962
А.	The Property	

Location:	The Destino Paso project site is located on the east side of Airport Road, approximately 0.5 miles from Highway 46 East in Paso Robles. The site includes APNs 025-436- 029 and 025-436-030, totaling approximately 40.3 acres of land. See Appendix A for Location Map for reference.
Address:	3350 & 3360 Airport Road, Paso Robles, CA 93446
Assessor's Parcel Numbers:	025-436-029 / 025-436-030

Existing property description:

The majority of the site drains to the west and is covered by native grasses and scattered oak groves. The hotel proposed on Parcel 2 is currently vacant and covered with native grasses. It currently sheet flows to the west and drainage is captured on the east side of Airport Road in existing storm drain inlets that flow into the Huerhuero. The hotel proposed for Parcel 3 currently contains an existing house, and a barn which are all proposed to be demolished prior to the start of construction on Parcel 3. In its existing state, storm water runoff flows via sheet flow and small localized swales. The proposed hotel on Parcel 3 and the proposed hotel on Parcel 4 sit on top of the existing hill, with a majority draining to the southwest, eventually crossing Airport Road and entering the Huerhuero Creek. The northeastern portion of the property drains to an existing drainage course on the north side, also eventually making its way to the Huerhuero Creek. The hotel proposed on Parcel 6 is located at the southwest corner of the site. It currently has a stock pond on the site which if it overtops, sheet flows down to Airport Road and is collected by existing storm drain inlets and is released to the Huerhuero. Proposed Parcel 6 contains an existing farm house and two barns. On the northerly part of the proposed parcel 5 existing water sheet flows through a wide area from an upstream area which is a tree lined drainage area that has an existing lake. No changes are proposed for Parcel 5. The developed condition of the proposed project will maintain the drainage patterns of the site. There are no critical areas or wildlife habitat on the site.

B. The Project

Project Type:	Proposed Hotel Development			
	The proposed project consists of 4 hotels with a total of 291 rooms, and including a restaurant a small conference center, pools, parking lots, etc. The existing dirt road will be redeveloped into a full-width paved public road, providing driveway access to three hotels and potential for continuation onto the adjacent property. The fourth hotel has its own access point on Airport Road which it shares with the existing farmhouse. Five retention basins are proposed, one at the north east portion of the property for Parcel 4. Three basins are proposed on Parcel 2 the hotel on Parcel 2 as well as serving the hotels on Parcel 3 and Parcel 4. Two interconnected basins are proposed for the hotel on Parcel 6. Proposed grading will result in an export of material. (See Appendix C of the Conceptual Plans for reference).			

Table 1: Summary of Areas (Parcels 2, 3, 4)

Undisturbed / Permeable / Landscaped Area:	= 448,630 sf	
New Impervious Area: Concrete (Sidewalks / Paths / Patios / Etc.): Asphalt (Road / Parking Lots): Buildings:	62,045 sf 180,440 sf 76,300 sf	= 318,785 sf
Replaced Impervious Area: House / Out Building / Barn:		= 6,765 sf
Total Parcel 2, 3, 4 Site Area:		= 774,180 sf = 17.8 acres

	,		
	Undisturbed / Permeable / Landscaped Area:		= 61,820 sf
	New Impervious Area: Concrete (Sidewalks / Paths / Patios / Etc.): Asphalt (Road / Parking Lots): Buildings: Total Parcel 6 Site Area:	3,880 sf 29,800 sf 16,800 sf	= 50,480 sf = 112,300 sf = 2.6 acres
Table	3: Summary of Areas (Overall)		
	Total new and/or replaced impervious surface area:		= 376,030 sf
	Total Site Area:		= 886,480 sf = 20.4 acres

C. The Purpose

Table 2: Summary of Areas (Parcel 6)

The purpose of this Stormwater Control Plan (SWCP) is to outline the site planning, LID concepts, best management practices (BMP's), and Stormwater Control Measures (SCMs) that will be employed in the design and development of the project. This report will demonstrate how the requirements will be met for the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Requirements in the Central Coast Region Resolution No. R3-2013-0032 prepared by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Coast Region. These requirements went into effect on March 6, 2014. The requirements, methodology of analysis, and results will be outlined in the remainder of this report.

Please note, this report will <u>not</u> describe or include the traditional City of Paso Robles Public Works stormwater drainage flooding requirements which are listed in the Engineering Division Standard Details and Specifications.

II. Methodology

A. Post-Construction Stormwater Management Requirements

The total new and/or replaced impervious surface area is **376,030 sf**. (See the summary of areas Tables 1 and 2 above along with the DMA breakdown tables at the end of the report)

The table below summarizes the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Requirement thresholds and if they apply to the project.

Table 4: PCR Requirements

Performance	Impervious	Applies:
Requirement	Threshold	
No. 1 Site Design and Runoff Reduction	> 2,500 sf	Yes
No. 2 Water Quality Treatment	> 5,000 sf	Yes
No. 3 Runoff Retention	> 15,000 sf	Yes
No. 4 Peak Management	> 22,500 sf	Yes

The project is located within Watershed Management Zone 1 (WMZ 1). (See Appendix B)

There are **no adjusted requirements** based on the local jurisdiction's approval, an allowance of a Special Circumstance, or Urban Sustainability Area designation. A description of technical infeasibility will not be needed since there will be no additional associated projects that will be providing off-site mitigation. All of the mitigation is handled on-site.

The performance requirement criteria and how they are satisfied are described and contained in the following sections.

1. <u>Performance Requirement No. 1</u> Site Design and Runoff Reduction

Since the project's impervious area of **376,030 sf** exceeds the threshold of 2,500 sf, the following components satisfy this requirement.

Site assessment summary:

The following site assessment measures were used to identify opportunities and constraints to implement LID Stormwater Control Measures. The site plan was developed and designed taking the following into account (See Appendix C: Conceptual Plans for reference):

- Site topography & Vegetative cover/trees
- Hydrologic features including existing swales and watercourses
- Soil types and hydrologic soil groups
- Utilities, Easements, Zoning/Land Use, Setbacks, Other pertinent overlay(s)

Site design measures used:

- Construct drive aisles, sidewalks, and parking stalls to the minimum widths and depths necessary, provided that public safety or mobility uses are not compromised
- Conform the site layout along natural landforms to the maximum extent feasible
- Avoid excessive grading and disturbance of vegetation and soils to the maximum extent feasible

Runoff Reduction Measures:

- Disconnected roof drains
- Minimize stormwater runoff by directing runoff from the buildings, sidewalks and road improvements onto vegetated areas safely away from building foundations and footings, consistent with California building code

Drainage Management Areas (DMAs)

Drainage Management Areas (DMAs) were delineated to support a decentralized approach to stormwater management and associated basins were identified at critical discharge points or important stormwater drainage locations. (See Appendix D for the Watershed Exhibit showing the DMAs and the DMA breakdown tables at the end of the report).

2. <u>Performance Requirement No. 2</u> Water Quality Treatment

Since the project's impervious area of **376,030 sf** exceeds the threshold of 5,000 sf, Low Impact Development (LID) Treatment Systems have been incorporated to satisfy this requirement.

The stormwater runoff is treated using onsite measures to reduce pollutant loads and concentrations using physical, biological, and chemical removal using Low Impact Development (LID) Treatment Systems – implementing harvesting and use, infiltration, and evapotransportation Stormwater Control Measures that collectively achieve the following hydraulic sizing criteria:

- Hydraulic sizing criteria: LID systems shall be designed to retain stormwater runoff equal to the volume of runoff generated by the 85th percentile 24-hour storm event, based on local rainfall data.
- 85th Percentile 24-hour Rainfall Depth = <u>0.8 inches</u>
 - Note: Rainfall depth provided by the City of Paso Robles Standard Specifications and Details Section V.A.

Performance Requirement No. 2 will be satisfied because a greater rainfall depth associated with Performance Requirement No. 3 Runoff Retention also needs to be met. (See the next section).

3. <u>Performance Requirement No. 3</u> Runoff Retention

Since the project's impervious area of **376,030 sf** exceeds the threshold of 15,000 sf, LID systems have been incorporated to satisfy this requirement.

- For Watershed Management Zone 1, hydraulic sizing criteria: LID systems shall be designed to retain stormwater runoff equal to the volume of runoff generated by the 95th percentile 24-hour storm event, based on local rainfall data. Prevent offsite discharge from events up to the 95th percentile 24-hour rainfall event. Compliance must be achieved by infiltration.
- 95th Percentile 24-hour Rainfall Depth = <u>1.45 inches</u>
 - Note: Rainfall depth provided by the City of Paso Robles Standard Specifications and Details Section V.A.

Hybrid LID retention / detention basins along with swales will be sized and installed to capture, convey, and retain the required volume. (See the Hydrology / Hydraulics section B for how the retention / detention basin SCM sizing will satisfy this requirement).

4. <u>Performance Requirement No. 4</u> Peak Management

Since the project's impervious area of **376,030** sf exceeds the threshold of 22,500 sf, hybrid retention / detention basins will be designed and implemented on-site to satisfy this requirement.

• The post-development peak flows, discharged from the site, shall not exceed the pre-project peak flows for the 2 - through 10-year storm events.

The Post-construction Stormwater Structural Control Measures (SCMs) that will be used includes swales that will capture and convey runoff to a storm drain inlet and culvert system that directs the water to the four proposed shallow retention / detention basins. The required, cumulative retention volume will be stored below the spillways. A riser pipe with atrium grate or mid-state box (or approved) equal will act as the detention spillway.

The post-development peak flows, discharged from the site, will not exceed the pre-project peak flows for the 2-year through 10-year storm events. Ponding depths will vary, with a maximum surface inundation depth of 1.5 feet at the upper basin A near Hotel 1, 1-foot at basins B and C uphill of Hotel 3, and a maximum surface inundation depth of 1.3 feet at the lower basin D near Airport Road. The interconnected basins at Hotel 4 will have a maximum surface inundation depth of 1-foot. Safe overland flow will be provided at each of the basins. (See Appendix C of the Conceptual Plans and basin sections for reference).

These SCMs will satisfy all the performance requirements 1, 2, 3 and 4. (See the Hydrology / Hydraulics section B for how these requirements are achieved).

B. Hydrology / Hydraulics

Existing and developed watersheds have been delineated and broken out into drainage management areas (DMAs) using a topographic map of the project site; a review of the topographic information has been completed to verify drainage patterns. All historical drainage patterns were maintained to the extent feasible and disturbance within the natural waterways present on the site were reduced to the extent feasible.

Since the impervious threshold > 15,000 sf is exceeded for Performance Requirement No. 3 Runoff Retention, the 95th percentile storm event will be used to determine all Postconstruction Stormwater Management retention requirements. As prescribed in Attachment D of the Post-construction Stormwater Management Requirements, Method 1: Simple Method will be used to determine that the SCM Capture Volume will be greater than the Retention Volume for the 95th Percentile 24-hr Rainfall Depth.

There is some off-site run-on to the property at the northeast part of the property and is included in DMA-A.

A portion of the proposed public road improvements will not be collected and conveyed to a proposed basin. This area is delineated by DMA-E. However, the proposed impervious improvements and associated retention volume will be mitigated on-site within Basin A.

See the summary of calculations below. The pertinent formulas used in this report to calculate the storage requirements are presented below in italics.

DMA Tributary Area = Total Area – Undisturbed Area – Removed – Reduction (For simplicity, it is assumed that all areas that are not impervious are 'landscape')

The requirements and formulas are prescribed in Attachment D of the Post-Construction Stormwater Management requirements:

95th Percentile 24-hr Storm Event Rainfall Depth > 85th Percentile 24-hr Storm Event Rainfall Depth1.45 inches0.8 inches1.45 inches

Compute the Runoff Coefficient "C"

As set forth in WEF Manual of Practice No. 23/ASCE Manual of Practice No. 87, (1998), pages 175-178 and based on the translation of rainfall to runoff using a runoff regression equation developed using two years of data from more than 60 urban watersheds nationwide:

$$C = 0.858i^3 - 0.78i^2 + 0.774i + 0.04$$

Where "i" is the fraction of the tributary area that is impervious

Retention Volume for 95^{th} Percentile 24-hr Rainfall Depth = (C) x (Rainfall Depth_{95th}) x (Tributary Area)

See the Summary Table below for required retention volume calculations by DMA:

95th New / Repl RUNOFF TOTAL AREA % REQUIRED DMA No. Impervious COEFFICIENT, IMPERVIOUS, i RETENTION (SF) Area (SF) С VOLUME (CF) А 89,500 28,635 0.32 0.24 2,555 В 73,800 4,705 0.06 0.09 775 С 61,700 5,160 80.0 0.10 745 D 532,245 268,595 0.52 0.35 22,265 Ε 23,700 11,690 0.49 0.33 960 780,945 TOTAL 27,300

 Table 5: 95th Runoff Volume Retention Calculation Summary

The 95th Percentile 24-hr Rainfall Depth governs, Performance Requirement No. 3 controls and Performance Requirement No. 2 is satisfied as long as No. 3 is met.

Structural Stormwater Control Measure (SCM) Sizing

As described above, the Method 1: Simple Method was used to determine that the SCM Capture Volume is greater than the Retention Volume for the 95th Percentile 24-hr Rainfall Depth. The available volume of the retention / detention basin SCMs, were calculated in a <u>static</u> <u>state</u> to demonstrate the SCM Capture Volume.

See Appendix C for the Conceptual Plans showing the four retention / detention ponding areas. The retention volume for each ponding area below the spillway riser pipe or grate inlet. Here is a summary of the basins (see the basin sections at the back of Appendix C for reference):

Table 6: Basin Summary

Basin A + E:	12" rock	Surface bottom = 817.0	Top of Grate (TG) = 818.5
Basin B:	(no rock)	Surface Bottom = 747.0	Top of Grate (TG) = 748.0
Basin C:	(no rock)	Surface Bottom = 747.0	Top of Grate (TG) = 748.0
Basin D:	(no rock)	Surface Bottom = 730.0	Top of Grate (TG) = 731.3
Basin HTL4:	24" rock	Surface Bottom = 730.0	Top of Grate (TG) = 731.0

Here is a summary of the retention volume provided:

>

>

Table 7: SCM Basin Volume Summary

BASIN	Required Volume	Provided	Inundation Depth	Drain time (hr)	(days)
A + E	3,515	3,645	1' rock /1.5' surface	25.0	1.0
В	775	1,846	1-foot	30.3	1.3
С	745	1,062	1-foot	35.2	1.5
D	22,265	23,004	1.3-feet	18.2	0.8
TOTAL	27,300	29,556			

BASIN	Required Volume	Provided	Inundation Depth	Drain time (hr)	(days)
HTL4	4,185	4,581	2' rock/1' surface	28.7	1.2

SCM Capture Volume

Retention Volume for 95th Percentile 24-hr Rainfall Depth

29,556 cubic feet

27,300 cubic feet

Performance Requirement No. 2 and Performance Requirement No. 3 are both satisfied.

Sanitary Storm and Sewer Analysis (SSA) Modeling and Methodology

For Performance Requirement No. 4, a Sanitary Storm and Sewer Analysis (SSA) model was prepared to analyze the DMA, SCMs and to determine the peak flow rates. SCS TR-55 hydrology method was used with user-defined time of concentrations (TOCs). They hydrodynamic link routing method and Hazen-Williams force main equations were used. The NOAA Atlas 14 24-hour duration rainfall data was used for the 2-, 5- and 10-year storm events. Weighted composite curve numbers (CNs) were calculated for each DMA. Basin depth, area and volume tables were used to define the storage curves for the proposed basins. Orifices were used to model the riser pipe spillway and discharge from the basins. The results of the model show that the detention requirements for the post-development peak flows, discharged from the site, do not exceed the pre-project peak flows for the 2- through 10-year storm events. (See Appendix D for the Watershed Exhibits. See Appendix G for the SSA model and results. Please note, all basin ponding areas are preliminary and schematic; they are subject to change during final design.)

Infiltration data

For the SSA model analysis, infiltration data from Earth Systems Pacific was used from tests conducted on March 16, 2016. (See Appendix H). The test results for the area around Basin A was 1 inch per hour. An infiltration rate of 0.5 inches per hour was used for modeling Basins A, B and C. The test results for the area around Basin D and HTL4 was between 3 and 20 inches per hour. An infiltration rate of 1 inch per hour was used for modeling Basin D and HTL4.

Other Assumptions

- Proposed basins will have a 1% minimum bottom and 4:1 maximum side slopes
- Washed/cleaned No. 57 rock, or equal, with a 40% void ratio was used as the subsurface storage structural section for Basin A and Basin HTL4.

The proposed project maintains the existing drainage patterns and low impact development features are incorporated into site design. The four hybrid retention / detention basins have been designed to meet the PCR requirements. In summary, the post-development peak flows, discharged from the site, will not exceed the pre-project peak flows for the 2 - through 10-year storm events. See Appendix E: SSA Model and Results. Here is a summary:

Table 8: Detention Peak Flow Summary Table

Post-developed Peak		Pre-project Peak
Q ₂ = 1.20 cfs	<	Q ₂ = 3.65 cfs
Q ₅ = 2.07 cfs	<	Q ₅ = 5.97 cfs
Q ₁₀ = 2.34 cfs	<	Q ₁₀ = 6.82 cfs

Performance Requirement No. 4 is satisfied.

III. <u>Results</u>

During the final design process, the project will incorporate the Runoff Reduction Measures and Structural Stormwater Control Measures (SCMs) described in this report and shown on the SCM exhibits (see the draft plans and sections in Appendix C). This will satisfy all requirements prescribed by the Post-construction Stormwater Management Requirements.

- Performance Requirement No. 1 is satisfied
- Performance Requirement No. 2 is satisfied
- Performance Requirement No. 3 is satisfied
- Performance Requirement No. 4 is satisfied

A. Statement of Compliance

On-site compliance can be achieved. There is no documentation needed to demonstrate infeasibility where on-site compliance cannot be achieved because it doesn't apply.

The Water Quality Treatment, Runoff Retention, and Peak Management Performance Requirements will be met on-site.

B. Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan

To ensure long-term performance for all structural Stormwater Control Measures (SCMs), the following O&M Plan should be followed:

- Have designated personnel conduct inspections of stormwater conveyance systems prior to the rainy season
- Inspect all structural SCMs:
 - At least once annually prior to the rainy season.
 - Prior to a forecast rain
 - Daily during extended rain events
 - o After rain events
 - Weekly during the rainy season
- Keep the parking areas clean and orderly.
 - Remove debris in a timely fashion.
- Routinely sweep, shovel, and dispose of litter to appropriate trash receptacles.
- Allow sheet runoff to flow into landscape areas, bioretention/detention basin areas; remove any accumulated sediment from the curbs and gutters or the curb cuts.
- Inspect overflow inlets for leaves and other debris.
 - Remove and dispose of debris in a timely fashion.
- Establish frequency of road sweeping based on usage and field observations of debris accumulation.
 - Sweep all roads before the onset of the wet season at a frequency that is to be established by the Home Owner's Association
 - Use dry cleaning methods (e.g., sweeping, vacuuming) to prevent the discharge of pollutants into the stormwater conveyance system if possible.
- Annually inspect and review basin vegetation health. Prune, trim and/or remove and replace vegetation as needed to effectively maintain basins.

If / when the property is sold, a Maintenance Agreement and Transfer of Responsibility for SCMs will be completed under separate cover in the future.

Owner of facilities

The owner of the facilities is:

Karen Stier, Jerry and Kathie Handley

ADDITIONAL TABLES:

- Drainage Management Area (DMA) Summary Table
- Composite Curve Number (CN) Summary
- 95th Percentile Required Retention Volume Summary
- Basin Provided Retention Volume Summary
- DMA Breakdown Tables (A, B, C, D, E, HTL4)
- Basin Ponding Area Summary Tables (Basins A, B, C, D, HTL4)

\leq	
σ	
2	
5	
5	
S	

	СҮ	95	29	28	825	36	1,011
	95th RUNOFF VOLUME, RETENTION (cf)	2,555	775	745	22,265	096	27,300
	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT, c	0.24	0.09	0.10	0.35	0.33	
	% IMPERVIOUS, i	0.32	90.0	0.08	0.52	0.49	
	Composite Curve Number (CN)	82	76	76	86	98	
	Area (SQ MI)	0.003210	0.002647	0.002213	0.019092	0.000850	
	AREA (AC)	2.0546	1.6942	1.4164	12.2187	0.5441	17.9
	TOTAL AREA (SF)	89,500	73,800	61,700	532,245	23,700	780,945
PROPOSED	DMA No.	A	В	С	D	ш	TOTAL

>	•
⊴	
\geq	
5	
5	•
7	'

	(days)	1.0	1.3	1.5	0.8		
	Drain time (hr)	25.0	30.3	35.2	18.2		
	Inundation Depth	1' rock /1.5' surface	1-foot	1-foot	1.3-feet		
	Difference	-5	-40	-12	-27	-84	
	СҮ	135	68	39	852	1,095	
	Provided	3,645	1,846	1,062	23,004	29,556	
	СΥ	130	29	28	825	1,011	
	Required Volume	3,515	775	745	22,265	27,300	
<u>SUMMARY</u>	BASIN	A + E	В	C	D	TOTAL	

СҮ	155	155
95th RUNOFF VOLUME, RETENTION (cf)	4,185	4,185
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT, c	0.31	
% IMPERVIOUS, i	0.45	
Composite Curve Number (CN)	85	
area (so mi)	0.004028	
AREA (AC)	2.5781	
total area (SF)	112,300	112,300
DMA No.	HTL4	TOTAL

SUMMARY								
BASIN	Required Volume	СY	Provided	СY	Difference	Inundation Depth	Drain time (hr)	(days)
HTL4	4,185	155	4,581	170	-15	2' rock/1' surface	28.7	1.2

SURFACE TYPE	AREA, SF
CONCRETE	0
ASPHALT	0
BUILDINGS	0
UNDISTURBED GROUND	89,500
TOTAL AREA	89,500

SURFACE TYPE	AREA, SF
NEW IMPERVIOUS AREAS	
-	0
-	0
CONCRETE	3,885
ASPHALT	24,750
BUILDINGS	0
SUBTOTAL	28,635
REPLACED IMPERVIOUS AREAS	
N/A	
SUBTOTAL	0
PERVIOUS AREAS	
PERVIOUS CONCRETE	0
LANDSCAPE	60,865
UNDISTURBED GROUND	0
SUBTOTAL	60,865
TOTAL AREA	89,500

QUANTITY	VALUE
AREA OF DISTURBANCE (SF)=	89,500
50% REPLACEMENT CREDIT (SF)=	0
% IMPERVIOUS, i =	32%
95th RAINFALL DEPTH (IN) $=$	1.45
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT, c =	0.24
MITIGATION VOLUME (CF) =	2,555

SURFACE TYPE	AREA, SF
CONCRETE	0
ASPHALT	0
BUILDINGS	0
UNDISTURBED GROUND	73,800
TOTAL AREA	73,800

SURFACE TYPE	AREA, SF
NEW IMPERVIOUS AREAS	
-	0
-	0
CONCRETE	1,480
ASPHALT	0
BUILDINGS	3,225
SUBTOTAL	4,705
REPLACED IMPERVIOUS AREAS	
N/A	0
SUBTOTAL	0
PERVIOUS AREAS	
PERVIOUS CONCRETE	0
LANDSCAPE	69,095
UNDISTURBED GROUND	0
SUBTOTAL	69,095
TOTAL AREA	73,800

QUANTITY	VALUE
AREA OF DISTURBANCE (SF)=	73,800
50% REPLACEMENT CREDIT (SF)=	0
% IMPERVIOUS, i =	6%
95th RAINFALL DEPTH (IN) $=$	1.45
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT, c =	0.09
MITIGATION VOLUME (CF) =	775

SURFACE TYPE	AREA, SF
CONCRETE	0
ASPHALT	0
BUILDINGS	0
UNDISTURBED GROUND	61,700
TOTAL AREA	61,700

SURFACE TYPE	AREA, SF
NEW IMPERVIOUS AREAS	
-	0
-	0
CONCRETE	1,300
ASPHALT	0
BUILDINGS	3,860
SUBTOTAL	5,160
REPLACED IMPERVIOUS AREAS	
N/A	
SUBTOTAL	0
PERVIOUS AREAS	
PERVIOUS CONCRETE	0
LANDSCAPE	56,540
UNDISTURBED GROUND	0
SUBTOTAL	56,540
TOTAL AREA	61,700

QUANTITY	VALUE
AREA OF DISTURBANCE (SF)=	61,700
50% REPLACEMENT CREDIT (SF)=	0
% IMPERVIOUS, i =	8%
95th RAINFALL DEPTH (IN) =	1.45
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT, c =	0.10
MITIGATION VOLUME (CF) =	745

SURFACE TYPE	AREA, SF
CONCRETE	0
ASPHALT	0
BUILDINGS	6,765
UNDISTURBED GROUND	525,480
TOTAL AREA	532,245

SURFACE TYPE	AREA, SF
NEW IMPERVIOUS AREAS	
-	0
-	0
CONCRETE	53,820
ASPHALT	145,560
BUILDINGS	69,215
SUBTOTAL	268,595
REPLACED IMPERVIOUS AREAS	
N/A	6,765
SUBTOTAL	6,765
PERVIOUS AREAS	
PERVIOUS CONCRETE	0
LANDSCAPE	256,885
UNDISTURBED GROUND	6,765
SUBTOTAL	263,650
TOTAL AREA	532,245

QUANTITY	VALUE
Area of disturbance (SF)=	525,480
50% REPLACEMENT CREDIT (SF)=	3,383
% IMPERVIOUS, i $=$	52%
95th RAINFALL DEPTH (IN) $=$	1.45
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT, c =	0.35
MITIGATION VOLUME (CF) =	22,265

SURFACE TYPE	AREA, SF
CONCRETE	0
ASPHALT	0
BUILDINGS	0
UNDISTURBED GROUND	23,700
TOTAL AREA	23,700

SURFACE TYPE	AREA, SF
NEW IMPERVIOUS AREAS	
-	0
-	0
CONCRETE	1,560
ASPHALT	10,130
BUILDINGS	0
SUBTOTAL	11,690
REPLACED IMPERVIOUS AREAS	
N/A	
SUBTOTAL	0
PERVIOUS AREAS	
PERVIOUS CONCRETE	0
LANDSCAPE	12,010
UNDISTURBED GROUND	0
SUBTOTAL	12,010
TOTAL AREA	23,700

QUANTITY	VALUE
AREA OF DISTURBANCE (SF)=	23,700
50% REPLACEMENT CREDIT (SF)=	0
% IMPERVIOUS, i =	49%
95th RAINFALL DEPTH (IN) $=$	1.45
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT, c =	0.33
MITIGATION VOLUME (CF) =	960

SURFACE TYPE	AREA, SF
CONCRETE	0
ASPHALT	0
BUILDINGS	0
UNDISTURBED GROUND	112,300
TOTAL AREA	112,300

SURFACE TYPE	AREA, SF
NEW IMPERVIOUS AREAS	
-	0
-	0
CONCRETE	3,880
ASPHALT	29,800
BUILDINGS	16,800
SUBTOTAL	50,480
REPLACED IMPERVIOUS AREAS	
N/A	
SUBTOTAL	0
PERVIOUS AREAS	
PERVIOUS CONCRETE	0
LANDSCAPE	61,820
UNDISTURBED GROUND	0
SUBTOTAL	61,820
TOTAL AREA	112,300

QUANTITY	VALUE
AREA OF DISTURBANCE (SF)=	112,300
50% REPLACEMENT CREDIT (SF)=	0
% IMPERVIOUS, i =	45%
95th RAINFALL DEPTH (IN) $=$	1.45
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT, c =	0.31
MITIGATION VOLUME (CF) =	4,185
Basin Ponding Area Summary (Basin A)

Elevation - Storage - Discharge									
Elevation	Diff	Depth	Contour	Descrp	Storage Volume				
(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	Area (sf)	(type)	Increment Sum (AC		(AC-FT)		
					(CF)	(CF)			
816.0	0.0	0.0	3500.0	ROCK	0.0	0.0	0.0000		
816.5	0.5	0.5	3500.0	ROCK	700.0	700.0 700.0			
817.0	0.5	1.0	783	Bottom	700.0 1,400.0		0.0321		
817.5	0.5	1.5	1,208	Surface	497.8 1,897.8		0.0436		
818.0	0.5	2.0	1,729	Surface	734.3 2,632.0		0.0604		
818.5	0.5	2.5	2,321	Тор	1,012.5	3,644.5	0.0837		
819.0	0.5	3.0	2,988	Spill	1,327.3 4,971.8		0.1141		
819.5	0.5	3.5	3,740	Spill	1,682.0 6,653.8 0		0.1527		
820.0	0.5	4.0	4,735	Spill	2,118.8	8,772.5	0.2014		
820.5	0.5	4.5	5,658	Overtop	2,598.3	11,370.8	0.2610		

Basin Ponding Area Summary (Basin B)

Elevation - Storage - Discharge									
Elevation	Diff	Depth	Contour	Descrp	Sto	Storage Volume			
(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	Area (sf)	(type)	Increment Sum (AC		(AC-FT)		
					(CF) (CF)				
747.0	0.0	0.0	1,464	Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0000		
747.5	0.5	0.5	1,840	Surface	826.0 826.0		0.0190		
748.0	0.5	1.0	2,238	Тор	1,019.5 1,845.5		0.0424		
748.5	0.5	1.5	2,664	Spill	1,225.5	3,071.0	0.0705		
749.0	0.5	2.0	3,114	Spill	1,444.5	1,444.5 4,515.5			
749.5	0.5	2.5	3,590	Spill	1,676.0 6,191.5		0.1421		
750.0	0.5	3.0	4,628	Spill	2,054.5 8,246.0 (0.1893		
750.5	0.5	3.5	5,272	Overtop	2,475.0	10,721.0	0.2461		

Basin Ponding Area Summary (Basin C)

Elevation - Storage - Discharge									
Elevation	Diff	Depth	Contour	Descrp	Sto	rage Volum	e		
(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	Area (sf)	(type)	Increment	Sum	(AC-FT)		
					(CF) (CF)				
747.0	0.0	0.0	725	Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0000		
747.5	0.5	0.5	1,054	Surface	444.8 444.8		0.0102		
748.0	0.5	1.0	1,416	Тор	617.5 1,062.3		0.0244		
748.5	0.5	1.5	1,803	Spill	804.8	1,867.0	0.0429		
749.0	0.5	2.0	2,215	Spill	1,004.5	1,004.5 2,871.5			
749.5	0.5	2.5	2,653	Spill	1,217.0	4,088.5	0.0939		
750.0	0.5	3.0	3,115	Spill	1,442.0 5,530.5 0.1		0.1270		
750.5	0.5	3.5	3,600	Overtop	1,678.8	7,209.3	0.1655		

Basin Ponding Area Summary (Basin D)

Elevation - Storage - Discharge									
Elevation	Diff	Depth	Contour	Descrp	Sto	rage Volum	е		
(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	Area (sf)	(type)	Increment Sum (Ad		(AC-FT)		
					(CF) (CF)				
730.0	0.0	0.0	15,225	Bottom	n 0.0 0.0		0.0000		
730.5	0.5	0.5	17,127	Surface	8,088.0 8,088.0		0.1857		
731.0	0.5	1.0	19,060	Surface	9,046.8	17,134.8	0.3934		
731.3	0.3	1.3	20,236	Тор	5,894.4 23,029.1		0.5287		
731.5	0.2	1.5	21,026	Spill	4,126.2	27,155.4	0.6234		
732.0	0.5	2.0	23,024	Spill	11,012.5 38,167.9 (0.8762		
732.5	0.5	2.5	25,054	Overtop	12,019.5	50,187.4	1.1521		

Basin Ponding Area Summary (Basin HTL4)

Elevation - Storage - Discharge									
Elevation	Diff	Depth	Contour	Descrp	Storage Volume				
(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	Area (sf)	(type)	Increment	Sum	(AC-FT)		
					(CF)	(CF)			
728.0	0.0	0.0	1,914	ROCK	0.0	0.0	0.0000		
728.5	0.5	0.5	1,914	ROCK	382.8	382.8	0.0088		
729.0	0.5	1.0	1,914	ROCK	382.8 765.6		0.0176		
729.5	0.5	1.5	1,914	ROCK	382.8 1,148.4		0.0264		
730.0	0.5	2.0	1,914	Bottom	382.8 1,531.2		0.0352		
730.5	0.5	2.5	3,047	Surface	1,240.3 2,771.5		0.0636		
731.0	0.5	3.0	4,192	Surface	1,809.8	4,581.2	0.1052		
731.5	0.5	3.5	5,288	Тор	2,370.0	6,951.2	0.1596		
732.0	0.5	4.0	6,473	Spill	2,940.3 9,891.5		0.2271		
732.5	0.5	4.5	7,540	Spill	3,503.3	13,394.7	0.3075		
733.0	0.5	5.0	8,658	Overtop	3,782.8	13,674.2	0.3139		

APPENDICES:

- Appendix A: Location Map
- Appendix B: Watershed Management Zone Exhibit
- Appendix C: Conceptual Plans (for reference) and Basin Sections
- Appendix D: Watershed Exhibits
- Appendix E: NRCS Web Soil Survey Hydrologic Soil Group Data
- Appendix F: NOAA Atlas 14 Rainfall Information
- Appendix G: SSA Model and Results
- Appendix H: Earth Systems Pacific Infiltration Test Data from March 16, 2016

Appendix A: Location Map



Appendix B: Watershed Management Zone Exhibit



Appendix C: Conceptual Plans (for reference) and Basin Sections

JERRY & KATHIE HANDLEY P.O. BOX 1011 PASO ROBLES, CA 93447 KAREN STIER 4301 VALLEY MEADOW RC ENCINO, CA 91436 KAREN STIER 4301 VALLEY MEADOW F ENCINO, CA 91436 NORTH COAST ENGINEE 725 CRE STON RD., STE. PASO ROBLES, CA 93446 CHRSTY GABLER R.C.E. 64821 EXP. 630/09 025-436-029 & 030 SHEET INDEX AG TOTAL GROSS AREA 40.3 ACRES NET AREA 37.1 ACRES 1"=80 POS SITE STATISTICS - OVERVIEW PRELIMINARY (EXISTING ZONING PROPOSED USE 3 GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION APPLICANT A.P.N. RECORD OWNERS: ENGINEER EXISTING USE IRPORT ROAD DESTINO PASO RESORT HOTEL UTTITTUTTTUTTITU BELLEVILLE ЛТ OPEN SPACE YAW ORAG ONITER SITE CATION MAP

















NORTH COAST ENGINEERING, INC.

725 CRESTON ROAD SUITE B PASO ROBLES, CALIFORNIA 93446

(805) 239-3127

JOB	
SHEET NO	OF
CALCULATED BY	DATE
CHECKED BY	DATE



NORTH COAST ENGINEERING, INC. 725 CRESTON ROAD SUITE B PASO ROBLES, CALIFORNIA 93446 SHEET NO._ OF_ CALCULATED BY_ DATE. (805) 239-3127 CHECKED BY_ DATE. SCALE 2 Y ENM L, 0 SNESUEPACE Redent 02 というと 5 -Nr. 1016: P 5 -1 Tr 3 e Pa N . UPS/ S tout 24 0 DII AG 0 20 X M di EN1 m 0 TT Y A U 1 0 Box Ľ 4. Str Jaco 7 1 2 J. 5 H) 5 N 505 NIXED Ý The 0 732 732. 00 13 Agenda Iten No. 1 Page 813 of 979

DODUIDT ODA & ICinala Chastel ODE & ICaddad)

JOB_



hadded t - 200 (stands cheale) 1 Maddad

Appendix D: Watershed Exhibit



Appendix E: NRCS Web Soil Survey – Hydrologic Soil Group Data



Agenda Item No. 1 Page 818 of 979

California, Paso Robles Area	
Hydrologic Soil Group—San Luis Obispo County,	(06162 - Destino)





Hydrologic Soil Group

Hydrologic Soil Group— Summary by Map Unit — San Luis Obispo County, California, Paso Robles Area (CA665)							
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI			
102	Arbuckle-Positas complex, 9 to 15 percent slopes	С	17.8	43.7%			
104	Arbuckle-Positas complex, 30 to 50 percent slopes	С	15.8	38.7%			
106	Arbuckle-San Ysidro complex, 2 to 9 percent slopes	С	7.2	17.6%			
Totals for Area of Inter	est	40.8	100.0%				

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher



Appendix F: NOAA Atlas 14 Rainfall Information

Precipitation Frequency Data Server



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 6, Version 2 Location name: Paso Robles, California, US* Latitude: 35.6512°, Longitude: -120.6399° Elevation: 744 ft* * source: Google Maps



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Sarah Dietz, Sarah Heim, Lillian Hiner, Kazungu Maitaria, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Fenglin Yan, Michael Yekta, Tan Zhao, Geoffrey Bonnin, Daniel Brewer, Li-Chuan Chen, Tye Parzybok, John Yarchoan

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF_tabular | PF_graphical | Maps_&_aerials

PF tabular

PD	PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches) ¹									
Duration				Avera	ge recurren	ce interval (years)			
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.103	0.139	0.186	0.225	0.279	0.321	0.364	0.409	0.471	0.520
	(0.087-0.122)	(0.118-0.165)	(0.157-0.222)	(0.189-0.272)	(0.225-0.350)	(0.253-0.412)	(0.279-0.480)	(0.304-0.557)	(0.334-0.672)	(0.355-0.771)
10-min	0.147	0.199	0.267	0.323	0.400	0.460	0.522	0.587	0.676	0.746
	(0.125-0.175)	(0.169-0.237)	(0.226-0.319)	(0.271-0.390)	(0.323-0.501)	(0.362-0.590)	(0.400-0.688)	(0.435-0.798)	(0.479-0.963)	(0.508-1.10)
15-min	0.178	0.240	0.323	0.391	0.484	0.557	0.631	0.709	0.817	0.902
	(0.151-0.212)	(0.204-0.286)	(0.273-0.386)	(0.327-0.471)	(0.390-0.606)	(0.438-0.714)	(0.484-0.832)	(0.527-0.965)	(0.579-1.17)	(0.615-1.34)
30-min	0.248	0.335	0.450	0.544	0.674	0.775	0.879	0.988	1.14	1.26
	(0.211-0.295)	(0.284-0.399)	(0.380-0.537)	(0.456-0.656)	(0.544-0.844)	(0.611-0.994)	(0.674-1.16)	(0.734-1.34)	(0.806-1.62)	(0.856-1.86)
60-min	0.362	0.488	0.656	0.793	0.982	1.13	1.28	1.44	1.66	1.83
	(0.307-0.430)	(0.414-0.581)	(0.554-0.783)	(0.665-0.956)	(0.792-1.23)	(0.890-1.45)	(0.982-1.69)	(1.07-1.96)	(1.18-2.37)	(1.25-2.71)
2-hr	0.545	0.706	0.925	1.11	1.36	1.56	1.78	2.00	2.31	2.56
	(0.463-0.648)	(0.599-0.841)	(0.782-1.10)	(0.928-1.33)	(1.10-1.71)	(1.23-2.01)	(1.36-2.34)	(1.49-2.72)	(1.64-3.30)	(1.75-3.80)
3-hr	0.676	0.871	1.14	1.36	1.67	1.92	2.18	2.45	2.84	3.15
	(0.574-0.804)	(0.739-1.04)	(0.960-1.36)	(1.14-1.64)	(1.35-2.09)	(1.51-2.46)	(1.67-2.87)	(1.82-3.34)	(2.01-4.04)	(2.15-4.66)
6-hr	0.946	1.23	1.61	1.94	2.39	2.74	3.11	3.51	4.05	4.49
	(0.803-1.13)	(1.04-1.47)	(1.36-1.93)	(1.62-2.33)	(1.92-2.99)	(2.16-3.52)	(2.38-4.11)	(2.60-4.77)	(2.87-5.78)	(3.06-6.66)
12-hr	1.17	1.64	2.24	2.73	3.40	3.90	4.42	4.95	5.67	6.22
	(0.995-1.39)	(1.39-1.95)	(1.90-2.68)	(2.29-3.29)	(2.74-4.25)	(3.08-5.01)	(3.39-5.83)	(3.68-6.74)	(4.02-8.08)	(4.24-9.22)
24-hr	1.40	2.10	2.99	3.71	4.65	5.36	6.07	6.79	7.75	8.47
	(1.26-1.58)	(1.89-2.38)	(2.69-3.40)	(3.31-4.24)	(4.01-5.51)	(4.52-6.49)	(4.99-7.54)	(5.43-8.68)	(5.93-10.3)	(6.26-11.7)
2-day	1.77	2.60	3.68	4.57	5.79	6.73	7.69	8.69	10.1	11.1
	(1.60-2.00)	(2.34-2.94)	(3.31-4.18)	(4.07-5.23)	(4.98-6.85)	(5.67-8.14)	(6.32-9.54)	(6.94-11.1)	(7.70-13.4)	(8.22-15.4)
3-day	2.02	2.90	4.08	5.07	6.45	7.54	8.68	9.88	11.6	12.9
	(1.83-2.29)	(2.61-3.28)	(3.67-4.63)	(4.52-5.80)	(5.55-7.64)	(6.36-9.13)	(7.13-10.8)	(7.89-12.6)	(8.85-15.4)	(9.53-17.8)
4-day	2.21	3.13	4.37	5.42	6.91	8.10	9.35	10.7	12.6	14.1
	(2.00-2.50)	(2.82-3.54)	(3.93-4.96)	(4.84-6.21)	(5.96-8.19)	(6.83-9.81)	(7.69-11.6)	(8.54-13.6)	(9.62-16.7)	(10.4-19.4)
7-day	2.67	3.67	5.04	6.21	7.88	9.22	10.6	12.2	14.3	16.1
	(2.41-3.02)	(3.31-4.15)	(4.53-5.72)	(5.54-7.11)	(6.79-9.33)	(7.77-11.2)	(8.75-13.2)	(9.72-15.5)	(11.0-19.1)	(11.9-22.2)
10-day	3.02	4.08	5.56	6.82	8.63	10.1	11.6	13.3	15.7	17.6
	(2.72-3.41)	(3.68-4.62)	(5.00-6.31)	(6.08-7.81)	(7.43-10.2)	(8.51-12.2)	(9.57-14.4)	(10.6-17.0)	(12.0-20.9)	(13.0-24.3)
20-day	3.74	5.02	6.80	8.33	10.5	12.3	14.2	16.3	19.2	21.6
	(3.38-4.23)	(4.53-5.68)	(6.11-7.72)	(7.42-9.53)	(9.07-12.5)	(10.4-14.9)	(11.7-17.6)	(13.0-20.8)	(14.7-25.6)	(16.0-29.8)
30-day	4.47	6.01	8.15	9.98	12.6	14.8	17.0	19.5	23.0	25.9
	(4.04-5.06)	(5.42-6.80)	(7.33-9.25)	(8.90-11.4)	(10.9-14.9)	(12.4-17.9)	(14.0-21.2)	(15.6-24.9)	(17.6-30.7)	(19.1-35.8)
45-day	5.34	7.19	9.76	12.0	15.1	17.7	20.4	23.3	27.5	30.9
	(4.82-6.03)	(6.49-8.14)	(8.78-11.1)	(10.7-13.7)	(13.0-17.9)	(14.9-21.4)	(16.8-25.3)	(18.6-29.8)	(21.0-36.6)	(22.8-42.7)
60-day	6.24	8.41	11.4	14.0	17.6	20.6	23.8	27.1	31.9	35.8
	(5.63-7.05)	(7.59-9.52)	(10.3-13.0)	(12.5-16.0)	(15.2-20.9)	(17.4-24.9)	(19.5-29.5)	(21.7-34.7)	(24.4-42.6)	(26.5-49.5)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values.

Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

PF graphical



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 6, Version 2

Created (GMT): Thu May 19 22:54:38 2016

Back to Top

Maps & aerials



http://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds_printpage.html?lat=35.6512&lon=-120.6399&data=depth&units=english&series=pds#table



Large scale terrain



Large scale map



Large scale aerial



Precipitation Frequency Data Server



Back to Top

US Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service National Water Center 1325 East West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910 Questions?: <u>HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov</u>

<u>Disclaimer</u>

Appendix G: SSA Model and Results


Project Description

File Name	06162 Destino SSA Model.SPF
2000.p.00	06162 Destino Basin Modeling for
	preliminary design

Project Options

Flow Units	CFS
Elevation Type	Elevation
Hydrology Method	SCS TR-55
Time of Concentration (TOC) Method	User-Defined
Link Routing Method	Hydrodynamic
Enable Overflow Ponding at Nodes	YES
Skip Steady State Analysis Time Periods	YES

Analysis Options

Start Analysis On	Jan 01, 3000	00:00:00
End Analysis On	Jan 05, 3000	00:00:00
Start Reporting On	Jan 01, 3000	00:00:00
Antecedent Dry Days	0	days
Runoff (Dry Weather) Time Step	0 01:00:00	days hh:mm:ss
Runoff (Wet Weather) Time Step	0 00:05:00	days hh:mm:ss
Reporting Time Step	0 00:05:00	days hh:mm:ss
Routing Time Step	1	seconds

Number of Elements

	Qty
Rain Gages	1
Subbasins	12
Nodes	25
Junctions	12
Outfalls	8
Flow Diversions	0
Inlets	0
Storage Nodes	5
Links	17
Channels	0
Pipes	12
Pumps	0
Orifices	5
Weirs	0
Outlets	õ
Pollutants	õ
I and I lees	ñ
	•

Rainfall Details

SN	Rain Gage	Data	Data Source	Rainfall	Rain	State	County	Return	Rainfall	Rainfall
	ID	Source	ID	Туре	Units			Period	Depth	Distribution
								(vears)	(inches)	
_								() = = = ()	(

Subbasin Summary

SN Subbasin	Area	Weighted	Total	Total	Total	Peak	Time of
ID		Curve	Rainfall	Runoff	Runoff	Runoff	Concentration
		Number			Volume		
	(ac)		(in)	(in)	(ac-in)	(cfs)	(days hh:mm:ss)
1 DMA-A	2.05	82.00	3.71	1.96	4.02	3.38	0 00:02:00
2 DMA-B	1.69	76.00	3.71	1.52	2.58	2.00	0 00:03:00
3 DMA-C	1.42	76.00	3.71	1.52	2.15	1.67	0 00:03:00
4 DMA-D	12.06	86.00	3.71	2.29	27.56	22.19	0 00:05:00
5 DMA-E	0.54	86.00	3.71	2.29	1.24	1.07	0 00:02:00
6 DMA-HTL4	2.58	85.00	3.71	2.20	5.67	4.67	0 00:04:00
7 PRE-DMA-A	2.05	74.00	3.71	1.39	2.85	2.20	0 00:02:00
8 PRE-DMA-B	1.69	74.00	3.71	1.39	2.35	1.77	0 00:03:00
9 PRE-DMA-C	1.42	74.00	3.71	1.39	1.96	1.48	0 00:03:00
10 PRE-DMA-D	12.06	74.00	3.71	1.39	16.73	11.86	0 00:05:00
11 PRE-DMA-E	0.54	74.00	3.71	1.39	0.75	0.58	0 00:02:00
12 PRE-DMA-HTL4	2.58	74.00	3.71	1.39	3.58	2.62	0 00:04:00

Node Summary

SN Element	Element	Invert	Ground/Rim	Initial	Surcharge	Ponded	Peak	Max HGL	Max	Min	Time of	Total	Total Time
ID	Гуре	Elevation	(Max)	Water	Elevation	Area	Inflow	Elevation	Surcharge	Freeboard	Peak	Flooded	Flooded
			Elevation	Elevation				Attained	Depth	Attained	Flooding	Volume	
		(5)	(6)	(51)	(6)	(612)	((6)	Attained	(6)	Occurrence	((
		(π)	(π)	(π)	(ft)	(ft*)	(CIS)	(π)	(π)	(π)	(days nn:mm)	(ac-in)	(min)
1 Jun-A	Junction	825.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.15	825.00	0.00	0.00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
2 Jun-B	Junction	825.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.98	825.00	0.00	0.00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
3 Jun-C	Junction	825.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.65	825.00	0.00	0.00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
4 Jun-D	Junction	825.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.16	825.00	0.00	0.00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
5 Jun-E	Junction	825.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	825.00	0.00	0.00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
6 Jun-HTL4	Junction	740.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.66	740.00	0.00	0.00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
7 PRE-Jun-A	Junction	825.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.07	825.00	0.00	0.00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
8 PRE-Jun-B	Junction	825.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.76	825.00	0.00	0.00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
9 PRE-Jun-C	Junction	825.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.46	825.00	0.00	0.00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
10 PRE-Jun-D	Junction	824.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.99	824.47	0.00	3.53	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
11 PRE-Jun-E	Junction	825.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.55	825.00	0.00	0.00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
12 PRE-Jun-HTL4	Junction	740.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.62	740.00	0.00	0.00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
13 Out-A	Outfall	812.00					0.57	812.00					
14 Out-D	Outfall	723.00					4.90	723.00					
15 Out-E	Outfall	812.00					0.99	812.00					
16 Out-HTL4	Outfall	726.00					2.12	726.00					
17 PRE-Out-A	Outfall	812.00					2.07	812.00					
18 PRE-Out-D	Outfall	812.00					15.00	812.41					
19 PRE-Out-E	Outfall	812.00					0.55	812.00					
20 PRE-Out-HTL4	Outfall	726.00					2.62	726.00					
21 Stor-A	Storage Node	816.00	820.50	0.00		0.00	3.15	818.84				0.00	0.00
22 Stor-B	Storage Node	747.00	750.50	0.00		0.00	1.98	748.18				0.00	0.00
23 Stor-C	Storage Node	747.00	750.50	0.00		0.00	1.65	748.36				0.00	0.00
24 Stor-D	Storage Node	730.00	732.50	0.00		0.00	22.34	731.62				0.00	0.00
25 Stor-HTL4	Storage Node	730.00	733.00	0.00		0.00	4.66	732.51				0.00	0.00
				2.50		2.50						2.50	2.00

Link Summary

SN Element	Element	From	To (Outlet)	Length	Inlet	Outlet	Average	Diameter or	Manning's	Peak	Design Flow	Peak Flow/	Peak Flow	Peak Flow	Peak Flow	Total Time Reported
ID	Туре	(Inlet)	Node		Invert	Invert	Slope	Height	Roughness	Flow	Capacity	Design Flow	Velocity	Depth	Depth/	Surcharged Condition
		Node		I	Elevation	Elevation						Ratio			Total Depth	
															Ratio	
				(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(%)	(in)		(cfs)	(cfs)		(ft/sec)	(ft)		(min)
1 Link-04	Pipe	Jun-A	Stor-A	401.88	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.000	0.0150	3.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2 Link-06	Pipe	Jun-E	Out-E	298.72	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.000	0.0150	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3 Link-07	Pipe	Jun-B	Stor-B	310.99	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.000	0.0150	1.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4 Link-08	Pipe	Jun-C	Stor-C	459.83	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.000	0.0150	1.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5 Link-11	Pipe	Jun-D	Stor-D	336.43	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.000	0.0150	22.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6 Link-13	Pipe	PRE-Jun-A	PRE-Out-A	373.88	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.000	0.0150	2.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7 Link-14	Pipe	PRE-Jun-E	PRE-Out-E	280.34	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.000	0.0150	0.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8 Link-15	Pipe	PRE-Jun-C	PRE-Jun-D	1091.91	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.000	0.0150	1.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9 Link-16	Pipe	PRE-Jun-B	PRE-Jun-D	1171.10	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.000	0.0150	1.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10 Link-17	Pipe	PRE-Jun-D	PRE-Out-D	82.70	824.00	812.00	14.5100	48.000	0.0100	15.00	711.32	0.02	19.61	0.44	0.11	0.00 Calculated
11 Link-20	Pipe	PRE-Jun-HTL4	PRE-Out-HTL4	920.62	740.00	726.00	1.5200	0.000	0.0150	2.62	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.44	0.11	0.00 Calculated
12 Link-HTL4	Pipe	Jun-HTL4	Stor-HTL4	504.67	740.00	730.00	1.9800	0.000	0.0150	4.66	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.44	0.11	0.00 Calculated
13 Orifice-A	Orifice	Stor-A	Out-A		816.00	812.00		6.000		0.57						
14 Orifice-B	Orifice	Stor-B	Stor-D		747.00	730.00		6.000		0.41						
15 Orifice-C	Orifice	Stor-C	Stor-D		747.00	730.00		6.000		0.58						
16 Orifice-D	Orifice	Stor-D	Out-D		730.00	723.00		24.000		4.90						
17 Orifice-HTL4	Orifice	Stor-HTL4	Out-HTL4		730.00	726.00		8.000		2.12						

Subbasin Hydrology

Subbasin : DMA-A

Input Data

Area (ac)	2.05
Weighted Curve Number	82.00
Rain Gage ID	Atlas14

Composite Curve Number

	Area	Soil	Curve
Soil/Surface Description	(acres)	Group	Number
-	2.05	-	82.00
Composite Area & Weighted CN	2.05		82.00

Total Rainfall (in)	3.71
Total Runoff (in)	1.96
Peak Runoff (cfs)	3.38
Weighted Curve Number	82.00
Time of Concentration (days hh:mm:ss)	0 00:02:00

2.8 2.7 2.6 2.5 2.4 2.3 2.2 2.1 2 1.9 1.8 1.7 Rainfall (in/hr) 1.6-1.5-1.4 1.3 1.2 1.1 1 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 55 Ó 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 Time (hrs)

Rainfall Intensity Graph





Agenda Item No. 1 Page 834 of 979

Subbasin : DMA-B

Input Data

Area (ac)	1.69
Weighted Curve Number	76.00
Rain Gage ID	Atlas14

Composite Curve Number

mposite Curve Number			
	Area	Soil	Curve
Soil/Surface Description	(acres)	Group	Number
-	1.69	-	76.00
Composite Area & Weighted CN	1.69		76.00

Total Rainfall (in)	3.71
Total Runoff (in)	1.52
Peak Runoff (cfs)	2.00
Weighted Curve Number	76.00
Time of Concentration (days hh:mm:ss)	0 00:03:00





Agenda Item No. 1 Page 836 of 979

Subbasin : DMA-C

Input Data

Area (ac)	1.42
Weighted Curve Number	76.00
Rain Gage ID	Atlas14

Composite Curve Number

mposite Curve Number			
	Area	Soil	Curve
Soil/Surface Description	(acres)	Group	Number
-	1.42	-	76.00
Composite Area & Weighted CN	1.42		76.00

Total Rainfall (in)	3.71
Total Runoff (in)	1.52
Peak Runoff (cfs)	1.67
Weighted Curve Number	76.00
Time of Concentration (days hh:mm:ss)	0 00:03:00







Subbasin : DMA-D

Input Data

Area (ac)	12.06
Weighted Curve Number	86.00
Rain Gage ID	Atlas14

Composite Curve Number

Π	iposite Curve Number			
		Area	Soil	Curve
	Soil/Surface Description	(acres)	Group	Number
	-	12.06	-	86.00
	Composite Area & Weighted CN	12.06		86.00

Total Rainfall (in)	3.71
Total Runoff (in)	2.29
Peak Runoff (cfs)	22.19
Weighted Curve Number	86.00
Time of Concentration (days hh:mm:ss)	0 00:05:00



Runoff Hydrograph



Agenda Item No. 1 Page 840 of 979

Subbasin : DMA-E

Input Data

Area (ac)	0.54
Weighted Curve Number	86.00
Rain Gage ID	Atlas14

Composite Curve Number

mposite Curve Number			
	Area	Soil	Curve
Soil/Surface Description	(acres)	Group	Number
-	0.54	-	86.00
Composite Area & Weighted CN	0.54		86.00

Total Rainfall (in)	3.71
Total Runoff (in)	2.29
Peak Runoff (cfs)	1.07
Weighted Curve Number	86.00
Time of Concentration (days hh:mm:ss)	0 00:02:00







Agenda Item No. 1 Page 842 of 979

Subbasin : DMA-HTL4

Input Data

Area (ac)	2.58
Weighted Curve Number	85.00
Rain Gage ID	Atlas14

Composite Curve Number

n	nposite Curve Number			
		Area	Soil	Curve
	Soil/Surface Description	(acres)	Group	Number
	-	2.05	-	85.00
	Composite Area & Weighted CN	2.05		85.00

Total Rainfall (in)	3.71
Total Runoff (in)	2.20
Peak Runoff (cfs)	4.67
Weighted Curve Number	85.00
Time of Concentration (days hh:mm:ss)	0 00:04:00







Subbasin : PRE-DMA-A

Input Data

Area (ac)	2.05
Weighted Curve Number	74.00
Rain Gage ID	Atlas14

Composite Curve Number

mposite Curve Number			
	Area	Soil	Curve
Soil/Surface Description	(acres)	Group	Number
-	2.05	-	74.00
Composite Area & Weighted CN	2.05		74.00

Total Rainfall (in)	3.71
Total Runoff (in)	1.39
Peak Runoff (cfs)	2.20
Weighted Curve Number	74.00
Time of Concentration (days hh:mm:ss)	0 00:02:00







Agenda Item No. 1 Page 846 of 979

Subbasin : PRE-DMA-B

Input Data

Area (ac)	1.69
Weighted Curve Number	74.00
Rain Gage ID	Atlas14

Composite Curve Number

mposite Curve Number			
	Area	Soil	Curve
Soil/Surface Description	(acres)	Group	Number
-	8.21	-	74.00
Composite Area & Weighted CN	8.21		74.00

Total Rainfall (in)	3.71
Total Runoff (in)	1.39
Peak Runoff (cfs)	1.77
Weighted Curve Number	74.00
Time of Concentration (days hh:mm:ss)	0 00:03:00







Agenda Item No. 1 Page 848 of 979

Subbasin : PRE-DMA-C

Input Data

Area (ac)	1.42
Weighted Curve Number	74.00
Rain Gage ID	Atlas14

Composite Curve Number

n	nposite Curve Number			
		Area	Soil	Curve
	Soil/Surface Description	(acres)	Group	Number
	-	1.42	-	74.00
	Composite Area & Weighted CN	1.42		74.00

Total Rainfall (in)	3.71
Total Runoff (in)	1.39
Peak Runoff (cfs)	1.48
Weighted Curve Number	74.00
Time of Concentration (days hh:mm:ss)	0 00:03:00







Agenda Item No. 1 Page 850 of 979

Subbasin : PRE-DMA-D

Input Data

Area (ac)	12.06
Weighted Curve Number	74.00
Rain Gage ID	Atlas14

Composite Curve Number

п	nposite Curve Number			
		Area	Soil	Curve
	Soil/Surface Description	(acres)	Group	Number
	-	12.06	-	74.00
	Composite Area & Weighted CN	12.06		74.00

Total Rainfall (in)	3.71
Total Runoff (in)	1.39
Peak Runoff (cfs)	11.86
Weighted Curve Number	74.00
Time of Concentration (days hh:mm:ss)	0 00:05:00







Subbasin : PRE-DMA-E

Input Data

Area (ac)	0.54
Weighted Curve Number	74.00
Rain Gage ID	Atlas14

Composite Curve Number

Π	iposite Curve Number			
		Area	Soil	Curve
	Soil/Surface Description	(acres)	Group	Number
	-	0.54	-	74.00
	Composite Area & Weighted CN	0.54		74.00

Total Rainfall (in)	3.71
Total Runoff (in)	1.39
Peak Runoff (cfs)	0.58
Weighted Curve Number	74.00
Time of Concentration (days hh:mm:ss)	0 00:02:00







Subbasin : PRE-DMA-HTL4

Input Data

Area (ac)	2.58
Weighted Curve Number	74.00
Rain Gage ID	Atlas14

Composite Curve Number

mposite Curve Number			
	Area	Soil	Curve
Soil/Surface Description	(acres)	Group	Number
-	2.05	-	74.00
Composite Area & Weighted CN	2.05		74.00

Total Rainfall (in)	3.71
Total Runoff (in)	1.39
Peak Runoff (cfs)	2.62
Weighted Curve Number	74.00
Time of Concentration (days hh:mm:ss)	0 00:04:00







Agenda Item No. 1 Page 856 of 979

Junction Input

SN Element	Invert	Ground/Rim	Ground/Rim	Initial	Initial	Surcharge	Surcharge	Ponded	Minimum
ID	Elevation	(Max)	(Max)	Water	Water	Elevation	Depth	Area	Pipe
		Elevation	Offset	Elevation	Depth				Cover
	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft ²)	(in)
1 Jun-A	825.00	6.00	-819.00	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-6.00	0.00	0.00
2 Jun-B	825.00	6.00	-819.00	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-6.00	0.00	0.00
3 Jun-C	825.00	6.00	-819.00	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-6.00	0.00	0.00
4 Jun-D	825.00	6.00	-819.00	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-6.00	0.00	0.00
5 Jun-E	825.00	6.00	-819.00	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-6.00	0.00	0.00
6 Jun-HTL4	740.00	6.00	-734.00	0.00	-740.00	0.00	-6.00	0.00	0.00
7 PRE-Jun-A	825.00	6.00	-819.00	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-6.00	0.00	0.00
8 PRE-Jun-B	825.00	6.00	-819.00	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-6.00	0.00	0.00
9 PRE-Jun-C	825.00	6.00	-819.00	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-6.00	0.00	0.00
10 PRE-Jun-D	824.00	6.00	-818.00	0.00	-824.00	0.00	-6.00	0.00	0.00
11 PRE-Jun-E	825.00	6.00	-819.00	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-6.00	0.00	0.00
12 PRE-Jun-HTL4	740.00	6.00	-734.00	0.00	-740.00	0.00	-6.00	0.00	0.00

Junction Results

SN Element	Peak	Peak	Max HGL	Max HGL	Max	Min	Average HGL	Average HGL	Time of	Time of	Total	Total Time
ID	Inflow	Lateral	Elevation	Depth	Surcharge	Freeboard	Elevation	Depth	Max HGL	Peak	Flooded	Flooded
		Inflow	Attained	Attained	Depth	Attained	Attained	Attained	Occurrence	Flooding	Volume	
					Attained					Occurrence		
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(days hh:mm)	(days hh:mm)	(ac-in)	(min)
1 Jun-A	3.15	3.15	825.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	825.00	0.00	0 00:00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
2 Jun-B	1.98	1.98	825.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	825.00	0.00	0 00:00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
3 Jun-C	1.65	1.65	825.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	825.00	0.00	0 00:00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
4 Jun-D	22.16	22.16	825.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	825.00	0.00	0 00:00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
5 Jun-E	0.99	0.99	825.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	825.00	0.00	0 00:00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
6 Jun-HTL4	4.66	4.66	740.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	740.00	0.00	0 00:00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
7 PRE-Jun-A	2.07	2.07	825.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	825.00	0.00	0 00:00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
8 PRE-Jun-B	1.76	1.76	825.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	825.00	0.00	0 00:00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
9 PRE-Jun-C	1.46	1.46	825.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	825.00	0.00	0 00:00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
10 PRE-Jun-D	14.99	11.78	824.47	0.47	0.00	3.53	824.02	0.02	0 10:00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
11 PRE-Jun-E	0.55	0.55	825.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	825.00	0.00	0 00:00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00
12 PRE-Jun-HTL4	2.62	2.62	740.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	740.00	0.00	0 00:00	0 00:00	0.00	0.00

Pipe Input

SN Element	Length	Inlet	Inlet	Outlet	Outlet	Total	Average Pipe	Pipe	Pipe	Manning's	Entrance	Exit/Bend	Additional	Initial Flap	No. of
ID		Invert	Invert	Invert	Invert	Drop	Slope Shape	Diameter or	Width	Roughness	Losses	Losses	Losses	Flow Gate	Barrels
		Elevation	Offset	Elevation	Offset			Height							
	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(%)	(in)	(in)					(cfs)	
1 Link-04	401.88	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-816.00	0.00	0.0000 Dummy	0.000	0.000	0.0150	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.00 No	1
2 Link-06	298.72	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-812.00	0.00	0.0000 Dummy	0.000	0.000	0.0150	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.00 No	1
3 Link-07	310.99	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-747.00	0.00	0.0000 Dummy	0.000	0.000	0.0150	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.00 No	1
4 Link-08	459.83	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-747.00	0.00	0.0000 Dummy	0.000	0.000	0.0150	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.00 No	1
5 Link-11	336.43	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-730.00	0.00	0.0000 Dummy	0.000	0.000	0.0150	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.00 No	1
6 Link-13	373.88	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-812.00	0.00	0.0000 Dummy	0.000	0.000	0.0150	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.00 No	1
7 Link-14	280.34	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-812.00	0.00	0.0000 Dummy	0.000	0.000	0.0150	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.00 No	1
8 Link-15	1091.91	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-824.00	0.00	0.0000 Dummy	0.000	0.000	0.0150	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.00 No	1
9 Link-16	1171.10	0.00	-825.00	0.00	-824.00	0.00	0.0000 Dummy	0.000	0.000	0.0150	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.00 No	1
10 Link-17	82.70	824.00	0.00	812.00	0.00	12.00	14.5100 CIRCULAR	48.000	48.000	0.0100	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.00 No	1
11 Link-20	920.62	740.00	0.00	726.00	0.00	14.00	1.5200 Dummy	0.000	0.000	0.0150	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.00 No	1
12 Link-HTL4	504.67	740.00	0.00	730.00	0.00	10.00	1.9800 Dummy	0.000	0.000	0.0150	0.5000	0.5000	0.0000	0.00 No	1

Pipe Results

SN Element	Peak	Time of	Design Flow	Peak Flow/	Peak Flow	Travel	Peak Flow	Peak Flow	Total Time	Froude Reported
ID	Flow	Peak Flow	Capacity	Design Flow	Velocity	Time	Depth	Depth/	Surcharged	Number Condition
		Occurrence		Ratio				Total Depth		
								Ratio		
	(cfs)	(days hh:mm)	(cfs)		(ft/sec)	(min)	(ft)		(min)	
1 Link-04	3.15	0 09:59	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
2 Link-06	0.99	0 09:59	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
3 Link-07	1.98	0 10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
4 Link-08	1.65	0 10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
5 Link-11	22.16	0 10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
6 Link-13	2.07	0 10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
7 Link-14	0.55	0 10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
8 Link-15	1.46	0 10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
9 Link-16	1.76	0 10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
10 Link-17	15.00	0 10:00	711.32	0.02	19.61	0.07	0.44	0.11	0.00	Calculated
11 Link-20	2.62	0 10:00	0.00	0.02	0.00		0.44	0.11	0.00	Calculated
12 Link-HTL4	4.66	0 10:00	0.00	0.02	0.00		0.44	0.11	0.00	Calculated

Storage Nodes

Storage Node : Stor-A

Input Data

Invert Elevation (ft)	816.00
Max (Rim) Elevation (ft)	820.50
Max (Rim) Offset (ft)	4.50
Initial Water Elevation (ft)	0.00
Initial Water Depth (ft)	-816.00
Ponded Area (ft ²)	0.00
Evaporation Loss	0.00

Infiltration/Exfiltration

Exfiltration Rate (in/hr)	0.5000
--------------------------	---	--------

Storage Area Volume Curves Storage Curve : Storage-A

Stage	Storage	Storage
	Area	Volume
(ft)	(ft²)	(ft ³)
0.000	3500	0.000
0.001	1400	2.45
0.500	1400	701.05
0.999	1400	1399.65
1.000	783	1400.74
1.500	1208	1898.49
2.000	1729	2632.74
2.500	2321	3645.24
3.000	2988	4972.49
3.500	3740	6654.49
4.000	4735	8773.24
4.500	5658	11371.49



Storage Area Volume Curves

Storage Node : Stor-A (continued)

Outflow Orifices

SN	Element	Orifice	Orifice	Flap	Circular	Rectangular	Rectangular	Orifice	Orifice
	ID	Туре	Shape	Gate	Orifice	Orifice	Orifice	Invert	Coefficient
					Diameter	Height	Width	Elevation	
					(in)	(in)	(in)	(ft)	
 1	Orifice-A	Bottom	CIRCULAR	No	6.00			818.50	0.61

Output Summary Results

Peak Inflow (cfs)	3.15
Peak Lateral Inflow (cfs)	0.00
Peak Outflow (cfs)	0.57
Peak Exfiltration Flow Rate (cfm)	2.43
Max HGL Elevation Attained (ft)	818.84
Max HGL Depth Attained (ft)	2.84
Average HGL Elevation Attained (ft)	817.19
Average HGL Depth Attained (ft)	1.19
Time of Max HGL Occurrence (days hh:mm)	0 10:29
Total Exfiltration Volume (1000-ft ³)	5.427
Total Flooded Volume (ac-in)	0
Total Time Flooded (min)	0
Total Retention Time (sec)	0.00

Storage Node : Stor-B

Input Data

Invert Elevation (ft)	747.00
Max (Rim) Elevation (ft)	750.50
Max (Rim) Offset (ft)	3.50
Initial Water Elevation (ft)	0.00
Initial Water Depth (ft)	-747.00
Ponded Area (ft ²)	0.00
Evaporation Loss	0.00

Infiltration/Exfiltration

Exfiltration Rate (in/hr) 0.5000

Storage Area Volume Curves Storage Curve : Storage-B

Stage	Storage	Storage
	Area	Volume
(ft)	(ft²)	(ft ³)
0.0	1464	0.000
0.5	1840	826.00
1.0	2238	1845.50
1.5	2664	3071.00
2.0	3114	4515.50
2.5	3590	6191.50
3.0	4628	8246.00
3.5	5272	10721.00


Storage Area Volume Curves

Storage Node : Stor-B (continued)

Outflow Orifices

SN	Element	Orifice	Orifice	Flap	Circular	Rectangular	Rectangular	Orifice	Orifice
	ID	Туре	Shape	Gate	Orifice	Orifice	Orifice	Invert	Coefficient
					Diameter	Height	Width	Elevation	
					(in)	(in)	(in)	(ft)	
1	Orifice-B	Bottom	CIRCULAR	No	6.00			748.00	0.61

Output Summary Results

Peak Inflow (cfs)	1.98
Peak Lateral Inflow (cfs)	0.00
Peak Outflow (cfs)	0.41
Peak Exfiltration Flow Rate (cfm)	1.66
Max HGL Elevation Attained (ft)	748.18
Max HGL Depth Attained (ft)	1.18
Average HGL Elevation Attained (ft)	747.29
Average HGL Depth Attained (ft)	0.29
Time of Max HGL Occurrence (days hh:mm)	0 10:23
Total Exfiltration Volume (1000-ft ³)	3.393
Total Flooded Volume (ac-in)	0
Total Time Flooded (min)	0
Total Retention Time (sec)	0.00

Storage Node : Stor-C

Input Data

Invert Elevation (ft)	747.00
Max (Rim) Elevation (ft)	750.50
Max (Rim) Offset (ft)	3.50
Initial Water Elevation (ft)	0.00
Initial Water Depth (ft)	-747.00
Ponded Area (ft ²)	0.00
Evaporation Loss	0.00

Infiltration/Exfiltration

Exfiltration Rate (in/hr) 0.5000

Storage Area Volume Curves Storage Curve : Storage-C

Stage	Storage	Storage
	Area	Volume
(ft)	(ft²)	(ft ³)
0.0	725	0.000
0.5	1054	444.75
1.0	1416	1062.25
1.5	1803	1867.00
2.0	2215	2871.50
2.5	2653	4088.50
3.0	3115	5530.50
3.5	3600	7209.25



Storage Area Volume Curves

Storage Node : Stor-C (continued)

Outflow Orifices

	SN	Element	Orifice	Orifice	Flap	Circular	Rectangular	Rectangular	Orifice	Orifice
		ID	Туре	Shape	Gate	Orifice	Orifice	Orifice	Invert	Coefficient
						Diameter	Height	Width	Elevation	
						(in)	(in)	(in)	(ft)	
_	1	Orifice-C	Bottom	CIRCULAR	No	6.00			748.00	0.61

Output Summary Results

Peak Inflow (cfs)	1.65
Peak Lateral Inflow (cfs)	0.00
Peak Outflow (cfs)	0.58
Peak Exfiltration Flow Rate (cfm)	1.18
Max HGL Elevation Attained (ft)	748.36
Max HGL Depth Attained (ft)	1.36
Average HGL Elevation Attained (ft)	747.29
Average HGL Depth Attained (ft)	0.29
Time of Max HGL Occurrence (days hh:mm)	0 10:09
Total Exfiltration Volume (1000-ft ³)	2.040
Total Flooded Volume (ac-in)	0
Total Time Flooded (min)	0
Total Retention Time (sec)	0.00

Storage Node : Stor-D

Input Data

Invert Elevation (ft)	730.00
Max (Rim) Elevation (ft)	732.50
Max (Rim) Offset (ft)	2.50
Initial Water Elevation (ft)	0.00
Initial Water Depth (ft)	-730.00
Ponded Area (ft ²)	0.00
Evaporation Loss	0.00

Infiltration/Exfiltration

Exfiltration Rate (in/hr) 1.0000

Storage Area Volume Curves Storage Curve : Storage-D

Stage	storage	Storage
	Area	Volume
(ft)	(ft²)	(ft ³)
0.0	15225	0.000
0.5	17127	8088.00
1.0	19060	17134.75
1.3	20236	23029.15
1.5	21026	27155.35
2.0	23024	38167.85
2.5	25054	50187.35



Storage Area Volume Curves

Storage Node : Stor-D (continued)

Outflow Orifices

SN Element	Orifice	Orifice	Flap	Circular	Rectangular	Rectangular	Orifice	Orifice
ID	Туре	Shape	Gate	Orifice	Orifice	Orifice	Invert	Coefficient
				Diameter	Height	Width	Elevation	
				(in)	(in)	(in)	(ft)	
 1 Orifice-D	Bottom	Rectangula	ar No		24.00	24.00	731.30	0.63

Output Summary Results

Peak Inflow (cfs)	22.34
Peak Lateral Inflow (cfs)	0.00
Peak Outflow (cfs)	4.90
Peak Exfiltration Flow Rate (cfm)	29.89
Max HGL Elevation Attained (ft)	731.62
Max HGL Depth Attained (ft)	1.62
Average HGL Elevation Attained (ft)	730.33
Average HGL Depth Attained (ft)	0.33
Time of Max HGL Occurrence (days hh:mm)	0 10:26
Total Exfiltration Volume (1000-ft ³)	53.324
Total Flooded Volume (ac-in)	0
Total Time Flooded (min)	0
Total Retention Time (sec)	0.00

Storage Node : Stor-HTL4

Input Data

Invert Elevation (ft)	730.00
Max (Rim) Offset (ft)	3.00
Initial Water Elevation (ft)	0.00
Initial Water Depth (ft)	-730.00
Ponded Area (ft ²)	0.00
Evaporation Loss	0.00

Infiltration/Exfiltration

Exfiltration Rate (in/hr) 1.0000

Storage Area Volume Curves Storage Curve : Storage-HTL4

Stage	Storage	Storage
	Area	Volume
(ft)	(ft ²)	(ft ³)
0.000	766	0.000
0.001	766	0.77
0.500	766	383.00
1.000	766	766.00
1.500	766	1149.00
1.999	766	1531.23
2.000	1914	1532.57
2.500	3047	2772.82
3.000	4192	4582.57
3.500	5288	6952.57
4.000	6473	9892.82
4.500	7540	13396.07
5	8658	17445.57



Storage Area Volume Curves

Storage Node : Stor-HTL4 (continued)

Outflow Orifices

:	SN Element	Orifice	Orifice	Flap	Circular	Rectangular	Rectangular	Orifice	Orifice
	ID	Туре	Shape	Gate	Orifice	Orifice	Orifice	Invert	Coefficient
					Diameter	Height	Width	Elevation	
					(in)	(in)	(in)	(ft)	
	1 Orifice-HTL4	Bottom	CIRCULAR	No	8.00			731.00	0.61

Output Summary Results

Peak Inflow (cfs)	4.66
Peak Lateral Inflow (cfs)	0.00
Peak Outflow (cfs)	2.12
Peak Exfiltration Flow Rate (cfm)	4.28
Max HGL Elevation Attained (ft)	732.51
Max HGL Depth Attained (ft)	2.51
Average HGL Elevation Attained (ft)	730.26
Average HGL Depth Attained (ft)	0.26
Time of Max HGL Occurrence (days hh:mm)	0 10:08
Total Exfiltration Volume (1000-ft ³)	2.078
Total Flooded Volume (ac-in)	0
Total Time Flooded (min)	0
Total Retention Time (sec)	0.00

Appendix H: Earth Systems Pacific Infiltration Test Data from March 16, 2016



INFILTRATION TEST: A

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:	30 minutes

Volume Added During Constant Head: 0.01 cubic feet

FALLING HEAD DATA

INTERVAL (Minutes)	READING (Inches)	INCREMENTAL FALL (Inches)	INFILTRATION RATE (Minutes / Inch)	INFILTRATION RATE (Inches / Hour)
0	7.00			
30	10.50	3.50	8.57	7
30	12.00	1.50	20.00	3
30	13.50	1.50	20,00	3
30	14.75	1.25	24.00	3
60	17.50	2.75	21.82	3
60	20,50	3.00	20.00	3
60	23.00	2.50	24.00	3

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 6 inches TEST HOLE DEPTH: 4.0 feet TEST DURATION: 5.5 hours

PID 000358

INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS

INFILTRATION TEST: B

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:

30 minutes Volume Added During Constant Head: 0.04 cubic feet

FALLING HEAD DATA

INFILTRATION INFILTRATION INTERVAL READING INCREMENTAL (Inches) FALL RATE RATE (Minutes) (Minutes / Inch) (Inches / Hour) (Inches) 7.50 ----0 30 9.25 1.75 17.14 4 5 12.00 2.50 30 11.75 14.25 2.50 12.00 5 30 4 15.00 16.25 2.00 30 2.75 21.82 3 19.00 60 20.00 1.00 60.00 1 60 1.00 60.00 60 21.00 1

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 879 of 979

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 6 inches TEST HOLE DEPTH: 4.8 feet **TEST DURATION: 5.5 hours**

INFILTRATION TEST: C

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head: 30 minutes

Volume Added During Constant Head: 0.12 cubic feet

FALLING HEAD DATA

INTERVAL READING INCREMENTAL INFILTRATION INFILTRATION RATE RATE (Minutes) (Inches) FALL (Inches) (Minutes / Inch) (Inches / Hour) 0 7.00 12. 30 10.50 3.50 8.57 7 6 30 13.50 3.00 10.00 1 30 13.75 0.25 120.00 1 30 14.00 0.25 120.00 60.00 1 60 15.00 1.00 60.00 60 16.00 1.00 1 60.00 1 60 17.00 1.00

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 8 inches TEST HOLE DEPTH: 3.5 feet TEST DURATION: 5.5 hours

PID 000358

INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS

INFILTRATION TEST: D

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:	30 minutes
Volume Added During Constant Head:	0.51 cubic feet

FALLING HEAD DATA

INTERVAL (Minutes)	READING (Inches)	INCREMENTAL FALL (Inches)	INFILTRATION RATE (Minutes / Inch)	INFILTRATION RATE (Inches / Hour)
0	15.00			
30	35.00	20.00	1.50	40
30	40.00	5.00	6.00	10
30	42.00	2.00	15.00	4
30	42.75	0.75	40.00	2
60	44.00	1.25	48.00	1
60	45.00	1.00	60.00	1
60	46.00	1.00	60.00	1

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 8 inches TEST HOLE DEPTH: 4.3 feet TEST DURATION: 5.5 hours

INFILTRATION TEST: E

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:

30 minutes Volume Added During Constant Head: 0.07 cubic feet

FALLING HEAD DATA

INFILTRATION INFILTRATION INTERVAL READING INCREMENTAL FALL (Minutes) (Inches) RATE RATE (Minutes / Inch) (Inches / Hour) (Inches) 0 2.00 ----5 3.50 1.50 3.33 18 2.50 30 2.00 5 6.00 5 10.00 4.00 1.25 48 18 5 3.33 11.50 1.50 5 13.00 1.50 3.33 18 15 5 14.25 1.25 4.00 21 5 1.75 2.86 16.00 5 18.00 2.00 2.50 24 2.50 24 2.00 5 20.00 5 21.00 1.00 5.00 12 12 5 22.00 1.00 5.00 12 5 5.00 23.00 1.00 5.71 11 20 26.50 3.50 30.00 3.50 5.71 11 20 3.00 6.67 9 20 33.00

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 6 inches TEST HOLE DEPTH: 3.2 feet TEST DURATION: 2.5 hours

INFILTRATION TEST: F

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:

30 minutes Volume Added During Constant Head: 1.39 cubic feet

FALLING HEAD DATA

INTERVAL READING INCREMENTAL INFILTRATION INFILTRATION RATE RATE (Inches) FALL (Minutes) (Inches / Hour) (Minutes / Inch) (Inches) 12.00 0 ----5 49.00 37.00 444 0.14 144 5 61.00 12.00 0.42 0.28 216 79.00 18.00 5 0.83 72 5 85.00 6.00 48 5 4.00 1.25 89.00 5 1.25 48 93.00 4.00 42 5 96.50 3.50 1.43 42 5 3.50 1.43 100.00 42 5 103.50 3.50 1.43 42 5 107.00 3.50 1.43 24 5 109.00 2.00 2.50 5 111.50 2.50 2.00 30 7.00 ---Recharge --------0.28 216 79.00 20 72.00 20 94.50 15.50 1.29 47 20 105.00 10.50 1.90 32

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 6 inches TEST HOLE DEPTH: 9.5 feet TEST DURATION: 2.5 hours

INFILTRATION TEST: G

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:

30 minutes Volume Added During Constant Head: 0.2 cubic feet

FALLING HEAD DATA

INTERVAL **INCREMENTAL** INFILTRATION INFILTRATION READING RATE RATE (Minutes) (Inches) FALL (Inches / Hour) (Minutes / Inch) (Inches) 5.00 ----0 --------5 8.00 3.00 1.67 36 2.50 24 5 10.00 2.00 24 2.50 5 12.00 2.00 24 2.50 5 14.00 2.00 24 5 2.00 2.50 16.00 5 18.00 2.00 2.50 24 2.00 2.50 24 5 20.00 6 5 20.50 0.50 10.00 5 0.50 10.00 6 21.00 12 22.00 1.00 5.00 5 5 23.50 1.50 3.33 18 5.00 12 5 24.50 1.00 6 10 25.50 1.00 10.00 10.00 6 10 26.50 1.00 5 13.33 10 27.25 0.75 0.75 13.33 5 10 28.00 5 0.75 13.33 10 28.75 10 29.25 0.50 20.00 3

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 6 inches TEST HOLE DEPTH: 4.7 feet TEST DURATION: 2.5 hours

INFILTRATION TEST: H

DATE DRILLED: 03/15/16

DATE TESTED: 03/16/16

TECHNICIAN: RW

CONSTANT HEAD DATA

Time of Constant Head:

Volume Added During Constant Head: 0.64 cubic feet

30 minutes

FALLING HEAD DATA

INTERVAL INFILTRATION INFILTRATION READING INCREMENTAL (Minutes) (Inches) FALL RATE RATE (Minutes / Inch) (Inches / Hour) (Inches) 3.50 0 ----5 20.00 16.50 0.30 198 5 7.00 0.71 84 27.00 60 5 32.00 5.00 1.00 1.25 48 5 36.00 4.00 5 3.00 1.67 36 39.00 5 40.50 1.50 3.33 18 5 1.50 3.33 18 42.00 5 43.50 1.50 3.33 18 5 3.33 18 45.00 1.50 2.00 Recharge ----144 -11.50 9.50 0.53 114 5 156 5 24.50 13.00 0.38 72 5 30.50 6.00 0.83 5 48 34.50 4.00 1.25 2.50 2.00 30 5 37.00 24 5 2.00 2.50 39.00 5 40.75 1.75 2.86 21 5 42.00 1.25 4.00 15 5 43.50 1.50 3.33 18 5 1.50 3.33 18 45.00

TEST HOLE DIAMETER: 6 inches TEST HOLE DEPTH: 3.8 feet **TEST DURATION: 2.2 hours**

Destino Paso Resort Hotel

Transportation Impact Analysis

Central Coast Transportation Consulting 895 Napa Avenue, Suite A-6 Morro Bay, CA 93442 (805) 316-0101

June 2016

Central Coast Transportation Consulting Traffic Engineering & Transportation Planning

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 886 of 97

Executive Summary

This study evaluates the potential transportation impacts of the Destino Paso Resort Hotel proposed on Airport Road in Paso Robles. Four hotels are proposed as a part of the project, with a combined total of 291 rooms and supporting resort amenities.

