TO: CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

FROM: ED GALLAGHER, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: 2014 HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE

DATE: SEPTEMBER 23, 2014

Facts:

Needs: To make a recommendation to the City Council regarding an update to the Housing

Element of the General Plan.

1. The current Housing Element was adopted by the City Council on June 21, 2011.

2. Section 65588 of the California Government Code requires that Housing Elements be updated per a schedule established by the Legislature. According to that section, the City was due to have adopted an updated Housing Element by June 30, 2014.

- 3. A draft updated Housing Element was presented to the Planning Commission and City Council on July 8, 2014 at a public workshop. The City Council directed that minor revisions be made to the draft Housing Element.
- 4. Following the public workshop, the City submitted a copy of the Draft Housing Element to the State Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), for its review as required by Government Code Section 65585,
- 5. In August 2014, HCD recommended several minor revisions to the Draft Housing Element in order for it to determine that the revised draft element would meet the provisions of State Housing Element Law.
- 6. Staff revised the Draft Housing Element to incorporate the revisions recommended by HCD. The revisions are noted in highlighted text and will be summarized in the Analysis Section of this report (below).
- 7. The Draft Housing Element update subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The Draft Housing Element does not authorize any more development (i.e. numbers or locations of dwelling units) or change of rate of development than that established by the Land Use Element of the General Plan. An Initial Study was conducted, and it concludes that the draft Housing Element will not have any significant effect on the environment and that no mitigation measures are necessary. It is proposed, therefore, that the City Council adopt a Negative Declaration for this amendment.

Analysis and Conclusion:

The revisions made by staff in response to concerns raised by HCD (not including a handful of typographical corrections) are summarized below.

Chapter 2 (Goals, Policies, and Actions)

Action 6 (Work with developers to increase the supply of new housing): HCD recommended that this become a "one-time" action with target dates for various projects and/or phases thereof. Staff renumbered this action to Action #8 and provided target dates for phases of the Oak Park Redevelopment and Habitat for Humanity projects.

<u>Action 9 (Zoning Code Amendments)</u>: Originally, Action 9 only addressed amending definitions of "Supportive Housing" and "Transitional Housing" and adding a definition for "Target Population" to implement SB 745 (2013). However, HCD noticed two problems in the City's Zoning Code that also need to be addressed:

- Table 21.16.200 (the list of permitted uses) mislabels second units as applying to "related senior citizens" (which once was, but is no longer the case as State Law governing "granny units" changed several years ago);
- Section 21.21.160 (Emergency Shelter regulations) provides that no emergency shelter may be located within 300 feet of another shelter, however State Law provides that the City cannot require a separation of more than 300 feet.

Action 9 was amended to add the two additional corrections that need to be made.

Action 12 (Developmentally Disabled Housing): This action was added to provide that the City work with the Tri-Counties Regional Center to implement an outreach program that informs families within the City of housing and services available for persons with developmental disabilities. The program could include the development of an informational brochure, including information on services on the City's website, and providing housing-related training for individuals/families through workshops. HCD maintains that such action is necessary for compliance with State Law.

<u>Action 19 (Housing Rehabilitation)</u>: This action was added to provide that the City work with non-profit organizations to obtain financial assistance to rehabilitating dwellings owned or rented by lower-income households. HCD maintains that such action is necessary for compliance with State Law.

Action 22 (Fair Housing Implementation): HCD recommended that this action be expanded to provide information to the public on various state and federal housing programs and fair housing law beyond merely the City's website by providing brochures, posters, etc. at a variety of other locations such as community and senior

centers, local social service offices, and other public locations including City Hall the City Library.

<u>Chapter 4 (Housing Needs Assessment)</u>: The estimated number of homeless persons in the City (on Page 44) was unclear and was subsequently revised.

<u>Chapter 5 (Adequate Sites for Future Housing Needs)</u>: HCD noted that State Law requires that Transitional and Supportive Housing in all zones in which residential development is permitted. The text on Page 64 was revised to correctly state the requirement.

<u>Appendix G (Subsidized Housing at Risk of Conversion to Market Rate)</u>: The statuses of subsidies for Hacienda del Norte and Creekside Gardens Apartments were updated.

