

RESOLUTION NO: 96-09
A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES
GRANTING NEGATIVE DECLARATION STATUS FOR
CODE AMENDMENT 95012
(BLACK'S HATCHERY - EXPANSION OF HIGHWAY SIGN DISTRICT)

WHEREAS, Black's Hatchery and Turkey Farm has filed Code Amendment 95012 which proposes to expand the geographic district in which highway oriented signs may be considered and approved via conditional use permits, to include property located at 1125 - 24th Street, and

WHEREAS, the applicant subsequently amended the application to include additional parcels located at 1107 - 24th Street, 2405 Riverside Avenue, and the northeast corner of Black Oak Drive Riverside Avenue, and

WHEREAS, an Initial Study was prepared for this project (Attached as Exhibit A), and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was conducted by the Planning Commission on January 8, 1996, and January 22, 1996, and by the City Council on February 6, 1996 to consider the initial study prepared for this application, and to accept public testimony regarding this proposed environmental determination on the code amendment, and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the code amendment will not have the potential to create significant environmental impact/effect.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that based on the City's independent judgment, the City Council of the City of El Paso De Robles does hereby grant a Negative Declaration status for Code Amendment 95012.

PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 6th day of February, 1996 by the following roll call vote:

| | |
|----------|---|
| AYES: | Heggarty, Iversen, Martin, Picanco, and Macklin |
| NOES: | None |
| ABSTAIN: | None |
| ABSENT: | None |


MAYOR WALTER J. MACKLIN

ATTEST:



RICHARD J. RAMIREZ, CITY CLERK

mwl\ordin\hwy\env.res

INITIAL STUDY

DATE: January 10, 1996

FILE #: CODE AMENDMENT 95012

APPLICATION: To expand the geographic district where a highway oriented signs could be approved subject to CUP approval

APPLICANT: Black's Hatchery and Turkey Farm

1. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION: Attached.
2. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING: Attached.
3. IDENTIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS: Please see attached Initial Study Checklist.
4. DISCUSSION OF SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS:
If any of the items on the Initial Study Checklist are marked "Yes/Maybe", please see the attached Analysis for discussion and recommendations for mitigation or further environmental study.
5. CONSISTENCY OF PROJECT WITH EXISTING GENERAL PLAN, ZONING AND OTHER LAND USE CONTROLS:
 This project is consistent with the City's General Plan, Zoning Ordinance and other land use controls.
 This project involves a request to change the General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, and/or other land use controls.
6. PERSONS PARTICIPATING IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS INITIAL STUDY:
Meg Williamson, Principal Planner
7. DETERMINATION: On the basis of this Initial Study:
 I find that the proposed project could not have a significant effect on the environment, and a Negative Declaration will be prepared.
 I find that, although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on the attached. Discussion of Environmental Evaluation have been added to the project. A Negative Declaration will be prepared.
 I find that there is insufficient information to determine whether the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment and that the applicant needs to provide additional information in the form of an expanded initial study.
 I find that the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and recommend that an Environmental Impact Report be prepared.

Meg Williamson, Principal Planner

MWORDINHWHY\INITSTUD

INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST

FILE #s: CODE AMENDMENT 95012
 APPLICATION: To expand the geographic district where Highway signs may be
be permitted subject to conditional use permit approval
 APPLICANT: Black's Hatchery and Turkey Farm

This Initial Study Checklist was completed by reviewing the project application in light of the following:

- a. The City's General Plan, Municipal Code and adopted Standards;
- b. Environmental information and studies maintained by the City;
- c. Consultation, when necessary, with Responsible and Trustee Agencies, as defined by CEQA, and other interested parties;
- d. Observation of the project site in the field.

All items checked "Yes/Maybe" will be discussed in the section entitled "Analysis" attached to this checklist.