The following study intersections are evaluated during the weekday morning (7-9 AM) and evening (4-6 PM) and Saturday mid-day (11 AM-1 PM) time periods under Existing and Near-Term conditions with and without the project:

- 1. Dry Creek Road/Airport Road
- 2. State Route 46 E/Golden Hill Road
- 3. State Route 46 E/Union Road
- 4. State Route 46 E/Airport Road

The project is expected to generate 1,657 daily trips, 90 AM peak hour trips, and 122 PM peak hour trips on a typical weekday and 146 peak hour trips on a Saturday. The City's Transportation Impact Analysis Guidelines and Caltrans criteria are applied to identify the transportation deficiencies below.

Traffic Operations: The following recommendations are noted:

- The northbound approach to State Route 46E/Union Road would operate at LOS F under Near Term conditions, both with and without the project. The overall intersection LOS would remain LOS A. Prohibiting northbound left turns would improve operations at this intersection by reducing turning conflicts. The westbound left turn lane should remain, as it provides substantial relief to the State Route 46E/Golden Hill Road intersection. This improvement is a condition of approval from an approved development project.
- The southbound approach to State Route 46E/Airport Road would operate at LOS E during the PM peak hour under Near Term conditions, worsening to LOS F with the project. The project's transportation impact fee contribution would support the development of a parallel route reducing the reliance on State Route 46E for local trips. Prohibiting southbound left turns is recommended prior to occupancy of Hotels 2, 3, and 4 to reduce conflict points, delay, and queuing at this location.
- An access easement is recommended along Destino Paso Way to serve the properties to the north and east, which would reduce the number of driveways on Airport Road and reduce reliance on State Route 46E for local trips.
- Detailed construction documents should be reviewed once they are ready to ensure that adequate sight distance is provided at the driveways serving Hotels 1 and 3, which are located on the inside of horizontal curves. Landscaping and other features should be restricted near these driveways to provide clear sight lines to approaching traffic.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Recommendations:

- Modify the proposed Airport Road/Destino Paso Way roadway striping to place the bike lane between the northbound right turn lane and through lane per Figure 9C-4 of the CA MUTCD.
- Eliminate the short merge/acceleration lane proposed on the north side of the Hotel 4 Driveway/Airport Road intersection. This merge would be difficult for cyclists to navigate and would provide little benefit to vehicles.

Analysis supporting these recommendations are provided in the body of this report.

2

Contents

Executive Summary	1
Contents	2
Introduction	3
Existing Conditions	8
Existing Plus Project Conditions	11
Near Term Traffic Conditions	
References	22

Figure 1: Project and Study Locations	4
Figure 2: Site Plan	5
Figure 3: Existing Peak Hour Volumes and Lane Configurations	10
Figure 4: Project Trip Distribution, Assignment, and Existing Plus Project Volumes	12
Figure 5: Saturday Peak Hour Volumes	13
Figure 6: Near Term and Near Term Plus Project Volumes	21

Appendix A: Traffic Counts

Appendix B: LOS/Queue Calculation Sheets

Introduction

This study evaluates the potential transportation impacts the Destino Paso Resort Hotel proposed in the City of Paso Robles. The project site is located at 3340 Airport Road, north of State Route 46E (SR 46E) and on the east side of Airport Road.

The project's location and study intersections are shown on **Figure 1** and **Figure 2** shows the project's site plan. The study locations and analysis scenarios were developed in consultation with City staff.

The following intersections are evaluated during the weekday morning (7-9 AM) and evening (4-6 PM) and Saturday mid-day time periods:

- 1. Dry Creek Road/Airport Road
- 2. State Route 46 E/Golden Hill Road
- 3. State Route 46 E/Union Road
- 4. State Route 46 E/Airport Road

The study intersections are evaluated under these scenarios:

- 1. **Existing Conditions** reflect traffic counts collected in May 2014, June 2015, and March 2016 and the existing transportation network.
- 2. **Existing Plus Project Conditions** add project generated traffic to Existing Conditions volumes.
- 3. **Near Term Conditions** add approved and pending projects in the study area to Existing Conditions volumes.
- 4. Near Term Plus Project Conditions add project traffic to Near Term Conditions volumes.

A description of the analysis approach follows Figures 1 and 2.



Figure 1: Project and Study Locations

Central Coast Transportation Consulting Traffic Engineering & Transportation Planning



June 2016

Destino Paso

Figure 2: Site Plan



Central Coast Transportation Consulting Traffic Engineering & Transportation Planning

June 2016

ANALYSIS METHODS

The analysis approach was developed based on the City of Paso Robles' *Transportation Impact Analysis Guidelines* and Caltrans standards for intersections on State Route 46.

City Facilities

The City's TIA Guidelines provide criteria for identifying mobility deficiencies reflecting the City's Circulation Element Goals. While vehicular level of service (LOS) is not identified as a mobility deficiency criteria for City controlled intersections, vehicular queues that exceed existing or planned lengths of turn pockets are a deficiency criteria. LOS calculations are also a component of the evaluation criteria for stop-controlled intersections.

In order to evaluate queuing and stop-controlled intersection LOS the study intersections have been analyzed with the Synchro 9 software package applying the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methods. The 95^{th} percentile queues are reported, which reflect the queue length that will not be exceeded 95% of the time.

The City's TIA Guidelines provide mobility deficiency criteria for a variety of study elements. Table 1 summarizes these criteria, which are used to identify deficiencies.

Table 1: City of Paso Robles Mobility Deficiency Criteria ¹							
Study Element	Deficiency Determination						
On-site Circulation and Parking	Project designs fail to meet City or industry standard guidelines, fail to provide adequate truck access, will result in unsafe condition, or will create parking demand or supply above code requirement.						
Pedestrian, Bicycle, Transit Facilities	Project fails to provide safe and accessible connections, conflicts with adopted plans, or adds trips to facility that doesn't meet current design standards.						
Traffic Operations	Project causes vehicle queues that exceed turn pocket lengths, increases safety hazards, or causes stop- controlled intersection to operate below LOS D and meet signal warrant.						

Caltrans Facilities

Caltrans controls the intersections along State Route 46 and relies on LOS to determine deficiencies. Accordingly, Caltrans intersections have been evaluated using LOS criteria as contained in the 2010 HCM. Vehicular level of service is based on control delay, which is the total of time spent decelerating when approaching an intersection, time spent stopped or moving in a queue at an intersection, and time spent accelerating after an intersection.

The level of service thresholds relevant to the Caltrans controlled intersection in this study are presented in Table 2. Unsignalized intersections have lower delay thresholds because users experience more uncertainty than at signals, where drivers typically expect higher levels of congestion and more predictable levels of delay.

Caltrans strives to maintain operations at the LOS C/D threshold on state-operated facilities. If an existing State Highway facility is operating at LOS D, E, or F the existing LOS should be maintained.

Table 2: Intersection Level of Service Thresholds									
Signalized	Intersections ¹	Stop Sign Controlled Intersections ²							
Delay ³	Level of Service	Delay ³	Level of Service						
≤ 10	А	≤ 10	А						
> 10 - 20	В	> 10 - 15	В						
> 20 - 35	С	> 15 - 25	С						
> 35 - 55	D	> 25 - 35	D						
> 55 - 80	Е	> 35 - 50	E						
> 80	F	> 50	F						
1. Source: Exhibit 18-4 of the 2010 <i>Highway Capacity Manual.</i>									
2. Sourœ: Exhibi	its 19-1 and 20-2 of the	e 2010 Highway (Capacity Manual.						
3. HCM 2010 ave	erage control delay in se	econds per vehide	<u>,</u>						

Note that side-street-stop controlled intersection operations are described both in terms of the overall intersection average delay per vehicle in addition to the delay experienced by the worst approach. While not required by the 2010 HCM, reporting both the average and worst approach delays per vehicle gives a more complete picture of intersection operations. This is particularly relevant to intersections with very low side street volumes where worst approach delay can be very high but affects a very small portion of the total entering vehicles.

Existing Conditions

This section describes the existing transportation system and current operating conditions in the study area.

EXISTING ROADWAY NETWORK

State Route 46 is an east-west facility connecting the Central Valley with the Central Coast. In the vicinity of the project it consists of four lanes with at-grade intersections at side streets.

Golden Hill Road is a north-south arterial with two travel lanes north of Union Road that expand into four travel lanes between Mesa Road and Dallons Drive.

Union Road is a northeast-southwest arterial with two travel lanes between State Route 46 E and Creston Road. Union Road also splits into a second arterial in the northwest-southeast direction just before connecting to State Route 46 E.

Airport Road is a north-south arterial with two travel lanes north of State Route 46 E.

Dry Creek Road is an east-west arterial with two travel lanes. Dry Creek Road meets with Airport Road at the El Paso De Robles School driveway.

EXISTING PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES

Pedestrian facilities include sidewalks, crosswalks, multi-use paths, and pedestrian signals at signalized intersections. Sidewalks are provided along Golden Hill Road and along discontinuous portions of Union Road. Marked crosswalks are provided across three legs of the State Route 46/Golden Hill Road intersection. No crosswalks are provided at the intersection of State Route 46/Union Road. No pedestrian facilities are provided on Airport Road.

Bicycle facilities consist of permitted bicycle use on the shoulder of State Route 46. The City's Bike Master Plan proposes Class II bicycle facilities along Golden Hill Road, Union Road, Airport Road, and Dry Creek Road.

EXISTING TRANSIT SERVICE

The Paso Express provides fixed route and dial-a-ride transit service throughout the City of Paso Robles. The nearest stop is served by Route C at Cuesta College Campus on Buena Vista Drive, with hourly service from 7:15 AM to 7:15 PM on weekdays. Route C was created in 2011 and connects Cuesta College with Templeton via the North County Transit Center. The dial-a-ride service provides curb-to-curb service on weekdays from 7:00 AM to 1:00 PM.

The San Luis Obispo Regional Transit Authority (RTA) provides regional fixed-route and dial-a-ride services to San Luis Obispo County. Route 9 serves the North County, with a stop in Paso Robles at Pine Street/8th Street. RTA also operates a summer beach shuttle connecting the North County to Cayucos.

EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

Traffic counts for weekday AM and PM peak hour and Saturday mid-day conditions were collected at the study intersections in May 2014, June 2015, and March 2016. The traffic count sheets are included in Appendix A.

Table 3: Existing Intersection Levels of Service									
	Ŭ	Delay ¹		Queues Exceed					
Intersection	Peak Hour	(sec/veh)	LOS ²	Storage ³					
1 Airport Pood / Dry	AM	0.9 (11.6)	A (B)	No					
Crock Road	PM	3.7 (15.3)	A (C)	No					
CIEER ICOau	Sat	5.4 (12.9)	A (C)	No					
2 State Pouto 16/	AM	22.7	С	No					
Coldon Hill Dood	PM	23.0	С	No					
Golden I III Koad	Sat	34.0	С	No					
3 State Pouto 16/	AM	4.2 (25.2)	A (D)	No					
J. State Roule 40/	PM	5.3 (38.8)	A (E)	No					
	Sat	8.9 (>200)	A (F)	No					
1 State Poute 16/	AM	5.2 (19.5)	A (C)	Yes ⁴					
4. State Route 407 Airport Road	PM	4.4 (26.7)	A (D)	Yes ⁴					
	Sat	8.8 (46.3)	A (E)	Yes ⁴					
1. HCM 2010 average contr	rol delav in secor	ds per vehide.							

Figure 3 shows the existing weekday peak hour traffic volumes and lane configurations. Table 3 presents the LOS for the study intersections, and the detailed calculation sheets are included in Appendix B.

2. For side-street-stop controlled intersections the worst approach's delay is reported in parenthesis.

3. See Table 7 for detailed queues.

4. 95th percentile queues exceed storage length or signal capacity.

All of the study intersections operate at an overall LOS C or better during the weekday peak hours and on Saturday at mid-day, but some side street, stop controlled approaches experience higher levels of delay.

Field observations at the State Route 46E/Golden Hill Road intersection showed occasional queue spillback for the north- and southbound left turn lanes. These queues cleared within a single cycle.

At the State Route 46E/Union Road intersection left turns from the Union Road approaches experience high levels of delay due to the high volumes of State Route 46E. This results in occasional aggressive maneuvers as drivers are unable to find an acceptable gap in traffic. Many drivers familiar with the intersection would detour to avoid these turning movements. The northbound approach at this intersection currently operates at LOS D/E during the weekday AM/PM peak hours and at LOS F on Saturday at mid-day.

The 95th percentile queues on the southbound approach to the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection reach five vehicles and this approach operates at LOS D during the PM peak hour and at LOS E on Saturday at mid-day.



Figure 3: Existing Weekday Peak Hour Volumes and Lane Configurations

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 896 of 979

Existing Plus Project Conditions

This section evaluates the impacts of the proposed project on the surrounding transportation network, including traffic operations, bicycle, pedestrian, transit, and site access deficiencies. Existing Plus Project conditions reflect existing traffic levels plus the estimated traffic generated by the proposed project.

PROJECT TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

The amount of project traffic affecting the study intersections is estimated in three steps: trip generation, trip distribution, and trip assignment. Trip generation refers to the total number of new trips generated by the site. Trip distribution identifies the general origins and destinations of these trips, and trip assignment identifies the specific routes taken to reach these origins and destinations.

Trip Generation

The project's trip generation estimate, shown in Table 4, was developed using data provided in the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual. The Resort Hotel land use most closely matches the proposed land uses, and includes trips generated by supporting amenities such as hotel meeting facilities and restaurants. Trips during the AM and PM peak hours are reported during the peak commute time periods and the Saturday peak hour of the Hotel is assumed to coincide with a summer Saturday peak hour on Airport Road, when the water park is operational.

Table 4: Project Trip Generation												
			Weekday							Saturday Peak Hour		
		Daily	AM Peak Hour Trips PM Peak Hour Trips					Trips				
Land Use	Size	Trips	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Resort Hotel ¹	291 rooms	1,657	65	25	90	52	70	122	82	64	146	
1. ITE Trip Generation Manual, Land Use Code 330, Resort Hotel. Average rate used for AM and PM trips. Daily and Saturday												
trips are not provided in ITE Trip Generation Manual for Resort Hotel, so they were estimated using the PM to Daily and												
Saturday trip ratios from Land Use Code 310, Hotel.												
Source: ITE Tri	ip Generation M	<i>anual,</i> 9th 1	Edition, 20	12; CCT	C, 2016.							

The project is expected to generate 1,657 daily trips, 90 weekday AM peak hour trips, 122 weekday PM peak hour trips, and 146 Saturday peak hour trips.

Trip Distribution and Assignment

The directions of approach and departure for project trips were estimated using existing trip patterns and the locations of complementary land uses. Project trips were assigned to individual intersections based on the trip distribution percentages, and were then added to the existing traffic volumes to establish Existing Plus Project Conditions. **Figure 4** shows the trip distribution percentages, project trip assignment, and Existing Plus Project volumes.

Project Proposed Improvements

The project proposes frontage improvements along Airport Road to provide a southbound left turn lane, northbound right turn lane, a raised median, and bike lanes. An aggregate base path is proposed on the west side of the road.



Figure 4: Project Trip Distribution, Assignment, and Existing Plus Project Weekday Volumes

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 898 of 979

Destino Paso

Figure 5: Saturday Peak Hour Volumes



DEFICIENCY ANALYSIS

The deficiency analysis for individual travel modes are discussed below.

Traffic Operations

Traffic operations deficiency criteria are described in the Analysis Methods section of this report. Table 5 summarizes the operating conditions under Existing and Existing Plus Project conditions.

Table 5: Existing & Existing Plus Project Intersection Levels of Service						
	Ŭ	Existing Delav ¹		Existing Plus Delay ¹		ıs Project Queues Exceed
Intersection	Peak Hour	(sec/veh)	LOS ²	(sec/veh)	LOS ²	Storage ³
1. Airport Road/ Dry Creek Road	AM PM	0.9 (11.6) 3.7 (15.3)	A (B) A (C)	0.9 (11.6) 3.7 (15.5)	A (B) A (C)	No No
	Sat	5.4 (12.9)	A (C)	5.4 (13.0)	A (B)	No
2. State Route 46/ Golden Hill Road	AM PM Sat	22.7 23.0 34.0	C C C	23.0 23.6 34.7	C C C	No No No
3. State Route 46/ Union Road	AM PM Sat	4.2 (25.2) 5.3 (38.8) 8.9 (>200)	A (D) A (E) A (F)	4.4 (27.7) 6.1 (48.3) 10.2 (>200)	A (D) A (E) A (F)	No No No
4. State Route 46/ Airport Road	AM PM Sat	5.2 (19.5) 4.4 (26.7) 8.8 (46.3)	A (C) A (D) A (E)	7.5 (27.2) 6.3 (34.8) 43.4 (>200)	A (D) A (D) E (F)	Yes ⁴ Yes ⁴ Yes ⁴

1. HCM 2010 average control delay in seconds per vehide.

2. For side-street-stop controlled intersections the worst approach's delay is reported in parenthesis.

3. See Table 7 for detailed queues.

4. 95th percentile queues exceed storage length or signal capacity.

The Airport Road/Dry Creek Road and State Route 46E/Golden Hill Road intersections operate at LOS C or better during all time periods both with and without the project.

The northbound approach to the State Route 46E/Union Road intersection operates at LOS E both with and without the project during weekday PM peak hour and at LOS F on Saturday at mid-day due to high volumes on State Route 46E.

The southbound approach to the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection operates at LOS D during weekday conditions with the project and at LOS F on Saturday with the project.

Queuing is reported in Table 7. Queuing on the southbound approach to State Route 46E/Airport Road increases from five vehicles in the Existing PM peak hour to seven vehicles with the addition of project traffic under Existing Plus Project PM conditions. Queuing at the same intersection increase from six vehicles in Existing Saturday at mid-day to nine with the addition of project traffic under Existing Plus Project Saturday mid-day conditions

Traffic Operations Recommendations

The side-street-stop controlled approaches to Union Road and Airport Road at State Route 46E experience moderate to long delay as drivers wait for an acceptable gap in traffic on State Route 46E. Caltrans' Comprehensive Corridor Study for State Route 46E acknowledges this existing deficiency and provides recommendations to address them. These recommendations include improving local
15

parallel routes, improving the Union Road/State Route 46E intersection, and implementation of travel demand management strategies.

The City recently approved a project on Union Road with the condition that it prohibit northbound left turns at the State Route 46E/Union Road intersection. This will address the deficiency at this intersection in the near term; no further improvements are recommended. Longer term deficiencies are under study as a part of the on-going State Route 46E/Union Road Project Report and Environmental Document.

The southbound approach to the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection experiences moderate delay during the weekday peak hours, worsening during Saturday mid-day conditions when the water park is active and high regional traffic volumes are present on the highway. The 95th percentile queues on the southbound approach are forecast to reach 228 feet (under 10 vehicles) under Existing Plus Project conditions on Saturday. These queues would not block any adjacent driveways.

Improving parallel routes would reduce the local traffic using the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection. The City's Circulation Element includes a new connection between Wisteria Lane and Airport Road. This connection would shift project traffic away from State Route 46E and improve operations at the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection. The development of parallel routes is consistent with the Caltrans Corridor Study for this area.

Bicycles

Bicycle deficiencies would occur if the project disrupts existing or planned bicycle facilities or is otherwise incongruent with the City's Bike Master Plan. The Bike Master Plan proposes the following new bicycle facilities in the vicinity of the project:

- Class II bike lanes are proposed along Golden Hill Road from State Route 46E to south of Niblick Drive.
- Class II bike lanes are proposed along the extent of Union Road.
- Class II bike lanes are proposed along Dry Creek Road from Airport Road to Jardine Road.
- Class II bike lanes are proposed along the extent of Airport Road, including along the project frontage.
- A Class I bike path along the east bank of the Huer Huero Creek is proposed connecting Union Road near Barney Schwartz Park to the Ravine Water Park on Airport Road.

The project site plan shows frontage improvements on Airport Road that include a 12 foot median, 12 foot travel lanes, a two foot buffer, and five foot Class II bike lanes.

The following changes are recommended to better serve cyclists and conform to the Bike Master Plan:

- Modify the bike lane and right turn striping for the northbound right turn lane proposed at Airport Road/Destino Paso Way per Figure 9C-4 of the California MUTCD. The site plan shows the bike lane to the right of the right turn lane instead of between the right turn lane and through lane as recommended by the MUTCD.
- Install the bicycle rider stencil pavement marker only when the bike lanes are continuous to the north and south of the project frontage.

Pedestrians

Pedestrian deficiencies would occur if the project fails to provide safe and accessible pedestrian connections between project buildings and adjacent streets, trails, and transit facilities.

The project site plan shows a concrete sidewalk along the east side of the project driveway (Destino Paso Way) connecting to Hotels 1 and 2 to Hotel 3. A sidewalk is proposed along Airport Road between Hotels 3 and 4. A four foot or greater aggregate base walking path is shown on the west side of Airport Road from Destino Paso Way to the northernmost Ravine Water Park parking area.

Pedestrian Evaluation and Recommendations

The Ravine Water Park is located approximately ½ mile from the proposed project, and some Hotel guests may walk to the Water Park if pedestrian accommodations are provided. As proposed, guests walking to the Water Park would cross Airport Road at Destino Paso Way then walk along the aggregate walking path to the Water Park. An alternative would be to cross Airport Road near the RV Park. The table below summarizes these alternatives.

	Airport Road Po	edestrian Crossing Evaluation	
Location	Pros	Cons	Recommendation
Cross Airport at Destino Paso, path on West Side	• Serves Destino Paso pedestrians	• Long uncontrolled crossing (60-80 feet)	• Construct as proposed on site plan.
Path on East Side, Cross on North side of RV Resort Driveway	 Shorter Crossing Distance Serves RV Resort and Destino Paso pedestrians 	 May require modification of Airport Road at RV Resort entrance merge May require additional grading Potential sight distance issue due to horizontal and vertical curves 	• Not recommended.

The table above suggests that a shared crossing with the RV Resort would benefit the most pedestrians, but has constructability and sight distance concerns. This crossing would require modification to the short northbound acceleration/merge lane located north of the RV Resort driveway on Airport Road, potential grading and retaining walls, and would require site plan revisions to provide a walking path along the east side of Airport Road along the project frontage. The walking path as proposed by the project along the west side of Airport Road is recommended given these constraints.

On-Site Pedestrian Circulation

Recommendations on the preliminary site plans have been incorporated into the current plan. No further changes are recommended.

Transit

Transit deficiencies would occur if the project disrupts existing or planned transit facilities or services; conflicts with City plans, guidelines, policies, or standards; or if the project adds trips to a line already operating at peak hour crush load capacity.

The project is not expected to alter or disrupt any of the transit facilities or services, so no transit deficiencies are noted. Shuttle service, if feasible, serving local attractions such as wineries and the Water Park would reduce the demand for travel by personal automobile.

Site Access and On-Site Circulation

On-site circulation deficiencies would occur if project designs fail to meet appropriate standards, fail to provide adequate truck access, or would result in hazardous or unsafe conditions.

The proposed site plan is shown on **Figure 2**. Project access will be provided via Destino Paso Way, which would be improved from its current condition as a dirt road.

Detailed construction documents should be reviewed once they are ready to ensure that adequate sight distance is provided at the driveways serving Hotels 1 and 3, which are located on the inside of horizontal curves. Landscaping and other features should be restricted near these driveways to provide clear sight lines to approaching traffic.

Circulation Element Consistency

Airport Road is classified as an arterial in the City's Circulation Element. Page CE-15 of the Circulation Element lists development policies, and item 12 notes that developers should be responsible for "Limited access on all arterials." Three of the project's hotels would gain access directly from Destino Paso Way, not Airport Road, which is consistent with this Circulation Element policy. The fourth hotel would be accessed directly from Airport Road.

There is an existing driveway less than 100 feet north of Destino Paso Way. It currently serves lowintensity single family and ranching uses. If this property intensifies, the existing driveway could create driver confusion and conflicts due to the closely spaced intersections. We recommend providing an access easement to consolidate access for both parcels via Destino Paso Way if the property intensifies and generates more traffic. This would limit the conflicting closely spaced driveways on an arterial roadway consistent with the Circulation Element policy.

An access easement is also recommended on Destino Paso Way to serve the property to the east if it redevelops. The City's Circulation Element and Parallel Routes Study plan the development of a network of City streets parallel to State Route 46 from Jardine Road to River Road. The Circulation Element plans a new connection from Wisteria Lane to Airport Road which would allow project traffic to reach Golden Hill Road on local streets and reduce the reliance on State Route 46 for local trips. Providing the eastern property access to Airport Road via Destino Paso Way would support the development of parallel routes.

Near Term Traffic Conditions

Near Term conditions reflect the addition of approved and pending projects in the study area to Existing Conditions volumes. The following near-term projects are included in this scenario:

- Buena Vista Apartments- 142 apartment units located at 802 Experimental Station Road.
- River Oaks- The Next Generation- 144 active adult homes, 127 single family homes, community center, and fitness/wellness center located north of River Oaks Drive and east of River Road.
- RV Park- 332 spaces located at the north end of Golden Hill Road
- Wine Storage Building- 66,000 s.f. located at 2261 Wisteria Lane
- Hilton Garden Inn Hotel- 166 hotel rooms and related amenities on the southeast corner of State Route 46E/Golden Hill Road.
- Paso Robles Marriott- 119 hotel rooms on Union Road.
- San Antonio Winery Development- Tasting room, restaurant, four residences, and retail in addition to existing facilities at 2610 Buena Vista Drive
- San Antonio Winery Processing- 126,000 s.f. processing facility at 2261 Wisteria Lane.

Traffic volumes for the Buena Vista Apartments, Hilton Garden Inn, River Oaks, and Paso Robles Marriott projects were obtained from the traffic studies prepared for those projects. Traffic volumes for the RV park, wine storage building, winery development, and wine processing facility were estimated using standard ITE rates. The roadway network was assumed to remain the same as under Existing conditions.

DEFICIENCY ANALYSIS

Project volumes were added to Near Term conditions to yield Near Term Plus Project conditions as shown on **Figure 6**. Table 6 summarizes the traffic conditions under Near Term and Near Term Plus Project conditions, with queues detailed in Table 7.

Table 6	: Near Te	rm & Near 7	Г <mark>erm Pl</mark>	us Project Interse	ction Levels	of Servi	ice
			Near 7	Гerm	Near	Term P	lus Project
	Peak	Delay ¹		Queues Exceed	Delay ¹		Queues Exceed
Intersection	Hour	(sec/veh)	LOS ²	Storage ³	(sec/veh)	LOS ²	Storage ³
1 Airport Road / Dry	AM	0.9 (11.8)	A (B)	No	0.9 (11.9)	A (B)	No
Crock Pood	PM	3.9 (16.1)	A (C)	No	3.9 (16.3)	A (C)	No
Cleek Rodu	Sat	5.4 (13.6)	A (B)	No	5.5 (13.8)	A (B)	No
2 State Doute 16 /	AM	27.9	С	No	28.3	С	No
2. State Route 407	PM	29.8	С	Yes ⁴	30.8	С	Yes ⁴
	Sat	44.5	D	Yes ⁴	45.5	D	Yes ⁴
2 State Doute 16/	AM	4.6 (31.7)	A (D)	No	5.0 (34.9)	A (D)	No
J. State Roule 407	PM	7.7 (68.3)	A (F)	No	8.5 (78.0)	A (F)	No
	Sat	12.3 (165.7)	B (F)	No	13.8 (186.4)	B (F)	Yes ⁴
	AM	7.8 (24.7)	A (C)	Yes ⁴	16.0 (86.1)	C (F)	Yes ⁴
4. State Route 46/	PM	6.2 (39.3)	A (E)	Yes ⁴	9.7 (56.5)	A (F)	Yes ⁴
	Sat	14.7 (84.0)	B (F)	Yes ⁴	13.8 (>200)	B (F)	Yes ⁴

1. HCM 2010 average control delay in seconds per vehide.

2. For side-street-stop controlled intersections the worst approach's delay is reported in parenthesis.

3. See Table 7 for detailed queues.

4. 95th percentile queues exceed storage length or signal capacity.

Table 6 shows the following:

- The Airport Road/Dry Creek Road intersection operates at LOS C or better during all Near Term scenarios.
- The State Route 46E/Golden Hill Road intersection is forecast to operate at LOS C during the weekday peak hour with the project in place. During the Saturday peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS D both with and without the project.
- The northbound approach to the State Route 46E/Union Road intersection operates at LOS F both with and without the project during weekday PM and Saturday mid-day peak hours.
- The southbound approach to the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection operates at LOS D during weekday conditions with the project and at LOS F on Saturday with the project.

Queuing is summarized in Table 7. Queuing on the southbound approach to State Route 46E/Airport Road increases from eight vehicles in the Existing PM peak hour to eleven vehicles with the addition of project traffic under Near Term Plus Project PM conditions. Queuing at the same intersection increase from ten vehicles under Near Term Saturday conditions to fourteen with the addition of project traffic. These queues would not block nearby driveways.

Traffic Operations Recommendations

The recommendations summarized in the Existing Plus Project conditions section also apply to the Near Term Plus Project scenario. One additional recommendation is provided below to improve operations at the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection.

Prohibiting southbound left turns at State Route 46E/Airport Road would reduce conflict points at this intersection, reduce queuing, and reduce delay on the southbound approach. Delay for the southbound approach increases substantially once traffic from Hotels 2, 3, and 4 are included. We recommend prohibiting southbound left turns at this intersection prior to occupancy of Hotels 2, 3, and 4.

This would require vehicles destined to the east on State Route 46 to turn right then perform a U-turn at Union Road or Golden Hill Road. The existing counts show that fewer than ten vehicles make the southbound left turn during the peak hours studied, and shifting these trips would have a negligible effect on operations at the nearby intersections of Union Road and Golden Hill Road.

		Table 7:	95th Pe	rcentile Qu	eues									
				ę	95th Percenti	ile Queues (fe	et) ¹							
		Storage	Peak		Existing+	· ·	Near Term+							
Intersection	Direction	Length	Hour	Existing	Project	Near Term	Project							
			AM	0	0	0	0							
	EBL	N/A	PM	0	Ő	0 0	Ő							
			Sat	25	25	25	25							
			AM	5	5	5	5							
	WBL	N/A	PM	33	33	38	38							
1. Airport Road/ Dry			Sat	10	10	13	13							
Creek Road		37/4	AM	0	0	0	0							
	NBL	N/A	PM	0	0	0	0							
			Sat	0	0	0	0							
	CDI	NT / A	AM	0	0	0	0							
	SBL	N/A	PM	0	0	0	0							
			Sat	0	0	0	0							
	EDI	550 ft	AM	79	80	118	118							
	LDL	550 H.	PIVI	80 115	88 110	120	120							
				110 92	<u> </u>	20	140							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$														
WBL 460 ft. PM 35 38 55 57 State Route Sat 31 34 54 57 6/Golden Hill Road AM 119 120 134 134														
WBL 460 II. PM 35 38 55 57 . State Route Sat 31 34 54 57 .6/Golden Hill Road AM 119 120 134 134 NBL 160 ft PM 115 110 147 147														
40/ GOIUEITTIII ROdu	NBL.	160 ft	PM	115	110	147	147							
	TIDE	100 10.	Sat	90	90	129	129							
			AM	59	61	73	75							
	SBL	130 ft.	PM	104	109	143	144							
			Sat	91	94	120	123							
			AM	0	0	0	0							
	EBL	500 ft.	PM	0	0	0	0							
			Sat	3	3	3	3							
3 State Route 46/			AM	35	38	40	43							
Union Doad	WBL	670 ft.	PM	68	75	83	93							
UTIIOTI KUdu			Sat	25	28	28	33							
			AM	63	70	73	83							
	NBL	N/A	PM	65	70	78	85							
			Sat	45	90	93	95							
	EDI	050	AM	135	188	213	295							
	EBL	950	PM	28	35	35	45							
			Sat	193	295	255	375							
4. State Route 46/	CDI	NT / A	AM	8	30	15	63							
Airport Road	SDL	IN/A	PM	3 7	18	5	23							
I			Sat	о Эр	98	<u> </u>	<u>345 ~</u>							
	SBR	25 ft	DM		40	00 105	00 975							
	SDIC	20 II.	Sat	150	10J 99Q	1 3 3 945	2/5							
1 Quana longth that would	not be average	d 05 paran	Jdl t of the the	1JJ	660	44 J	J 4J							
2 No value reported for con	th hound left	turn long a		nted or couthh	ound right tur	n lano								
La. INO VALUE LEPOLLEU TOF SOU	ui nontin tett	turn iane, q	ueue iepo	rieu or southe	ounu ngni illi	li idile								

2. No value reported for south bound left turn lane, queue reported or southbound right turn lane



Figure 6: Near Term Volumes and Near Term Plus Project Weekday Volumes

June 2016

References

Associated Transportation Engineers, 2014. Hilton Garden Inn Traffic Study.

California Department of Transportation. 2002. Guide for the Preparation of Traffic Impact Studies.

- _____. 2014. California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.
- _____. 2009. State Route 46 Corridor System Management Plan.
- _____. 2012. Highway Design Manual.
- Central Coast Transportation Consulting. 2016. Paso Robles Union Road Residence Inn TIA.
- _____. 2013. River Oaks: The Next Generation TIA.
- City of El Paso De Robles. 2009. Bike Master Plan.
- _____. 2011. General Plan 2011 Circulation Element.
- _____. 2013. Transportation Impact Analysis Guidelines.
- Fehr & Peers. 2008. Final SR 46E Parallel Routes Study.
- Hatch Mott MacDonald. 2012. Highway 46 PSR- Traffic Operations Analysis.
- LSA Associates. 2012. Buena Vista Apartments Traffic Impact Analysis.

Paso Express. 2013. Telephone conversation with Susie Castro.

Penfield & Smith. 2012. Ayers Hotel Project Traffic and Circulation Study.

Transportation Research Board. 2010. Highway Capacity Manual.