<u>Appendix L (Housing Types Allowed by Zoning District)</u>: This table was revised to correctly show that Transitional and Supportive Housing were permitted uses in the AG, RA, R-1, T-3N, T-3F, and T-4N Zones.

Policy

Reference: General Plan: Housing Element; Government Code Sections 65580 et seq.

Fiscal Impact:

Housing Element policies and action items do not authorize any more development or change of rate of development than that established by the Land Use Element of the General Plan. Therefore, there will be no new fiscal impacts associated with the Housing Element Update that were not considered with the 2003 General Plan Update and subsequent amendments to the General Plan.

Implementation of some of the Actions listed in Chapter 2 will entail staff time. Generally, Community Development Department staff spends 100 - 400 hours annually on such activities. (More hours are spent in years in which the Housing Element itself is updated.) In Fiscal Year 14/15, the official hourly rate for planners charged by the City to permit applicants to recover salaries, benefits, and overhead is \$153. Therefore, the City would be expected to spend in the range of \$15,000 - \$30,000 annually on implementing Housing Element Actions in years between Housing Element updates.

Options:

After consideration of all public testimony, that the Planning Commission recommend by voice vote that the City Council consider the following options:

- a. (1) Adopt Resolution No. 14-XX Approving a Negative Declaration for the 2014 Housing Element;
 - (2) Adopt Resolution No. 14-XX Approving the 2014 Housing Element.
- b. Amend, modify or reject the foregoing option.

Attachments:

- 1. Resolution Approving a Negative Declaration
- 2. Resolution Approving the 2014 Housing Element
- 3. Draft 2014 Housing Element (distributed separately due to its size)
- 4. Newspaper Notice

ED\HOUSELEM\2014\PCR 092314

RESOLUTION NO. 14-XXX

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PASO ROBLES APPROVING A NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE 2014 HOUSING ELEMENT

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 65588 of the California Government Code, the City of Paso Robles has prepared a draft 2014 Housing Element of the General Plan to update the 2011 Housing Element; and

WHEREAS, the 2014 Housing Element does not propose any new development that has not been planned/provided for in the Land Use Element of the General Plan; the purpose of the Housing Element is to embody the City's policies for making housing affordable to the full range of income groups defined by State Law; and

WHEREAS, an Initial Study was prepared for the 2014 Housing Element (attached to this resolution), which proposes that a Negative Declaration be approved; and

WHEREAS, Public Notice of the proposed Negative Declaration was given as required by Section 21092 of the Public Resources Code; and

WHEREAS, public hearings were conducted by the Planning Commission on September 23, 2014 and by the City Council on October 7, 2014 to consider the Initial Study prepared for this application, and to accept public testimony regarding this proposed environmental determination for the proposed 2014 Housing Element; and

WHEREAS, based on the information contained in the Initial Study prepared for the 2014 Housing Element and testimony received as a result of the public notice, the City Council finds no substantial evidence that there would be a significant impact on the environment if the 2014 Housing Element is approved.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that based on the City's independent judgment, the City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles does hereby approve a Negative Declaration for the 2014 Housing Element in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Paso Robles this 7th day of October, 2014 by the following vote:

AYES:		
NOES:		
ABSTAIN:		
ABSENT:		
	Duana Disanca Mayor	
	Duane Picanco, Mayor	
ATTEST:		
1111201.		
	_	
Caryn Jackson, Deputy City Clerk		

