### TO: City Council

### FROM: Doug Monn, Public Works Director

### SUBJECT: Wastewater User Rates – Public Protest Hearing

### DATE: November 15, 2011

- **NEEDS:** For the City Council to conduct a public protest hearing, and if there is no majority protest, consider introduction of an ordinance establishing a revised wastewater rate structure.
- **FACTS:** 1. Current wastewater rates and wastewater facility charges (i.e. connection fees) generate approximately \$4.7 million/year for wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal service to residents and businesses.
  - 2. The Wastewater Fund operates with an annual deficit, requiring the City to use reserves. As a consequence, the available fund balance is diminishing and is currently approximately \$3.7 million.
  - 3. Improvements to the City's wastewater treatment plant are needed to replace obsolete technology, improve the quality of treated wastewater discharges to the Salinas River, and comply with more stringent State and Federal regulations.
  - 4. The State's Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board) currently fines the City approximately \$9,000 per month for discharge violations. Unless improvements are made to the treatment plant thereby improving the quality of wastewater discharge, fines could escalate to \$10,000 per day.
  - 5. The Water Board has set deadlines for the City to upgrade the wastewater treatment plant. Meeting these deadlines would avoid additional fines.
  - 6. Design of the treatment plant upgrade is complete and is estimated to cost \$49.6 million. Additional capital projects totaling \$32 million over the next 14 years will be needed to improve the City's collection system. Annual costs will total \$12 million to fund operations and maintenance costs, debt service, planned capital projects, and depreciation.
  - 7. Revenues generated by the existing wastewater rate structure are inadequate to sustain wastewater system operations, or fund depreciation (i.e. future replacement of aging infrastructure) or necessary system improvements.
  - 8. Kennedy/Jenks Consultants and TJ Cross Engineers performed a wastewater needs assessment and prepared rates and facility charges studies. The findings of both studies were presented to City Council on September 6, 2011.
  - 9. City Council authorized notifying ratepayers of proposed user rate increases per California Constitution Articles XIIIC and XIIID (Proposition 218). Notices were mailed to all property owners and wastewater customers on September 21, 2011 with information on how to file a written protest to the proposed rate changes.

- 10. On November 1, 2011, the City Council adopted revised wastewater facility charges for new development. The wastewater facility charges (i.e., connection fees) were determined using the Capacity Buy-in, or Reimbursement Approach. The facility charges reimburse existing ratepayers for any investment in wastewater system capacity that is available for growth. Existing ratepayers only pay for infrastructure that benefits them. This is based on the September 2011 Facility Charge Study and November 7, 2011 Wastewater Rates and Revenue Analysis Final Report (Attachment 3) by Kennedy/Jenks Consultants.
- 11. Tonight's public hearing is the final opportunity for rate payers and property owners to file written protests. Should a majority protest be received, the proposed rates cannot be adopted. Conversely, if there is no majority protest, the City Council may introduce the attached ordinance to adopt the proposed rates.

### ANALYSIS &

**CONCLUSION:** On September 6, 2011, City Council confirmed a uniform "pay for what you use" rate structure, where wastewater service billing would be based on wastewater generation. The usage charge and schedule of increases are shown in Table 1. The first increase would go into effect no sooner than July 1, 2012, with subsequent yearly increases on July 1st of each year.

Effective Date	July 1,				
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Usage Charge (\$/HCF), all customer classes	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80

Table 1 – Proposed Uniform Wastewater Usage Rates

HCF = hundred cubic feet, or 748 gallons.

Currently, single-family households are charged a flat rate of \$25.86 per month; other users are charged in accordance with the formula shown on the comparison tables below.

Because measuring actual wastewater discharge for billing purposes is not practicable for the majority of wastewater service customers, metered water usage is proposed as the basis for wastewater billing. However, customers would not be billed for irrigation water that does not flow into the sewer. Here is how wastewater discharge would be measured, for purposes of the proposed billing structure, for different users:

<u>Single Family Residences:</u> Customer bills would be based on metered "Winter Water Use" from the previous December-January-February billing period, a period when little to no irrigation is typically needed. A customer's average monthly water use during that 3-month period would establish the basis for the year's wastewater billing.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Very low Winter Water Use [2 hundred cubic feet (HCF) per month or less] will take into account two Winter Water Use periods. If actual water use in any month is less than a customer's Winter Water Use, billing would be based on the actual, lower amount. For new service accounts, the initial year's billing would be based on 7 HCF/month, the current single family residential median Winter Water Usage.