Appendix A: Traffic Count Sheets



Metro Traffic Data Inc. 310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Prepared For:

895 Napa Avenue, Suite A-6 Morro Bay, CA 93442

LOCATION Airport Road @ Dry Creek Road LATITUDE 35.662886°

COUNTY San Luis Obispo

COLLECTION DATE Thursday, April 7, 2016

LONGITUDE -120.640968°

WEATHER

Clear

		North	bound			South	bound			Eastb	ound			West	bound	
Time	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
7:00 AM - 7:15 AM	0	27	11	2	0	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2
7:15 AM - 7:30 AM	0	40	10	3	0	36	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	2
7:30 AM - 7:45 AM	1	45	20	2	3	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	2
7:45 AM - 8:00 AM	0	65	29	4	3	32	1	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	2
8:00 AM - 8:15 AM	0	54	20	3	1	13	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	0	2	0
8:15 AM - 8:30 AM	0	51	18	5	2	26	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	0	4	1
8:30 AM - 8:45 AM	1	33	18	2	1	27	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
8:45 AM - 9:00 AM	0	21	7	1	1	29	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	336	133	22	11	206	1	14	0	0	1	0	39	0	8	9

		Northbound				South	bound			Easth	ound			Westl	bound	
Time	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
4:00 PM - 4:15 PM	0	23	6	3	1	37	0	3	0	0	2	0	15	0	5	0
4:15 PM - 4:30 PM	0	35	9	4	1	41	0	2	0	0	0	0	16	0	2	0
4:30 PM - 4:45 PM	0	29	3	3	2	73	0	2	0	0	0	0	53	0	3	1
4:45 PM - 5:00 PM	0	43	4	6	1	49	0	2	0	0	0	0	23	0	1	0
5:00 PM - 5:15 PM	0	32	5	3	0	65	0	0	0	0	1	0	25	0	0	1
5:15 PM - 5:30 PM	0	24	11	2	2	52	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
5:30 PM - 5:45 PM	0	24	5	2	0	33	0	3	0	0	0	0	16	0	2	0
5:45 PM - 6:00 PM	0	16	3	1	0	27	0	3	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1
TOTAL	0	226	46	24	7	377	0	16	0	0	3	0	166	0	13	3

		North	bound			South	bound			Easth	ound			West	bound	
PEAK HOUR	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
7:30 AM - 8:30 AM	1	215	87	14	9	97	1	6	0	0	1	0	19	0	7	5
4:15 PM - 5:15 PM	0	139	21	16	4	228	0	6	0	0	1	0	117	0	6	2





Metro Traffic Data Inc. 310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com Prepared For:

Central Coast Transportation Consulting 895 Napa Avenue, Suite A-6 Morro Bay, CA 93442

LOCATION Airport Road @ Dry Creek Road (East)

COUNTY San Luis Obispo

COLLECTION DATE Saturday 6/4/16 & Sunday 6/5/16

LONGITUDE -120.640936°

WEATHER

Clear

35.662849°

		North	bound			South	bound			Easth	bound			Westl	bound	
Time (Saturday)	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
11:00 AM - 11:15 AM	0	17	5	2	2	36	0	7	0	0	0	0	9	0	1	2
11:15 AM - 11:30 AM	0	27	8	0	2	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0
11:30 AM - 11:45 AM	0	25	5	1	3	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	1	3	0
11:45 AM - 12:00 PM	0	37	3	2	4	28	0	4	0	0	0	0	17	0	3	0
12:00 PM - 12:15 PM	0	31	3	1	3	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	3	0
12:15 PM - 12:30 PM	0	31	3	0	2	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	1	0
12:30 PM - 12:45 PM	0	26	7	5	3	34	0	2	0	0	0	0	13	0	2	0
12:45 PM - 1:00 PM	0	32	2	3	1	25	0	3	0	0	0	0	20	0	2	1
TOTAL	0	0 32 2 3 0 226 36 14			20	209	2	16	0	0	0	0	93	1	16	3
		0 226 36 14														
		North	bound			South	bound			East	ound			West	bound	

		Northbound				oouun	bound			Lastr	Jouna			11030	Jouna	
Time (Sunday)	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
4:00 PM - 4:15 PM	0	15	1	0	2	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	3	0
4:15 PM - 4:30 PM	0	14	2	0	3	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
4:30 PM - 4:45 PM	0	14	4	0	2	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
4:45 PM - 5:00 PM	0	17	3	1	0	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM - 5:15 PM	0	8	4	0	1	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0
5:15 PM - 5:30 PM	0	15	5	1	2	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0
5:30 PM - 5:45 PM	0	15	0	0	1	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
5:45 PM - 6:00 PM	0	21	3	2	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
TOTAL	0	119	22	4	11	134	0	1	0	1	1	0	22	1	6	0

		North	bound			South	bound			Easth	ound			West	bound	
PEAK HOUR	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
11:45 AM - 12:45 PM	0	125	16	8	12	120	1	6	0	0	0	0	45	0	9	0
4:00 PM - 5:00 PM	0	60	10	1	7	69	0	1	0	0	1	0	11	0	3	0



Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by:





Metro Traffic Data Inc. 310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com Prepared For:

Central Coast Transportation Consulting 895 Napa Avenue, Suite A-6 Morro Bay, CA 93442

LOCATION SR 46 @ Golden Hill Road COUNTY San Luis Obispo

LATITUDE 35.644557°

COLLECTION DATE Saturday 6/4/16 & Sunday 6/5/16

LONGITUDE -120.658075°

WEATHER

Clear

		North	bound			South	bound			Easth	ound			West	bound	
Time (Saturday)	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
11:00 AM - 11:15 AM	37	38	6	1	23	55	72	4	34	183	33	22	21	301	62	23
11:15 AM - 11:30 AM	31	56	10	1	33	54	75	0	44	175	35	33	12	367	62	7
11:30 AM - 11:45 AM	38	46	9	1	31	48	76	2	48	221	36	14	11	307	55	11
11:45 AM - 12:00 PM	36	48	12	0	37	58	69	1	38	177	41	21	8	315	63	21
12:00 PM - 12:15 PM	24	49	8	0	28	45	66	0	45	218	32	18	11	323	38	13
12:15 PM - 12:30 PM	38	41	7	2	31	39	58	0	32	191	39	25	8	285	41	6
12:30 PM - 12:45 PM	29	36	10	0	34	39	50	1	34	198	29	10	19	303	62	21
12:45 PM - 1:00 PM	28	40	1	0	33	35	56	1	34	187	20	15	15	255	23	12
TOTAL	261	354	63	5	250	373	522	9	309	1550	265	158	105	2456	406	114

		Northbound				South	bound			Easth	ound			Westl	bound	
Time (Sunday)	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
4:00 PM - 4:15 PM	13	21	6	1	40	23	27	0	42	278	25	3	7	213	36	8
4:15 PM - 4:30 PM	15	27	7	0	41	33	25	0	34	296	24	7	15	222	20	5
4:30 PM - 4:45 PM	17	20	11	0	48	30	31	2	47	317	27	14	14	197	33	13
4:45 PM - 5:00 PM	13	18	12	0	44	28	40	4	34	303	25	11	9	231	31	11
5:00 PM - 5:15 PM	19	20	7	0	42	28	50	0	30	319	19	8	10	202	21	11
5:15 PM - 5:30 PM	16	19	2	0	28	18	31	1	31	316	17	5	15	223	39	8
5:30 PM - 5:45 PM	26	30	10	1	39	24	41	1	17	322	23	7	12	198	31	7
5:45 PM - 6:00 PM	16	25	9	0	37	22	23	0	31	304	24	0	17	211	25	13
TOTAL	135	180	64	2	319	206	268	8	266	2455	184	55	99	1697	236	76

		North	bound			South	bound			East	ound			West	bound	
PEAK HOUR	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
11:15 AM - 12:15 PM	129	199	39	2	129	205	286	3	175	791	144	86	42	1312	218	52
4:15 PM - 5:15 PM	64	85	37	0	175	119	146	6	145	1235	95	40	48	852	105	40



Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by:





Metro Traffic Data Inc. 310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com Prepared For:

Central Coast Transportation Consulting 895 Napa Avenue, Suite A-6 Morro Bay, CA 93442

LOCATION SR 46 @ Union Road

COUNTY San Luis Obispo

COLLECTION DATE Saturday 6/4/16 & Sunday 6/5/16

LONGITUDE -120.649432°

WEATHER

Clear

35.644553°

		North	bound			South	bound			Eastb	ound			West	bound	
Time (Saturday)	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
11:00 AM - 11:15 AM	1	0	40	0	0	0	1	0	2	224	8	23	42	377	0	16
11:15 AM - 11:30 AM	3	0	51	0	0	0	0	0	1	188	9	16	47	453	0	12
11:30 AM - 11:45 AM	4	2	42	0	0	0	0	0	2	242	16	20	46	350	0	8
11:45 AM - 12:00 PM	6	3	60	1	1	0	0	0	4	221	5	20	34	384	0	25
12:00 PM - 12:15 PM	1	1	39	0	0	0	1	0	2	246	9	17	41	369	0	22
12:15 PM - 12:30 PM	2	0	46	1	0	0	1	0	2	224	9	20	45	327	0	14
12:30 PM - 12:45 PM	2	0	50	1	0	0	2	0	2	239	7	16	48	392	0	18
12:45 PM - 1:00 PM	3	1	53	0	1	0	0	0	3	214	7	18	44	304	0	16
TOTAL	22	7	381	3	2	0	5	0	18	1798	70	150	347	2956	0	131

		North	bound			South	bound			Easth	ound			West	bound	
Time (Sunday)	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
4:00 PM - 4:15 PM	0	0	29	0	0	2	5	0	1	321	7	3	27	249	0	7
4:15 PM - 4:30 PM	2	0	48	2	0	0	3	0	0	360	3	10	56	260	0	5
4:30 PM - 4:45 PM	1	0	34	2	0	0	6	1	0	346	8	13	35	231	0	16
4:45 PM - 5:00 PM	3	0	49	0	1	0	8	0	1	369	6	11	36	264	0	9
5:00 PM - 5:15 PM	2	0	28	0	1	1	1	0	0	358	4	14	26	216	0	14
5:15 PM - 5:30 PM	4	0	35	0	0	1	3	0	0	339	6	5	42	277	0	8
5:30 PM - 5:45 PM	0	0	37	0	1	1	4	0	0	358	5	10	45	232	0	12
5:45 PM - 6:00 PM	1	0	50	1	0	1	9	0	0	346	7	4	43	238	0	15
TOTAL	13	0	310	5	3	6	39	1	2	2797	46	70	310	1967	0	86

		North	bound			South	bound			East	ound			West	bound	
PEAK HOUR	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
11:15 AM - 12:15 PM	14	6	192	1	1	0	1	0	9	897	39	73	168	1556	0	67
4:00 PM - 5:00 PM	6	0	160	4	1	2	22	1	2	1396	24	37	154	1004	0	37





Metro Traffic Data Inc. 310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com Prepared For:

Central Coast Transportation Consulting 895 Napa Avenue, Suite A-6 Morro Bay, CA 93442

LOCATION	SR 46 @ Airport Road
COUNTY	San Luis Obispo

COLLECTION DATE Thursday, April 7, 2016

LATITUDE 35.644513° LONGITUDE -120.643315°

WEATHER

С	lear

		North	bound			South	bound			Eastl	oound			West	bound	
Time	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
7:00 AM - 7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	2	0	14	2	39	91	0	18	0	168	3	35
7:15 AM - 7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	3	47	124	0	19	0	227	3	19
7:30 AM - 7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	4	44	102	0	20	0	265	5	29
7:45 AM - 8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	1	0	30	2	101	138	0	18	0	285	2	28
8:00 AM - 8:15 AM	0	0	0	0	4	0	30	5	82	149	0	14	0	193	0	19
8:15 AM - 8:30 AM	0	0	0	0	1	0	21	4	61	157	0	26	0	176	1	39
8:30 AM - 8:45 AM	0	0	0	0	2	0	31	0	51	150	0	43	0	150	2	19
8:45 AM - 9:00 AM	0	0	0	0	1	0	31	5	51	140	0	20	0	149	1	31
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	11	0	224	25	476	1051	0	178	0	1613	17	219

		North	bound			South	bound			Easth	ound			West	bound	
Time	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
4:00 PM - 4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	81	2	42	230	0	21	0	215	2	18
4:15 PM - 4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	4	0	62	4	40	218	0	29	0	199	2	17
4:30 PM - 4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	84	1	46	234	0	25	0	198	1	17
4:45 PM - 5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	76	4	42	277	0	22	0	244	2	23
5:00 PM - 5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	78	5	39	240	0	14	0	259	2	22
5:15 PM - 5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	3	0	68	1	36	268	0	21	0	193	2	14
5:30 PM - 5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	55	2	34	240	0	18	0	204	2	24
5:45 PM - 6:00 PM	0	0	0	0	3	0	26	2	28	212	0	12	0	157	2	16
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	15	0	530	21	307	1919	0	162	0	1669	15	151

		North	bound			South	bound			Eastb	ound			West	bound	
PEAK HOUR	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
7:15 AM - 8:15 AM	0	0	0	0	5	0	127	14	274	513	0	71	0	970	10	95
4:30 PM - 5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	6	0	306	11	163	1019	0	82	0	894	7	76





Metro Traffic Data Inc. 310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com Prepared For:

35.644561°

Central Coast Transportation Consulting 895 Napa Avenue, Suite A-6 Morro Bay, CA 93442

LOCATION SR 46 @ Airport Road

COUNTY San Luis Obispo

COLLECTION DATE Saturday 6/4/16 & Sunday 6/5/16

LONGITUDE -120.643296°

WEATHER

Clear

		North	bound			South	bound			Eastl	ound			West	bound	
Time (Saturday)	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
11:00 AM - 11:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	1	81	196	0	23	0	348	12	17
11:15 AM - 11:30 AM	0	0	0	0	1	0	73	6	58	176	0	20	0	407	8	10
11:30 AM - 11:45 AM	0	0	0	0	1	0	36	0	70	181	0	13	0	350	4	11
11:45 AM - 12:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	0	73	177	0	26	0	338	10	24
12:00 PM - 12:15 PM	0	0	0	0	3	0	73	3	72	196	0	20	0	323	7	21
12:15 PM - 12:30 PM	0	0	0	0	3	0	63	0	66	199	0	21	0	319	7	18
12:30 PM - 12:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	71	1	82	186	0	20	0	331	11	14
12:45 PM - 1:00 PM	0	0	0	0	4	0	72	4	67	190	0	18	0	292	6	19
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	12	0	508	15	569	1501	0	161	0	2708	65	134

		North	bound			South	bound			Easth	ound			West	bound	
Time (Sunday)	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
4:00 PM - 4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	10	0	67	6	27	365	0	12	0	217	3	10
4:15 PM - 4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	18	0	85	7	31	395	0	16	0	265	3	16
4:30 PM - 4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	8	0	59	9	30	385	0	30	0	190	1	14
4:45 PM - 5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	12	0	80	10	30	450	0	25	0	218	1	11
5:00 PM - 5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	15	0	44	4	20	269	0	12	0	174	0	10
5:15 PM - 5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	10	0	81	0	32	332	0	4	0	231	0	7
5:30 PM - 5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	2	0	75	8	29	344	0	14	0	186	5	16
5:45 PM - 6:00 PM	0	0	0	0	11	0	86	9	24	369	0	7	0	181	1	11
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	86	0	577	53	223	2909	0	120	0	1662	14	95

		North	bound			South	bound			Eastb	ound			West	bound	
PEAK HOUR	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks	Left	Thru	Right	Trucks
11:00 AM - 12:00 PM	0	0	0	0	2	0	229	7	282	730	0	82	0	1443	34	62
4:00 PM - 5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	48	0	291	32	118	1595	0	83	0	890	8	51



Page 1 of 3

Appendix B: LOS Calculations Sheets

Destino Paso 1: Airport Road &	Dry Cre	ek R	oad								Ex	isting 5/1	7/2016
Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	0.9												
Movement	FBI	FBT	FBR		WBI	WBT	WBR	NB	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Vol. veh/h	0	0	1		19	0	7		215	87	9	97	1
Future Vol. veh/h	0	0	1		19	0	7		1 215	87	9	97	1
Conflicting Peds #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0	() 0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Ston	Ston	Ston		Ston	Ston	Ston	Free	- Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	Stop	Jiop	None		Jiop	Jiop	None	110		None	1100	1100	None
Storage Length			-				-			25			25
Veh in Median Storage #	-	0				0			- 0	20		0	20
Grade %		0				0			- 0			0	
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80		80	80	80	80	1 80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles %	6	6	6		6	6	6	0	5 6	6	6	6	6
Mumt Flow	0	0	1		24	0	0		1 260	100	11	121	1
WWITHTHOW	0	0			24	0	7		1 207	107	11	121	
Major/Minor	Minor2			N	/linor1			Major	1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	420	415	121		415	415	269	12	0	0	269	0	0
Stano 1	1//	1//	121		271	271	207	12		-	207		
Stage 7	276	271			1//	1//							
Critical Hdwy	7 16	6.56	6.26		7 16	6 56	6.26	4 1/	 -		4 16		
Critical Hdwy Sta 1	6.16	5.56	0.20		6.16	5 56	0.20	-1.14	, 		4.10		
Critical Hdwy Stg 7	6.16	5.56			6.16	5.56							
Follow-up Hdwy	3 554	4 054	3 354		3 554	4 054	3 354	2 25	1 .		2 254		
Pot Can-1 Maneuver	537	522	920		541	522	760	144) -		1272		
Stano 1	8/0	770	720		726	678	700	111	-		1272		
Stage 2	722	678			849	770							
Platoon blocked %	122	0/0			017	110							
Mov Cap.1 Maneuver	527	517	020		536	517	760	1///) -	-	1272		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	527	517	720		536	517	700	177			12/2		
Stage 1	848	763			725	677							
Stage 2	713	677			8/0	763							
Stage 2	715	077			040	703							
Approach	EB				WB			NE	3		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	8.9				11.6			()		0.7		
HCMLOS	A				B				-				
					5								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1W	/BLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1442			920	582	1272							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.001			0.001	0.056	0.009							
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.5	0		8.9	11.6	7.9	0						
HCM Lane LOS	A	A		A	B	A	A						
HCM 95th %tile O(veh)	0	-		0	0.2	0	-						
((0))													

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso 2: Golden Hill Rd &	SR 46	E									Existing 5/1	3 AN 7/201
	≯	-	\mathbf{F}	4	+	*	•	1	1	ţ	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	178	798	359	36	890	162	295	310	122	122	144	
v/c Ratio	0.50	0.60	0.41	0.10	0.77	0.24	0.61	0.43	0.46	0.46	0.40	
Control Delay	41.5	20.8	3.6	35.8	26.4	3.7	40.1	29.0	43.9	38.5	7.5	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	41.5	20.8	3.6	35.8	26.4	3.7	40.1	29.0	43.9	38.5	7.5	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	42	170	0	8	193	0	70	66	29	55	0	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	79	221	32	23	245	26	119	106	59	107	25	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		3280			2376			566		648		
Turn Bay Length (ft)	550		490	460		390	160		130			
Base Capacity (vph)	354	2173	1199	531	2330	1213	487	2064	265	986	898	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.50	0.37	0.30	0.07	0.38	0.13	0.61	0.15	0.46	0.12	0.16	
Intersection Summary												

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso	
2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E	

Existing AM 5/17/2016

	≯	+	\mathbf{F}	4	Ļ	*	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	- 11	7	ሻሻ	- 11	1	ሻሻ	A		ሻሻ	•	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	144	646	291	29	721	131	239	220	31	99	99	117
Future Volume (veh/h)	144	646	291	29	721	131	239	220	31	99	99	117
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.98
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1863	1610	1863	1863	1610	1863	1863	1863	1900	1863	1863	1863
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	178	798	359	36	890	162	295	272	38	122	122	144
Adj No. of Lanes	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	1	1
Peak Hour Factor	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	18	2	2	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	266	1096	562	252	1167	599	394	731	101	198	331	276
Arrive On Green	0.08	0.36	0.36	0.07	0.38	0.38	0.11	0.23	0.23	0.06	0.18	0.18
Sat Flow, veh/h	3442	3059	1570	3442	3059	1571	3442	3119	431	3442	1863	1557
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	178	798	359	36	890	162	295	153	157	122	122	144
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1721	1530	1570	1721	1530	1571	1721	1770	1780	1721	1863	1557
Q Serve(g_s), s	3.6	16.4	8.3	0.7	18.3	5.1	6.0	5.2	5.4	2.5	4.2	6.1
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	3.6	16.4	8.3	0.7	18.3	5.1	6.0	5.2	5.4	2.5	4.2	6.1
Prop In Lane	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.24	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	266	1096	562	252	1167	599	394	415	417	198	331	276
V/C Ratio(X)	0.67	0.73	0.64	0.14	0.76	0.27	0.75	0.37	0.38	0.62	0.37	0.52
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	381	2329	1195	572	2498	1283	524	1127	1133	286	1057	883
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	32.4	20.1	7.1	31.4	19.5	15.4	31.0	23.2	23.2	33.3	26.2	26.9
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	2.9	0.9	1.2	0.3	1.1	0.2	4.1	0.5	0.6	3.1	0.7	1.5
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	1.8	7.0	4./	0.3	7.8	2.3	3.1	2.6	2.7	1.3	2.2	2.7
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	35.3	21.1	8.3	31.6	20.5	15.6	35.1	23.7	23.8	36.4	26.8	28.5
LnGrp LOS	D	C	A	C	C	В	D	C	C	D	C	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		1335			1088			605			388	
Approach Delay, s/veh		19.5			20.2			29.3			30.4	
Approach LOS		В			С			С			С	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	8.2	20.9	11.3	31.9	12.3	16.8	9.6	33.6				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	4.0	6.0	* 6	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	6.0	46.0	12.0	* 55	11.0	41.0	8.0	59.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	4.5	7.4	2.7	18.4	8.0	8.1	5.6	20.3				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.0	3.0	4.1	7.0	0.3	3.0	0.1	7.1				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			22.7									
HCM 2010 LOS			С									
Notes												

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 3

Destino Paso	
3: Union Road & SR 46 E	

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	4.2											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	716	59	224	873	0	8	2	237	0	0	0
Future Vol, veh/h	1	716	59	224	873	0	8	2	237	0	0	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	500	-	50	670	-	50	-	-	25	-	-	25
Veh in Median Storage, #	ŧ -	0	-		0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	1			1		-	2	-	-	-8	-
Peak Hour Factor	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	18	2	2	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	1	823	68	257	1003	0	9	2	272	0	0	0
Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1003	0	0	823	0	0	1842	2343	411	1933	2343	502
Stage 1	-		-		-	-	825	825	-	1518	1518	
Stage 2			-		-	-	1017	1518	-	415	825	
Critical Hdwy	4.14		-	4.14	-	-	7.94	6.94	7.14	5.94	4.94	6.14
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-		-		-	-	6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-		-		-	-	6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	686	-	-	803	-	-	38	28	577	94	101	575
Stage 1	-		-		-	-	304	351	-	244	353	-
Stage 2	-	-	-		-	-	227	152	-	704	556	-
Platoon blocked, %			-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	686	-	-	803	-	-	29	19	577	34	69	575
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-		-		-		29	19	-	34	69	-
Stage 1	-		-		-	-	304	350	-	244	240	-
Stage 2	-					-	154	103	-	369	555	
Approach	EB			WE			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0			2.4			25.2			0		
HCM LOS							D			A		
Minor Lane/Major Mymt	NBI n1	NBI n2	FBI	EBT EBE	WBI	WBT	WBR SBLn1	SBI n2				
Canacity (veh/h)	26	577	686		803							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0 4/2	0 472	0.002		0 321							
HCM Control Delay (s)	226	16.7	10.3		11.6		- 0	0				
HCM Lane LOS		 C.	. o.o		R		- A	A				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.4	2.5	0		1.4			-				

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 5

Existing AM 5/17/2016

Destino Paso	Existing AM
4: SR 46 E & Airport Road	5/17/2016

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	5.2						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	332	621	970	10	5	127	
Future Vol, veh/h	332	621	970	10	5	127	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None		None	-	None	
Storage Length	950	-		600	0	25	
Veh in Median Storage, #	÷ -	0	0	-	0	-	
Grade, %		0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	
Heavy Vehicles, %	10	18	18	10	10	10	
Mvmt Flow	391	731	1141	12	6	149	

Glaue, 70		0	0	-	0		
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	
Heavy Vehicles, %	10	18	18	10	10	10	
Mvmt Flow	391	731	1141	12	6	149	
Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1141	0		0	2287	571	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	1141	-	
Stage 2	-	-		-	1146	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.3	-		-	7	7.1	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-		-	6	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-			6	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.3	-		-	3.6	3.4	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	564	-		-	30	444	
Stage 1	-	-		-	250	-	
Stage 2	-	-		-	249	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-		-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	564	-	-	-	9	444	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-		-	56	-	
Stage 1	-	-		-	250	-	
Stage 2	-	-		-	76	-	
Approach	EB		WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	8.5		0		19.5		

CM Control Delay, s	8.5			0	19.5	
CM LOS					С	
nor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR SBLn1 SBLn2		

Approach	EB				WB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	8.5				0	19.5	
HCM LOS						С	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR SBLn1	SBLn2		
Capacity (veh/h)	564	-	-	- 56	444		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.693	-	-	- 0.105	0.337		
HCM Control Delay (s)	24.5	-	-	- 76.7	17.2		
HCM Lane LOS	С	-	-	- F	С		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	5.4	-	-	- 0.3	1.5		

Destino Paso	
1: Airport Road & Dry Creek Road	

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	3.7											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	1	117	0	6	0	139	21	4	228	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	1	117	0	6	0	139	21	4	228	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized		-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	25
Veh in Median Storage, #	ŧ -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow	0	0	1	148	0	8	0	176	27	5	289	0
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All	Minor2 479	475	289	Minor1 475	475	176	Major1 289	0	0	Major2 176	0	0
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1	Minor2 479 299	475 299	289	Minor1 475 176	475 176	176	Major1 289 -	0	0	<u>Major2</u> 176	0	0
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1 Stage 2	Minor2 479 299 180	475 299 176	289	Minor1 475 176 299	475 176 299	176 -	<u>Major1</u> 289 -	0	0	<u>Major2</u> 176 -	0 -	0
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1 Stage 2 Critical Hdwy	Minor2 479 299 180 7.15	475 299 176 6.55	289 - - 6.25	Minor1 475 176 299 7.15	475 176 299 6.55	176 - 6.25	<u>Major1</u> 289 - - 4.15	0 - -	0 - -	<u>Major2</u> 176 - 4.15	0 - -	0 - -
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1 Stage 2 Critical Hdwy Critical Hdwy Stg 1	Minor2 479 299 180 7.15 6.15	475 299 176 6.55 5.55	289 - - 6.25	Minor1 475 176 299 7.15 6.15	475 176 299 6.55 5.55	176 - - 6.25	<u>Major1</u> 289 - - 4.15	0 - - -	0 - - -	<u>Major2</u> 176 - 4.15	0 - - -	-
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1 Stage 2 Critical Hdwy Critical Hdwy Stg 1 Critical Hdwy Stg 2	Minor2 479 299 180 7.15 6.15 6.15	475 299 176 6.55 5.55 5.55	289 - 6.25 -	Minor1 475 176 299 7.15 6.15 6.15	475 176 299 6.55 5.55 5.55	176 - 6.25 -	<u>Major1</u> 289 - 4.15 -	0 - - - -	0 - - - -	<u>Major2</u> 176 - 4.15 -	0 - - -	-
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1 Stage 2 Critical Hdwy Critical Hdwy Stg 1 Critical Hdwy Stg 2 Follow-up Hdwy	Minor2 479 299 180 7.15 6.15 6.15 3.545	475 299 176 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045	289 - 6.25 - 3.345	Minor1 475 176 299 7.15 6.15 6.15 3.545	475 176 299 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045	176 - 6.25 - 3.345	Major1 289 - 4.15 - 2.245		0 - - - - - -	Major2 176 - 4.15 - 2.245		
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1 Critical Hdwy Critical Hdwy Stg 1 Critical Hdwy Stg 2 Follow-up Hdwy Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	Minor2 479 299 180 7.15 6.15 6.15 3.545 492	475 299 176 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484	289 - - 6.25 - - 3.345 743	Minor1 475 176 299 7.15 6.15 6.15 3.545 495	475 176 299 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484	176 - - 6.25 - - 3.345 859	Major1 289 - 4.15 - 2.245 1256		0 - - - - - - - -	Major2 176 - 4.15 - 2.245 1382		
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1 Critical Hdwy Critical Hdwy Stg 1 Critical Hdwy Stg 2 Follow-up Hdwy Pot Cap-1 Maneuver Stage 1	Minor2 479 299 180 7.15 6.15 6.15 3.545 492 703	475 299 176 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 661	289 - 6.25 - 3.345 743	Minor1 475 176 299 7.15 6.15 6.15 3.545 495 819	475 176 299 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 748	176 - 6.25 - 3.345 859	Major1 289 - - 4.15 - - 2.245 1256		0	Major2 176 - 4.15 - 2.245 1382	0 - - - - - - -	0 - - - - - - - -
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1 Stage 2 Critical Hdwy Stg 1 Critical Hdwy Stg 2 Follow-up Hdwy Pot Cap-1 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2	Minor2 479 299 180 7.15 6.15 3.545 492 703 815	475 299 176 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 661 748	289 - 6.25 - 3.345 743 -	Minor1 475 176 299 7.15 6.15 3.545 3.545 819 703	475 176 299 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 748 661	176 - 6.25 - 3.345 859 - -	<u>Major1</u> 289 - - - 2.245 1256 - - -		0	<u>Major2</u> 176 - - - - 2.245 1382 - -		
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1 Critical Hdwy Critical Hdwy Stg 1 Critical Hdwy Stg 2 Follow-up Hdwy Pot Cap-1 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, %	Minor2 479 299 180 7.15 6.15 6.15 3.545 492 703 815	475 299 176 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 661 748	289 - 6.25 - 3.345 743 - -	Minor1 475 176 299 7.15 6.15 3.545 3.545 495 819 703	475 176 299 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 748 661	176 - 6.25 - 3.345 859 - -	Major1 289 - - - - - 2.245 1256 - - -	0 - - - - - - - - - -	0	Major2 176 4.15 2.245 1382 -		
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1 Critical Hdwy Critical Hdwy Stg 1 Critical Hdwy Stg 2 Follow-up Hdwy Pot Cap-1 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	Minor2 479 299 180 7.15 6.15 3.545 492 703 815 486	475 299 176 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 661 748 482	289 - 6.25 - 3.345 743 - - 743	Minor1 475 176 299 7.15 6.15 3.545 495 819 703 493	475 176 299 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 748 661 482	176 - 6.25 - 3.345 859 - - - 859	Major1 289 - - - - - 2.245 1256 - - - 1256	0 - - - - - - - - - - - -	0	Major2 176 4.15 2.245 1382 - 1382	0	0 - - - - - - - - - - - -
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1 Stage 2 Critical Hdwy Stg 1 Critical Hdwy Stg 1 Critical Hdwy Stg 2 Follow-up Hdwy Pot Cap-1 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	Minor2 479 299 180 7.15 6.15 3.545 492 703 815 486 486	475 299 176 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 661 748 482 482	289 - 6.25 - 3.345 743 - - - 743	Minor1 475 176 299 7.15 6.15 3.545 495 819 703 493 493	475 176 299 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 748 661 482 482	176 - 6.25 - 3.345 859 - - - 859	Major1 289 4.15 2.245 1256 - 1256	0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Major2 176 4.15 2.245 1382 - 1382	0	0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1 Stage 2 Critical Hdwy Critical Hdwy Stg 1 Critical Hdwy Stg 2 Follow-up Hdwy Pot Cap-1 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Stage 1	Minor2 479 299 180 7.15 6.15 3.545 492 703 815 486 486 486 703	475 299 176 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 661 748 482 482 482 482 658	289 - 6.25 - 3.345 743 - - - 743 - - - - -	Minor1 475 176 299 7.15 6.15 3.545 819 703 495 819 703 493 493	475 176 299 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 748 661 482 482 482 748	176 - 6.25 - 3.345 859 - - - 859 - -	Major1 289 - 4.15 - 2.245 1256 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	0	Major2 176 4.15 2.245 1382 - 1382	0	
Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All Stage 1 Critical Hdwy Critical Hdwy Stg 1 Critical Hdwy Stg 2 Follow-up Hdwy Pot Cap-1 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2	Minor2 479 299 180 7.15 6.15 6.15 3.545 492 703 815 486 486 486 703 808	475 299 176 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 661 748 482 482 482 482 658 748	289 - - 6.25 - 3.345 743 - - 743 - -	Minor1 475 176 299 7.15 6.15 3.545 495 819 703 493 493 819 699	475 176 299 6.55 5.55 5.55 4.045 484 748 661 482 482 482 482 748 658	176 - 6.25 - 3.345 859 - - 859 - -	Major1 289 - - - 2.245 1256 - - - 1256 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	0	Major2 176 4.15 2.245 1382 - 1382 - 1382 -	0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	9.9	15.3	0	0.1
HCM LOS	A	С		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1W	/BLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	1256	-	-	743	503	1382		-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	-	0.002	0.31	0.004	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	-	-	9.9	15.3	7.6	0	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А		-	А	С	A	A	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0		-	0	1.3	0		-	

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 6

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

Existing PM 5/17/2016

Destino Paso 2: Golden Hill Rd &	SR 46	E									Existing 5/1	; PM 7/2016
	≯	-	\mathbf{F}	4	+	*	•	1	1	Ļ	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	152	832	293	47	828	134	211	244	185	220	241	
v/c Ratio	0.40	0.63	0.35	0.15	0.75	0.21	0.50	0.34	0.48	0.60	0.50	
Control Delay	43.1	23.8	3.7	42.7	30.1	4.7	44.1	29.2	44.9	41.4	10.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	43.1	23.8	3.7	42.7	30.1	4.7	44.1	29.2	44.9	41.4	10.2	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	40	201	0	12	205	0	55	53	49	110	9	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	86	315	51	35	324	38	115	104	104	216	77	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		3280			2376			566		648		
Turn Bay Length (ft)	550		490	460		390	160		130			
Base Capacity (vph)	485	2427	1281	352	2308	1194	445	1860	404	988	925	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.31	0.34	0.23	0.13	0.36	0.11	0.47	0.13	0.46	0.22	0.26	
Intersection Summary												

Inter

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 922 of 979

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 2

Destino Paso
2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E

Existing PM 5/17/2016

	≯	-	\mathbf{i}	1	+	*	1	1	1	1	¥	-	
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	55	44	1	ሻሻ	44	1	ሻሻ	≜1 6		ሻሻ	•	7	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	146	799	281	45	795	129	203	184	50	178	211	231	
Future Volume (veh/h)	146	799	281	45	795	129	203	184	50	178	211	231	
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16	
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1863	1610	1863	1863	1610	1863	1863	1863	1900	1863	1863	1863	
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	152	832	293	47	828	134	211	192	52	185	220	241	
Adj No. of Lanes	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	1	1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	18	2	2	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Cap, veh/h	242	1125	577	157	1135	582	308	634	167	278	411	344	
Arrive On Green	0.07	0.37	0.37	0.05	0.37	0.37	0.09	0.23	0.23	0.08	0.22	0.22	
Sat Flow, veh/h	3442	3059	1570	3442	3059	1571	3442	2764	728	3442	1863	1562	
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	152	832	293	47	828	134	211	121	123	185	220	241	
Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln	1721	1530	1570	1721	1530	1571	1721	1770	1723	1721	1863	1562	
Q Serve(q_s), s	3.1	17.1	6.7	1.0	16.9	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.3	3.8	7.6	10.3	
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	3.1	17.1	6.7	1.0	16.9	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.3	3.8	7.6	10.3	
Prop In Lane	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.42	1.00		1.00	
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	242	1125	577	157	1135	582	308	406	395	278	411	344	
V/C Ratio(X)	0.63	0.74	0.51	0.30	0.73	0.23	0.68	0.30	0.31	0.67	0.54	0.70	
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	571	2876	1476	381	2707	1390	523	1125	1096	476	1159	972	
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	32.7	19.9	7.3	33.4	19.6	15.6	31.9	23.1	23.1	32.3	24.9	26.0	
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	2.7	1.0	0.7	1.0	0.9	0.2	2.7	0.4	0.4	2.7	1.1	2.6	
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	1.6	7.3	3.7	0.5	7.3	1.9	2.2	2.0	2.1	1.9	4.0	4.7	
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	35.4	20.8	8.0	34.4	20.5	15.8	34.6	23.5	23.6	35.0	26.0	28.6	
LnGrp LOS	D	С	А	С	С	В	С	С	С	D	С	С	
Approach Vol, veh/h		1277			1009			455			646		
Approach Delay, s/veh		19.6			20.6			28.7			29.5		
Approach LOS		В			С			С			С		
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8					
Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8					
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc) s	9.8	20.6	93	32.6	10.5	20.0	91	32.8					
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	4.0	6.0	* 6	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.0					
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	10.0	46.0	8.0	* 68	11.0	45.0	12.0	64.0					
Max O Clear Time (g. c+11), s	5.8	6.3	3.0	19.1	6.3	12.3	5.1	18.9					
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.2	3.6	2.5	7.2	0.3	3.6	0.2	6.5					
Intersection Summary													
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			23.0										
HCM 2010 LOS			С										
Notes	otes												
Central Coast Transportation (Consultin	ig								9	Synchro 9	Report	

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	5.3													
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	١	WBL	WBT	WBR	N	BL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Vol. veh/h	2	969	56		296	960	1		8	0	213	0	0	1
Future Vol, veh/h	2	969	56		296	960	1		8	0	213	0	0	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free		Free	Free	Free	St	ор	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	500	-	50		670	-	50		-	-	25	-	-	25
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %		1	-		-	1	-		-	2	-	-	-8	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92		92	92	92		92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	18	2		2	18	2		2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	2	1053	61		322	1043	1		9	0	232	0	0	1
Maior/Minor	Maior1			Ma	aior2			Mino	r1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1043	0	0	-	1053	0	0	22	23	2745	527	2218	2745	522
Stage 1			-		-			10	58	1058	-	1687	1687	
Stage 2								11	65	1687		531	1058	
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-			4.14	-		7.	94	6.94	7.14	5.94	4.94	6.14
Critical Hdwy Stg 1			-		-			6.	94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-	-		-	-		6.	94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22		-		2.22	-		3.	52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	663	-	-		657	-	-		19	14	481	64	67	561
Stage 1	-	-	-		-	-		2	14	266	-	206	314	
Stage 2	-	-	-		-	-	-	1	81	123	-	633	479	-
Platoon blocked, %		-				-								
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	663	-	-		657	-	-		12	7	481	20	34	561
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-		-	-	-		12	7	-	20	34	-
Stage 1	-	-	-		-	-	-	2	13	265	-	205	160	-
Stage 2	-	-	-		-	-	-		92	63	-	327	478	-
Approach	EB				WB			1	٧B			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0				3.7			38	3.8			11.4		
HCM LOS									Е			В		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	NBLn2	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR SBL	n1 S	SBLn2				
Capacity (yeh/h)	12	481	663	-	-	657				561				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.725	0.481	0.003			0.49				0.002				
HCM Control Delay (s)	\$ 561.9	19.2	10.4	-		15.6		-	0	11.4				
HCM Lane LOS	F	С	В	-		C			A	B				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.6	2.6	0	-		2.7		-	-	0				
2(.01)		2.0												

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Existing PM 5/17/2016

Destino Paso 4: SR 46 E & Airport Road

					Existing PM 5/17/2016
14	DT		CDI	000	
VV	RI	WRK	SBL	. SBR	
Ģ	936	7	6	321	

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	163	1019	936	7	6	321	
Future Vol, veh/h	163	1019	936	7	6	321	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None		None	-	None	
Storage Length	950	-		600	0	25	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93	
Heavy Vehicles, %	7	18	18	7	7	7	
Mymt Flow	175	1096	1006	8	6	345	