ENVIRONMENTAL INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST FORM CITY OF PASO ROBLES PLANNING DIVISION

1. PROJECT TITLE: Housing Element Update 2014

Concurrent Entitlements: None

2. LEAD AGENCY: City of Paso Robles

1000 Spring Street

Paso Robles, CA 93446

Contact: Ed Gallagher, City Planner

Phone: (805) 237-3970 **Email:** ed@prcity.com

3. PROJECT LOCATION: City-wide

4. PROJECT PROPONENT: City of Paso Robles

Contact Person: Ed Gallagher, City Planner

Phone: (805) 237-3970 **Email:** ed@prcity.com

5. GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: Affects all residential and most non-residential land use

categories

6. ZONING: Not Applicable

7. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The City of Paso Robles has prepared a draft Housing Element to update the 2011 Housing Element as required by Government Code Sections 65588. The 2014 Update does not propose any new development that was not planned/provided for in the Land Use Element of the General Plan as adopted in December 2003 and subsequently amended on several occasions, the most recent being in April 2014. The purpose of the Housing Element is to embody the City's policies for making housing affordable to the full range of income groups defined by State Law. These policies address new

residential development, preservation of existing housing units, and conservation of existing affordable housing supply.

A full Environmental Impact Report on the General Plan was prepared and certified by the City Council on December 16, 2003. The 2011 Housing Element and subsequent amendments to the Land Use Element of the General Plan, which defined the locations, types, and intensities of residential development, were approved with Negative Declarations.

- **8. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING:** See the Environmental Impact Report for the 2003 Update of the City's General Plan
- 9. OTHER AGENCIES WHOSE APPROVAL IS REQUIRED (AND PERMITS NEEDED): none

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Aesthetics	Agriculture and Forestry Resources	Air Quality
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Geology /Soils
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology / Water Quality
Land Use / Planning	Mineral Resources	Noise
Population / Housing	Public Services	Recreation
Transportation/Traffic	Utilities / Service Systems	Mandatory Findings of Significance

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required. I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required. 09/12/14 Signature Date Ed Gallagher Community Development Director Printed Name

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved. Answers should address off-site as well as onsite, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3. "Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from ""Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
- c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7. Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. A	AESTHETICS: Would the project:				
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental resou	arces or conditions.		
b.	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	conmental resou	arces or conditions.		
c.	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	conmental resou	arces or conditions.		
d.	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? (Sources: 1, 2, 10)				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental resou	arces or conditions.		
reso Eva mo inc Cal fore	AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURD ources are significant environmental effects, lead aluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and luding timberland, are significant environmental diffornia Department of Forestry and Fire Protections and Range Assessment Project and the forest thodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by	agencies may a pared by the Cal I farmland. In d effects, lead ag on regarding th Legacy Assessi	refer to the Californ ifornia Dept. of Cor letermining whether encies may refer to e State's inventory of ment project; and for	ia Agricultural aservation as an impacts to fore information corof forest land, intest carbon means and intest carbon means and intest carbon means and intest carbon means are serviced in the serviced in th	Land optional est resources, mpiled by the ncluding the asurement
a.	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental resou	arces or conditions.		
b.	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	conmental resou	arces or conditions.		

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
c.	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest, land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 5114(g))?					
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.			
d.	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				\boxtimes	
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.			
e.	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?					
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.			
	. AIR QUALITY: Where available, the significant or air pollution control district may be relied u					
a.	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? (Source: 11)				\boxtimes	
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.			
b.	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? (Source: 11)					
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.			
c.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? (Source: 11)				\boxtimes	
	Discussion: The purpose of the project is to bring the Housing Element into conformance with State Housing Element Law while maintaining conformance with the General Plan. As noted in the Project Description, the proposed amendments to the Housing Element do not plan for any additional development above the amounts (i.e. numbers of dwelling units), types, and locations set forth in the December 2003 General Plan as amended.					

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? (Source: 11)				
Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	conmental resou	arces or conditions.		
Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? (Source: 11)				
Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	conmental resou	arces or conditions.		
BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Would the pro	oject:			
Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental resou	arces or conditions.		
Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?				
Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	conmental resou	arces or conditions.		
Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental resou	arces or conditions.		
Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	conmental resou	arces or conditions.		
Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				\boxtimes
	pollutant concentrations? (Source: 11) Discussion: The project will not affect the environment of people? (Source: 11) Discussion: The project will not affect the environment of people? (Source: 11) Discussion: The project will not affect the environment of people? (Source: 11) BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Would the profession of the project will not affect the environment of the project of the project will not affect the environment of the projec	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? (Source: 11) Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resort create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? (Source: 11) Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resort substantial number of people? (Source: 11) Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resort substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resort U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resort U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resort U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resort U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resort U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resort U.S. Fish and Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resort U.S. Fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resort U.S. Fish or Wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? (Source: 11) Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? (Source: 11) Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Would the project: Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? (Source: 11) Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? (Source: 11) Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Would the project: Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or will marshy wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions.

