

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE MINUTES

3:30 PM Monday – January 26, 2015

Meeting Location: The Development Review Committee will meet at the Large Conference Room on the second floor of City Hall, at 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, California.

DRC Members Present: Vince Vanderlip, Doug Barth, Al Garcia

Staff Present: Susan DeCarli

Applicants and others present: None

File #: Sign Review
Application: Review request to replace and update existing Starbuck sign.
Location: 1495 Creston Road
Applicant: Atlas Sign Industries
Action: Approved

File #: Sign Review
Application: Review request to replace and update existing Starbuck sign.
Location: 1205 24th Street
Applicant: Atlas Sign Industries
Action: Approved

File #: Sign Review
Application: Review request to install an illuminated sign
Location: 501 Riverside Avenue
Applicant: RE Michel Company
Action: Approved, subject to background color being off-white, not stark white color.

Adjournment to February 2, 2015, at 3:30 pm

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE MINUTES

3:30 PM Monday – February 2, 2015

Meeting Location: The Development Review Committee will meet at the Large Conference Room on the second floor of City Hall, at 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, California.

DRC Members Present: Vince Vanderlip, Doug Barth, Al Garcia

Staff Present: Susan DeCarli, Warren Frace

Applicants and others present: none

File #: Sign Review
Application: Review request to install a sign for Blended Charm.
Location: 1329 A Spring Street
Applicant: Claudia Boller
Discussion: The DRC preferred the sign letter in red.
Action: The DRC approved the sign with the option using red lettering.

File #: Sign Review
Application: Review request to install a cell towers for Verizon Wireless.
Location: 739 12th Street
Applicant: Verizon Wireless / Tricia Knight
Discussion: The DRC reviewed the proposed faux chimney cell towers. They were somewhat concerned that they did not look right at the edge of the building cornice, and would like to see how it would appear if the tower chimneys were recessed into the parapet a few feet, even if they were a little taller. They also questioned if the towers could be a little shorter where they were proposed at the cornice.
Action: The DRC recommended the applicant provide an alternative of the towers recessed into the site for consideration by Planning Commission. They also requested photos of other location where this technique may have been used, and sample chimney materials.

Adjournment to February 9, 2015, at 3:30 pm