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1006	0		0	1904	503	
Stage 1	-	-		-	1006	-	
Stage 2	-	-		-	898	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.24	-		-	6.94	7.04	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.94	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-		-	5.94	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.27	-	-	-	3.57	3.37	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	655	-		-	57	501	
Stage 1	-				303	-	
Stage 2	-	-		-	346	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	655	-		-	42	501	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	145	-	
Stage 1	-	-		-	303	-	
Stage 2	-				254	-	
Ť							
Approach	ED		W/R		SB		
	ED 17		WB		30		
HCM Control Delay, s	1.7		0		26.7		
HCM LOS					D		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2	
Capacity (veh/h)	655	-	-	-	145	501	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.268	-	-	-	0.044	0.689	
HCM Control Delay (s)	12.5	-	-	-	31	26.6	
HCM Lane LOS	В	-	-	-	D	D	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.1			-	0.1	5.2	

Intersection Int Delay, s/veh

4.4

Synchro 9 Report Page 6

3: Union Road & SR 46 E

Destino Paso

Destino Paso	Existing Saturday MD
1: Airport Road & Dry Creek Road	6/21/2016

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	5.4												
Movement		EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			\$			\$			ا	1		ا	1
Traffic Vol, veh/h		12	120	1	45	0	9	0	125	16	12	120	1
Future Vol, veh/h		12	120	1	45	0	9	0	125	16	12	120	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized			-	None	-		None	-	-	None	-		None
Storage Length		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	25
Veh in Median Storage, #			0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %		-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor		89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89
Heavy Vehicles, %		4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Mvmt Flow		13	135	1	51	0	10	0	140	18	13	135	1

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	308	302	135	370	302	140	135	0	0	140	0	
Stage 1	162	162	-	140	140	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	146	140	-	230	162	-	-		-	-		
Critical Hdwy	7.14	6.54	6.24	7.14	6.54	6.24	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.14	5.54	-	6.14	5.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.14	5.54	-	6.14	5.54	-	-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	3.536	4.036	3.336	3.536	4.036	3.336	2.236	-	-	2.236	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	641	607	909	583	607	903	1437	-	-	1431	-	
Stage 1	835	760	-	858	777	-	-		-	-		
Stage 2	852	777	-	768	760	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %									-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	629	601	909	478	601	903	1437	-	-	1431		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	629	601	-	478	601	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	835	752	-	858	777	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	842	777	-	623	752	-	-		-	-		
Annroach	ED.			WD.			ND			CD		

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	12.9	12.9	0	0.7
HCM LOS	В	В		

linor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1\	NBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR	
apacity (veh/h)	1437	-	-	605	519	1431		-	
ICM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	-	0.247	0.117	0.009	-	-	
ICM Control Delay (s)	0	-	-	12.9	12.9	7.5	0	-	
ICM Lane LOS	A	-	-	В	В	А	Α	-	
ICM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	1	0.4	0	-	-	

Central	Coast	Transportation	Consulting	
Central	Cuasi	mansponation	Consulary	

Destino Paso 2: Golden Hill Rd &	SR 46	E							Exis	ting Sa	aturda 6/2	y MD 21/2016
	۶	-	\mathbf{i}	1	-	*	1	1	1	Ŧ	4	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	182	824	150	44	1367	227	134	248	134	214	298	
v/c Ratio	0.57	0.54	0.20	0.08	0.83	0.27	0.47	0.40	0.50	0.67	0.72	
Control Delay	58.0	29.3	5.2	37.6	30.5	3.3	57.2	39.8	59.1	54.7	28.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	58.0	29.3	5.2	37.6	30.5	3.3	57.2	39.8	59.1	54.7	28.6	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	66	284	0	12	428	1	48	78	48	148	81	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	115	374	45	31	600	44	90	124	91	242	190	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		3280			2376			566		648		
Turn Bay Length (ft)	550		490	460		390	160		130			
Base Capacity (vph)	346	2174	1002	576	1979	958	314	1459	283	768	743	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.53	0.38	0.15	0.08	0.69	0.24	0.43	0.17	0.47	0.28	0.40	
Intersection Summary												

Destino Paso	
2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E	

Existing Saturday MD 6/21/2016

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	4	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	33	**	1	55	**	1	55	#1 .		ሻሻ	•	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	175	791	144	42	1312	218	129	199	39	129	205	286
Future Volume (veh/h)	175	791	144	42	1312	218	129	199	39	129	205	286
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1900	1827	1827	1827
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	182	824	150	44	1367	227	134	207	41	134	214	298
Adj No. of Lanes	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	1	1
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Percent Heavy Veh, %	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Cap, veh/h	246	1028	455	747	1609	715	195	686	133	194	433	363
Arrive On Green	0.07	0.30	0.30	0.22	0.46	0.46	0.06	0.24	0.24	0.06	0.24	0.24
Sat Flow, veh/h	3375	3471	1537	3375	3471	1543	3375	2893	562	3375	1827	1533
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	182	824	150	44	1367	227	134	123	125	134	214	298
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1688	1736	1537	1688	1736	1543	1688	1736	1719	1688	1827	1533
Q Serve(g_s), s	5.6	23.3	6.4	1.1	37.1	9.9	4.1	6.2	6.4	4.1	10.8	19.6
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	5.6	23.3	6.4	1.1	37.1	9.9	4.1	6.2	6.4	4.1	10.8	19.6
Prop In Lane	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.33	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	246	1028	455	747	1609	715	195	412	408	194	433	363
V/C Ratio(X)	0.74	0.80	0.33	0.06	0.85	0.32	0.69	0.30	0.31	0.69	0.49	0.82
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	349	2184	967	747	1989	884	317	750	743	285	772	648
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	48.4	34.6	18.0	32.7	25.3	18.0	49.2	33.3	33.4	49.2	35.1	38.5
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	5.0	1.5	0.4	0.0	3.1	0.3	4.3	0.4	0.4	4.3	0.9	4.6
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	2.8	11.4	3.1	0.5	18.3	4.2	2.1	3.0	3.1	2.1	5.5	8.8
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	53.4	36.1	18.4	32.7	28.4	18.2	53.5	33.7	33.8	53.6	36.0	43.1
LnGrp LOS	D	D	В	C	C	В	D	С	C	D	D	D
Approach Vol, veh/h		1156			1638			382			646	
Approach Delay, s/veh		36.5			27.1			40.7			42.9	
Approach LOS		D			С			D			D	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	10.1	29.2	29.6	37.5	10.1	29.2	11.8	55.3				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	4.0	6.0	* 6	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	9.0	46.0	5.0	* 67	10.0	45.0	11.0	61.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	6.1	8.4	3.1	25.3	6.1	21.6	7.6	39.1				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.1	3.8	1.5	6.2	0.1	3.6	0.2	10.2				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			34.0									
HCM 2010 LOS			С									
Notes												

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 3

Destino Paso
3: Union Road & SR 46 E

Existing Saturday MD 6/21/2016

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	8.9											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	^	1	3	^	1		÷.	1		÷,	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9	910	40	168	1557	0	14	6	192	1	Ö	1
Future Vol, veh/h	9	910	40	168	1557	0	14	6	192	1	0	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-		None
Storage Length	500	-	50	670	-	50	-	-	25	-	-	25
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	-8	-
Peak Hour Factor	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow	9	948	42	175	1622	0	15	6	200	1	0	1
Maior/Minor	Maior1			Maior2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1622	0	0	948	0	0	2128	2939	474	2468	2939	811
Stage 1		-	-				967	967	-	1972	1972	
Stage 2							1161	1972		496	967	
Critical Hdwy	4.2	-		4.2	-		8	7	7.2	6	5	6.2
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-			-			7	6		5	4	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-		7	6	-	5	4	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.25	-	-	2.25	-		3.55	4.05	3.35	3.55	4.05	3.35
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	384	-	-	702	-	-	21	10	515	44	51	379
Stage 1		-	-	-	-		240	291	-	149	247	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	178	83	-	644	498	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	384	-	-	702	-	-	17	7	515	5	37	379
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	7	-	5	37	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-		234	284	-	146	185	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	133	62	-	376	486	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.1			1.2			107.5			\$ 438.2		
HCMIOS							F			F		
Minor Long/Major Mumt	NDI p1	NDI 52	EDI		WDI	WDT	WDD CDI p1	CDI 22			_	
	INDLITT 10		EDL	EDI EDR	VVDL	WDI	WBR SBLIII	3DLIIZ				
Capacity (ven/n)	1 70/	515	384		/02		- 5	3/9				
HCM Cantrol Dalay (a)	1./30	0.388	14.6		0.249		- 0.208	0.003				
HCIVI COITILIOI Delay (S)	\$ 982.3 F	10.4	14.0 D		11.0 D		-\$ 801.9	14.5 D				
HOW DEth 9/ tile O(web)	F 2.4	10	B 0 1		B		- F	В				
HCINI A2IU %(II6 C(A6U)	3.4	1.8	0.1				- 0.4	0				
Notes												
~: Volume exceeds capac	ity \$: D	elay exc	ceeds 30	00s +: Com	putatio	n Not D	efined *: Al	l major	volume	in platoon		

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso	
4: SR 46 E & Airport Road	

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh	8.8						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	1	- 11	<u>^</u>	1	ኘ	1	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	308	795	1489	34	2	236	
Future Vol, veh/h	308	795	1489	34	2	236	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	950	-		600	0	25	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	94	94	94	94	94	94	
Heavy Vehicles, %	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Mymt Flow	328	846	1584	36	2	251	

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1584	0		0	2662	792	
Stage 1	-	-		-	1584	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	1078	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.22	-	-	-	6.92	7.02	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.92	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-		-	5.92	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.26	-	-	-	3.56	3.36	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	393	-		-	17	324	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	148	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	279	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	393	-		-	3	324	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	33	-	
Stage 1	-	-		-	148	-	
Stage 2	-	-		-	46	-	
Approach	EB		WB		SB		

Approach	EB	WB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	12.9	0	46.3	
HCM LOS			E	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2	
Capacity (veh/h)	393	-	-	-	33	324	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.834	-	-	-	0.064	0.775	
HCM Control Delay (s)	46.3	-	-	-	121.5	45.7	
HCM Lane LOS	E	-	-	-	F	E	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	7.7		-	-	0.2	6.2	

Destino Paso 1: Airport Road & Dry Creek Road Existing Plus Project AM 5/17/2016

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	0.9											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBI	. WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	1	20	0 0	7	1	216	88	9	99	1
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	1	20	0 0	7	1	216	88	9	99	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	(0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	25	-	-	25
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-		0	-	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	-	0	-		0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	6	6	6	e	6 0	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Mvmt Flow	0	0	1	25	0	9	1	270	110	11	124	1
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	423	419	124	420	419	270	124	0	0	270	0	0
Stage 1	146	146	-	273	273	-	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 2	277	273	-	147	146	-	-		-	-		
Critical Hdwy	7.16	6.56	6.26	7.16	6.56	6.26	4.16	-	-	4.16	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.16	5.56	-	6.16	5.56	-	-		-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.16	5.56	-	6.16	5.56	-	-		-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.554	4.054	3.354	3.554	4.054	3.354	2.254		-	2.254	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	534	519	916	537	519	759	1438	-	-	1271	-	-
Stage 1	847	769	-	724	677	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	721	677	-	846	769	-	-	-	-	-		-
Platoon blocked, %									-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	524	514	916	532	514	759	1438	-	-	1271	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	524	514	-	532	514	-	-		-	-	-	-
Stage 1	846	762	-	723	676	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	712	676	-	837	762					-	-	-
Approach	EB			WE			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	8.9			11.6)		0			0.6		
HCM LOS	A			E								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1438	-	-	916 577	1271							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.001			0.001 0.058	0.009		-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.5	0		8.9 11.6	7.9	0	-					
HCM Lane LOS	A	А		A E	A	А	-					
LICM 0Eth 9/ tile O(uch)	0			0 03	0							

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 6

Existing Saturday MD 6/21/2016

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso 2: Golden Hill Rd &	g Plus	Plus Project AM 5/17/2016										
	۶	-	\mathbf{i}	1	+	*	1	1	1	Ļ	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	178	838	359	37	906	163	295	314	126	122	144	
v/c Ratio	0.51	0.61	0.40	0.11	0.77	0.24	0.61	0.43	0.48	0.46	0.40	
Control Delay	42.0	20.7	3.5	36.9	26.5	3.7	40.7	29.2	44.8	38.9	7.5	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	42.0	20.7	3.5	36.9	26.5	3.7	40.7	29.2	44.8	38.9	7.5	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	43	182	0	8	198	0	71	67	30	56	0	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	80	231	31	23	250	26	120	108	61	107	25	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		3280			2376			566		648		
Turn Bay Length (ft)	550		490	460		390	160		130			
Base Capacity (vph)	351	2155	1192	527	2312	1205	484	2044	263	978	893	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.51	0.39	0.30	0.07	0.39	0.14	0.61	0.15	0.48	0.12	0.16	

Interse

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 2 Destino Paso 2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E Existing Plus Project AM 5/17/2016

Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL Lane Configurations 14 679 291 30 734 132 239 220 34 102 Traffic Volume (veh/h) 144 679 291 30 734 132 239 220 34 102 Future Volume (veh/h) 144 679 291 30 734 132 239 220 34 102 Number 7 4 14 3 8 18 5 2 12 1 Initial Q (Db), veh 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SBT SBF ♥ 1 99 11 99 11 99 11 6 10 0 0 0 0 1.00 1.00 1.863 1865 122 1.44 1 0 0.81 0.83 0.81 0.83
Lane Configurations 1 44 7 14 7 14 7 14 7 14 7 14 679 291 30 734 132 239 220 34 102 Future Volume (veh/h) 144 679 291 30 734 132 239 220 34 102 Number 7 4 14 3 8 18 5 2 12 1 Initial Q (Db), veh 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	 ↑ ↑ ↑ 99 11 99 11 6 14 0 0.90 1.00 1.00<
Traffic Volume (veh/h) 144 679 291 30 734 132 239 220 34 102 Future Volume (veh/h) 144 679 291 30 734 132 239 220 34 102 Number 7 4 14 3 8 18 5 2 12 1 Initial Q (Db), veh 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	99 11 99 11 6 10 0 (0.99 1.00 1.00 1863 1865 122 144 1 0.81 0.8 2 2
Future Volume (veh/h) 144 679 291 30 734 132 239 220 34 102 Number 7 4 14 3 8 18 5 2 12 1 1 Initial Q (Db), veh 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0<	99 117 6 14 0 0 1.00 1.00 1863 1863 122 144 1
Number 7 4 14 3 8 18 5 2 12 1 Initial Q (2b), veh 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0<	6 16 0 (0.99 1.00 1.00 1863 1863 122 144 1
Initial Q (Qb), veh 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 (0 0.98 1.00 1.00 1863 1863 122 144 1
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.99 1.00 Parking Bus, Adj 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 <	0.98 1.00 1.00 1863 1863 122 144 1
Parking Bus, Adj 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0	1.00 1.00 1863 1863 122 144 1 - 0.81 0.83 2 2
	1863 1863 122 144 1
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln 1863 1610 1863 1863 1610 1863 1863 1863 1863 1863 1863	122 144 1
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h 178 838 359 37 906 163 295 272 42 126	1 0.81 0.81 2 2
Adj No. of Lanes 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 0 2	0.81 0.8
Peak Hour Factor 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81	2 2
Percent Heavy Veh, % 2 18 2 2 18 2 2 2 2 2 2	
Cap, veh/h 265 1128 579 228 1178 605 392 720 110 202	334 279
Arrive On Green 0.08 0.37 0.37 0.07 0.39 0.39 0.11 0.23 0.23 0.06	0.18 0.18
Sat Flow, veh/h 3442 3059 1570 3442 3059 1571 3442 3074 469 3442	1863 1557
Grp Volume(v), veh/h 178 838 359 37 906 163 295 155 159 126	122 144
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln 1721 1530 1570 1721 1530 1571 1721 1770 1773 1721	1863 1557
Q Serve(g_s), s 3.7 17.5 8.3 0.7 19.0 5.2 6.1 5.4 5.5 2.6	4.2 6.1
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s 3.7 17.5 8.3 0.7 19.0 5.2 6.1 5.4 5.5 2.6	4.2 6.1
Prop In Lane 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.26 1.00	1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h 265 1128 579 228 1178 605 392 415 415 202	334 279
V/C Ratio(X) 0.67 0.74 0.62 0.16 0.77 0.27 0.75 0.37 0.38 0.62	0.37 0.52
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h 375 2289 1175 562 2456 1261 515 1108 1110 281	1039 868
HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0	1.00 1.00
Upstream Filter(I) 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0	1.00 1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh 33.0 20.2 6.9 32.4 19.7 15.5 31.6 23.6 23.7 33.8	26.5 27.3
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh 2.9 1.0 1.1 0.3 1.1 0.2 4.4 0.6 0.6 3.1	0.7 1.5
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	0.0 0.0
%ile BackOTQ(50%),veh/in 1.9 7.5 4.7 0.4 8.1 2.3 3.1 2.7 2.8 1.3	2.2 2.8
LnGrp Delay(d),siveh 36.0 21.2 8.0 32.7 20.8 15.7 36.0 24.2 24.2 36.9	27.2 28.8
LnGrp LOS D C A C C B D C C D	C (
Approach Vol, veh/h 1375 1106 609	392
Approach Delay, s/veh 19.6 20.5 29.9	30.9
Approach LOS B C C	С
Timer 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	
Assigned Phs 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s 8.3 21.2 10.9 33.1 12.4 17.2 9.7 34.3	
Change Period (Y+Rc), s 4.0 4.0 6.0 * 6 4.0 4.0 4.0 6.0	
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s 6.0 46.0 12.0 * 55 11.0 41.0 8.0 59.0	
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s 4.6 7.5 2.7 19.5 8.1 8.1 5.7 21.0	
Green Ext Time (p_c), s 0.0 3.0 4.2 7.4 0.3 3.0 0.1 7.3	
Intersection Summary	
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay 23.0	
HCM 2010 LOS C	
Notes	

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso	
3: Union Road & SR 46 E	

Existing Plus Project AM 5/17/2016

ntorocation												
ntersection	4.4											
ni Delay, s/ven	4.4											
Novement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
raffic Vol, veh/h	1	755	59	227	888	0	8	2	244	0	0	0
uture Vol, veh/h	1	755	59	227	888	0	8	2	244	0	0	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-		None	-		None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	500		50	670		50	-	-	25	-	-	25
/eh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	-8	-
Peak Hour Factor	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87
leavy Vehicles, %	2	18	2	2	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
/wmt Flow	1	868	68	261	1021	0	9	2	280	0	0	0
/lajor/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1021	0	0	868	0	0	1902	2413	434	1980	2413	510
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	870	870	-	1543	1543	
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	-	1032	1543	-	437	870	
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.94	6.94	7.14	5.94	4.94	6.14
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-		-	-		-	6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	-
ollow-up Hdwy	2.22		-	2.22		-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	675		-	772		-	34	25	556	88	94	570
Stage 1	-		-	-		-	284	333	-	238	347	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	222	147	-	690	540	-
Platoon blocked, %			-			-						
Nov Cap-1 Maneuver	675	-	-	772	-	-	25	17	556	29	62	570
Nov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-		25	17	-	29	62	-
Stage 1			1.1	-	1.1		284	333	-	238	230	-
Stage 2	-	-		-	-		147	97	-	339	539	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	2.4	27.7	0
HCM LOS			D	A

linor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	NBLn2	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR SI	BLn1 S	BLn2
apacity (veh/h)	23	556	675	-	-	772	-	-	-	-
CM Lane V/C Ratio	0.5	0.504	0.002	-		0.338	-			-
CM Control Delay (s)	267.8	17.9	10.3	-	-	12	-	-	0	0
CM Lane LOS	F	С	В	-	-	В	-	-	А	Α
CM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.5	2.8	0	-	-	1.5	-	-	-	

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 5

Destino Paso
4: SR 46 E & Airport Road

Existing Plus Project AM 5/17/2016

ntersection											
nt Delay, s/veh 7	5										
ne boldy, siven 7.	5										
Vovement	FBI	FBT				WBT	WBR	SBL	C	SBR	
Fraffic Vol. veh/h	378	621				970	26	 11		145	
Future Vol. veh/h	378	621				070	20	11		145	
Conflicting Pods #/hr	570	021				0	20	0		0	
Sign Control	Eroo	Eroo				Eroo	Eroo	Stop		Ston	
DT Channelized	TIEE	Nono				TICC	None	Stop	N	ono	
Storage Longth	050	NULLE					400	-	IN	25	
Joh in Median Storage #	900	-				0	000	0		20	
Crada 0/	-	0				0		0			
JI due, 70 Deale Llaur Factor	05	0				05	05	05		05	
Peak Hour Factor	80	80				00	00	80		80	
Heavy Venicles, %	10	18				1141	10	10		10	
vivmt flow	445	/31				1141	31	13		1/1	
/lajor/Minor	Major1				1	/lajor2		Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	1141	0				-	0	2396		571	
Stage 1	-	-				-	-	1141			
Stage 2	-	-				-	-	1255			
Critical Hdwy	4.3	-				-	-	7		7.1	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-						6			
Critical Hdwy Stg 2						-	-	6			
Follow-up Hdwy	2.3							3.6		3.4	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	564	-						25		444	
Stage 1	-							250			
Stage 2		-						217			
Platoon blocked. %											
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	564							~ 5		444	
Vov Cap-2 Maneuver								37			
Stage 1								250			
Stage 7								46			
Stage 2								40			
Innroach	ED					W/D		CD			
Approach	11.0					0		 27.2			
HCM CONTROL Delay, S	11.8					0		27.2			
HCIMI LUS								D			
	50										
Vinor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBL	WBT	WBR SE	SEn1 S	SBLn2					
Capacity (veh/h)	564	-	-	1.1	37	444					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.788	-	-	-	0.35	0.384					
HCM Control Delay (s)	31.1			- 1	47.6	18.1					
HCM Lane LOS	D	-	-	-	F	С					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	7.5	-	-	-	1.2	1.8					
Votes					_	_	_	 		_	

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	3.7													
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,														
Movement	FBI	FBT	FBR		WBI	WBT	WBR		NBI	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Vol. veh/h	0	0	1		118	0	6		0	141	22	4	230	0
Future Vol. veh/h	0	0	. 1		118	0	6		0	141	22	4	230	0
Conflicting Peds. #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop	F	ree	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	-	None		-	-	None			None
Storage Length			-				-				25	-		25
Veh in Median Storage, #		0	-			0	-			0	-		0	
Grade. %		0	-			0				0			0	
Peak Hour Factor	79	79	79		79	79	79		79	79	79	79	79	79
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	5	5		5	5	5		5	5	5	5	5	5
Mymt Flow	0	0	1		149	0	8		0	178	28	5	291	0
		-				-	-		-					-
Maior/Minor	Minor2			1	Vinor1			Ма	ior1			Maior2		
Conflicting Flow All	483	479	291		480	479	178		291	0	0	178	0	0
Stage 1	301	301	-		178	178	-		-		-			
Stage 2	182	178	-		302	301								
Critical Hdwv	7.15	6.55	6.25		7.15	6.55	6.25	1	4.15	-	-	4.15	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.15	5.55	-		6.15	5.55	-		-			-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.15	5.55	-		6.15	5.55	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.545	4.045	3.345		3.545	4.045	3.345	2.	245	-	-	2.245	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	489	481	741		491	481	857	1	254	-	-	1380	-	
Stage 1	702	660	-		817	746			-	-	-		-	
Stage 2	813	746	-		701	660	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %										-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	483	479	741		489	479	857	1	254	-	-	1380	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	483	479	-		489	479	-				-			
Stage 1	702	657	-		817	746	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	806	746	-		697	657			-	-	-		-	
Approach	EB				WB				NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.9				15.5				0			0.1		
HCM LOS	А				С									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					_	
Capacity (veh/h)	1254		1.1	741	499	1380	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-			0.002	0.315	0.004								
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	-		9.9	15.5	7.6	0	-						
HCM Lane LOS	A	-		А	С	А	А							
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0			0	1.3	0	-	-						

Existing Plus Project PM 2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E 5/17/2016 ۰. ≯ -* \⊾ 4 * \mathbf{r} Lane Group EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT SBL SBT SBR Lane Group Flow (vph) 152 859 293 51 865 139 211 247 189 220 241 v/c Ratio 0.41 0.68 0.36 0.16 0.77 0.21 0.51 0.35 0.50 0.61 0.51 Control Delay 44.4 26.2 3.8 43.6 30.5 4.5 45.6 30.0 46.5 42.5 11.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay Queue Length 50th (ft) 44.4 26.2 3.8 43.6 30.5 4.5 45.6 30.0 46.5 42.5 11.0 41 213 0 13 220 0 58 56 52 115 12 Queue Length 95th (ft) 88 331 50 38 344 38 119 107 109 221 84 Internal Link Dist (ft) 3280 2376 566 648 550 Turn Bay Length (ft) 490 460 390 160 130 Base Capacity (vph) 474 2382 1263 348 2254 1171 434 1816 395 965 906 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.32 0.36 0.23 0.15 0.38 0.12 0.49 0.14 0.48 0.23 0.27 Intersection Summary

Destino Paso

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 2

Destino Paso

1: Airport Road & Dry Creek Road

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

Existing Plus Project PM

5/17/2016

Destino Paso 2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E

Existing Plus Project PM 5/17/2016

	۶	-	\mathbf{i}	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	55	* *	1	ሻሻ	* *	1	ሻሻ	4 1,		ሻሻ	•	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	146	825	281	49	830	133	203	184	53	181	211	231
Future Volume (veh/h)	146	825	281	49	830	133	203	184	53	181	211	231
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1863	1610	1863	1863	1610	1863	1863	1863	1900	1863	1863	1863
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	152	859	293	51	865	139	211	192	55	189	220	241
Adj No. of Lanes	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	1	1
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	18	2	2	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	240	1141	586	168	1160	595	306	621	173	280	410	344
Arrive On Green	0.07	0.37	0.37	0.05	0.38	0.38	0.09	0.23	0.23	0.08	0.22	0.22
Sat Flow, veh/h	3442	3059	1571	3442	3059	1571	3442	2727	759	3442	1863	1562
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	152	859	293	51	865	139	211	123	124	189	220	241
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1721	1530	1571	1721	1530	1571	1721	1770	1717	1721	1863	1562
Q Serve(g_s), s	3.2	18.2	6.9	1.1	18.2	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.0	7.8	10.6
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	3.2	18.2	6.9	1.1	18.2	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.0	7.8	10.6
Prop In Lane	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.44	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	240	1141	586	168	1160	595	306	403	391	280	410	344
V/C Ratio(X)	0.63	0.75	0.50	0.30	0.75	0.23	0.69	0.30	0.32	0.67	0.54	0.70
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	556	2798	1437	370	2634	1352	509	1095	1062	463	1128	945
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	33.7	20.3	7.4	34.1	20.0	15.7	32.9	23.8	23.9	33.2	25.6	26.7
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	2.8	1.0	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.2	2.8	0.4	0.5	2.8	1.1	2.6
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	1.6	7.8	3.8	0.5	7.8	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.0	4.1	4.8
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	36.4	21.4	8.1	35.1	21.0	15.9	35.7	24.2	24.4	36.0	26.7	29.3
LnGrp LOS	D	С	A	D	С	В	D	C	С	D	С	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		1304			1055			458			650	
Approach Delay, s/veh		20.1			21.0			29.5			30.4	
Approach LOS		С			С			С			С	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	10.0	20.9	9.6	33.7	10.6	20.4	9.2	34.2				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	4.0	6.0	* 6	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	10.0	46.0	8.0	* 68	11.0	45.0	12.0	64.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	6.0	6.5	3.1	20.2	6.4	12.6	5.2	20.2				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.2	3.6	2.5	7.5	0.3	3.6	0.2	6.9				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			23.6									
HCM 2010 LOS			С									
Notes												

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 3

Destino Paso
3. Union Road & SR 46 F

Existing Plus Project PM 5/17/2016

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	6.1											
<u>,</u>												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	1000	56	303	1002	1	8	0	218	0	0	1
Future Vol, veh/h	2	1000	56	303	1002	1	8	0	218	0	0	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized		-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	500	-	50	670	-	50	-	-	25	-	-	25
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %		1	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	-8	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	18	2	2	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	2	1087	61	329	1089	1	9	0	237	0	0	1
Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1089	0	0	1087	0	0	2294	2839	543	2296	2839	545
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	-	1091	1091	-	1748	1748	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	-	1203	1748	-	548	1091	-
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.94	6.94	7.14	5.94	4.94	6.14
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-	-	-	-		6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-	-	-	-	-	6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	636	-	-	638	-	-	16	12	470	58	60	545
Stage 1		-	-	-	-		203	256	-	194	301	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	-	171	114	-	623	469	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	636	-	-	638			9	6	470	17	29	545
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	6	-	17	29	-
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	-	202	255	-	193	146	-
Stage 2	-	-			-		83	55	-	308	468	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0			3.8			48.3			11.6		
HCM LOS							E			В		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	NBLn2	EBL	EBT EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR SBLn1	SBLn2				
Capacity (veh/h)	9	470	636		638			545				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.966	0.504	0.003		0.516	-		0.002				
HCM Control Delay (s)	\$ 814.5	20.2	10.7		16.5	-	- 0	11.6				
HCM Lane LOS	F	С	В		С		- A	В				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.8	2.8	0		3	-		0				

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Intersection					_				_	
Int Dolay, s/yoh	63									
ini Deidy, siven	0.5									
Movement	EBL	EBT				WBT	WBR	SE	L	SBR
Traffic Vol, veh/h	199	1019				936	20	2	4	370
Future Vol, veh/h	199	1019				936	20	2	4	370
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0				0	0		0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free				Free	Free	Sto	р	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None				-	None		-	None
Storage Length	950	-				-	600		0	25
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0				0	-		0	
Grade, %	-	0				0	-		0	-
Peak Hour Factor	93	93				93	93	9	3	93
Heavy Vehicles, %	7	18				18	7		7	7
Mvmt Flow	214	1096				1006	22	2	6	398
Maior/Minor	Major1					Vaior2		Minor	2	
Conflicting Flow All	1006	0					0	198	2	503
Stage 1		-					-	100	6	
Stage 2								97	6	
Critical Hdwy	4,24							6.9	4	7.04
Critical Hdwy Sto 1	1.21							5.9	4	7.01
Critical Hdwy Stg 2								5.9	4	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.27							3.5	7	3.37
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	655							5	0	501
Stage 1								30	3	-
Stage 2								31	4	
Platoon blocked. %								0.		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	655							3	4	501
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-							12	8	-
Stage 1								30	13	
Stage 2								21	1	
								2.		
Annroach	FR					WR		\$	R	
HCM Control Delay	21					0		24	8	
HOM LOS	Z. I					0		34.	D	
LU3									J	
Minor Long/Major Harry	CD1	EDT	WDT	WDDC	Din1	CDI 22				
	EBL	FRI	WBI	WRK 2	IDLNI	SBLD2				
Capacity (ven/n)	655			-	128	501				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.327			-	0.202	0.794				
HCM Control Delay (s)	13.1			-	40.1	34.5				
HUM Lane LOS	B	-	-	-	E	D				

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) 1.4 - - 0.7 7.4

Synchro 9 Report Page 6

Existing Plus Project PM 5/17/2016 Destino Paso 1: Airport Road & Dry Creek Road

Existing+Project Saturday MD 6/21/2016

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	5.4											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4				1			1
Traffic Vol, veh/h	12	120	1	47	0	9	0	127	17	12	122	1
Future Vol, veh/h	12	120	1	47	0	9	0	127	17	12	122	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	25	-		25
Veh in Median Storage, #	÷ -	0	-		0	-	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %		0	-		0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89
Heavy Vehicles, %	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Mvmt Flow	13	135	1	53	0	10	0	143	19	13	137	1
Maior/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	312	307	137	375	307	1/13	137	0	0	1/13	0	0
Stago 1	164	164	137	1/3	1/2	145	157	0	0	145	0	0
Stage 7	1/10	1/2	-	222	164	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	7 1/	6.54	6.24	7 1/	6.54	6.24	111			111		
Critical Hdwy Sta 1	6.14	5.54	0.21	6.14	5.54	0.21						
Critical Hdwy Stg 7	6.14	5.54	-	6.14	5.54	-						
Follow-up Hdwy	3 536	4 036	3 3 3 6	3 536	4 036	3 3 3 6	2 236			2 236		
Pot Can-1 Maneuver	637	604	906	579	604	899	1435			1427		
Stano 1	833	750	700	855	775	077	1400			1427		
Stage 2	850	775		766	759	-	-	-				
Platoon blocked %	000	115		700	,,,,							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	625	598	906	474	598	899	1435			1427		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	625	598	,00	474	598		1400			1127		
Stage 1	833	751		855	775							
Stage 2	840	775		621	751							
Sidge 2	010	115		021	701							
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	12.9			13			0			0.7		
HCM LOS	В			В								
Minor Lane/Major Mymt	NBI	NBT	NBR	FBI n1WBI n1	SBI	SBT	SBR					
Canacity (yeb/b)	1/25		DIX I	602 512	1/27	001						
HCM Lane V/C Patio	1400			0.2/18 0.122	0.000							
HCM Control Delay (c)	- 0			120 12	0.009	0						
HCM Lane LOS	Δ			- 12.7 IJ	γ.5	Δ						
HOW LUNC LOG	л			U U		~						

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

Destino Paso

4: SR 46 E & Airport Road

Existing+Project Saturday MD
6/21/2016

Destino Paso 2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	4	-	۰.	•	1	1	Ļ	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	182	867	150	47	1400	230	134	252	139	214	298	
v/c Ratio	0.58	0.55	0.20	0.08	0.83	0.27	0.48	0.41	0.53	0.68	0.73	
Control Delay	59.4	29.2	5.0	38.8	30.4	3.5	58.4	40.5	60.6	56.2	29.5	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	59.4	29.2	5.0	38.8	30.4	3.5	58.4	40.5	60.6	56.2	29.5	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	69	313	0	13	446	3	50	83	53	156	87	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	116	391	45	34	624	47	90	125	94	242	191	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		3280			2376			566		648		
Turn Bay Length (ft)	550		490	460		390	160		130			
Base Capacity (vph)	337	2116	979	564	1926	937	306	1419	275	748	727	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.54	0.41	0.15	0.08	0.73	0.25	0.44	0.18	0.51	0.29	0.41	

Intersection Summary

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 2 Destino Paso 2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E Existing+Project Saturday MD 6/21/2016

	≯	-	\mathbf{i}	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	* *	1	ሻሻ	**	1	ሻሻ	≜t ₀		ሻሻ	•	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	175	832	144	45	1344	221	129	199	43	133	205	286
Future Volume (veh/h)	175	832	144	45	1344	221	129	199	43	133	205	286
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1900	1827	1827	1827
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	182	867	150	47	1400	230	134	207	45	139	214	298
Adj No. of Lanes	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	1	1
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Percent Heavy Veh, %	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Cap, veh/h	244	1073	475	722	1628	724	194	667	142	198	431	362
Arrive On Green	0.07	0.31	0.31	0.21	0.47	0.47	0.06	0.23	0.23	0.06	0.24	0.24
Sat Flow, veh/h	3375	3471	1538	3375	3471	1543	3375	2842	605	3375	1827	1533
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	182	867	150	47	1400	230	134	125	127	139	214	298
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1688	1736	1538	1688	1736	1543	1688	1736	1711	1688	1827	1533
Q Serve(g_s), s	5.8	25.1	6.4	1.2	39.1	10.1	4.2	6.5	6.7	4.4	11.0	20.1
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	5.8	25.1	6.4	1.2	39.1	10.1	4.2	6.5	6.7	4.4	11.0	20.1
Prop In Lane	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.35	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	244	1073	475	722	1628	724	194	407	402	198	431	362
V/C Ratio(X)	0.75	0.81	0.32	0.07	0.86	0.32	0.69	0.31	0.32	0.70	0.50	0.82
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	341	2134	946	722	1943	864	310	733	722	279	754	633
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	49.6	34.7	17.7	34.1	25.7	18.1	50.4	34.4	34.5	50.3	36.0	39.5
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	5.5	1.5	0.4	0.0	3.6	0.3	4.4	0.4	0.4	4.5	0.9	4.7
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	2.9	12.3	2.8	0.6	19.5	4.3	2.1	3.1	3.2	2.2	5.7	8.9
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	55.1	36.2	18.1	34.2	29.3	18.3	54.8	34.8	34.9	54.8	36.9	44.2
LnGrp LOS	E	D	В	C	С	В	D	С	С	D	D	D
Approach Vol, veh/h		1199			1677			386			651	
Approach Delay, s/veh		36.8			28.0			41.8			44.1	
Approach LOS		D			С			D			D	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	10.4	29.6	29.3	39.7	10.3	29.7	11.9	57.1				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	4.0	6.0	* 6	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	9.0	46.0	5.0	* 67	10.0	45.0	11.0	61.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	6.4	8.7	3.2	27.1	6.2	22.1	7.8	41.1				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.1	3.9	1.4	6.6	0.1	3.6	0.2	10.0				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			34.7									
HCM 2010 LOS			С									
Notes												

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso	
3: Union Road & SR 46 E	

Existing+Project Saturday MD 6/21/2016

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh 1	0.2											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	3	^	1	3	^	1		4	1		4	1
Traffic Vol. veh/h	9	959	40	174	1596	0	14	6	200	1	0	1
Future Vol. veh/h	9	959	40	174	1596	0	14	6	200	1	0	1
Conflicting Peds. #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sian Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized		-	None			None		-	None		-	None
Storage Length	500		50	670		50			25			25
Veh in Median Storage #		0	-	0.0	0	-		0	20		0	20
Grade %		1			1			2			-8	
Doak Hour Factor	06	06	06	06	06	06	06	06	06	06	-0	06
Honw Vobiclos %	70	70	70	70 E	70	70	70 E	70	70	70 E	90 E	90 5
Mumt Flow	0	000	10	101	1(/)	0	15	5	200	1	0	1
WVIIIL FIOW	9	999	42	101	1003	0	10	0	208	1	0	1
Maior/Minor	Maior1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1663	0	0	000	0	0	2212	30/13	/100	2546	30/13	831
Stago 1	1005	0	0	777	0	0	1019	1010	477	2025	2025	031
Stage 1							1010	2025		2023	1010	-
Stage 2 Critical Liduar	- 10			- 4.2			1194	2025	- 7 0	521	1018	()
Critical Huwy	4.Z	-	-	4.2	-	-	8		1.Z	0	5	0.2
Critical Howy Sig T		-	-				/	6		5	4	
Critical Howy Stg 2	-	-		-		-	/	6	-	5	4	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.25		-	2.25		-	3.55	4.05	3.35	3.55	4.05	3.35
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	370	-	-	671	-	-	18	8	495	40	46	369
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	222	274	-	141	238	-
Stage 2		-		-			169	77		629	482	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	370	-	-	671	-	-	~ 14	~ 6	495	-	33	369
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-	-	-	-	-	~ 14	~ 6	-	-	33	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	217	267	-	138	174	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-		123	56		347	470	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.1			1.2			128.5					
HCM LOS							F			-		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	NBLn2	EBL	EBT EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR SBLn1	SBLn2				
Capacity (veh/h)	10	495	370		671	-		369				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	2.083	0.421	0.025		0.27			0.003				
HCM Control Delay (s)	\$ 1238.8	17.5	15		12.3	-		14.8				
HCM Lane LOS	F	C.	B		B			B				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	3.6	2.1	0.1		1.1			0				
Notes												
~: Volume exceeds capac	tv \$: De	elav exc	ceeds 30)0s +: Com	putatio	n Not De	efined *: Al	maior	volume	in platoon		
	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,											

