

RESOLUTION NO. 90-196

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES ADOPTING THE FISCAL YEAR 1990-91 CLAIM FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION ACT AND STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUNDS

BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles adopts the FY1990-91 Claim for Local Transportation Act and State Transit Assistance Funds attached herewith as Exhibit"A"; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Regional Transit Authority/ Handicapped contribution be allocated directly to the Joint Powers Authority; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Director of Administrative Services is hereby authorized to execute said claim on the behalf of the City of El Paso de Robles.


PASSED AND ADOPTED this 6th day of November, 1990 by the following vote:

AYES: Russell, Reneau, Martin, Picanco and Iversen
NOES: None
ABSENT: None



Christian E. Iversen, Mayor

ATTEST:



Jerry Bankston, City Clerk

CR.88-2053

ANNUAL CLAIM
FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS AND
STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUNDS

CLAIM NO. TDA-PR-90/91
FISCAL YEAR 1990/91

TO: San Luis Obispo Area Coordinating Council
County Government Center
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

FROM: CLAIMANT: CITY OF PASO ROBLES

ADDRESS: P. O. BOX 307

CITY: PASO ROBLES CA ZIP CODE: 93446

CONTACT PERSON: MICHAEL COMPTON, FINANCE DIR. PHONE: 238-1515

This claimant, qualified pursuant to Section 99203 of the Public Utilities Code, hereby requests, in accordance with Chapter 1400, Statutes of 1971, as amended and applicable rules and regulations, that an allocation be made for the purposes and in the respective amounts as described in the attached Project and Financial Plan claim form.

- a) Annual (LITF) Transit Apportionment \$ 333,068
- b) Annual Bicycle/Ped. Apportionment \$ 6,797
- c) STA Fund—Operator Revenues \$ 0

TOTAL FUNDS BEING CLAIMED ARE \$ 339,865

Claimant Signature: *Michael Compton*

Title: Director of Administrative Services

Date: November 13, 1990

This claim was approved by San Luis Obispo Area Coordinating Council at their July 11, 1990 meeting, by Resolution No. 90-06.

Ronald L. De Carli
Ronald L. De Carli, Program Manager

10/14/90
Date

FY 90/91
City of Paso Robles

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ANNUAL PROJECT AND FINANCIAL PLAN

Briefly describe all proposed projects (title and descriptions) the proposed use of these funds (by Article and Section of the Transportation Development Act) and the proposed expenditures for the ensuing year for all TDA funds including local transportation funds (previously SB 325) and State Transit Assistance Funds.

Project Title / Brief Description Purpose / FUC Article & Sec. Amount

- | | | |
|--|--|------------|
| 1. Fund portion of Paso Robles Dial a Ride, a door-to-door transit service | Article 4, FUC 99260(a) | \$ 180,000 |
| 2. Fund Paso Robles apportionment of Regional Transit System, per Joint Powers Agreement. | Article 4, FUC 99260(a) | \$ 46,449 |
| 3. Fund bike/pedestrian projects for pathways or bicycle safety education | FUC 99234
Section 6655.2 | \$ 6,797 |
| 4. Fund Miscellaneous Construction, reconstruction and maintenance projects not inconsistent with the adopted Regional Transportation Plan | Public Transportation
Article 8, Sec.99400(a) | \$ 106,619 |

1) Total Claim for LTF funds	\$	339,865
2) Total Claim for STA funds	\$	0

TOTAL TDA CLAIM AMOUNT \$ 339,865

CLAIMANT CERTIFIED:

SLOACC ACTION: Approved July 11, 1990
Resolution # 90-06

By: *[Signature]*
Title: Dir. Admin. Services
Date: November 13, 1990

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CLAIM
FILING REQUIREMENTS AND CERTIFICATIONS
FY 1990/91

City of Paso Robles
Jurisdiction

I. FILING REQUIREMENTS

Please submit the following items as marked.

- X 1. The signed TDA Claim Form.
- X 2. A certified copy of a resolution or minute order authorizing the claims and approving basic purposes for which they are filed.
- X 3. A completed annual project and financial plan identifying all proposed IITF and STA expenditures.
- X 4. A budget or proposed budget for the fiscal year of the claim or portion thereof pertaining to transportation (CAC Section 6734b), identifying percentage of funding to be used for transit advertising.
- n/a 5. A statement of projected or estimated revenues and expenditures for the prior fiscal year pertaining to transportation (CAC Section 6734b).
- n/a 6. A statement identifying actions to comply with the prior year's fiscal audit recommendations (FUC Section 99245).
- n/a 7. A statement identifying efforts made to implement the transit productivity improvements and performance audit recommendations (FUC Section 99244/CAC Section 6754(b)(1)), making specific reference to each recommended improvement.
- n/a 8. A statement identifying and substantiating the reason or need for any increase in transportation operating budget in excess of 15% above the preceding year, and substantial increase or decrease in scope of operations, or any capital provisions for major new fixed facilities (FUC Section 99266; CAC Section 6632).
- X 9. A certificate from the California Highway Patrol per Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code (FUC Section 99251) for jurisdictions operating transit systems (pull notice program, bus facility inspection).
- X 10. A California Highway Patrol certificate of compliance with General Purpose Paratransit Vehicles (GPPV) inspection and driver certification requirements per California Code of Regulations Sections 1201 through 1208.

II. TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CERTIFICATIONS

By signing below, the authorized transit official certifies compliance with all of the required TDA Certifications (those marked by an X).