- <u>Apartment Buildings</u>: Apartment buildings generally have separate irrigation meters for landscaping such that year-round, metered water use for the main apartment building indicates interior water usage. For this reason, wastewater billing for apartment buildings would be based on monthly water usage.
- o <u>Non-Residential Customers</u>: Businesses, hotels, schools, and other non-residential customers' wastewater bills would be based on monthly water usage. Monthly water usage reflects the level of business activity and, for most businesses, wastewater discharge. Businesses with relatively high irrigation demands have the option of serving irrigation needs through a separate irrigation meter. Some businesses consume high volumes of water in the course of daily business (such as a chemical manufacturer), but discharge lesser amounts into the sewer system. To address this customer category, dischargers that average more than 10,000 gallons per day may estimate their discharge by another means and have their wastewater bill based on that alternate means.
- <u>Landscape and Fire Service Meters</u>: Water that flows through landscape or fire service meters is not discharged to the sewer system, so these accounts would not be billed for wastewater service.
- <u>Septic Systems</u>: Approximately 300 of the City's water customers have septic systems and are not connected to the City sewer system. These accounts would not be billed for wastewater service.

Sample comparisons of the current wastewater billing to the proposed rate structure are shown in the tables below.

		Sample	Bill Tab	le - Sing	le Fami	ly Resid	ential	
ſ	Units	Current Bill			Proposed	Monthly	Bill	
			7/1/2012	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	7/1/2016	
	(HCF)	(\$25.86 per home)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	fixed per month
		1	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80	\$ per HCF
1	1		\$18.00	\$21.60	\$25.20	\$29.40	\$31.20	
	2		\$18.00	\$21.60	\$25.20	\$29.40	\$31.20	
	3		\$18.00	\$21.60	\$25.20	\$29.40	\$31.20	
25th percentile==>	4		\$18.00	\$21.60	\$25.20	\$29.40	\$31.20	
	5	2	\$22.50	\$27.00	\$31.50	\$36.75	\$39.00	
	6	-	\$27.00	\$32.40	\$37.80	\$44.10	\$46.80	
50th percentile==>	7		\$31.50	\$37.80	\$44.10	\$51.45	\$54.60	
	8	\$25.86	\$36.00	\$43.20	\$50.40	\$58.80	\$62.40	
75th percentile==>	9	+	\$40.50	\$48.60	\$56.70	\$66.15	\$70.20	
1977 N. 1997 E. 1987 N. 1987 N	10		\$45.00	\$54.00	\$63.00	\$73.50	\$78.00	
	11		\$49.50	\$59.40	\$69.30	\$80.85	\$85.80	
	12		\$54.00	\$64.80	\$75.60	\$88.20	\$93.60	
	13		\$58.50	\$70.20	\$81.90	\$95.55	\$101.40	
	14		\$63.00	\$75.60	\$88.20	\$102.90	\$109.20	
	15		\$67.50	\$81.00	\$94.50	\$110.25	\$117.00	

### **Proposed Wastewater Rates**

### **Proposed Wastewater Rates** Sample Bill - Small Business Example

Units	Current Bill*	Proposed Monthly Bill					
		7/1/2012	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	7/1/2016	
	(small business w/ 1						
(HCF)	EDU)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	fixed per month
	13 ACC 14	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80	\$ per HCF
1	\$25.86	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80	
5	\$25.86	\$22.50	\$27.00	\$31.50	\$36.75	\$39.00	
10	\$31.86	\$45.00	\$54.00	\$63.00	\$73.50	\$78.00	
15	\$37.86	\$67.50	\$81.00	\$94.50	\$110.25	\$117.00	
20	\$43.86	\$90.00	\$108.00	\$126.00	\$147.00	\$156.00	
25	\$49.86	\$112.50	\$135.00	\$157.50	\$183.75	\$195.00	
30	\$55.86	\$135.00	\$162.00	\$189.00	\$220.50	\$234.00	

\* Basis of current billing is a fixed charge of \$25.86 per EDU up to 5 HCF/month, plus \$1.20 for each additional HCF.

	Sample Bill - 4 Unit Motel Example							
Units	Current Bill*		- I	Proposed	Monthly	Bill		
		7/1/2012	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	7/1/2016		
(HCF)	(4 unit motel)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	fixed per month	
	4	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80	\$ per HCF	
1	\$103.44	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80		
5	\$103.44	\$22.50	\$27.00	\$31.50	\$36.75	\$39.00		
10	\$103.44	\$45.00	\$54.00	\$63.00	\$73.50	\$78.00		
15	\$103.44	\$67.50	\$81.00	\$94.50	\$110.25	\$117.00		
20	\$103.44	\$90.00	\$108.00	\$126.00	\$147.00	\$156.00		
25	\$109.44	\$112.50	\$135.00	\$157.50	\$183.75	\$195.00		
30	\$115.44	\$135.00	\$162.00	\$189.00	\$220.50	\$234.00		

**Proposed Wastewater Rates** 

\* Basis of current billing is a fixed charge of \$25.86 per EDU up to 5 HCF/month, plus \$1.20 for each additional HCF. Note: Highlighted bills are less than the current rate.