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
	Discussion:				
v.	CULTURAL RESOURCES: Would the project	ct:			
a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	arces or conditions.		
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envi	ronmental reso	arces or conditions.		
c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	arces or conditions.		
d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envi	ronmental reso	arces or conditions.		
VI.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS: Would the project:				
a.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
	i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. (Sources: 1, 2, & 3)				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the	environmental	resources or conditi	ons.	
	ii. Strong seismic ground shaking? (Sources: 1, 2, & 3)				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the	environmental	resources or conditi	ons.	

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	iii.	Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? (Sources: 1, 2 & 3)				
		Discussion: The project will not affect the	environmental	resources or conditi	ons.	
	iv.	Landslides?				
		Discussion: The project will not affect the	environmental	resources or conditi	ons.	
b.		sult in substantial soil erosion or the loss copsoil? (Sources: 1, 2, & 3)				\boxtimes
	Dis	scussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
c.	uns resi on-	located on a geologic unit or soil that is stable, or that would become unstable as a ult of the project, and potentially result in or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, ssidence, liquefaction or collapse?				\boxtimes
	Dis	scussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
d.	Tab (19	located on expansive soil, as defined in ble 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code 194), creating substantial risks to life or perty?				\boxtimes
	Dis	scussion: The project will not affect the envir	conmental reso	urces or conditions.		
e.	sup alte who	ve soils incapable of adequately oporting the use of septic tanks or ernative waste water disposal systems ere sewers are not available for the posal of waste water?				\boxtimes
	Dis	scussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
371		PREMIONE CACEMICCIONE, W	d 41 :4-			
		GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS: Would	a the project:			
a.	dire	nerate greenhouse gas emissions, either ectly or indirectly, that may have a nificant impact on the environment?				
	Ele pro (i.e	scussion: The purpose of the project is to bri ement Law while maintaining conformance was posed amendments to the Housing Element of unumbers of dwelling units), types, and local ended.	rith the General do not plan for	l Plan. As noted in any additional deve	the Project Desc clopment above	cription, the the amounts

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b.	Conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gasses?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
VI	II. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIA	ALS: Would t	he project:		
a.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
b.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	conmental reso	urces or conditions.		
c.	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	conmental reso	urces or conditions.		
d.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	Commental reco	Urces or conditions		

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
g.	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
h.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
IX.	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY: V	Would the proj	ect:		
a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
b.	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., Would the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)? Would decreased rainfall infiltration or groundwater recharge reduce stream baseflow? (Source: 7)				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
c.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site? (Source: 10) Discussion: The project will not affect the envir		Urces or conditions		