If an item is checked "No", the project will either not have a significant effect on the environment, or, any potential significant effects will be mitigated by standard conditions of development required by the City.

| Item | Environmental Impact | Yes/Maybe | No |
|------|---|-------------|--------|
| 1. | <u>LAND USE, POPULATION, HOUSING:</u> | | |
| a. | Alteration of present or planned land use in an area..... |X..... | |
| b. | Compatibility with existing or planned land uses in an area..... |X..... | |
| c. | Alteration of location, distribution, density or population growth rate of an area..... | | ...X.. |
| d. | Affect existing housing or create demand for additional housing..... | | ...X.. |
| e. | Airport Land Use Plan..... | | ...X.. |
| 2. | <u>CIRCULATION/TRANSPORTATION:</u> | | |
| a. | Traffic generation..... | | ...X.. |
| b. | Traffic access, movement, hazards..... |X..... | |
| c. | Pedestrian, bicycle systems..... | | ...X.. |
| d. | Parking facilities..... | | ...X.. |
| e. | Emergency vehicle access..... | | ...X.. |
| f. | Air, rail operations..... | | ...X.. |
| 3. | <u>GEOLOGY AND SOILS:</u> | | |
| a. | Unstable earth, changes in geological substructures..... | | ...X.. |
| b. | Changes to soil strata (disruption, displacement, compaction, etc.)..... | | ...X.. |
| c. | Exposure of people or property to landslides and seismic hazards..... | | ...X.. |
| d. | Increase in soil erosion..... | | ...X.. |

| Item | Environmental Impact | Yes/Maybe | No |
|------|--|-------------|--------|
| 4. | <u>SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE WATER:</u> | | |
| a. | Changes to groundwater flows..... | | ...X.. |
| b. | Groundwater quality and quantity..... | | ...X.. |
| c. | Streamcourse alteration and siltation..... | | ...X.. |
| d. | Increase in runoff, storm drainage impact..... | | ...X.. |
| e. | Other water-related impacts..... | | ...X.. |
| 5. | <u>VEGETATION AND ANIMAL LIFE:</u> | | |
| a. | Oak trees..... | | ...X.. |
| b. | Other vegetation concerns..... | | ...X.. |
| c. | Wildlife habitats..... | | ...X.. |
| d. | Other wildlife concerns..... | | ...X.. |
| 6. | <u>AIR QUALITY:</u> | | |
| a. | Creation of air emissions..... | | ...X.. |
| b. | Creation of objectionable odors..... | | ...X.. |
| c. | Alteration of air movement patterns..... | | ...X.. |
| d. | Other air quality concerns..... | | ...X.. |
| 7. | <u>PUBLIC SERVICES AND UTILITIES:</u> | | |
| a. | Fire protection..... | | ...X |
| b. | Police protection..... | | ...X |
| c. | Water service..... | | ...X |
| d. | Sewer service..... | | ...X |
| e. | Street maintenance..... | | ...X |
| f. | Other governmental services..... | | ...X |
| g. | PG&E..... | | ...X |
| h. | So. California Gas Co..... | | ...X |
| i. | Sonic Cable TV, Pacific Bell..... | | ...X |
| j. | Solid waste disposal..... | | ...X |
| 8. | <u>HEALTH AND SAFETY:</u> | | |
| a. | Noise: Creation of or exposure to..... | | ...X.. |
| b. | Light & Glare: Creation of..... |X..... | |
| c. | Electromagnetic disturbance, radiation..... | | ...X.. |
| d. | Health hazards: Creation of or exposure to..... | | ...X.. |
| e. | Fire, Explosion, Chemical spill..... | | ...X.. |
| 9. | <u>AESTHETICS:</u> | | |
| a. | Visually-sensitive area or corridor..... |X..... | |
| b. | Hillside, grading issues..... | | ...X.. |
| c. | Other aesthetic concerns..... | | ...X.. |
| 10. | <u>PARKS, RECREATION, AND OPEN SPACE:</u> | | |
| a. | Impact on public parks and recreation..... | | ...X.. |
| b. | Generates need for private recreation..... | | ...X.. |
| c. | Need to maintain open space..... | | ...X.. |
| 11. | <u>CULTURAL, HISTORICAL, AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL:</u> | | |
| a. | Historic and/or cultural sites..... | | ...X.. |
| b. | Archaeological sites..... | | ...X.. |

| Item | Environmental Impact | Yes/Maybe | No |
|------|--|-----------|--------|
| 12. | <u>NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY:</u> | | |
| a. | Natural resources supply..... | | ...X.. |
| b. | Energy supply..... | | ...X.. |
| 13. | <u>MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:</u> | | |
| a. | Potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a wildlife species, cause wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory..... | | X |
| b. | Potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals.. | | X |
| c. | Impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable..... | | X |
| d. | Substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly..... | | X |