X 1. Verification of compliance with the prior Unmet Needs hearing findings (either included in the city/county budget or included in attached claim) (CAC Section 6754c), including:

n/a a. Senior Van operating subsidy (\$2,400/year) and mandatory insurance coverage (Note: Recommended funding through General Fund Budget, rather than TDA claim, due to reporting requirements);

X b. Local share of community/regional transit systems (CAC Section 6754(2)(5)).

X 2. Verification the proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan (CAC Section 6754.1).

X 3. The transit system operator does not routinely staff a transit vehicle designed to be operated by one person with two or more persons (FUC Section 99264); or is not precluded by contract from employing part time drivers or from contracting with common carriers of persons operating under a franchise or license (CAC Section 6754 (b) (2)).

X 4. Existing and projected farebox ratios are as listed below (FUC Section 99268.1,2,3,5; CAC Section 6633.2, 6754(2)) and are sufficient to meet required fare revenue requirement.

<u>Paso Robles DAR</u>	
a. Fiscal Year 1989/90	Farebox Ratio <u>n/a</u>
b. Projected Fiscal Year 1990/91	Farebox Ratio _____

X 5. The transit system meets eligibility requirements under the funds test (CAC Section 6634; 6754(2)(4)).

Estimated 1990/91 Operating Cost	_____
Less depreciation, amortization and vehicle lease costs	_____
Net Operating Costs	_____
Less amount of fare revenues required to meet your minimum farebox revenue	_____
Maximum amount receivable for transit system	_____

X 6. Full use is being made of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act for transportation purposes (CAC Section 6754(a)(3)).

- X 7. Compliance will be maintained with the adopted transfer pass policy. (FUC Section 99282, 99282.5).
- X 8. Compliance will be maintained with FUC Section 99155 relative to reduced transit fares and identification cards for senior citizens and disabled persons.
- X 9. Compliance will be maintained with FUC Section 99314.6 regarding operator qualification for STA funding; subsidy per revenue hour in the budget year shall not exceed previous year's subsidy per revenue hour plus 90% of the change in Consumer Price Index multiplied by the previous year's subsidy. (For FY90/91, projected hourly subsidy shall not exceed 104.4% of previous hourly subsidy.)
- X 10. Funding received for bikepath/pedestrian funding will be separately accounted for, and spent only in accordance with FUC Article 3, Section 99234.
- X 11. Conditions placed upon the claim by action of the San Luis Obispo Area Coordinating Council will be met in a timely fashion, including the following.
- n/a a. Payment of the second quarterly payment will be conditional upon receipt of the Annual Report of Transit Operators to be submitted yearly by the end of September to the State Controller and the Area Council.
- X b. Monthly operating data will be submitted to the Area Council for any transit system operated.



Signature of Authorized Official
Certifying Compliance

November 13, 1990

Date

FISCAL YEAR 1990/91
TDA FUND APPORTIONMENT
BREAKDOWN BY JURISDICTION

Jurisdiction	Revenues							Distribution					TOTAL DISTRIBUTED		
	Pop. (1)	Percent of Total	LTF DIST. (2)	STA Rev.	TOTAL LTF Dist and STA	2% Bike Alloc.	TOTAL W/BIKES	SLORTA	SCAT	Community Systems	Audit Setaside (4)	Transit Percent		Streets, Roads, Bikepaths	2% Bike Programs
Arroyo Gran	15,113	6.81%	288,066	818	288,884	5,879	294,763	28,937	90,794	3,600		42.7%	165,553	5,879	294,763
Atascadero	23,517	10.60%	448,253	78	448,331	9,148	457,479	54,880			450	12.2%	393,001	9,148	457,479
Grover City	11,734	5.29%	223,659		223,659	4,564	228,224	23,653	70,494			42.1%	129,512	4,564	228,224
Morro Bay	10,377	4.68%	197,794	70	197,864	4,037	201,900	28,871		168,843	150	99.9%	0	4,037	201,900
Paso Robles	17,474	7.88%	333,068		333,068	6,797	339,866	46,449		180,000		68.0%	106,619	6,797	339,866
Pismo Beach	7,881	3.55%	150,218		150,218	3,066	153,284	15,702	47,346	2,400		43.6%	84,770	3,066	153,284
SLO City	42,136	18.99%	803,146	368	803,514	16,391	819,904	135,080		667,884	550	99.9%	0	16,391	819,904
SLO County	93,611	42.20%	1,784,300	1,724	1,786,024	36,414	1,822,438	353,807	38,834	170,000	2,400	31.5%	1,220,984	36,414	1,822,438
Cerebral Palsy		(3)								9,000				0	9,000
TOTALS	221,843	100%	4,228,504	3,058	4,231,562	86,296	4,317,858	687,379	247,468	1,192,727	3,550	50.3%	2,100,438	86,296	4,317,858

(1) Population figures from San Luis Obispo County figures, based upon State Department of Finance figures, January 1, 1990.

(2) LTF apportionment total assumes bikepath and STA (PUC 99313) setasides. STA funding based on transit revenues (PUC 99314) funds are shown and distributed above, as are STA Carry Over Balance figures (STA COB).

(3) Cerebral Palsy funding is not jurisdictional. Totals do not include the \$9,000 figure listed above, comprised of \$6,000 PUC 1275(a) comprised of \$6,000 PUC 99275 and \$3000 PUC 99313, STA Co. agency.

(4) Audit Setaside funded from Article 4 to fund difference between low bid and bid preferred by jurisdiction.