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site? (Source: 10)				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
e.	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? (Source: 10)				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
f.	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
g.	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
h.	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
i.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
j.	Inundation by mudflow? Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	nonmental reso	ources or conditions.		
k.	Conflict with any Best Management Practices found within the City's Storm Water Management Plan?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1.	Substantially decrease or degrade watershed storage of runoff, wetlands, riparian areas, aquatic habitat, or associated buffer zones?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
Χ.	LAND USE AND PLANNING: Would the pro	oject:			
a.	Physically divide an established community?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
b.	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
	Discussion: The purpose of the project is to be Element Law while maintaining conformance we proposed amendments to the Housing Element amounts (i.e. numbers of dwelling units) and raforth in the December 2003 General Plan as an	vith the Genera do not call for tes (number of	l Plan. As noted in any development the	the Project Desc at would exceed	cription, the the
c.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
VI	. MINERAL RESOURCES: Would the project	t ·			
a.	Result in the loss of availability of a known	ι.			
u.	mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? (Source: 1)				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
b.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally- important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? (Source: 1)				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XI	I. NOISE: Would the project result in:				
a.	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? (Source: 1)				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
b.	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
c.	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
d.	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? (Sources: 1, 4)				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
a.	II. POPULATION AND HOUSING: Would the Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? (Source: 1)	ne project:			\boxtimes
	Discussion: As noted in the Project Description for any development that would exceed the amoracres or dwelling units developed per year) set	ounts (i.e. num	bers of dwelling uni	ts) and rates (nu	mber of
b.	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c.	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
	Discussion: The purpose of the Housing Element	ent is to facilita	ate the development	of affordable ho	ousing.
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES: Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:					
a.	Fire protection? (Sources: 1,10)				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
b.	Police protection? (Sources: 1,10)				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
c.	Schools?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
d.	Parks?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	conmental reso	urces or conditions.		
e.	Other public facilities? (Sources: 1,10)	П	П		\bowtie
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	conmental reso	urces or conditions.	_	—
X V	. RECREATION Would the project increase the use of				
a.	existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
b.	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XV	I. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC: Would th	e project:			
a.	Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the environment of	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
b.	Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to a level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the environment of the project will not affect the project will not	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
c.	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the environment of the project will not affect the project	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
d.	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the environment of the project will not affect the project	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
e.	Result in inadequate emergency access? Discussion: The project will not affect the environment of the environment of the project will not affect the environment of t	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		
f.	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such_facilities?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the environment of the project will not affect the project will not	onmental reso	urces or conditions.		

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XV	VII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS: V	Would the proje	ect:		
a.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
b.	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
c.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project=s projected demand in addition to the provider=s existing commitments?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		
g.	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the envir	ronmental reso	urces or conditions.		

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XV	III. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFIC	CANCE			
a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
	Discussion: The project will not affect the environment of the environment of the control of the	onmental resou	irces or conditions.		
b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				\boxtimes
	Discussion: The project will not affect the environment of the project will not affect the project will not	onmental resou	arces or conditions.		
c.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				

Discussion: The project will not affect the environmental resources or conditions.

EARLIER ANALYSIS AND BACKGROUND MATERIALS.

Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, one or more effects have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c)(3)(D).

Earlier Documents Prepared and Utilized in this Analysis and Background / Explanatory Materials

Reference #	Document Title	Available for Review at:
1	City of Paso Robles General Plan	City of Paso Robles Community Development Department 1000 Spring Street Paso Robles, CA 93446
2	City of Paso Robles Zoning Code	Same as above
3	City of Paso Robles Environmental Impact Report for General Plan Update	Same as above
4	2005 Airport Land Use Plan	Same as above
5	City of Paso Robles Municipal Code	Same as above
6	City of Paso Robles Water Master Plan	Same as above
7	City of Paso Robles Urban Water Management Plan 2005	Same as above
8	City of Paso Robles Sewer Master Plan	Same as above
9	City of Paso Robles Housing Element	Same as above
10	City of Paso Robles Standard Conditions of Approval for New Development	Same as above
11	San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District Guidelines for Impact Thresholds	APCD 3433 Roberto Court San Luis Obispo, CA 93401
12	San Luis Obispo County – Land Use Element	San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning County Government Center San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
13	USDA, Soils Conservation Service, Soil Survey of San Luis Obispo County, Paso Robles Area, 1983	Soil Conservation Offices Paso Robles, Ca 93446

RESOLUTION NO. 14-XXX

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PASO ROBLES APPROVING THE 2014 HOUSING ELEMENT

WHEREAS, on June 21, 2011, the City Council adopted an updated Housing Element; and

WHEREAS, in June 2014, pursuant to Section 65588 of the California Government Code, the City of Paso Robles prepared a draft update of the Housing Element of the General Plan; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 65585 of the California Government Code, in 2009, the City submitted the 2014 draft updated Housing Element to the State Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) for its review; and

WHEREAS, on August 22, 2014, the City received comments from HCD recommending changes to the April 2011 draft Housing Element; and

WHEREAS, HCD's recommendations received on August 22, 2014 and the City's suggested responses thereto were presented to the Planning Commission on September 23, 2014 as part of the staff report; and

WHEREAS, at its meeting of May 24, 2011, the Planning Commission took the following actions:

- a. Considered the facts and analysis, as presented in the Draft September 2014 Housing Element and the staff report;
- Conducted public hearings to obtain public testimony on the proposed Draft 2014 Housing Element;
- c. Based on the information contained in the initial study prepared for the Draft 2014 Housing Element, found that there was no substantial evidence that the 2014 Housing Element would have significant adverse effects on the environment and recommended that the City Council approve a Negative Declaration;
- d. Recommended that the City Council adopt the Draft 2011 Housing Element incorporating the suggested City responses to HCD's recommendations received on May 16, 2011; and

WHEREAS, at its meeting of June 21, 2011, the City Council took the following actions:

- a. Considered the facts and analysis, as presented in the Draft September 2014 Housing Element and the staff report;
- b. Considered the recommendations of the Planning Commission;
- c. Considered public testimony on the Draft 2014 Housing Element;
- d. Found that there was no substantial evidence that the Draft 2014 Housing Element would have significant adverse effects on the environment and approved a Negative Declaration in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED by the City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles as follows:

1. The 2014 Housing Element, which shall be Exhibit "A" to this Resolution, but because of its large size shall be maintained on file in the City's Community Development Department, which consists of the Draft September 2014 Housing Element, is hereby approved and adopted.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of the following vote:	f Paso Robles this 7th day of October, 2014 by
AYES: NOES: ABSTAIN: ABSENT:	
Duane Pi	canco, Mayor
ATTEST:	
Carvn Jackson, Deputy City Clerk	

2. The above Recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein by this reference.

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

LEGAL NEWSPAPER NOTICES

PLANNING COMMISSION PROJECT NOTICING

Newspaper:

The Tribune

Date of Publication: 09/12/2014

Meeting Date:

09/23/2014

Planning Commission

Project:

2014 Update of the

Housing Element of

the General Plan

I, Kristen L. Buxkemper _____, employee of the Community Development Department, Engineering Division, of the City of El Paso de Robles, do hereby certify that this notice is a true copy of a published legal newspaper notice for the above named project.

CITY OF PASO ROBLES NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION

2014 UPDATE OF THE HOUSING ELEMENT OF THE GENERAL PLAN

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Planning Commission of the City of El Paso de Robles will hold a Public learing on Tuesday, September 23, 2014, to consider recommending that the City Council approve the 2014 Update of the Housing Element of the General Plan and adopt a Negative Declaration for this project. The public nearing will be held at 7:30 p.m. in the Library Conference Canter/City Council Chambers at Paso de Robles Library/City Hall, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, California.

The Public Review Period for the proposed Negative Declaration will commence on September 13, 2014, and end on October 7, 2014 at the City Council hearing for this matter.

All interested persons may attend this public hearing and be heard on this matter.

The Pianning Commission's action on the 2014 Update of the Housing Element of the General Plan and on the proposed Negative Declaration will be advisory only. The City Council will conduct a hearing on this matter on October 7, 2014 for the purposes of making final decisions on the 2014 Update of the Housing Element and the Negative Declaration. Separate notice of that hearing will be published at a later date.

The City of Paso Robles has reviewed the above project in accordance with the City of Paso Robles' Rules and Procedures for the Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act and has made a preliminary determination that an Environmental impact Report need not be prepared because the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

The Initial Study which provides the basis for this determination is available at the City of Paso Robles, Community Development Department, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, CA 93446.

The public is invited to provide written comment on the 2014 Update of the Housing Element and the Draft Negative Declaration and to provide oral comment at the public hearings noted above. The appropriateness of the Draft Negative Declaration will be reconsidered in light of the comments received.

Written comments on the proposed project may be mailed to the Community Development Department, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, CA 93446 or emailed to planning@proity.com, provided that the comments are recelved prior to the time of the public hearing. Oral comments may be made at the hearing. Should you have any questions regarding this application, please contact Ed Gallagher, Community Development Director, at (805) 237-3970 or via email to ed@prcity.com.

If you challenge the Housing Element in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearings described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Planning Commission at, or prior to, the public hearing.

Ed Gallagher, Community Development Director

1267380