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso 4: SR 46 E & Airport Road Existing+Project Saturday MD 6/21/2016

Intersection										
Int Delay, s/veh 4	3.4									
Movement	FBI	FRT			WBT	WBR	SI	RI	SBR	
Lane Configurations	100				**	1	51	X	7	
Traffic Vol. veh/h	365	795			1489	55		18	281	
Future Vol. veh/h	365	705			1/180	55		18	201	
Conflicting Peds #/hr	0	0			0	0		0	201	
Sign Control	Free	Free			Free	Free	Ste	าก	Stop	
RT Channelized	1100	None			-	None	50	- -	None	
Storage Length	950	-				600		0	25	
Veh in Median Storage #	-	0			0	-		0	-	
Grade %		0			0			0		
Peak Hour Factor	94	94			94	94	(94	94	
Heavy Vehicles. %	6	6			6	6		6	6	
Mymt Flow	388	846			1584	59		19	299	
	000	010			1001	0,			277	
Major/Minor	Molor1				Anior?		۸ <i>۸</i> :	-2		
Conflicting Flow All	1012001	0			vidj012	0	IVIIIO	12	702	
Store 1	1064	U				U	2/0	53 54	192	
Stage 1					-	-	100	54	-	
Critical Udway	4.22						4 (77 12	7 02	
Critical Hdwy Sta 1	4.22				-	-	0.º	7Z 12	7.02	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1							0.* E (7Z 12		
Follow up Udwy	2.26	-			-	-	21	92 56	2.26	
Pot Cap 1 Manouvor	2.20						J.,	14	224	
Stago 1	373						- 1	19	324	
Stage 2							2	10		
Platoon blocked %							2.	10		
Mov Can-1 Maneuver	303							0	324	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	575						~	3	- 524	
Stage 1							14	48		
Stage 2							~	3		
Stuge 2								5		
	50									
Approach	EB				WB			5B		
HCM Control Delay, s	23.7				0		\$ 343	.6		
HCM LOS								F		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT WE	R SBLn1	SBLn2					
Capacity (veh/h)	393		-	- 3	324					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.988			- 6.383	0.923					
HCM Control Delay (s)	75.3	-	-	\$4632.9	68.8					
HCM Lane LOS	F			- F	F					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	11.8	-	-	- 3.9	9.1					
Notos										
i Volumo ovcoode concei	ity ¢. De		oodc 200c	L. Com	nutation	Not D	ofined *	All	alor volume in	nlatoon
 volume exceeds capaci 	ity \$: De	eay exc	eeus 300s	+: Com	putation	I NUL DE	enneu :	AILIT	ajor volume in	platoon

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

1: Airport Road &	Dry Cre	ek R	oad										5/2	4/2016
Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh 0).9													
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR		WBL	WBT	WBR		NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	1		20	0	7		1	229	93	9	103	1
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	1		20	0	7		1	229	93	9	103	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized			None		-		None		-		None	-		None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	25	-	-	25
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80		80	80	80		80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	6	6	6		6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6
Mvmt Flow	0	0	1		25	0	9		1	286	116	11	129	1
Maior/Minor	Minor2			1	Minor1			Ν	/laior1			Maior2		
Conflicting Flow All	444	440	129		441	440	286		129	0	0	286	0	0
Stage 1	151	151	-		289	289					-			
Stage 2	293	289			152	151					-	-		
Critical Hdwy	7.16	6.56	6.26		7.16	6.56	6.26		4.16	-	-	4.16		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.16	5.56			6.16	5.56			-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.16	5.56			6.16	5.56	-		-	-		-		
Follow-up Hdwy	3.554	4.054	3.354		3.554	4.054	3.354		2.254	-	-	2.254	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	517	505	910		520	505	744		1432	-	-	1253	-	-
Stage 1	842	765	-		710	666	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	707	666	-		841	765	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %										-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	507	500	910		515	500	744		1432	-	-	1253	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	507	500	-		515	500	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	841	758	-		709	665	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	698	665	-		832	758	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	FB				WB				NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay s	9				11.8				0			0.6		
HCM LOS	A				B				Ū			0.0		
					5									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR						
Capacity (veh/h)	1432			910	560	1253								
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.001			0.001	0.06	0.009								
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.5	0		9	11.8	7.9	0							
HCM Lane LOS	A	A		A	В	A	A							
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0			0	0.2	0	-							
. ,														

2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E 5/24/2016 ≯ -₹ * 4 * \mathbf{i} Lane Group EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT SBL SBT SBR Lane Group Flow (vph) 268 846 448 65 925 227 338 421 148 160 177 v/c Ratio 0.67 0.68 0.50 0.16 0.78 0.31 0.64 0.54 0.56 0.59 0.46 Control Delay 49.6 27.4 4.0 41.6 31.5 4.3 43.4 32.7 52.4 47.4 8.7 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 49.6 27.4 4.0 41.6 31.5 4.3 43.4 32.7 52.4 47.4 8.7 Queue Length 50th (ft) 79 235 0 17 246 0 98 112 44 90 0 Queue Length 95th (ft) 118 251 32 39 320 33 134 138 73 141 33 Internal Link Dist (ft) 3280 2376 566 648 550 Turn Bay Length (ft) 490 460 390 160 130 Base Capacity (vph) 412 1473 976 400 1235 758 674 1780 262 732 724 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.57 0.46 0.16 0.75 0.30 0.50 0.24 0.56 0.22 0.24 0.65 Intersection Summary

Near Term AM

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso

Near Term AM

Destino Paso

Destino Paso
2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E

Near Term AM 5/24/2016

	∕	→	\rightarrow	-	-	*	1	1	1	1	÷.	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	33	# #	1	55	# #	1	55	41		55	•	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	217	685	363	53	749	184	274	293	48	120	130	143
Future Volume (veh/h)	217	685	363	53	749	184	274	293	48	120	130	143
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.98
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1863	1610	1863	1863	1610	1863	1863	1863	1900	1863	1863	1863
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	268	846	448	65	925	227	338	362	59	148	160	177
Adj No. of Lanes	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	1	1
Peak Hour Factor	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	18	2	2	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	353	1087	558	292	1107	568	440	776	125	222	356	298
Arrive On Green	0.10	0.36	0.36	0.08	0.36	0.36	0.13	0.25	0.25	0.06	0.19	0.19
Sat Flow, veh/h	3442	3059	1570	3442	3059	1570	3442	3047	492	3442	1863	1559
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	268	846	448	65	925	227	338	209	212	148	160	177
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1721	1530	1570	1721	1530	1570	1721	1770	1769	1721	1863	1559
Q Serve(g_s), s	6.3	20.5	13.2	1.5	23.0	9.0	7.9	8.3	8.4	3.5	6.3	8.6
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	6.3	20.5	13.2	1.5	23.0	9.0	7.9	8.3	8.4	3.5	6.3	8.6
Prop In Lane	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.28	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	353	1087	558	292	1107	568	440	451	450	222	356	298
V/C Ratio(X)	0.76	0.78	0.80	0.22	0.84	0.40	0.77	0.46	0.47	0.67	0.45	0.59
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	455	1620	831	292	1362	699	745	1001	1000	290	807	675
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	36.3	23.9	9.1	35.5	24.3	19.8	35.0	26.2	26.2	38.0	29.7	30.7
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	5.5	1.4	3.5	0.4	3.9	0.5	2.8	0.7	0.8	3.7	0.9	1.9
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	3.2	8.8	7.0	0.7	10.2	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.2	1.8	3.3	3.9
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	41.8	25.3	12.7	35.8	28.2	20.2	37.9	26.9	27.0	41.7	30.6	32.5
LnGrp LOS	D	С	В	D	С	С	D	С	С	D	С	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		1562			1217			759			485	
Approach Delay, s/veh		24.5			27.1			31.8			34.7	
Approach LOS		С			С			С			С	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	9.4	25.2	13.1	35.5	14.6	19.9	12.5	36.1				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	4.0	6.0	* 6	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	7.0	47.0	4.0	* 44	18.0	36.0	11.0	37.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	5.5	10.4	3.5	22.5	9.9	10.6	8.3	25.0				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.1	4.1	0.4	7.0	0.7	3.9	0.2	5.1				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			27.9									
HCM 2010 LOS			С									
Notes												

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 3

Destino Paso	
3: Union Road & SR 46 E	

Near Term AM 5/24/2016

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	4.6											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBI	. WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Vol. veh/h	1	789	63	22	978	13	8	2	241	0	0	0
Future Vol. veh/h	1	789	63	22	978	13	8	2	241	0	0	0
Conflicting Peds. #/hr	0	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None			None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	500	-	50	670) -	50			25			25
Veh in Median Storage, #		0	-		0	-	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %		1	-		1			2	-		-8	
Peak Hour Factor	87	87	87	8	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	18	2		. 18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	1	907	72	26	1124	15	9	2	277	0	0	0
Maior/Minor	Maior1			Maior			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1124	0	0	90	0	0	1993	2555	453	2103	2555	562
Stage 1			-			-	909	909	-	1646	1646	
Stage 2							1084	1646	-	457	909	
Critical Hdwy	4.14		-	4.14	-	-	7.94	6.94	7.14	5.94	4.94	6.14
Critical Hdwy Stg 1							6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			-			-	6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22		-	2.22	-		3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	617	-	-	740		-	29	20	540	75	81	533
Stage 1			-				268	318	-	215	323	
Stage 2		-	-				205	129	-	677	527	
Platoon blocked, %			-									
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	617	-	-	740		-	21	13	540	23	53	533
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver			-				21	13	-	23	53	
Stage 1		-	-			-	268	317	-	215	210	
Stage 2		-					133	84	-	327	526	
Approach	EB			WE			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0			2.3			31.7			0		
HCMLOS	-						D			Ā		
							-					
Minor Lane/Maior Mymt	NBI n1	NBI n2	FBI	EBT EBF	WBI	WBT	WBR SBI n1	SBI n2				
Capacity (veh/h)	10	540	617	-	746							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.605	0.513	0.002	-	0.35							
HCM Control Delay (s)	\$ 349 5	18.5	10.8	-	12.4		- 0	0				
HCM Lane LOS	¢ 0 1 7.0	0.0	- 0.0 R		R		- Δ	Δ				
HCM 95th %tile O(veh)	17	29	0	-	16			-				
		2.7	5									

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Int Delay, s/veh 7	.8										
Movement	FBI	FBT			WBT	WBR	SI	BI	SBR		
Traffic Vol. veh/h	359	671			1077	10		5	141		
Future Vol. veh/h	350	671			1077	10		5	1/1		
Conflicting Peds #/hr	0	0/1			0	0		0	0		
Sign Control	Free	Free			Froo	Free	St	on	Stop		
Digit Control DT Channolizod	TICC	Nono			TICC	Nono	50	op	Nono		
Storage Length	950	NULLE				600		0	25		
Joh in Modian Storago #	750	0			0	000		0	25		
Crado %	-	0			0	-		0	-		
Doak Hour Eactor	95	95			95	95		95	95		
Honyy Vohiclos 9/	10	10			10	10		10	10		
Mumt Flow	10	700			1247	10		4	144		
VIVITIL FIOW	422	/89			1207	12		0	100		
Major/Minor	Major1			٨	/lajor2		Mino	r2			
Conflicting Flow All	1267	0			-	0	25	06	634		
Stage 1	-	-			-	-	12	67	-		
Stage 2						-	12	39			
Critical Hdwy	4.3	-			-			7	7.1		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1						-		6			
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-						6	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	2.3						3	3.6	3.4		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	503						-	21	403		
Stage 1	-						2	13	-		
Stage 2							2	21			
Platoon blocked %							-				
Mov Can-1 Maneuver	503						~	. 3	403		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-							29	-		
Stage 1							2	13			
Stage 2		-				-		36			
Approach	EB				WB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	13.7				0		24	1.7			
HCM LOS								С			
Minor Lane/Major Mymt	FRI	FBT	WRT WR	R SRI n1 S	SRI n2						
Capacity (vob/b)	502	LDI		20	102	_				_	
JCM Lano V/C Patie	0.94	-		0.202	0 /12						
HCM Control Delay (c)	20.4			- 0.203	0.412						
ICM Lano LOS	37.4 E			- 130.3 E	20						
HCM 95th %tile O(veh)	8.5		-	- F	2						
	0.5			- 0.0	2						
Volumo ovecode canacit	v ¢.Do		ode 200e	Com	outatio	Not D	ofined *	All mai	or volumo in plato	on	
<: volume exceeds capacil	y \$:De	elay exce	eus 3005	+: Com	JULALIOI	I NOL DE	enneu :	All maj	or volume in plate	001	
Central Coast Transportation	on Consult	ing								Synch	ro 9 Rep
											Page

Near Term AM 5/24/2016

Destino Paso	Near Term PM
1: Airport Road & Dry Creek Road	5/24/2016

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	3.9												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR		WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	1		122	0	6	0	146	25	4	240	(
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	1		122	0	6	0	146	25	4	240	(
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None	-		None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	25
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	-	0	-			0	-	-	0		-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	79	79	79		79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	5	5		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow	0	0	1		154	0	8	0	185	32	5	304	C
Major/Minor	Minor2				Minor1			Maior1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	503	/00	30/		500	/100	185	304	0	0	185	0	0
Stago 1	214	21/	304		195	105	105	304	0	0	105	0	0
Stage 7	190	105			215	214							
Critical Hdwy	7 15	6 55	6.25		7 15	6 55	6.25	4 15			4 15		
Critical Hdwy Sta 1	6 15	5 55	0.23		6.15	5 55	0.23	4.13	-		4.13		
Critical Hdwy Stg 7	6 15	5.55			6.15	5 55							
Follow-up Hdwy	3 545	4 045	3 345		3 545	4 045	3 345	2 245			2 245		
Pot Can-1 Maneuver	174	1.010	720		176	1.010	850	12/0			1372		
Stano 1	600	651	121		810	7/1	030	1240			1372		
Stage 2	806	741			690	651							
Platoon blocked %	000	741			070	001							
Mov Can-1 Maneuver	168	467	720		171	467	850	12/0			1372		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	468	467	127		474	467	- 030	1240			1372		
Stage 1	690	648			810	741							
Stage 2	799	741			686	648							
Sidge 2	,,,,	711			000	010							
Approach	EB				WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.9				16.1			0			0.1		
HCM LOS	A				С								
Minor Lane/Maior Mymt	NBI	NBT	NBR	FBI n1\	WBI n1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1240			729	484	1372							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	1240			0.002	0 335	0.004							
HCM Control Delay (s)	0			9.9	16.1	7.6	0						
HCM Lane LOS	A			A	C	A	A						
HCM 95th %tile O(veh)	0			0	15	0	-						
ion four four calle called	0			0	1.0	0							

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

Agenda Item No. 1 Page 936 of 979

Destino Paso

4: SR 46 E & Airport Road
Destino Paso 2: Golden Hill Rd &	SR 46	E								Nea	ar Tern 5/2	n PM 24/2016
	≯	-	\mathbf{F}	1	+	*	•	1	1	Ļ	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	199	870	365	72	878	169	264	312	247	307	323	
v/c Ratio	0.57	0.72	0.44	0.25	0.82	0.26	0.59	0.36	0.61	0.71	0.60	
Control Delay	54.0	32.4	4.3	51.6	38.2	5.1	50.2	30.0	52.7	46.6	16.7	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	54.0	32.4	4.3	51.6	38.2	5.1	50.2	30.0	52.7	46.6	16.7	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	66	272	0	23	273	0	87	82	81	191	54	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	120	375	59	55	415	47	147	127	143	306	152	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		3280			2376			566		648		
Turn Bay Length (ft)	550		490	460		390	160		130			
Base Capacity (vph)	384	1527	953	291	1340	771	559	1610	454	816	805	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.52	0.57	0.38	0.25	0.66	0.22	0.47	0.19	0.54	0.38	0.40	
Interception Summany												

Inters

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 2 Destino Paso 2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E Near Term PM 5/24/2016

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	×	1	1	1	1	Ļ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	44	1	ሻሻ	* *	1	ሻሻ	≜t ₀		ሻሻ	4	7
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	191	835	350	69	843	162	253	230	69	237	295	310
Future Volume (veh/h)	191	835	350	69	843	162	253	230	69	237	295	310
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1863	1610	1863	1863	1610	1863	1863	1863	1900	1863	1863	1863
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	199	870	365	72	878	169	264	240	72	247	307	323
Adj No. of Lanes	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	1	1
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	18	2	2	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	277	1092	560	175	1069	549	352	736	215	330	497	417
Arrive On Green	0.08	0.36	0.36	0.05	0.35	0.35	0.10	0.27	0.27	0.10	0.27	0.27
Sat Flow, veh/h	3442	3059	1570	3442	3059	1570	3442	2694	789	3442	1863	1566
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	199	870	365	72	878	169	264	156	156	247	307	323
Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln	1721	1530	1570	1721	1530	1570	1721	1770	1713	1721	1863	1566
Q Serve(q_s), s	5.1	22.9	11.6	1.8	23.5	7.0	6.7	6.3	6.5	6.3	13.0	17.1
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	5.1	22.9	11.6	1.8	23.5	7.0	6.7	6.3	6.5	6.3	13.0	17.1
Prop In Lane	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.46	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	277	1092	560	175	1069	549	352	483	468	330	497	417
V/C Ratio(X)	0.72	0.80	0.65	0.41	0.82	0.31	0.75	0.32	0.33	0.75	0.62	0.77
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	423	1674	859	192	1469	754	615	909	880	500	894	752
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	40.2	25.9	10.7	41.2	26.6	21.2	39.1	25.9	26.0	39.4	28.8	30.4
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	3.5	1.6	1.3	1.5	2.8	0.3	3.2	0.4	0.4	3.4	1.3	3.1
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	2.5	9.9	6.0	0.9	10.3	3.1	3.3	3.1	3.1	3.1	6.8	7.7
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	43.7	27.4	12.0	42.8	29.3	21.5	42.3	26.3	26.5	42.9	30.1	33.5
LnGrp LOS	D	С	В	D	С	С	D	С	С	D	С	С
Approach Vol, veh/h		1434			1119			576			877	
Approach Delay, s/veh		25.8			29.0			33.7			34.9	
Approach LOS		С			С			С			С	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc) s	12.6	28.5	10.6	38.0	13.2	27.9	. 11.2	37.3				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	4.0	6.0	* 6	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	13.0	46.0	5.0	* 49	16.0	43.0	11.0	43.0				
Max O Clear Time (q_c+11) s	8.3	8.5	3.8	24.9	87	19.1	71	25.5				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.3	5.1	0.7	7.1	0.5	4.8	0.2	5.9				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			29.8									
HCM 2010 LOS			С									
Notes												
												_

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/yeh	7.7											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Vol. veh/h	2	1077	62	300	1064	19	9	0	219	0	0	1
Future Vol. veh/h	2	1077	62	300	1064	19	9	0	219	0	0	1
Conflicting Peds. #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sian Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	None	-	-	None	-		None
Storage Length	500		50	670	-	50	-		25			25
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-		0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %		1	-		1	-	-	2	-		-8	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	18	2	2	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	2	1171	67	326	1157	21	10	0	238	0	0	1
Maior/Minor	Maior1			Maior2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1157	0	0	1171	0	0	2405	2984	585	2399	2984	578
Stage 1		-	-		-	-	1175	1175	-	1809	1809	
Stage 2						-	1230	1809	-	590	1175	
Critical Hdwy	4.14		-	4.14	-	-	7.94	6.94	7.14	5.94	4.94	6.14
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-				-	-	6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			-		-	-	6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22		-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	600	-	-	592	-	-	13	10	440	51	52	522
Stage 1		-	-		-	-	179	231	-	182	288	-
Stage 2	-	-	-		-	-	164	105	-	599	444	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	600	-	-	592	-	-	~ 7	4	440	13	23	522
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-		-	-	~ 7	4	-	13	23	-
Stage 1	-	-	-		-	-	178	230	-	181	129	-
Stage 2	-	-	-		-	-	74	47	-	274	443	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0			4			68.3			11.9		
HCM LOS							F			В		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	NBLn2	EBL	EBT EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR SBLn1	SBLn2				
Capacity (veh/h)	7	440	600		592	-		522				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	1.398	0.541	0.004		0.551	-		0.002				
HCM Control Delay (s)	\$ 1184.4	22.4	11		18.3	-	- 0	11.9				
HCM Lane LOS	F	С	В		С		- A	В				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	2.1	3.1	0		3.3	-		0				
Notes												
~: Volume exceeds capac	city \$: De	elav exc	ceeds 30)0s +: Cor	nputatio	n Not D	efined *· Al	maior	volume	in platoon	_	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								inajor		- Flatoon		

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Near Term PM

5/24/2016

Destino Paso	
4: SR 46 E & Airport Road	

Near	Term PM
	5/24/2016

Intersection										_
Int Dolay, s/yob	6.2									
ini Deiay, s/ven	0.2									
Movement	EBL	EBT				WBT	WBR	SBL	. SBR	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	179	1117				1029	7	6	354	
Future Vol, veh/h	179	1117				1029	7	6	354	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0				0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free				Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None				-	None		None	
Storage Length	950	-				-	600	0	25	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0				0	-	0	- 1	
Grade, %	-	0				0	-	0	- 1	
Peak Hour Factor	93	93				93	93	93	93	
Heavy Vehicles, %	7	18				18	7	7	7	
Nvmt Flow	192	1201				1106	8	6	381	
Major/Minor	Major1					Maior2		Minor		_
Conflicting Flow All	1104	0				wajui 2	0	10111012	EEO	
Connicting Flow All	1100	U					0	2091	003	
Stage 1	-					-	-	1100	-	
Stage Z	4.24						-	983	7.04	
Critical Huwy Critical Lidum Sta 1	4.24					-	-	0.94	7.04	
Critical Howy Sty 1							-	5.94	-	
Cillical Huwy Sty Z	- 2 27							0.94	- 207	
Pot Con 1 Manautrar	2.27	-					-	3.37	3.37	
Stage 1	244							42	404	
Stage 2								208	-	
Diatoon blockod %								311	-	
Mov Cap 1 Manouver	500							20	1 444	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	099							101	404	
stano 1								121	-	
Stage 2								200	-	
Sidye z								211	-	
Approach	ÉB					WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	1.9					0		39.3		
HCM LOS								E		
Minor Lane/Major Mymt	FBI	FBT	WBT	WBR	SBI n1	SBI n2				
Canacity (veh/h)	500	CDI	1101	.TDIX.	121	164				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0 3 2 1				0.052	404				
HCM Control Delay (s)	13.8				36.4	30 /				
HCM Lane LOS	13.0 B				55.4 F	57.4 F				
HCM 95th %tile O(veh)	1.4		-	-	0.2	7.8				
ICINI JULIE Q(VEII)	1.4				0.2	7.0				

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 6

Destino Paso

3: Union Road & SR 46 E

Destino Paso	Near Term Saturday MD
1: Airport Road & Dry Creek Road	6/22/2016

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	5.4												
Movement		EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			4			4			4	1		- 4	1
Traffic Vol, veh/h		12	120	1	53	0	9	0	133	19	12	139	1
Future Vol, veh/h		12	120	1	53	0	9	0	133	19	12	139	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized		-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-		None
Storage Length		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		25	-	-	25
Veh in Median Storage, #		-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %		-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor		89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89
Heavy Vehicles, %		4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Mvmt Flow		13	135	1	60	0	10	0	149	21	13	156	1

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	337	332	156	400	332	149	156	0	0	149	0	0
Stage 1	183	183	-	149	149	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	154	149	-	251	183	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.14	6.54	6.24	7.14	6.54	6.24	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.14	5.54	-	6.14	5.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.14	5.54	-	6.14	5.54	-	-	-	-	-		-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.536	4.036	3.336	3.536	4.036	3.336	2.236	-	-	2.236	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	613	584	884	557	584	892	1412	-	-	1420	-	-
Stage 1	814	744	-	849	770	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	844	770	-	749	744	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	601	578	884	453	578	892	1412	-	-	1420	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	601	578	-	453	578	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	814	737	-	849	770	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	834	770	-	605	737	-	-	-	-	-		-

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	13.3	13.6	0	0.6
HCM LOS	В	В		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1\	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	1412		-	582	488	1420	-		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	-	0.257	0.143	0.009	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	-	-	13.3	13.6	7.6	0	-	
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	В	В	A	А	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0			1	0.5	0	-	-	

			~	~	-				Υ.	1	1	
	-	-	•	*		~				+	*	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	224	863	232	74	1416	257	189	315	171	266	344	
v/c Ratio	0.67	0.64	0.32	0.11	0.86	0.31	0.62	0.46	0.62	0.76	0.75	
Control Delay	67.1	37.9	4.9	43.0	37.6	5.0	66.5	43.4	69.1	63.4	30.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	67.1	37.9	4.9	43.0	37.6	5.0	66.5	43.4	69.1	63.4	30.2	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	94	352	0	24	555	11	80	115	73	215	113	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	148	422	56	54	#804	67	129	161	120	313	227	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		3280			2376			566		648		
Turn Bay Length (ft)	550		490	460		390	160		130			
Base Capacity (vph)	372	1882	925	687	1673	847	345	1231	292	635	661	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.60	0.46	0.25	0.11	0.85	0.30	0.55	0.26	0.59	0.42	0.52	

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso 2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E

Near Term Saturday MD 6/22/2016

	۶	-	\mathbf{r}	1	+	•	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	- 11	7	ሻሻ	- 11	1	ሻሻ	A1⊅		ሻሻ	•	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	215	828	223	71	1359	247	181	240	62	164	255	330
Future Volume (veh/h)	215	828	223	71	1359	247	181	240	62	164	255	330
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1900	1827	1827	1827
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	224	862	232	74	1416	257	189	250	65	171	266	344
Adj No. of Lanes	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	1	1
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Percent Heavy Veh, %	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Cap, veh/h	278	1041	461	719	1548	688	243	727	185	223	475	399
Arrive On Green	0.08	0.30	0.30	0.21	0.45	0.45	0.07	0.27	0.27	0.07	0.26	0.26
Sat Flow, veh/h	3375	3471	1537	3375	3471	1542	3375	2734	696	3375	1827	1535
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	224	862	232	74	1416	257	189	157	158	171	266	344
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1688	1736	1537	1688	1736	1542	1688	1736	1695	1688	1827	1535
Q Serve(g_s), s	8.4	29.8	12.6	2.3	49.2	14.3	7.1	9.4	9.8	6.4	16.3	27.6
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	8.4	29.8	12.6	2.3	49.2	14.3	7.1	9.4	9.8	6.4	16.3	27.6
Prop In Lane	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.41	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	278	1041	461	719	1548	688	243	461	450	223	475	399
V/C Ratio(X)	0.80	0.83	0.50	0.10	0.91	0.37	0.78	0.34	0.35	0.77	0.56	0.86
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	367	1831	811	719	1642	730	340	619	605	288	623	524
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	58.1	42.0	23.0	40.8	33.4	23.7	58.8	38.2	38.3	59.2	41.3	45.5
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	9.4	1.8	0.9	0.1	8.1	0.3	7.3	0.4	0.5	8.8	1.0	11.1
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	4.3	14.5	5.5	1.1	25.3	6.2	3.6	4.6	4.6	3.3	8.3	12.9
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	67.5	43.8	23.9	40.9	41.5	24.1	66.1	38.6	38.8	68.1	42.4	56.6
LnGrp LOS	E	D	С	D	D	С	E	D	D	E	D	E
Approach Vol, veh/h		1318			1747			504			781	
Approach Delay, s/veh		44.3			38.9			49.0			54.3	
Approach LOS		D			D			D			D	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	12.5	38.3	33.5	44.7	13.3	37.5	14.6	63.5				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	4.0	6.0	* 6	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	11.0	46.0	7.0	* 68	13.0	44.0	14.0	61.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g c+l1), s	8.4	11.8	4.3	31.8	9.1	29.6	10.4	51.2				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.1	4.9	2.2	6.9	0.2	3.9	0.2	6.3				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			44.5									
HCM 2010 LOS			D									
Notes												

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 3

Destino Paso
3: Union Road & SR 46 E

Near Term Saturday MD 6/22/2016

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	12.3											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	- 11	1	٢	- 11	1		र्भ	1		र्भ	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9	1000	45	173	1662	22	14	6	198	1	0	1
Future Vol, veh/h	9	1000	45	173	1662	22	14	6	198	1	0	1
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized			None	-		None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	500	-	50	670	-	50	-	-	25	-	-	25
Veh in Median Storage, #	¥ -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	-8	-
Peak Hour Factor	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow	9	1042	47	180	1731	23	15	6	206	1	0	1
Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1731	0	0	1042	0	0	2286	3152	521	2635	3152	866
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	-	1060	1060	-	2092	2092	
Stage 2							1226	2092		543	1060	
Critical Hdwv	4.2			4.2	-	-	8	7	7.2	6	5	6.2
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-			-		7	6		5	4	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2				-		-	7	6		5	4	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.25	-	-	2.25	-	-	3.55	4.05	3.35	3.55	4.05	3.35
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	348	-	-	646	-	-	16	7	478	35	41	352
Stage 1	-		-	-	-	-	208	260	-	131	226	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	161	71	-	616	469	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	348	-	-	646	-	-	~ 12	~ 5	478	-	29	352
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-	-	-	-	-	~ 12	~ 5	-	-	29	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	203	253	-	128	163	
Stage 2	-	-		-	-	-	116	51	-	333	457	-
Ť												
Approach	FB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay s	01			12			165.7					
HCMLOS	0.1						F					
Minor Lano/Major Mymt	NRI n1	MRI n2	ERI	ERT ERD	W/RI	W/RT	W/RD SRI n1	CRI n2				
Canacity (veh/h)	R R	478	348	LDT LDK	646	1101	WDIC ODEIT	352				
	2 604	0 /21	0.027		040			0.003				
HCM Control Delay (s)	\$ 1626.0	18 1	15.6		127			15.2				
HCM Lane LOS	φ 1020.7 F	10.1	13.0		12.7 R			13.5				
HCM 95th %tile O(veh)	27	21	0.1		11			0				
	3.7	2.1	0.1		1.1			0				
Notes												
-: Volume exceeds capa	city \$: D	elay exc	ceeds 30	UUS +: Com	putation	n Not D	etined *: All	major	volume	in platoon		

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso
4: SR 46 E & Airport Road

Near Term Saturday MD 6/22/2016

Intersection											
Int Delay, s/veh	14.7										
Movement	EBL	EBT			WBT	WBR		SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations	۲,	^			- 44	1		5	1		
Traffic Vol. veh/h	319	880			1586	34		2	271		
Future Vol. veh/h	319	880			1586	34		2	271		
Conflicting Peds. #/hr	0	0			0	0		0	0		
Sign Control	Free	Free			Free	Free		Stop	Stop		
RT Channelized		None				None		-	None		
Storage Length	950	-				600		0	25		
Veh in Median Storage	# -	0			0	-		0			
Grade %	-	0			0			0			
Peak Hour Factor	94	94			94	94		94	94		
Heavy Vehicles %	6	6			6	6		6	6		
Mymt Flow	330	936			1687	36		2	288		
	537	/30			1007	- 50		2	200		
Major/Minor	Major1			Ν	/lajor2			Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	1687	0			-	0		2834	844		
Stage 1	-	-				-		1687	-		
Stage 2	-	-				-		1147	-		
Critical Hdwy	4.22					-		6.92	7.02		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-			-	-		5.92	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2						-		5.92	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	2.26	-			-	-		3.56	3.36		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	357	-			-	-		13	299		
Stage 1	-	-			-	-		130	-		
Stage 2	-	-			-	-		256	-		
Platoon blocked, %		-			-	-					
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	357	-			-	-		~ 1	299		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-				-		11	-		
Stage 1	-	-			-	-		130	-		
Stage 2	-	-				-		13	-		
Ŭ											
Approach	EB				W/R			SB			
HCM Control Dolay s	10.0				0			9/			
HOM LOS	10.0				0			04 E			
LOS								r			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBL	WBT WE	IR SBLn1 S	SBLn2						
Capacity (veh/h)	357			- 11	299						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.951	-		- 0.193	0.964						
HCM Control Delay (s)	70.6			-\$ 398.6	81.7						
HCM Lane LOS	F	-		- F	F						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	10.2	-	-	- 0.5	9.8						
Notes					_						
~: Volume exceeds cana	acity \$- Da	lav evo	eeds 300s	+· Com	outatio	n Not D	efined	*· ∆II	maior volume i	n nlatoon	
. Volume execcus capa	iong w. Dt	nay chu		+. COIII	JatatiU	ii NOL D	cincu	. 1411	major volume i	in platoon	

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso 1: Airport Road & Dry Creek Road Near Term Plus Project AM 5/24/2016

Int Delay, siveh 0.9 Movement EBT EBT EBR WBL WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR SB	Intersection														
Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Traffic Vol, veh/h 0 0 1 21 0 7 1 230 94 9 105 1 Conflicting Peds, #/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Int Delay, s/veh	0.9													
Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBI SBR SBI SBR Traffic Vol, veh/h 0 0 1 210 7 1 230 94 9 105 1 Conflicting Peds, #/nr 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0															
Traffic Vol, veh/h 0 0 1 21 0 7 1 230 94 9 105 1 Future Vol, veh/h 0 0 1 21 0 7 1 230 94 9 105 1 Conflicting Pecks,#hr 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR		WBL	WBT	WBR		NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Future Vol, veh/h 0 0 1 21 0 7 1 230 94 94 105 115 Conflicting Peds, #/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	1		21	0	7		1	230	94	9	105	1
Conflicting Peds, #/nr 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <td>Future Vol, veh/h</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>21</td> <td>0</td> <td>7</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>230</td> <td>94</td> <td>9</td> <td>105</td> <td>1</td>	Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	1		21	0	7		1	230	94	9	105	1
Sign Control Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Free None - · None · · None · · None · · · · None · · Stop Sto	Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
RT Channelized - None - 25 - - 25 Veh in Median Storage, # - 0 - - 0 - 0 - 25 - 25 Peak Hour Factor 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 50 50 50	Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
Storage Length - - - - - 25 - - 25 Veh in Median Storage, # - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 - - 0 - - 0 0 288 0 0 288 11 11 131 11 131 11 131 11 131 11 131 11 131 11 131 11 131 11 131 11 131 11 131 11 131 11 131 11 131	RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	-	None		-	-	None	-	-	None
Veh in Median Storage, # - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 - - 0 - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 131 11 1131 11 11 131 14 14 444 288 131 0 0 288 0 0 0 1351 154 154 - - - - - - - -	Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	25	-	-	25
Grade, % - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 1 11 131 11 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1 131 1	Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0			-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80<	Grade, %	-	0	-			0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-
Heavy Vehicles, % 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80		80	80	80		80	80	80	80	80	80
Mimit Flow 0 0 1 26 0 9 1 288 118 11 131 1 Major/Minor Minor1 Major1 Major2 Major2 Conflicting Flow All 448 444 131 444 444 288 131 0 0 288 0 0 Stage 1 154 154 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Heavy Vehicles, %	6	6	6		6	6	6		6	6	6	6	6	6
Major/Minor Minor2 Minor1 Major1 Major1 Major2 Conflicting Flow All 448 444 131 444 444 288 131 0 0 288 0 0 Stage 1 154 154 - 290 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - </td <td>Mvmt Flow</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>26</td> <td>0</td> <td>9</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>288</td> <td>118</td> <td>11</td> <td>131</td> <td>1</td>	Mvmt Flow	0	0	1		26	0	9		1	288	118	11	131	1
Major/Minor Minor2 Minor1 Major1 Major2 Conflicting Flow All 448 444 131 444 444 288 131 0 0 288 0 0 Stage 1 154 154 - 290 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -															
Conflicting Flow All 448 444 131 444 444 288 131 0 0 288 0 0 Stage 1 154 154 - 290 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Major/Minor	Minor2			1	Vinor1			Ν	/lajor1			Major2		
Stage 1 154 154 - 290 290 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Conflicting Flow All	448	444	131		444	444	288		131	0	0	288	0	0
Stage 2 294 290 154 154 154 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Stage 1	154	154			290	290	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Howy 7.16 6.56 6.26 7.16 6.56 6.26 4.16 - 4.16 - Critical Hdwy Stg 1 6.16 5.56 - 6.16 5.56 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Stage 2	294	290			154	154	-		-		-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1 6.16 5.56 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -<	Critical Hdwy	7.16	6.56	6.26		7.16	6.56	6.26		4.16	-	-	4.16	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2 6.16 5.56 6.16 5.56 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.16	5.56			6.16	5.56	-		-	-		-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy 3.554 4.054 3.354 3.554 4.054 3.354 2.254 - 2.254 - 2.254 - - 2.254 - - 2.254 - - 2.254 - - 2.254 - - 2.254 - - 2.254 - - 2.254 - - 2.254 - - 2.254 - - 2.254 - - 2.254 - - 2.254 - - 2.254 - 2.254 - - 2.254 - 2.254 - 2.254 - 2.254 - 2.254 - 2.254 - 2.254 - 2.254 - 2.254 - 2.254 2.254 2.254 3.354 3.554 3.057 3.254 3.742 1430 - 2.254 4.054 3.354 3.64 4.054 3.354 3.64 4.054 3.057 3.254 3.40 3.155 4.054 3.157 4.074 1430 - 1251 4.074 3.058 3.254	Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.16	5.56			6.16	5.56	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 514 502 908 517 502 742 1430 - 1251 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Follow-up Hdwy	3.554	4.054	3.354		3.554	4.054	3.354		2.254	-	-	2.254	-	-
Stage 1 839 762 709 665 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	514	502	908		517	502	742		1430	-	-	1251	-	-
Stage 2 706 665 839 762 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Stage 1	839	762	-		709	665	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, % - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Stage 2	706	665			839	762	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 504 497 908 512 497 742 1430 - 1251 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Platoon blocked, %										-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 504 497 - 512 497 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - </td <td>Mov Cap-1 Maneuver</td> <td>504</td> <td>497</td> <td>908</td> <td></td> <td>512</td> <td>497</td> <td>742</td> <td></td> <td>1430</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1251</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>	Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	504	497	908		512	497	742		1430			1251		-
Stage 1 838 755 - 708 664 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	504	497	-		512	497	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2 697 664 - 830 755 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Stage 1	838	755	-		708	664	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach EB WB NB SB HCM Control Delay, s 9 11.9 0 0.6 HCM LOS A B B B Minor Lane/Major Mvmt NBL NBT NBR EBLn1WBLn1 SBL SBT SBR Capacity (veh/h) 1430 - 908 555 1251 - - HCM Lane V/C Ratio 0.001 - - 0.001 0.063 0.009 - HCM Control Delay (s) 7.5 0 - 9 11.9 7.9 0 - HCM Lane LOS A A - A B A -	Stage 2	697	664			830	755	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach EB WB NB SB HCM Control Delay, s 9 11.9 0 0.6 HCM LOS A B Minor Lane/Major Mvmt NBL NBT NBR EBLn1WBLn1 SBL SBT SBR Capacity (veh/h) 1430 - - 908 555 1251 - HCM Lane V/C Ratio 0.001 - 0.001 0.063 0.009 - - HCM Control Delay (s) 7.5 0 - 91.9 7.9 0 - HCM Lane LOS A A - A B A -															
HCM Control Delay, s 9 11.9 0 0.6 HCM LOS A B B B B B Capacity (veh/h) 1430 - 908 555 1251 - - HCM Lane V/C Ratio 0.001 - 0.001 0.063 0.009 - - HCM Control Delay (s) 7.5 0 - 9 11.9 7.9 0 - HCM Lane LOS A A - A B A - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <	Approach	EB				WB				NB			SB		
HCM LOS A B Minor Lane/Major Mvmt NBL NBT NBR EBLn1WBLn1 SBL SBT SBR Capacity (veh/h) 1430 - - 908 555 1251 - HCM Lane V/C Ratio 0.001 - - 0.001 0.063 0.009 - HCM Control Delay (s) 7.5 0 - 9 11.9 7.9 0 HCM Lane LOS A A - A B A -	HCM Control Delay, s	9				11.9				0			0.6		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt NBL NBT NBR EBLn1WBLn1 SBL SBT SBR Capacity (veh/h) 1430 - - 908 555 1251 - - HCM Lane V/C Ratio 0.001 - - 0.001 0.063 0.009 - - HCM Control Delay (s) 7.5 0 - 9 11.9 7.9 0 - HCM Lane LOS A A - A B A -	HCM LOS	А				В									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt NBL NBT NBR EBLn1WBLn1 SBL SBT SBR Capacity (vel/h) 1430 - - 908 555 1251 - - HCM Lane V/C Ratio 0.001 - - 0.001 0.063 0.009 - - HCM Control Delay (s) 7.5 0 - 9 11.9 7.9 0 - HCM Lane LOS A A - A B A -															
Capacity (veh/h) 1430 - - 908 555 1251 - - HCM Lane V/C Ratio 0.001 - - 0.001 0.063 0.009 - - HCM Control Delay (s) 7.5 0 - 9 11.9 7.9 0 - HCM Lane LOS A A - A B A -	Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR		_			_	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio 0.001 - 0.001 0.063 0.009 HCM Control Delay (s) 7.5 0 - 9 11.9 7.9 0 - HCM Lane LOS A A - A B A A -	Capacity (veh/h)	1430			908	555	1251	-							
HCM Control Delay (s) 7.5 0 - 9 11.9 7.9 0 - HCM Lane LOS A A - A B A A -	HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.001			0.001	0.063	0.009								
HCM Lane LOS A A - A B A A -	HCM Control Delay (s)	7.5	0		9	11.9	7.9	0							
	HCM Lane LOS	A	A		А	В	А	A							
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) 0 0 0.2 0	HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-		0	0.2	0	-							