Notices regarding tonight's public protest hearing were mailed on September 21, 2011, more than 45 days prior to tonight's hearing, pursuant to the requirements of Proposition 218 and Article XIIID of the California Constitution.

There are an estimated combined 9,972 properties that receive wastewater service from the City of Paso Robles. If valid written protests are submitted by owners or tenants that are directly responsible for payment of wastewater service, for a majority of the affected properties (i.e., more than 50%), then the proposed rate structure cannot be adopted and another rate structure would have to be proposed.

### POLICY

**REFERENCE:** General Plan, Economic Strategy; Integrated Water Resource Plan; 2007 Sewer Collection System Master Plan, NPDES permit requirements, Water Board Time Schedule Order, California Constitution Articles XIIIC and XIIID (Proposition 218)

### FISCAL

**IMPACT:** Failure to implement new wastewater rates would exhaust the remaining Wastewater Fund reserves within 3 years and violate the Water Board's Time Schedule Order. Failure to upgrade the wastewater treatment plant in a timely manner could subject the City to fines of up to \$10,000 per day. Adopting the proposed wastewater rate increases would allow the City to meet its current debt obligations, finance the necessary treatment plant upgrade, accumulate a depreciation fund, and continue to meet the community's wastewater needs.

### **OPTIONS:** A. Close the public hearing and:

- 1. Establish number of valid written protests that have been submitted per Proposition 218/Article XIIID of the California Constitution; and
- 2. If there is no majority protest,
  - a. Adopt Resolution No. 11-XX (Attachment 2) to approve the November 7, 2011 Final Rate and Revenue Analysis Final Report by Kennedy/Jenks Consultants
  - b. Introduce and read by title only Ordinance No. XXX N.S. (Attachment 1); or
- 3. If there is a majority protest, direct staff to develop alternatives.
- B. Amend, modify or reject the above option.

### **ATTACHMENTS:**

- 1. Ordinance No. XXX N.S.
- 2. Resolution No. 11-XX to adopt final rate report
- 3. November 7, 2011 Wastewater Rates and Revenue Analysis Final Report by Kennedy/Jenks Consultants

### **ORDINANCE NO. XXX N.S.**

### AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES AMENDING SECTIONS 14.06.020 AND 14.10.260 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING WASTEWATER SERVICE CHARGES

WHEREAS, the City of Paso Robles operates a wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal system to serve residents and businesses; and

WHEREAS, \$32 million in improvements to the wastewater collection system were identified in the 2007 *Sewer Collection System Master Plan* as necessary to operate the system in compliance with current health and safety codes; and

WHEREAS, the City's wastewater treatment plant does not meet the discharge requirements stipulated by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board; and

WHEREAS, the City pays fines of approximately \$9,000 per month as a result of discharge violations; and

WHEREAS, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board issued Time Schedule Order No. R3-2011-0213, which established deadlines for the City to upgrade the wastewater treatment plant; and

WHEREAS, the cost to upgrade the City's wastewater treatment plant is estimated at \$49.6 million; and

WHEREAS, an estimated \$12 million is needed annually to fund wastewater operations and maintenance costs, debt service, planned capital projects and depreciation; and

WHEREAS, current wastewater rates and wastewater facility charges generate approximately \$4.7 million annually; and

WHEREAS, the City retained the firm of Kennedy/Jenks Consultants to undertake a comprehensive review of the City's wastewater rate revenues, which was presented to the City Council on September 6, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 11-115 on September 6, 2011 regarding proposed wastewater rates and authorizing initiation of the procedures required by Article XIIID of the California Constitution for adoption of the proposed wastewater rates structure; and

WHEREAS, the City identified the parcels upon which the rates would be imposed, calculated the amount of the rates, and mailed notices on September 21, 2011 to all record owners and tenants of properties responsible for wastewater charges. The notices provided information on the proposed rates, the basis for the calculation, the reason for the proposed rates, information on filing written protests, and the date, time, and location for a public protest hearing, which date was not less than 45 days after the date of mailing; and

WHEREAS, the City Council held the duly noticed public hearing on November 15, 2011, and considered any and all property owner and tenant protests; and