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso	
2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E	

Near Term Plus Project AM 5/24/2016

	≯	+	\mathbf{F}	4	+	*	•	1	1	Ļ	4	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	268	886	448	67	941	228	338	425	152	160	177	
v/c Ratio	0.67	0.69	0.49	0.18	0.78	0.31	0.65	0.55	0.59	0.60	0.46	
Control Delay	50.1	27.2	3.9	42.5	31.6	4.3	43.8	33.1	53.4	47.8	8.7	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	50.1	27.2	3.9	42.5	31.6	4.3	43.8	33.1	53.4	47.8	8.7	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	79	248	0	18	253	0	98	113	45	90	0	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	118	267	32	40	326	33	134	140	75	141	33	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		3280			2376			566		648		
Turn Bay Length (ft)	550		490	460		390	160		130			
Base Capacity (vph)	407	1485	980	371	1221	753	666	1759	259	723	718	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.66	0.60	0.46	0.18	0.77	0.30	0.51	0.24	0.59	0.22	0.25	
Intersection Summary												

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 2

Destino Paso 2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E Near Term Plus Project AM 5/24/2016

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-		1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	55	**	1	ሻሻ	**	1	55	#1 .		ሻሻ	•	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	217	718	363	54	762	185	274	293	51	123	130	143
Future Volume (veh/h)	217	718	363	54	762	185	274	293	51	123	130	143
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.98
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1863	1610	1863	1863	1610	1863	1863	1863	1900	1863	1863	1863
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	268	886	448	67	941	228	338	362	63	152	160	177
Adj No. of Lanes	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	1	1
Peak Hour Factor	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	18	2	2	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	351	1122	576	263	1116	573	438	767	132	226	359	300
Arrive On Green	0.10	0.37	0.37	0.08	0.36	0.36	0.13	0.25	0.25	0.07	0.19	0.19
Sat Flow, veh/h	3442	3059	1570	3442	3059	1570	3442	3014	519	3442	1863	1559
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	268	886	448	67	941	228	338	211	214	152	160	177
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1721	1530	1570	1721	1530	1570	1721	1770	1764	1721	1863	1559
Q Serve(g_s), s	6.4	21.8	13.1	1.5	23.8	9.1	8.0	8.5	8.7	3.6	6.4	8.7
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	6.4	21.8	13.1	1.5	23.8	9.1	8.0	8.5	8.7	3.6	6.4	8.7
Prop In Lane	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.29	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	351	1122	576	263	1116	573	438	450	449	226	359	300
V/C Ratio(X)	0.76	0.79	0.78	0.26	0.84	0.40	0.77	0.47	0.48	0.67	0.45	0.59
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	449	1595	819	263	1341	688	734	985	982	285	794	665
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	36.9	23.8	8.9	36.7	24.6	19.9	35.6	26.6	26.7	38.6	30.1	31.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	5.8	1.8	3.1	0.5	4.3	0.4	2.9	0.8	0.8	4.3	0.9	1.8
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	3.3	9.4	7.1	0.8	10.7	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	1.9	3.4	3.9
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	42.7	25.6	11.9	37.2	28.9	20.4	38.5	27.4	27.5	42.8	31.0	32.9
LnGrp LOS	D	C	В	D	C	C	D	С	C	D	C	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		1602			1236			763			489	
Approach Delay, s/veh		24.6			27.8			32.4			35.3	
Approach LOS		С			С			С			D	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+V+Rc) s	95	25.5	12.4	37.0	14.8	20.3	12.6	36.8				
Change Period (Y+Rc) s	4.0	4.0	6.0	* 6	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax) s	7.0	47.0	4.0	* 44	18.0	36.0	11.0	37.0				
Max O Clear Time $(q, c+11)$ s	5.6	10.7	3.5	23.8	10.0	10.7	8.4	25.8				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.1	4.2	0.3	7.2	0.7	4.0	0.2	5.0				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delav			28.3									
HCM 2010 LOS			С									
Notes												
Central Coast Transportation (Consultir	ng								\$	Synchro 9	Report
		5									,,	Page 3

Destino Paso	
3: Union Road & SR 46 E	

Near Term Plus Project AM 5/24/2016

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	5											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	828	63	230	993	13	8	2	248	0	0	0
Future Vol, veh/h	1	828	63	230	993	13	8	2	248	0	0	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	500	-	50	670	-	50	-	-	25	-	-	25
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	-8	-
Peak Hour Factor	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	18	2	2	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	1	952	72	264	1141	15	9	2	285	0	0	0
Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1141	0	0	952	0	0	2053	2624	476	2149	2624	571
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-		954	954		1670	1670	

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1141	0	0	952	0	0	2053	2624	476	2149	2624	571
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	954	954	-	1670	1670	-
Stage 2	-		-	-		-	1099	1670	-	479	954	-
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.94	6.94	7.14	5.94	4.94	6.14
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-		-	6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-		6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	608	-	-	717	-	-	26	18	521	71	76	527
Stage 1	-	-	-	-		-	250	302	-	210	318	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	201	126	-	664	512	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-			-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	608	-	-	717	-	-	19	11	521	19	48	527
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	11	-	19	48	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	250	302	-	210	201	-
Stage 2	-		-	-		-	127	80	-	298	511	
Ŭ												

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	2.4	34.9	0
HCM LOS			D	A

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	NBLn2	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	BLn1 SI	BLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	17	521	608	-	-	717	-	-	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.676	0.547	0.002	-	-	0.369	-	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	\$ 408	19.9	10.9	-	-	12.9	-	-	0	0
HCM Lane LOS	F	С	В	-	-	В	-	-	Α	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.8	3.3	0	-	-	1.7	-		-	-

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 5

Destino Paso
4: SR 46 E & Airport Road

Near Term Plus Project AM 5/24/2016

ntersection										
nt Delay, s/veh	16									
int Delay, siven	10									
Movement	EBL	EBT			WBT	WBR		SBL	SBR	
Traffic Vol. veh/h	405	671			1077	26		11	159	
Future Vol. veh/h	405	671			1077	20		11	150	
Conflicting Peds #/hr	403	0/1			0	20		0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Froo			Free	Free		Ston	Ston	
DT Channelized	TICC	None			TICC	None		Stop	None	
Storage Length	950	NUTC				600		0	25	
Veh in Median Storage #	,00	0			0			0	20	
Grado %		0			0			0		
Peak Hour Factor	85	85			85	85		85	85	
Heavy Vehicles %	10	18			18	10		10	10	
Mumt Flow	176	780			1267	31		13	187	
WWITH LIOW	470	107			1207	51		15	107	
Major/Minor	Major1				Major2		Ν	/linor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1267	0			-	0		2615	634	
Stage 1	-	-			-			1267	-	
Stage 2	-	-			-	-		1348	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.3					1.1		1	7.1	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-				-	-		6		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-			-			6	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.3				-	-		3.6	3.4	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	503				-	-		17	403	
Stage 1	-				-	-		213		
Stage 2		-			-			193	-	
Platoon blocked, %	500	-			-	-				
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	503				-	-		~ 1	403	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-				-		~ 9		
Stage I	-							213		
Stage 2								~ 10		
Approach	EB				WB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	21.4				0			86.1		
HCM LOS								F		
Vinor Lane/Major Mymt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR SBLn1	SBLn2					
Capacity (veh/h)	503			- 9	403					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.947			- 1.438	0.464					
HCM Control Delay (s)	56.8	-		\$ 1021.7	21.4					
HCM Lane LOS	F			- F	C					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	11.8	-	-	- 2.5	2.4					
Notos										
Volumo ovocodo cara-il	h. ¢. D.	lou ou	oodo 2	000	mutoti-	Not D	fined	*. All -	nolor volume	in platers
 volume exceeds capacit 	iy \$∶D€	eay exc	eeas 3	005 +: COM	iputation	I NOL DE	ennea	: All ľ	najor volume	in platoon

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	3.9													
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,														
Movement	FRI	FRT	FRR		WRI	WRT	WRR		NRI	NRT	MRR	SBL	SBT	SRP
Traffic Vol. voh/h	LDL		1		122	0	WDR 4		NDL	1/0	24	JDL	242	JDIC
Futuro Vol. veh/h	0	0	1		123	0	6		0	140	20	4	242	0
Conflicting Pods #/br	0	0	0		123	0	0		0	140	20	4	242	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop		Stop	Stop	Stop		Eroo	Eroo	Eroo	Eroo	Eroo	Eroo
DT Channolizod	Stop	Stop	Nono		Stop	Stop	Nono		TICC	TIEE	Nono	Tiee	TIEC	Nono
Storage Length			NULLE				NULLE				25			25
Voh in Median Storage #		0				0				0	25		0	23
Crado %		0				0				0		-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	70	70	70		70	70	70		70	70	70	70	70	70
Hoavy Vobiclos %	5	5	5		5	5	5		5	5	5		5	5
Mumt Flow	0	0	1		156	0	0		0	107	22	5	206	0
	0	0			100	0	0		0	107	55	J	300	0
Major/Minor	Minor?			P	Minor1			٨	laior1			Major?		
Conflicting Flow All	1VIII 101 Z	E02	204	1	504	E02	107	N	204	0	0	107	0	0
Store 1	214	214	300		107	107	107		300	0	0	107	0	0
Stage 1	310	310	-		10/	10/	-				-	-		
Stage 2 Critical Uduar	7 15	4 55	4 25		7 15	310	4 25		4 1 5	-		4 15		
Critical Hduny Sta 1	4.15	0.00	0.20		4.15	0.00	0.20		4.10		-	4.10		
Critical Hdury Stg 1	6.15	5.55			0.10 4 1E	5.55	-				-	-		
Follow up Hdwy	2.545	1.045	2 245		2 5/5	1 045	2 2 4 5		2 245	-	-	2 245		
Pot Cap 1 Manouvor	171	4.045	3.343		J.J4J	4.045	947		2.24J			1260		
Storo 1	4/1	407	121		473	7407	047		1230		-	1309		
Stage 2	904	740			600	650	-				-	-		
Diatoon blockod %	004	740			000	000								
May Cap 1 Manauvor	145	145	707		471	145	0.47		1000			1240		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	403	400	121		4/1	400	047		1230	-	-	1309		-
Stago 1	403	403			909	7405								
Stage 2	009	740	-		404	40	-				-	-		
Stage 2	191	740			004	047	-				-			
Annroach	FB				W/R				MR			SB		
HCM Control Delay s	10				16.3				0			0.1		
HCM LOS	B				10.5				0			0.1		
I CIVI LOS	D				C									
Minor Lane/Major Mymt	NBI	NBT	NBR	FBI n1V	VBI n1	SBI	SBT	SBR						
Capacity (veh/h)	1238		-	727	481	1369	-							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	1230			0.002	0 330	0.004								
HCM Control Delay (s)	0			10	16.2	7.6	0							
HCM Lane LOS	Δ			R	0.5	Δ	Δ							
HCM 95th %tile O(veh)	0			0	15	0	-							
	0			0	1.5	0								

2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E ≯ -۰ \$ 4 * \mathbf{i} 1 Lane Group EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT SBL SBT SBR Lane Group Flow (vph) 199 897 365 76 915 173 264 315 250 307 323 v/c Ratio 0.58 0.74 0.44 0.25 0.83 0.26 0.60 0.37 0.62 0.71 0.61 Control Delay 54.9 33.1 4.3 51.9 38.9 5.1 51.1 30.4 53.9 47.6 17.3 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 54.9 33.1 4.3 51.9 38.9 5.1 51.1 30.4 53.9 47.6 17.3 Queue Length 50th (ft) 68 294 0 24 290 0 90 85 85 198 Queue Length 95th (ft) 120 391 59 57 438 47 147 128 144 306 155 Internal Link Dist (ft) 3280 2376 566 648 550 Turn Bay Length (ft) 490 460 390 160 130 Base Capacity (vph) 376 1494 940 300 1311 760 547 1575 445 798 791 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

0.39

0.60

0.70

0.25

0.23

0.48

0.20

0.56

0.38 0.41

0.53

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso

Reduced v/c Ratio

Intersection Summary

Synchro 9 Report Page 2

Near Term Plus Project PM

5/24/2016

58

0

0

0

Destino Paso

1: Airport Road & Dry Creek Road

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Near Term Plus Project PM

5/24/2016

Destino Paso 2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E

Near Term Plus Project PM 5/24/2016

	۶	-	\mathbf{r}	∢	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	^	1	ሻሻ	<u></u>	*	ሻሻ	A1⊅		ሻሻ	•	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	191	861	350	73	878	166	253	230	72	240	295	310
Future Volume (veh/h)	191	861	350	73	878	166	253	230	72	240	295	310
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1863	1610	1863	1863	1610	1863	1863	1863	1900	1863	1863	1863
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	199	897	365	76	915	173	264	240	75	250	307	323
Adj No. of Lanes	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	1	1
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	18	2	2	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	275	1112	571	184	1097	563	350	721	220	330	493	414
Arrive On Green	0.08	0.36	0.36	0.05	0.36	0.36	0.10	0.27	0.27	0.10	0.26	0.26
Sat Flow, veh/h	3442	3059	1570	3442	3059	1570	3442	2666	812	3442	1863	1565
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	199	897	365	76	915	173	264	157	158	250	307	323
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1721	1530	1570	1721	1530	1570	1721	1770	1709	1721	1863	1565
Q Serve(g_s), s	5.2	24.4	11.9	2.0	25.2	7.3	6.9	6.6	6.8	6.5	13.4	17.6
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	5.2	24.4	11.9	2.0	25.2	7.3	6.9	6.6	6.8	6.5	13.4	17.6
Prop In Lane	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.48	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	275	1112	571	184	1097	563	350	479	462	330	493	414
V/C Ratio(X)	0.72	0.81	0.64	0.41	0.83	0.31	0.76	0.33	0.34	0.76	0.62	0.78
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	410	1625	834	187	1426	732	597	882	852	485	868	730
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	41.5	26.5	10.9	42.3	27.1	21.3	40.3	26.9	27.1	40.7	29.9	31.4
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	3.6	2.0	1.2	1.5	3.4	0.3	3.3	0.4	0.4	3.9	1.3	3.2
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	2.6	10.5	6.0	1.0	11.2	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.3	7.1	8.0
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	45.1	28.4	12.1	43.7	30.5	21.6	43.7	27.3	27.5	44.6	31.1	34.6
LnGrp LOS	D	С	В	D	С	C	D	C	С	D	С	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		1461			1164			579			880	
Approach Delay, s/veh		26.6			30.1			34.8			36.3	
Approach LOS		С			С			С			D	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	12.8	29.0	10.9	39.5	13.4	28.4	11.4	39.1				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	4.0	6.0	* 6	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	13.0	46.0	5.0	* 49	16.0	43.0	11.0	43.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	8.5	8.8	4.0	26.4	8.9	19.6	7.2	27.2				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.3	5.1	0.7	7.2	0.5	4.8	0.2	5.8				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			30.8									
HCM 2010 LOS			С									
Notes												

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 3

Destino Paso
3: Union Road & SR 46 E

Near Term Plus Project PM 5/24/2016

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	8.5												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Traffic Vol. veh/h	2	1108	62	307	1106	19	9	0	224	0	0	1	
Future Vol. veh/h	2	1108	62	307	1106	19	9	0	224	0	0		
Conflicting Peds. #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized		-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	
Storage Length	500	-	50	670		50	-		25	-		25	
Veh in Median Storage, #	÷ .	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0		
Grade, %		1	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	-8		
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	18	2	2	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	2	1204	67	334	1202	21	10	0	243	0	0	1	
Major/Minor	Maior1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	1202	0	0	1204	0	0	2477	3079	602	2477	3079	601	
Stage 1	1202	-	-	1201	-	-	1209	1209	- 002	1870	1870		
Stage 2							1267	1870		607	1209		
Critical Hdwy	4 14			4 14			7 94	6.94	7 14	5 94	4 94	614	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-						6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			-	-		-	6.94	5.94	-	4.94	3.94		
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22			2.22			3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	576	-	-	575		-	12	8	428	46	47	507	
Stage 1				-		-	170	222		171	276		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	155	98	-	590	435	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-				-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	576	-	-	575		-	~ 6	3	428	11	20	507	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	~ 6	3	-	11	20	-	
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	-	169	221	-	170	116	-	
Stage 2		-	-		-	-	65	41	-	253	433	-	
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay s	0			4.2			78			12.1			
HCMLOS	Ū						F			B			
1000200										5			
Mineral and Marian Manat	NDL 11		EDI			WDT	WDD CDL =1	CDI			_		
	INBLUI	INBLN2	EBL	EBI EBR	WBL	WBI	MRK 2RFUT	SBLUZ					
Capacity (ven/n)	6	428	5/6		5/5	-		507					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	1.63	0.569	0.004		0.58	-		0.002					
HCIVI Control Delay (s)	\$ 1425.3	23.9	11.3		19.6		- 0	IZ.I					
HCIVI Lane LUS	F	2.4	B		27		- A	В					
HCIVI 95th %tile Q(Veh)	2.2	3.4	U		3.7	-		0					
Notes	Notes												
-: Volume exceeds capad	city \$: De	elay exc	ceeds 30	00s +: Com	putatio	n Not D	efined *: All	major	volume	in platoon			

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Intersection										
Int Delay, s/veh	97									
in Doldy, Siven	7.1									
Movomont	ERI	ERT			W/RT	WRD		SBI	SBD	
Troffia Val. voh/h	215	1117			1020	20		24	402	
France Vol, ven/n	210	1117			1029	20		24	403	
Conflicting Dode #/hr	215	1117			1029	20		24	403	
Conflicting Peus, #/ni	Eroo	Eroo			Eroo	Eroo		Stop	Stop	
DT Channelized	FIEE	None			Fiee	Nono		Stop	Nono	
Storago Longth	- 050	NOUG			-	600		-	25	
Joh in Modian Storago #	900	-			-	000		0	20	
Crado 9/	-	0			0	-		0	-	
Jidue, 70 Dook Hour Factor	- 02	02			02	- 02		02	- 02	
	93	73			73	73		70	73	
Heavy Venicles, 70	221	1201			110(22		24	/	
WVIIIL PIOW	231	1201			1100	22		20	433	
laior/Minor	Maia-1				Majora			linerî		
viajor/iviinor	iviajor i				iviajor2		N			
Conflicting Flow All	1106	0			-	0		2169	553	
Stage 1	-	-						1106	-	
Stage 2	-	-			-	-		1063	-	
critical Hdwy	4.24	-						6.94	7.04	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-			-	-		5.94	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-			-	-		5.94	-	
ollow-up Hdwy	2.27	-			-	-		3.57	3.37	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	599	-			-	-		38	464	
Stage 1	-	-			-	-		268	-	
Stage 2								282	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-			-	-				
Nov Cap-1 Maneuver	599				-	-		~ 23	464	
lov Cap-2 Maneuver					-	-		106	-	
Stage 1		-			-	-		268	-	
Stage 2	-				-	-		173		
Approach	EB				WB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	2.4				0			56.5		
ICM LOS								F		
/linor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT W	BR SBLn1	SBLn2					
Capacity (veh/h)	599	1.1	-	- 106	464					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.386	-	-	- 0.243	0.934					
HCM Control Delay (s)	14.7	-	-	- 49.6	56.9					
HCM Lane LOS	В		-	- E	F					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.8		-	- 0.9	11					
Votes										
-: Volume exceeds capac	ity \$: De	lav exc	eeds 300s	+· Com	nutatio	n Not De	efined	*· All r	maior volume in	platoon

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Near Term Plus Project PM 5/24/2016 Destino Paso 1: Airport Road & Dry Creek Road

Near Term+Project Saturday MD 6/22/2016

Intersection														
Int Delay, s/veh	5.5													
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	W	BL M	VBT	WBR	Ν	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations		- 42				4				ન	1		- 4	1
Traffic Vol, veh/h	12	120	1		55	0	9		0	135	20	12	141	1
Future Vol, veh/h	12	120	1		55	0	9		0	135	20	12	141	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	(
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	St	op S	Stop	Stop	F	ree	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		÷	-	None		-		None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-		-		-	-					25	-		25
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %		0	-		-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	89	89	89		89	89	89		89	89	89	89	89	89
Heavy Vehicles, %	4	4	4		4	4	4		4	4	4	4	4	4
Mvmt Flow	13	135	1		62	0	10		0	152	22	13	158	1
Maior/Minor	Minor2			Mino	r1			Mai	ior1			Maior2		
Conflicting Flow All	342	337	158	4	05	337	152		158	0	0	152	0	(
Stage 1	185	185	-	1	52	152			-		-			
Stage 2	157	152		2	53	185								
Critical Hdwv	7.14	6.54	6.24	7.	14 <i>E</i>	6.54	6.24	4	1.14	-	-	4.14		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.14	5.54	-	6.	14 5	5.54			-			-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.14	5.54	-	6.	14 5	5.54	-		-			-		
Follow-up Hdwy	3.536	4.036	3.336	3.5	36 4.	.036	3.336	2.	236			2.236		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	608	581	882	5	53	581	889	14	409	-	-	1417	-	
Stage 1	812	743	-	8	46	768			-			-		
Stage 2	841	768	-	7	47	743	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %										-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	596	575	882	4	49	575	889	14	409	-	-	1417	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	596	575	-	4	49	575			-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	812	736	-	8	46	768	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	831	768		6	03	736			-			-		
Approach	FB			V	/B				NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay s	13.4			1	1.8				0			0.6		
HCM LOS	В				В				0			0.0		
Minor Long/Major Mumt	NDI	NDT	MDD		n1 (CDI	CDT	CDD						
	1400	NDT	NDR	E 70 4	02 1	JDL 417	301	JUK						_
	1409			0.050 0.1	03 I- 40 0	0.01	-	1.1						
HCM Control Dolay (a)	-			12 / 1	47 L	0.01	-							
HCM Long LOS	0			13.4 IS	0.0 D	7.0	0	-						
HOW LARE LUS	A			В 1 (D D	A	A							
HCIVI 95th %tile Q(Veh)	0			1 (1.0	U		1.1						

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

Destino Paso

4: SR 46 E & Airport Road

Destino Paso	
2: Golden Hill Rd & SR 46 E	

Near Term+Project Saturday MD 6/22/2016

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	4	-	۰.	1	1	1	Ļ		
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	224	905	232	77	1449	260	189	319	175	266	344	
v/c Ratio	0.67	0.65	0.31	0.12	0.87	0.31	0.62	0.46	0.64	0.76	0.76	
Control Delay	67.5	37.0	4.6	44.6	38.5	5.3	66.9	43.6	70.0	64.0	30.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	67.5	37.0	4.6	44.6	38.5	5.3	66.9	43.6	70.0	64.0	30.6	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	94	369	0	25	577	14	80	116	75	215	114	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	148	437	54	57	#837	72	129	162	123	313	228	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		3280			2376			566		648		
Turn Bay Length (ft)	550		490	460		390	160		130			
Base Capacity (vph)	369	1891	928	648	1659	841	343	1220	290	629	657	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.61	0.48	0.25	0.12	0.87	0.31	0.55	0.26	0.60	0.42	0.52	

Intersection Summary

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
 Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Synchro 9 Report Page 2

Destino Paso	
2: Golden Hill Rd	& SR 46 E

Near Term+Project Saturday MD 6/22/2016

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	4	+	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	* *	1	ሻሻ	* *	1	ሻሻ	≜1 ₀		ሻሻ	4	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	215	869	223	74	1391	250	181	240	66	168	255	330
Future Volume (veh/h)	215	869	223	74	1391	250	181	240	66	168	255	330
Number	7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.99
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1827	1900	1827	1827	1827
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	224	905	232	77	1449	260	189	250	69	175	266	344
Adj No. of Lanes	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	1	1
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Percent Heavy Veh, %	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Cap, veh/h	277	1086	481	686	1559	693	242	712	192	226	474	398
Arrive On Green	0.08	0.31	0.31	0.20	0.45	0.45	0.07	0.26	0.26	0.07	0.26	0.26
Sat Flow, veh/h	3375	3471	1538	3375	3471	1543	3375	2696	728	3375	1827	1535
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	224	905	232	77	1449	260	189	159	160	175	266	344
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1688	1736	1538	1688	1736	1543	1688	1736	1689	1688	1827	1535
Q Serve(g_s), s	8.5	31.7	12.5	2.4	51.6	14.6	7.2	9.7	10.1	6.7	16.5	28.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	8.5	31.7	12.5	2.4	51.6	14.6	7.2	9.7	10.1	6.7	16.5	28.0
Prop In Lane	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.43	1.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	277	1086	481	686	1559	693	242	458	446	226	474	398
V/C Ratio(X)	0.81	0.83	0.48	0.11	0.93	0.38	0.78	0.35	0.36	0.77	0.56	0.86
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	361	1805	800	686	1619	720	336	611	594	284	615	516
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	59.0	41.8	22.4	42.5	34.1	23.9	59.7	39.0	39.1	60.0	42.0	46.2
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	9.9	1.8	0.7	0.1	9.7	0.3	7.7	0.5	0.5	9.8	1.0	11.6
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	4.4	15.5	5.8	1.1	26.9	6.3	3.6	4.7	4.8	3.4	8.5	13.1
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	68.8	43.5	23.1	42.6	43.8	24.2	67.4	39.4	39.6	69.9	43.0	57.8
LnGrp LUS	E	D	C	D	D	C	E	D	D	E	D	E
Approach Vol, veh/h		1361			1786			508			785	
Approach Delay, s/veh		44.2			40.9			49.9			55.5	
Approach LOS		D			D			D			E	
Timer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	12.8	38.5	32.6	46.9	13.4	37.9	14.7	64.7				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.0	4.0	6.0	* 6	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	11.0	46.0	7.0	* 68	13.0	44.0	14.0	61.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	8.7	12.1	4.4	33.7	9.2	30.0	10.5	53.6				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.1	4.9	2.1	7.2	0.2	3.9	0.2	5.1				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay			45.5									
HCM 2010 LOS			D									
Notes												
	- III											

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso	
3: Union Road & SR 46 E	

Near Term+Project Saturday MD 6/22/2016

Intersection Int Delay, s/veh 13.8 Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations **††** 1049 *** **** 4 7 4 17 Traffic Vol, veh/h 45 22 14 206 0 9 6 Future Vol, veh/h 9 1049 45 179 1701 22 14 6 206 1 0 Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Free Free Free Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Stop Sign Control Free Free Free RT Channelized - None - None - None - None Storage Length 500 50 670 50 25 25 Veh in Median Storage, # 0 -0 -0 0 -Grade, % 1 1 2 -8 Peak Hour Factor 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 Heavy Vehicles, % 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 Mvmt Flow 9 1093 47 186 1772 23 15 6 215 1 0 1 Major/Minor Major1 Major2 Minor1 Minor2 Conflicting Flow All 1772 0 0 1093 0 0 2370 3256 546 2713 3256 886 Stage 1 1111 1111 2145 2145 Stage 2 -1259 2145 568 1111 Critical Hdwy 4.2 - -4.2 - -8 7 7.2 6 5 6.2 Critical Hdwy Stg 1 7 6 5 4 Critical Hdwy Stg 2 5 4 -7 6 Follow-up Hdwy 2.25 2.25 3.55 4.05 3.35 3.55 4.05 3.35 Pot Cap-1 Maneuver 335 - -617 - -~ 14 ~ 6 460 32 36 343 192 244 Stage 1 124 217 Stage 2 153 66 602 453 -Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 335 - -617 - -~ 10 ~ 4 460 24 343 Mov Cap-2 Maneuver -~ 10 ~ 4 24 187 237 121 152 Stage 1 Stage 2 107 46 304 441 ---WB NB SB Approach EB HCM Control Delay, s 1.3 186.4 0.1 HCM LOS F NBLn1 NBLn2 EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 Minor Lane/Major Mvmt - 343 Capacity (veh/h) 7 460 335 - 617 HCM Lane V/C Ratio 2.976 0.466 0.028 0.302 0.003

 HCM Control Delay (s)
 \$ 1905.5
 19.5
 16.1
 13.3
 15.5

 HCM Lane LOS
 F
 C
 C
 B
 C

 HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)
 3.8
 2.4
 0.1
 1.3
 0

 Notes
 ·
 Volume exceeds capacity
 \$: Delay exceeds 300s
 +: Computation Not Defined
 *: All major volume in platoon

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso 4: SR 46 E & Airport Road

Near Term+Project Saturday MD 6/22/2016

Intersection									
Int Delay, s/veh	13.8								
Movement	FBI	FBT		WB.	wbr	S	BI	SBR	
Lane Configurations	N	**		A /	*		×.	1	
Traffic Vol. veh/h	376	880		158	5 55		18	316	
Future Vol. veh/h	376	880		158	5 55		18	316	
Conflicting Peds #/hr	0	0		100) 0		0	0	
Sign Control	Eree	Eree		Fre	Free	SI	ton	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None		110	- None	5	-	None	
Storage Length	950	-			- 600		0	25	
Veh in Median Storage #	,00	0) -		0	-	
Grado %	_	0) -		0		
Poak Hour Factor	0/	9/		0	1 0/		0/	0/	
Heavy Vehicles %	6	6			5 6		6	6	
Mumt Flow	400	026		160	7 50		10	226	
WWITH LIOW	400	730		100	r J7		17	330	
Major/Minor	Major1			Maior	2	Mino	or2		
Conflicting Flow All	1687	0			- 0	29	955	844	
Stage 1		-				16	587	-	
Stage 2						12	268		
Critical Hdwy	4.22	-				6	.92	7.02	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1						5	92		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2						5	92	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2 26					3	56	3 36	
Pot Can-1 Maneuver	~ 357					~	11	~ 299	
Stage 1						1	130	277	
Stage 2						2	21		
Platoon blocked %						-			
Mov Can-1 Maneuver	~ 357						0	~ 299	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-						-		
Stage 1						1	130		
Stage 2							0		
Stage 2							0		
Approach	EB			W	3		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	35.5)				
HCM LOS									
vlinor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT WBI	R SBLn1 SBLn	2				
Capacity (veh/h)	~ 357	-	-	29)				
ICM Lane V/C Ratio	1.12			1.12	1				
HCM Control Delay (s)	118.5		-	127.	9				
ICM Lane LOS	F				-				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	15.2	-	-	13.	3				
lotes									
·· Volume exceeds canar	rity \$.De	alay ovc	oods 300s	+: Computati	on Not F)ofinod *	· All	major volume in	nlatoon
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	λ. φ. De	any chu	0003 0003	. computati	UNITE	- Chiller		major volume III	platooll

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso 4: SR 46 E & Airpo	ort Road						N	ear Term+ Hotel 1 Saturday MD 6/29/2016
· · · · ·	≯	-	-		1	1		
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations	1	^	- † †	1	1	1		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	321	795	1489	39	5	246		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	321	795	1489	39	5	246		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop			
Grade		0%	0%		0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	341	846	1584	41	5	262		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (ft)								
Walking Speed (ft/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)						1		
Median type		None	TWLTL					
Median storage veh)			2					
Upstream signal (ft)								
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume	1625				2689	792		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					1584			
vC2, stage 2 conf vol	4/05				1105	700		
VCu, unbiocked voi	1625				2689	192		
tC, single (s)	4.Z				0.9	7.0		
IC, Z stage (s)	2.2				5.9	2.4		
IF (S)	2.3				3.0	3.4		
pu queue iree %	270				80	19		
civi capacity (veri/ii)	3/8				25	324		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	SB 1	l
Volume Total	341	423	423	792	792	41	26	7
Volume Left	341	0	0	0	0	0	Į	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	0	41	262	2
cSH	378	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	330)
Volume to Capacity	0.90	0.25	0.25	0.47	0.47	0.02	0.8	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	230	0	0	0	0	0	17	
Control Delay (s)	58.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.5	
Lane LOS	F			0.0			FOI	
Approach Delay (s)	16.8			0.0			52.5	-
Approach LOS							ł	
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			11.0					
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		72.3%	IC	U Level	of Service		C
Analysis Period (min)			15					

Destino Paso 4: SR 46 E & Airpo	ort Road					I	Near T	erm+Hotels 1+2 Saturday Mi 6/29/20	D 16
	۶	-	+	•	1	4			
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR			
Lane Configurations	1	- 44	^	1	۲.	1			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	351	880	1586	45	11	296			
Future Volume (Veh/h)	351	880	1586	45	11	296			
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop				
Grade		0%	0%		0%				
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94			
Hourly flow rate (vph)	373	936	1687	48	12	315			
Pedestrians									
Lane Width (ft)									
Walking Speed (ft/s)									
Percent Blockage									
Right turn flare (veh)						1			
Median type		None	TWLTL						
Median storage veh)			2						
Upstream signal (ft)									
pX, platoon unblocked	1705								
vC, conflicting volume	1/35				2901	844			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					1687				
vC2, stage 2 cont vol	1705				1214	044			
VCU, UNDIOCKED VOI	1/35				2901	844			
IC, Single (S)	4.Z				6.9	7.0			
tC, Z Stage (S)	2.2				2.9	2.4			
IF (S)	2.3				3.0	3.4			
p0 queue nee 76	242				0	200			
	J4Z				0	277			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	SB 1		
Volume Total	373	468	468	844	844	48	327		
Volume Left	373	0	0	0	0	0	12		
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	0	48	315		
cSH	342	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	0		
Volume to Capacity	1.09	0.28	0.28	0.50	0.50	0.03	Err		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	348	0	0	0	0	0	Err		
Control Delay (s)	110.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Err		
Lane LOS	F						F		
Approach Delay (s)	31.5			0.0			Err		
Approach LOS							F		
Intersection Summary									
Average Delay			Err						
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		76.6%	IC	U Level	of Service		D	
Analysis Period (min)			15						

Central Coast Transportation Consulting

Destino Paso 4: SR 46 E & Airpo	rt Road				Miti	gated	Near	Term+Hotels 1+2 Saturday MD 6/29/2016
'	≯	-	+	*	1	~		
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations	3	44	^	1		1		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	351	880	1586	45	0	307		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	351	880	1586	45	0	307		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop			
Grade		0%	0%		0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	373	936	1687	48	0	327		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (ft)								
Walking Speed (ft/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type		None	TWLTL					
Median storage veh)			2					
Upstream signal (ft)								
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume	1735				2901	844		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					1687			
vC2, stage 2 conf vol					1214			
vCu, unblocked vol	1735				2901	844		
tC, single (s)	4.2				6.9	7.0		
tC, 2 stage (s)					5.9			
tF (s)	2.3				3.6	3.4		
p0 queue free %	0				0	0		
cM capacity (veh/h)	342				0	299		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	SB 1	
Volume Total	373	468	468	844	844	48	327	
Volume Left	373	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	0	48	327	
cSH	342	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	299	
Volume to Capacity	1.09	0.28	0.28	0.50	0.50	0.03	1.09	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	348	0	0	0	0	0	324	
Control Delay (s)	110.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	118.1	
Lane LOS	F	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	F	
Approach Delay (s)	31.5			0.0			118.1	
Approach LOS	29			2.0			F	
Intersection Summary								
Average Delev			22.7	_				
Average Delay	tion		23.7	17		of Sonvice		C
Analycic Daried (min)	uuri		15	IC	O LEVEI			U

Central Coast Transportation Consulting



August 30, 2016

MEMORANDUM

Transmitted via e-mail

То:	Darren Nash and Susan DeCarli, City of El Paso de Robles
From:	Iris Priestaf, PhD, President, and Kate White, PE, Senior Engineer
Re:	Final Water Supply Evaluation for the Destino Paso Hotel Project, Paso Robles, California

This memorandum was prepared in response to a request by the City of Paso Robles for an abbreviated Water Supply Evaluation (WSE) for the Destino Paso Hotel Project. The proposed Project is located on Airport Road north of Highway 46 East (**Figure 1**). The Project was originally approved by the City in 2009 but the applicant is requesting to modify the Project from three hotels and individual casitas to four hotels. Both the proposed original and modified projects include 291 visitor-serving accommodations. Revisions will require modification of the Vesting Tentative Map, the Conditional Use Permit, and the Existing Planned Development (Stanton, 2016).

The revised Project will include four separate hotels, built in phases, on a 40.3-acre site (**Figure 2**). The number of rooms and associated hotel amenities for each hotel are summarized below (Stanton, 2016).

- **Hotel 1**. Main Hotel: 136 rooms, pool, fitness center, restaurant, bar, offices, and small functional space for meetings and social gatherings.
- Hotel 2. Phase II Hotel: 80 extended-stay suites, pool, small fitness center, and breakfast room.
- Hotel 3. Lodge: 28 one-bedroom suites, pool, and breakfast room.
- Hotel 4. Boutique Hotel: 47 one-bedroom suites and breakfast room.

The City will provide potable water supply, recycled water and wastewater collection to the Project. Recycled water will be available in the future, possibly between 2020 and 2025.

The City of Paso Robles requires that certain California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documents (e.g., an Environmental Impact Report or Mitigated Negative Declaration) be informed by an independent evaluation of the project's water supply needs and impacts on the City's water supply as set forth in the City's 2015 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) (Todd, 2016). Each independent evaluation is to be prepared by a consultant of the City's choice based on demonstrated competence in water supply evaluation and familiarity with the UWMP. The City will determine the scope of work for the evaluation, which may include elements specified in California Water Code Sections 10910 et seq.

The California Water Code Section 10910 (also termed Senate Bill 610 or SB610) requires that a Water Supply Assessment be prepared for a project that is subject to the CEQA and is considered a project subject to SB610 as defined in Water Code Section 10912. The Destino Paso Resort Hotel Project is subject to CEQA, but is not subject to SB610 according to Water Code Section 10912 because the hotel project has fewer than 500 rooms. Therefore, this analysis (required under the City's CEQA rules and regulations) is a water supply *evaluation* (WSE) rather than a water supply *assessment*. This WSE provides a comparison of projected water supplies and demands to form the basis for an assessment of water supply sufficiency for the Project. The analysis extends to 2045 and is based on supply and demand projections provided in the City of Paso Robles 2015 UWMP (Todd, 2016).

Airport Land Use Plan

The Project is within the City's Airport Land Use Plan, which sets limits on maximum land use densities and minimum percent open space for various Airport Zones within the Project area. The Main Hotel (Hotel 1), Phase II Hotel (Hotel 2), and Lodge (Hotel 3) are in Airport Safety Zone 3. The Boutique Hotel (Hotel 4) is in Airport Safety Zone 4. Limitations for these zones are listed below:

Zone 3: Use intensity shall not exceed an average of 60 persons per gross acre, maximum 120 persons per single acre, at any time. Usage calculations shall include all people who may be on the property at any single point in time, whether indoors or outdoors.

Zone 4: Use intensity shall not exceed an average of 40 persons per gross acre, maximum 120 persons per single acre, at any time. Usage calculations shall include all people who may be on the property at any single point in time, whether indoors or outdoors.

Land use density of hotels, motels, bed and breakfasts in the City's Airport Land Use Plan is 1.8 persons per room or group of rooms to be occupied as a suite; plus one person per 60 sq. ft. floor area of any restaurants, coffee shops, bars, or night clubs; plus one person per 10 sq. ft. of floor area of meeting rooms.

The City will determine Project compliance with its Airport Land Use Plan.

Current Site Conditions

The Project site consists of an oak filled ravine and several flat mesa areas. A farmhouse, outbuildings and pond occupy the site between the proposed Boutique Hotel (Hotel 4) and Lodge (Hotel 3) on Parcel 5 (see **Figure 2**). A private well supplies water to this farm. The Project proposes that the farmhouse and outbuildings remain as is with no new construction. The groundwater well for the farmhouse parcel will be properly abandoned at the time of the recordation of the subdivision, or prior to the issuance of a building permit for a hotel, and the farm will be connected to City-supplied water (Nash, 2016).

Project Water Demands

The Project will use City-supplied potable water and recycled water, when it becomes available between 2020 and 2025. Project water demand was broken down into four categories:

- Water needed for inside hotel operations, including guest rooms
- Destino Paso Resort Hotel WSE City of Paso Robles

2

TODD GROUNDWATER

- Water needed to replenish pools and spa water
- Water needed to replenish water features (at the Main Hotel), and
- Water needed for landscaping.

Recycled water will be used for landscaping and the water features when it becomes available. Potable water will be used until that time. **Table 1** summarizes buildout water use for the various Project components. Also included in the table are water sources for each of the Project components.

		Buildout	Buildout V	Buildout Water Use Sources, AFY					
Project Component		Total Water Use, AFY	Direct City Supplied Water	Non-Revenue City Water ¹	Recycled Water Use ²				
1	Hotel 1 136 rooms	15.49	14.41	1.08	-				
2	Hotel 1 Pool, Spa	1.08	1.00	0.08	-				
3	Hotel 1 Water Features	0.13	-	-	0.13				
4	Hotel 1 Landscaping	1.25	-	-	1.25				
5	Hotel 2 80 rooms	9.11	8.47	0.64	-				
6	Hotel 2 Pool, Spa	0.65	0.60	0.05	-				
7	Hotel 2 Landscaping	1.23	-	-	1.23				
8	Hotel 3 28 rooms	3.19	2.97	0.22	-				
9	Hotel 3 Pool, Spa	0.45	0.42	0.03	-				
10	Hotel 3 Landscaping	1.00	-	-	1.00				
11	Hotel 4 47 rooms	5.35	4.98	0.37	-				
12	Hotel 4 Landscaping	0.33	-	-	0.33				
	Buildout Water Use	39.26	32.85	2.47	3.94				

Table 1 Destino Paso Resort Hotel Buildout Water Use

AFY=acre-feet/year

Water use rates from North Coast Engineering, 2016a. Assumes 84.2% room occupancy and 94.6 gallons/day room use. Landscaping assumes low water use plants.

Preliminary water use estimates may be refined during the Project planning process. Does not include water needed to initially fill pools, spas and water features (~0.42 acre-feet) nor construction water demands. Water use of the existing farm is also not included.

1. Assumes that non-revenue (unaccounted-for) water is 7% of total water use: (e.g., 14.41 AFY $\times 0.07/0.93 = 1.08$ losses). Non-revenue water typically includes unmetered use (e.g. main flushing or firefighting), meter error, and leaks.

2. Recycled water will be available between 2020 and 2025. City-supplied potable water will be used for irrigation in these areas in the interim.

3

The buildout water use of the Project is estimated to be 39.26 AFY. This equates to an average use of about 0.135 AFY per room, based on a total of 291 rooms. Typical hotel use ranges between 0.1 and 0.2 AFY per room (MPWMD, date unknown and Todd, 2015). Of this 39.26 AFY, 35.32 AFY will be City-supplied potable water and 3.94 AFY will be recycled water, once recycled water becomes available to the Project site.

City Water Demands and Supply

The City has relied on groundwater from the Paso Robles Groundwater Basin, water from the Salinas River, and more recently, Nacimiento water. The City has fulfilled water demand in years that have included both extreme dry years (such as 2013) and prolonged severe drought extending over seven years (1984-1990) (see **Figure 3** for annual rainfall data). Recycled water is planned for the future. Discussion of current and projected City water demands and supplies has recently been updated and documented in the City's 2015 UWMP and will not be repeated in detail here. The UWMP can be found on the City's website:

http://www.prcity.com/government/departments/publicworks/water/uwmp.asp

Table 2 summarizes projected population and water demands to buildout and the suppliesprojected to be used to meet those demands.

	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	Buildout (2045 or later)						
Population	32,300	34,400	37,700	39,900	41,900	44,000						
Water Demands (AFY)	7,089	7,575	8,061	8,546	9,032	9,519						
Water Supply Sources t	Water Supply Sources to Meet Demands (AFY)											
Basin Wells	2,600	2,506	2,602	2,124	2,610	2,200						
River Wells	3,100	3,500	3,800	4,558	4,558	4,558						
Nacimiento Water from Water Treatment Plant	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	2,017						
Nacimiento Water from the Recovery Well	269	269	269	269	269	269						
Recycled Water for Potable Offset	0	180	270	475	475	475						
Total Supply	7,089	7,575	8,061	8,546	9,032	9,519						

Table 2 City of Paso Robles Supply and Demand Projections

Note: Supply amounts shown above do not reflect total supply available to the City from each source, nor do they reflect any limits on the City's groundwater rights, but instead the water planned to supply projected demand.

City Demands. Water demand projections in the 2015 UWMP were developed using representative water demand factors, anticipated future conservation, and City General Plan growth assumptions and buildout conditions. Projected water savings are included in these demand projections. Water demand at buildout is projected to be 9,519 AFY. Project water demands are included in these projections.

The supply amounts listed in the table above represent the water planned to supply projected demands and are not the total supply available to the City from each source. More detail on supply sources is provided below.

Basin Wells. Groundwater from the Paso Robles Groundwater Basin (DWR Basin No. 3-4.06) has been and will continue to be an important component of the City's water supply. The City operates 13 deep wells that are dispersed across the City east of the Salinas River. All are screened in the Paso Robles Formation as are the many nearby rural residential and agricultural wells surrounding the City.

The Paso Robles Groundwater Basin has not been adjudicated but it has been designated as high priority and critically overdrafted by the State, requiring management under the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act.

River Wells. The City currently pumps Salinas River water from river wells pursuant to appropriative surface water rights and a permit issued by the State Water Resources Control Board. The City has eight river wells and one Nacimiento water recovery well. Approximately half of the City's current water supply comes from its shallow Salinas River wells in the Atascadero subarea of the Paso Robles Groundwater Basin (**see Figure 4**).

Nacimiento Water. The City of Paso Robles holds a 6,488 AFY delivery entitlement for Lake Nacimiento water with the San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. In order to directly use its Nacimiento supply, the City constructed a 2.4 million gallon per day (mgd) surface water treatment plant which became fully operational in early 2016. The City anticipates operating the plant approximately five to nine months out of the year to serve peak summer demands, yielding approximately 1,120 AFY to 2,017 AFY. Treatment plant operation could be increased to provide up to 2,688 AFY.

In addition to direct deliveries, Nacimiento water also can be utilized by the City through a recovery well. This operation allows Nacimiento water to be turned into the Salinas River channel and captured through the recovery well (as distinct from River water which the City produces pursuant to its water rights Permit issued by the State Board). It is estimated that the recovery well will be operated at a rate of 400 gallons per minute (gpm) for five months out of the year, averaging 269 AFY.

Finally, in times of drought Nacimiento water can be used to augment surface water and improve water supply reliability. Similar to the operation of the recovery well, Nacimiento water can be turned into the Salinas River channel adjacent to City's river wellfield. This allows the river wells to operate when native supplies are low.

Comparison of Supply and Demand

To determine water supply sufficiency, water supply assessments must include a comparison of supply and demand during normal, single dry and multiple dry years during a 20-year projection. **Tables 3** and **4** compare City supply and demand projections in five year increments between 2020 and buildout (anticipated to occur after 2045) for normal and dry climatic years. These tables are based on 2015 UWMP tables. Project water use is included in these UWMP projections. On an annual basis, the City has been able to provide sufficient supplies to meet demand during normal, single-dry, and multiple-dry year periods. Because historical annual pumping has not been greatly affected by drought, the percentage of that supply is considered 100 percent of normal.

Table 4 shows supply and demand in drought years in five year increments between 2020 and buildout (2045 or later). Though customer water use in dry years generally increases as a result of increased irrigation, water use in a drought year was assumed to be the same as a normal year because water use restrictions would limit additional water use, especially for landscape irritation. Supply totals are the supply that will be used to meet demands. For the reasons explained above, the amount of water supply available in times of drought is considered to be the same as that available during normal years, and within historical pumping volumes.

Acre-feet/year	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	Buildout (2045 or later)
Supply totals	7,089	7,575	8,061	8,546	9,032	9,519
Demand totals	7,089	7,575	8,061	8,546	9,032	9,519
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0

 Table 3 City of Paso Robles Normal Year Supply and Demand Projections

NOTES: Supply totals are the supply that will be used to meet demands.

Table 4 City of Paso Robles Dry Year Supply and Demand Projections

Acre-feet/year	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	Buildout (2045 or later)
Supply totals	7,089	7,575	8,061	8,546	9,032	9,519
Demand totals	7,089	7,575	8,061	8,546	9,032	9,519
Difference	0	0	0	0	0	0

NOTES: Supply totals are the supply that will be used to meet demands.

Conclusions

The findings of this WSE are summarized below.

- The proposed Destino Paso Resort Hotel Project, located on Airport Road north of Highway 46 East, will consist of four hotels with a total of 291 rooms or bedroom suites and associated amenities. The hotels will be built in phases.
- The Project was approved in 2009 with a total of 291 accommodations but the applicant is requesting to modify the configuration and types of lodgings.
- A farmhouse and outbuildings currently exist on the property and a private well supplies water to this farm. The farmhouse and outbuildings will remain as is with no new construction but the farm will connect to City-supplied water at the time of the recordation of the subdivision, or prior to the issuance of a building permit for a hotel. The groundwater well will then be properly abandoned.
- Water supply for the Project will include City-supplied potable water and recycled water. Recycled water will be available between 2020 and 2025.
- Project water use is included in the UWMP projections.
- Buildout water use of the Project is estimated at 35.32 AFY of City-supplied potable water and 3.94 AFY of recycled water, once it becomes available. In the interim, City-supplies potable water will be used for irrigation and water features.

In conclusion:

The City has adequate potable supply to provide a reliable long-term water supply for the Project under normal and drought conditions. The Project will need to use recycled water when it becomes available.

References

Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD), date unknown, *Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (California) Annual Water Use Figures for Commercial Users*, http://ceprofs.civil.tamu.edu/kbrumbelow/CVEN664/CVEN664_Handouts.htm, accessed April 27, 2015.

Nash, Darren, City of Paso Robles, 2016, emails to Kate White of Todd Groundwater, July 1 and July 5.

North Coast Engineering, 2016a, Destino Paso Water Usage Analysis tables, June 29.

North Coast Engineering, 2016b, *Development Plan for Destino Paso Resort Hotel*, submitted June 3.

Stanton Architecture, 2016, Destino Paso Resubmittal, June 3.

Todd Groundwater, 2015, Water Supply Evaluation, Paso Robles Vista Resort Project, Draft, July 1.

Todd Groundwater, 2016, *City of Paso Robles 2015 Urban Water Management Plan*, Public Draft, May 4.



Agenda Item No. 1 Page 959 of 979



From: North Coast Engineering, 2016b.



Figure 2 Destino Paso Resort Hotel Conceptual Project





Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan

Project File No./Name: PD Amendment 08-002, CUP 08-002, VTTM Amendment 2962, OTR 16-002 – Destino Paso Resort Hotel, 3350 Airport Road Approving Resolution No.:______ by: Delta Planning Commission City Council Date:

The following environmental mitigation measures were either incorporated into the approved plans or were incorporated into the conditions of approval. Each and every mitigation measure listed below has been found by the approving body indicated above to lessen the level of environmental impact of the project to a level of non-significance. A completed and signed checklist for each mitigation measure indicates that it has been completed.

Explanation of Headings:

Туре:	Project, ongoing, cumulative
Monitoring Department or Agency:	Department or Agency responsible for monitoring a particular mitigation measure
Shown on Plans:	When a mitigation measure is shown on the plans, this column will be initialed and dated.
Verified Implementation:	When a mitigation measure has been implemented, this column will be initialed and dated.
Remarks:	Area for describing status of ongoing mitigation measure, or for other information.

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
AES – 1 The project shall be designed in accordance with the attached specific architectural features to ensure visual impacts are mitigated.	Project	CDD			Prior to issuance of building permits.
 BIO-1 To the maximum extent possible, site preparation, ground-disturbing, and construction activities should be conducted outside of the migratory bird breeding season. If such activities are required during this period, the applicant should retain a qualified biologist to conduct a nesting bird survey and verify that migratory birds are not occupying the site. If nesting activity is detected the following measures should be implemented: a. The project should be modified or delayed as necessary to avoid direct take of identified nests, eggs, and/or young protected under the MBTA; b. The qualified biologist should determine an appropriate biological buffer zone around active nest sites. Construction activities within the established buffer 	Project	Qualified Biologist CDD			Prior to issuance of grading permit

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
zone will be prohibited until the young have fledged the nest and achieved independence; and, c. The qualified biologist should document all active nests and submit a letter report to the City documenting project compliance with the					
BIO-2 Prior to construction, a qualified biologist should conduct a pre-activity survey to identify known or potential dens or sign of San Joaquin kit fox no less than 14 days and no more than 30 days prior to the beginning of the site preparation, ground-disturbing, or construction activities, or any other activity that has the potential to adversely affect the species. If a known or potential den or any other sign of the species is identified or detected within the project area, the biologist will contact USFWS and CDFW immediately. No work will commence or continue until such time that USFWS and CDFW determine that it is appropriate to proceed. Under no circumstances will a known or potential den be disturbed or destroyed without prior authorization from USFWS and CDFW. Within 7 days of survey completion, a report will be submitted to USFWS, CDFW, and the City. The report will include, at a minimum, survey dates, field personnel, field conditions, survey methodology, and survey results.	Project	Qualified Biologist CDD			Prior to issuance of grading permit
BIO-3 During the site-disturbance and/or construction phase, to prevent entrapment of the San Joaquin kit fox, all excavation, steep-walled holes, or trenches in excess of 2 feet in depth should be covered at the close of each working day by plywood or similar materials, or provided with one or more escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks. Trenches should also be inspected for entrapped kit fox each morning prior to onset of field activities and immediately prior to covering with plywood at the end of each working day. Before such holes or trenches are filled or covered, they should be thoroughly inspected for entrapped kit fox. If any kit fox is found, work will stop and USFWS and CDFW will be contacted immediately to determine how to proceed.	On-going	CDD			Prior to issuance of grading permit
	Un-going				grading permit

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
During the site disturbance and/or construction phase, any pipes, culverts, or similar structures with a diameter of 4 inches or greater stored overnight at the project site should be thoroughly inspected for trapped San Joaquin kit foxes before the subject pipe is subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way. If any kit fox is found, work will stop and USFWS and CDFW will be contacted immediately to determine how to proceed.					
BIO-5 Prior to, during, and after the site disturbance and/or construction phase, use of pesticides or herbicides should be in compliance with all federal, state, and local regulations. This is necessary to minimize the probability of primary or secondary poisoning of endangered species utilizing adjacent habitats, and the depletion of prey upon which San Joaquin kit foxes depend.	On-going	CDD			Prior to issuance of grading permit
BIO-6 During the site disturbance and/or construction phase, any contractor or employee that inadvertently kills or injures a San Joaquin kit fox or who finds any such animal either dead, injured, or entrapped should be required to report the incident immediately to the applicant and City. In the event that any observations are made of injured or dead kit fox, the applicant should immediately notify USFWS and CDFW by telephone. In addition, formal notification should be provided in writing within 3 working days of the finding of any such animal(s). Notification should include the date, time, location, and circumstances of the incident. Any threatened or endangered species found dead or injured should be turned over immediately to CDFW for care, analysis, or disposition.	On-going	CDD			Prior to issuance of grading permit
 BIO-7 Prior to final inspection, should any long internal or perimeter fencing be proposed or installed, the City should do the following to provide for kit fox passage: a. If a wire strand/pole design is used, the lowest strand should be no closer to the ground than 12 inches. b. If a more solid wire mesh fence is used, 8 × 12-inch openings near the ground should be provided every 100 yards. 	Project	CDD			Prior to issuing Certificate of Occupancy permit

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
Upon fence installation, the applicant should notify the City to verify proper installation. Any fencing constructed after issuance of a final permit should follow the above guidelines.					
BIO-8 Prior to site disturbance, the CRZ of all oak trees with a DBH of 6 inches or greater must be fenced to protect from construction activities. The proposed fencing shall be shown in orange ink on the grading plan. It must be a minimum of 4' high chain link, snow or safety fence staked (with t posts 8 feet on center) at the edge of the critical root zone or line of encroachment for each tree or group of trees. The fence shall be up before any construction or earth moving begins. The owner shall be responsible for maintaining an erect fence throughout the construction period. The arborist(s), upon notification, will inspect the fence placement once it is erected. After this time, fencing shall not be moved without arborist inspection/approval. If the orange plastic fencing is used, a minimum of four zip ties shall be used on each stake to secure the fence. All efforts shall be made to maximize the distance from each saved tree. Weather proof signs shall be permanently posted on the fences every 50 feet (See Arborist Report for specific language required for signage). All areas within the critical root zone of the trees that can be fenced shall receive a 4-6" layer of chip mulch to retain moisture, soil structure and reduce the effects of soil compaction.	Project	Certified Arborist CDD			Prior to issuing grading permit
BIO-9 All trenching within the critical root zone of native trees shall be hand dug. All major roots shall be avoided whenever possible. All exposed roots larger than 1" in diameter shall be clean cut with sharp pruning tools and not left ragged. A Mandatory meeting between the arborists and grading contractor(s) must take place prior to work start. During the site disturbance and/or construction phase, grading, cutting, or filling within 5 feet of a CRZ of all oak trees with a DBH of 6 inches or greater must be supervised by a certified arborist approved by the City. Such activities beyond 5 feet of a CRZ must be monitored to ensure that activities are in accordance with approved plans. Root pruning outside of the CRZ must be done by hand. Grading should not encroach within the critical root zone unless authorized.	On-going	Certified Arborist CDD		Notes shown on construction documents.	Prior to issuing grading permit.

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
Grading should not disrupt the normal drainage pattern around the trees. Fills should not create a ponding condition and excavations should not leave the tree on a rapidly draining mound.					
BIO-10 Oil, gasoline, chemicals, or other construction materials potentially harmful to oak trees may not be stored in the CRZ of any oak tree with a DBH of 6 inches or greater. No liquid or solid construction waste shall be dumped on the ground within the critical root zone of any native tree. The critical root zone areas are not for storage of materials either.	On-going	CDD		Notes shown on construction documents.	Prior to issuing grading permit.
BIO-11 Drains shall be installed according to City specification so as to avoid harm by excessive watering to oak trees with a DBH of 6 inches or greater.	Project	CDD		Notes shown on construction documents.	Prior to issuing Certificate of Occupancy permit
BIO-12 Landscaping within the CRZ of any oak tree with a DBH of 6 inches or greater is limited to indigenous plant species or non-plant material, such as cobbles or wood chips. All landscape within the critical root zone shall consist of drought tolerant or native varieties. Lawns shall be avoided. All irrigation trenching shall be routed around critical root zones, otherwise above ground drip- irrigation shall be used.	Project	CDD		Notes shown on construction documents.	Prior to issuing Building Permit.
BIO-13 Wires, signs, or other similar items shall not be attached to oak trees with a DBH of 6 inches or greater.	On-going	CDD		Notes shown on construction documents.	Prior to issuing Building Permit.
BIO-14 For each oak tree removed (DBH of 6 inches or greater), a tree or trees of the same species must be planted with a combined DBH of 25% of the removed tree's DBH within the property's boundary.	Project	CDD			Prior to issuing Certificate of Occupancy permit
BIO-15 It is the responsibility of the owner or project manager to provide a copy of the tree protection plan to any and all contractors and subcontractors that work within the critical root zone of any native tree and confirm they are trained in maintaining fencing, protecting root zones and conforming to all tree protection goals. Each contractor must sign and acknowledge this tree protection plan.	Project	CDD			Prior to site disturbance, grading permit issued
BIO-16 Any exposed roots shall be re-covered the same day they were exposed. If they cannot, they must be covered with burlap or another suitable material and	On-going	Certified Arborist CDD		Shown on construction documents	Prior to issuance of grading permit

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
wetted down 2x per day until re-buried. All heavy equipment shall not be driven under the trees, as this will contribute to soil compaction. Also there is to be no parking of equipment or personal vehicles in these areas. All areas behind fencing are off limits unless pre- approved by the arborist.					
BIO-17 As the project moves toward completion, the arborist(s) may suggest either fertilization and/or mycorrhiza applications that will benefit tree health. Mycorrhiza offers several benefits to the host plant, including faster growth, improved nutrition, greater drought resistance, and protection from pathogens.	On-going	Certified Arborist CDD		Shown on construction documents	Prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy
BIO-18 Class 4 pruning includes crown reduction pruning shall consist of reduction of tops, sides or individual limbs. A trained arborist shall perform all pruning. No pruning shall take more than 25% of the live crown of any native tree. Any trees that may need pruning for road/home clearance shall be pruned prior to any grading activities to avoid any branch tearing.	On-going	Certified Arborist CDD		Shown on construction documents	Prior to issuance of building permit
 BIO-19 An arborist shall be present for selected activities (trees identified in Arborist Report and items bulleted below). The monitoring does not necessarily have to be continuous but observational at times during these activities. It is the responsibility of the owner(s) or their designee to inform us prior to these events so we can make arrangements to be present. All monitoring will be documented on the field report form which will be forwarded to the project manager and the City of Paso Robles Planning Department. pre-construction fence placement inspection all grading and trenching identified on the spreadsheet any other encroachment the arborist feels necessary. 	On-going	Certified Arborist CDD		Shown on construction documents	Prior to issuance of building permit
BIO-20 Pre-Construction Meeting: An on-site pre-construction meeting with the Arborist(s), Owner(s), Planning Staff, and the earth moving team shall be required for this project. Prior to final occupancy, a letter from the	Project	Certified Arborist CDD			Prior to issuance of Final Occupancy

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
arborist(s) shall be required verifying the health/condition					
recommendations for any additional mitigation. The					
letter shall verify that the arborist(s) were on site for all					
grading and/or trenching activity that encroached into					
the critical root zone of the selected native frees, and that all work done in these areas was completed to the					
standards set forth above.					
GHG-1	Project	CDD			Prior to occupancy
The proposed project shall implement, at a					permit of hotel 1
minimum, the following GHG-reduction measures:					
a. Install high efficiency lights in parking lots, streets,					
and other public areas.					
D. Comply with manaalory California Green					
standards					
c. Install bicycle facilities and/or amenities beyond					
those required in building standards.					
d. Incorporate a pedestrian access network that					
internally links all uses and connects all existing or					
planned external streets and pedestrian facilities					
contiguous with the project site.					
e. The project site shall be designed to minimize					
barriers to pedestrian access and interconnectivity.					
appropriate (e.g., marked crosswalks, count down					
signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised					
crosswalks, median islands, mini-circles, tiaht corner					
radii, etc.).					
g. Comply with CALGreen Tier 1 or Tier 2 standards					
for water efficiency and conservation.					
h. Divert 65 percent of non-hazardous construction					
or demolition debris.					
I. Include the planting of native and drought					
for tree removal					
i Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-2					
k. Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-3.e-k.					
AQ-1	Project	CDD			Prior to occupancy
	-				permit of hotel 1

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
The following measures shall be implemented to		or Agonoy			
minimize construction-generated emissions. These					
measures shall be shown on arading and building					
plans:					
a. Reduce the amount of the disturbed area where					
possible.					
b. Use of water trucks or sprinkler systems in					
sufficient augntities to prevent girborne dust from					
leaving the site. Increased watering frequency					
would be required whenever wind speeds exceed					
15 mph. Reclaimed (non-potable) water should					
be used whenever possible.					
c. All dirt stock pile areas should be sprayed daily					
as needed.					
d. Permanent dust control measures identified in					
the approved project revegetation and landscape					
plans should be implemented as soon as possible					
following completion of any soil disturbing					
activities;					
e. Exposed ground areas that are planned to be					
reworked at dates greater than one month after					
initial grading should be sown with a fast					
germinating, non-invasive grass seed and watered					
until vegetation is established.					
f. All disturbed soil areas not subject to					
revegetation should be stabilized using approved					
chemical soil binders, jute netting, or other					
methods approved in advance by the SLOAPCD.					
g. All roadways, driveways, sidewalks, etc. to be					
paved should be completed as soon as possible. In					
addition, building pads should be laid as soon as					
possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders					
are used.					
h. Vehicle speed for all construction vehicles shall					
not exceed 15 mph on any unpaved surface at					
the construction site.					
i. All trucks hauling dirt, sand, soil, or other loose					
materials are to be covered or should maintain at					
least two feet of freeboard (minimum vertical					

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
distance between top of load and top of trailer) in					
accordance with CVC Section 23114.					
j. Install wheel washers at the construction site					
entrance, wash off the fires or fracks of all frucks					
and equipment leaving the site, or implement					
other SLOAPCD-approved methods sufficient to					
minimize the track-out of soil onto pavea					
roddwdys.					
k. Sweep sileers at the end of each day it visible					
Water sweepers with reclaimed water should be					
used where feasible					
L The burning of vegetative material shall be					
nrohibited					
m. The contractor or builder shall designate a					
person or persons to monitor the fugitive dust					
emissions and enhance the implementation of the					
measures as necessary to minimize dust					
complaints, reduce visible emissions below 20%					
opacity, and to prevent transport of dust offsite.					
Their duties shall include holidays and weekend					
periods when work may not be in progress. The					
name and telephone number of such persons shall					
be provided to the SLOAPCD Compliance Division					
prior to the start of any grading, earthwork or					
demolition.					
n. Construction of the proposed project shall use					
low-VOC content paints not exceeding 50 grams					
per liter.					
AQ-2	Project	CDD			Prior to occupancy
To reduce operational emissions, the proposed					permit of notel 1
project shall implement the following measures.					
Ine project proponent shall submit proof to the					
Paso Robies Community Development Department					
sign individual implementation of all measures have					
deement appropriate by Community Development					
		1			

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
a. Utilize green building materials (materials which					
are resource efficient, recycled, and sustainable)					
available locally if possible.					
b. Provide shade tree planting in parking lots to					
reduce evaporative emissions from parked					
vehicles. Design should provide 50% tree coverage					
within 10 years of construction using low ROG					
emitting, low maintenance native drought resistant					
trees.					
c. Pave and maintain rodas in parking areas.					
a. Plant drought tolerant halive shade trees along					
southern exposures of buildings to reduce energy					
o Provide pative and drought telerant trees					
beyond those required as mitigation for tree					
removal					
f Incorporate outdoor electrical outlets to					
encourage the use of electric appliances and					
tools.					
g. Install high-efficiency heating and cooling					
systems.					
h. Utilize high-efficiency gas or solar water heaters.					
i. Utilize built-in energy efficient appliances (i.e.,					
Energy Star rated).					
j. Utilize double- or triple-paned windows.					
k. Utilize low energy street lights (i.e., sodium, light-					
emitting diode [LED]).					
1. Utilize energy-efficient interior lighting.					
m. Install door sweeps and weather stripping (If					
more enicient doors and windows are not					
n Install energy reducing programmable					
thermostats					
o Install low water consumption landscape. Use					
native plants that do not require watering after					
they are well established or minimal watering					
during the summer months and are low ROG					
emitting.					
Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------	---------------------------------------	----------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------------------
 p. Provide a designated parking space for alternatively fueled vehicles. q. Provide a shuttle service for guests to local destinations, including Paso Robles Transit/Amtrak Station r. Install energy-saving systems in guest rooms that reduce energy usage when rooms are not occupied. s. Provide a pedestrian access network that internally links all uses and connects all existing or planned external streets and pedestrian facilities contiguous with the project site t. Provide on-site bicycle parking beyond those required by California Green Building Standards Code and related facilities to support long-term use (lockers, or a locked room with standard racks and access limited to bicyclists only). u. Implement traffic calming improvements as appropriate (e.g., marked crosswalks, count-down signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised crosswalks, median islands, mini-circles, tight corner radii, etc.) 					
AQ-3 The following measures shall be implemented to reduce expose of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. These measures shall be shown on grading and building plans: a. Implement Mitigation Measure AQ-1, as identified in "Impact AQ-C", above. b. Demolition of onsite structures shall comply with the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Emissions (NESHAP) requirements (NESHAP, 40 CFR, Part 61, Subpart M) for the demolition of existing structures. The SLOAPCD is delegated authority by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to implement the Federal Asbestos NESHAP. Prior to demolition of onsite structures, the SLOAPCD shall be notified, per NESHAP requirements. SLOAPCD notification form and reporting requirements are	Project	CDD			Prior to occupancy permit of hotel 1

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
included in Appendix A Additional information		or rigency			
may be obtained at website url.					
http://sloclegnair.org/business/asbestos.php					
c. If during demolition of existing structures, paint is					
separated from the construction materials (e.g.					
chemically or physically), the paint waste will be					
evaluated independently from the building					
material by a qualified hazardous materials					
inspector to determine its proper management. All					
hazardous materials shall be handled and					
disposed in accordance with local, state and					
federal regulations. According to the Department					
of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), if paint is not					
removed from the building material during					
demolition (and is not chipping or peeling), the					
material can be disposed of as construction debris					
(a non-hazardous waste). The landfill operator will					
be contacted prior to disposal of building material					
debris to determine any specific requirements the					
landfill may have regarding the disposal of lead-					
based paint materials. The disposal of demolition					
debris shall comply with any such requirements.					
Contact the SLOAPCD Enforcement Division at					
(805) 781-5912 for more information. Approval of a					
lead work plan and permit may be required. Lead					
work plans, if required, will need to be submitted to					
SLOAPCD ten days prior to the start of demolition					
d. On-road diesel vehicles shall comply with					
Section 2485 of Title 13 of the California Code of					
Regulations. This regulation limits idling from diesel-					
fueled commercial motor vehicles with gross					
vehicular weight ratings of more than 10,000					
pounds and licensed for operation on highways. It					
applies to California and non-California based					
vehicles. In general, the regulation specifies that					
drivers of said vehicles:					
1) Shall not idle the vehicle's primary diesel engine					
tor greater than 5 minutes at any location, except					
as noted in Subsection (d) of the regulation; and,					

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
 2) Shall not operate a diesel-fueled auxiliary power system to power a heater, air conditioner, or any ancillary equipment on that vehicle during sleeping or resting in a sleeper berth for greater than 5.0 minutes at any location when within 1,000 feet of a restricted area, except as noted in Subsection (d) of the regulation. e. Maintain all construction equipment in proper tune according to manufacturer's specifications; f. Fuel all off-road and portable diesel powered equipment with ARB certified motor vehicle diesel fuel (non-taxed version suitable for use off-road); g. Use diesel construction equipment meeting ARB's Tier 2 certified engines or cleaner off-road heavy duty diesel engines, and comply with the State off-Road Regulation; h. Idling of all on and off-road diesel-fueled vehicles shall not be permitted when not in use. Signs shall be posted in the designated queuing areas and or job site to remind drivers and operators of the no idling limitation. i. Electrify equipment when possible; j. Substitute gasoline-powered in place of diesel-powered equipment, when available; and, k. Use alternatively fueled construction equipment on-site when available, such as compressed natural gas (CNG), liquefied natural gas (LNG), programe or biodiesel 					
TR-1 Prohibit southbound left turns at State Route 46E/Airport Road to reduce conflict points at this intersection, reduce queuing, and reduce delay on the southbound approach. Intersection delays increase when traffic from Hotels 2, 3, and 4 are included because the southbound and eastbound left turn movements exceed capacity. We recommend prohibiting southbound left turns at this intersection prior to the occupancy of Hotels 2, 3, and 4 unless a local road connection is provided to Wisteria Lane. Until a local road connection is provided to Wisteria Lane, prohibiting southbound left turns would require vehicles destined to travel east on State Route 46	On-going	CDD			Prior to occupancy of hotels 2, 3, and 4

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
to turn right onto westbound State Route 46 then perform a U-turn at Union Road or Golden Hill Road. The existing counts show that fewer than ten vehicles currently make the southbound left turn during the peak hours studied, and shifting these trips would have a negligible effect on operations at the nearby intersections of Union Road and Golden Hill Road. Note that the two alternatives evaluated in the Highway 46/Union Road PSR, to be carried forward in the on- going PR-ED, include modifications to the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection. The overcrossing only alternative includes conversion to right-in/right-out only access, and the full interchange alternative would disconnect Airport Road completely from State Route 46E.					
TR-2 Complete the local road connection from Wisteria Lane to Airport Road prior to occupancy of Hotels 2, 3, and 4. Upon completion, provide signage on the westbound approach to Destino Paso Way/Airport Road to direct hotel visitors to the new local road connection instead of State Route 46E. We recommend monitoring traffic levels at State Route 46E/Airport Road and Destino Paso Way/Airport Road intersections following the new local road connection to determine if additional measures, such as prohibiting westbound left turns out of Destino Paso Way, are required to avoid operational impacts to the State Route 46E/Airport Road intersection.	On-going	CDD			Prior to certificate of occupancy of hotels 2, 3, and 4
TR-3 A sidewalk is proposed along Airport Road between Hotels 3 and 4. A four foot or greater aggregate base walking path is shown on the west side of Airport Road from Destino Paso Way to the northernmost Ravine Water Park parking area. Detailed construction documents should be reviewed once they are ready to ensure that adequate sight distance is provided at the driveways serving Hotels 1 and 3, which are located on the inside of horizontal curves. Landscaping and other features should be restricted near these driveways to provide clear sight	On-going	CDD			Prior to certificate of occupancy of hotels 3 and 4

Mitigation Measure	Туре	Monitoring Department or Agency	Shown on Plans	Verified Implementation	Timing/Remarks
TR-4	Project	CDD			Prior to certificate of
to offset to offset its impacts to the citywide transportation network.					occupancy of notel 1
TR-5	Project	CDD			Prior to certificate of
The applicant will implement employee transportation					occupancy of hotel 1
providing information on regional rideshare programs,					
bike racks, well as provide shuttle service to the multi-					
modal transportation center and downtown for residents					
TR-6	Project	CDD			Prior to certificate of
The project will be required to participate in the SLO Car					occupancy of hotel 1
Free program with SLO County APCD					

(add additional measures as necessary)

Explanation of Headings:

Туре:	Project, ongoing, cumulative
Monitoring Department or Agency:	Department or Agency responsible for monitoring a particular mitigation measure
Shown on Plans:	When a mitigation measure is shown on the plans, this column will be initialed and dated.
Verified Implementation:	When a mitigation measure has been implemented, this column will be initialed and dated.
Remarks:	Area for describing status of ongoing mitigation measure, or for other information.

Attachment 13



3825 South Higuera • Post Office Box 112 • San Luis Obispo, California

In The Superior Court of The State of California In and for the County of San Luis Obispo AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

AD # 2663116 CITY OF PASO ROBLES

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SS.

County of San Luis Obispo

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen and not interested in the above entitled matter; I am now, and at all times embraced in the publication herein mentioned was, the principal clerk of the printers and publishers of THE TRIBUNE, a newspaper of general Circulation, printed and published daily at the City of San Luis Obispo in the above named county and state; that notice at which the annexed clippings is a true copy, was published in the above-named newspaper and not in any supplement thereof - on the following dates to wit; SEPTEMBER 10, 2016 that said newspaper was duly and regularly ascertained and established a newspaper of general circulation by Decree entered in the Superior Court of San Luis Obispo County, State of California, on June 9, 1952, Case #19139 under the Government Code of the State of California.

I certify (or declare) under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

(Signature of Principal Clerk) DATED: SEPTEMBER 10, 2016 AD COST: \$251.68

CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES

NOTICE OF INTENT AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO ADOPT A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR PLANNED DEVELOPMENT AMENDMENT (PD 08-002), CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT (CUP 08-002), VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP 2962, AND AN OAK TREE REMOVAL PERMIT (OTR 16-009) 3350 AIRPORT ROAD (APN: 025-436-029) APPLICANT -- KAREN STIER

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Planning Commission of the City of El Paso de Robles will hold a Public Hearing on Tuesday, October 11, 2016 at 6:30 p.m. at the City of El Paso de Robles, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, California, in the City Council Chambers, to consider approval of a Mitigated Negative Declaration in accordance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for the following project:

The project includes an amendment to an approved Development Plan to establish a four hotels, with up to 291 rooms and ancillary support uses, including a restaurant, ballroom, outdoor patios and pool facilities. The application does not include a request to increase the development intensity of the prior appreved hotel project for this property. The application includes a request to remove seven (7) oak trees.

The project site is 40 acres in area. It is designated in the General Plan Land Use Element and Zoned as Parks and Open Space, with a Resort/Lodging Overlay. The site is within the Paso Robles Airport Land Use Plan, Safety Overlay Zones 2, 3, and 4.

The public review period for the Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) is September 10, 2016 through October 11, 2016. The proposed MND may be reviewed at the Community Development Department, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, California. Copies may be purchased for the cost of reproduction. A copy of the MND is also available on the City website at: http://www .prclty.com/government/departments/com mdev/index.asp.

Written comments on the proposed project and corresponding MND may be mailed to the Community Development Department, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, CA 93446, or emailed to sdecarli@prcity.com, provided that the comments are received prior to the time of the public hearing. Oral comments may be made at the hearing. Should you have any questions regarding this application, please call Susan DeCarili at (805) 237-3970 or email at sdecarli@prc ity.com.

If you challenge this application in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Planning Commission at or prior to the public hearing.

2663116

Agenda	Item	No.	1	Page	978	of 979

September 10, 2016

Susan DeCarli City Planner

Attachment 13

AFFIDAVIT

OF MAIL NOTICES

PLANNING COMMISSION/CITY COUNCIL PROJECT NOTICING

I, Susan DeCarli, employee of the City of El Paso de Robles, California, do hereby certify that the mail notices have been processed as required for the "Destino Paso Resort" (PD 08-002, CUP 08-002, VTTM 2962 & OTR 16-009) request on this 10th day of September, 2016.

City of El Paso de Robles Community Development Department Planning Division

Signed: Susan DeCarli Susan DeCarli