WHEREAS, at the public hearing on November 15, 2011, the City Clerk attested that, out of 9,972 affected properties, written protests had been filed for \_\_\_\_\_\_ properties, which did not constitute a majority protest;

### NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES DOES HEREBY ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

<u>SECTION 1.</u> In accordance with Article XIII D, Section 6(b), of the California Constitution, the City Council makes the following findings:

A. The revenues derived from the wastewater rates do not exceed the funds required to provide wastewater service because the rates are calculated to allow the City to recover its costs associated with (i) projected costs to upgrade the wastewater treatment plant and to make improvements to the collection system; (ii) repayment of existing and proposed debt; (iii) funding depreciation for repair and replacement of system components; and (iv) the other necessary and essential ongoing costs of operation and maintenance of the City's wastewater system. This finding is based upon the information contained in the notice, the August 2011 Kennedy/Jenks Consultants study, the staff report to the City Council at the public hearing and the testimony presented at the public hearing.

**B.** The revenues derived from the wastewater rates will not be used for any purpose other than that for which the rates are imposed. This finding is based on the fact that all revenues collected from wastewater customers are deposited into a designated fund for such wastewater operations purpose.

**C.** The rates do not exceed the proportional cost of the wastewater service attributable to each parcel. This finding is based on the fact that the proposed rates are based upon the City's actual total cost of providing wastewater service to its customers, divided by the estimated amount of sewage discharged from each parcel.

**D.** The wastewater facility charges (i.e., connection fees) adopted by City Council on November 1, 2011 were determined using the Capacity Buy-in, or Reimbursement Approach. As such, the facility charges reimburse existing ratepayers for any investment in wastewater system capacity that is available for growth. Therefore, existing ratepayers only pay for infrastructure that benefits them. This finding is based on the September 2011 Facility Charge Study and November 2011 Wastewater User Rates study by Kennedy/Jenks Consultants.

E. The proposed changes to the rates are intended to balance the anticipated increases in the costs of providing wastewater service and the possible reductions in the amount of wastewater discharged.

<u>SECTION 2.</u> Paragraph C. of Section 14.16.020 of the Paso Robles Municipal Code is hereby revised in its entirety to read as follows:

### "14.04.020 Fees

Every person whose premises are served by a connection with the system of sewerage of the city, whereby the sewage or industrial wastes, or either or both, are disposed of by the city through the sewage treatment plant, or otherwise, shall pay a sewer service charge established by ordinance of the city council.

Effective	Julv 1,	2012.	the	followina	sewer	service	charges	shall	be in effect	:
		- 1								

Effective Date	July 1, 2012	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014	July 1, 2015	July 1, 2016
Usage Charge (\$/HCF), all customer classes	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80

 $HCF = hundred \ cubic \ feet, \ or \ 748 \ gallons.$ 

Monthly sewer service charges shall be determined as follows:

(a) <u>Single-Family Residences</u>: Monthly wastewater bills for single-family residential customers shall be based on the average monthly metered water use from the previous December-January-February billing period ("Winter Water Use") multiplied by the usage charge then in effect. The "Winter Water Use" shall establish the maximum usage for the remainder of the year's wastewater billing; if actual water usage in any one month is less than a customer's Winter Water Use, that month's bill shall be based on the actual usage multiplied by the usage charge then in effect. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if a customer's Winter Water Use averages 2 HCF per month or less, the monthly wastewater bill shall be based on the average of two (2) consecutive Winter Water Use periods.

For new service accounts, the initial year's billing will be based on the then-current median single family residential Winter Water Use, as determined by City Department of Administrative Services, multiplied by the usage charge then in effect. The 2011 median single-family residential Winter Water Use is 7 HCF/month.

Condominiums are residential units held under separate ownership with the underlying parcel held under common ownership. Condominium units served by individual water meters shall be billed as single-family residences.

- (b) <u>Multi-Family Dwellings</u>: Multi-family dwellings are buildings comprised of two or more dwelling units under common ownership, such as apartment complexes. Monthly wastewater bills for multi-family dwellings shall be based on metered monthly water use multiplied by the usage charge then in effect.
- (c) <u>Non-Residential Customers</u>: Wastewater bills for businesses, hotels, schools and other nonresidential uses (i.e. Industrial Users as defined Section 14.08.040) shall be based on metered monthly water use multiplied by the usage charge then in effect.

Non-residential customers may petition the City to have monthly sewer service charges based on something other than metered water use, provided that they meet all of the following conditions:

- 1. Are served by 3-inch water meter or larger or can demonstrate that average daily discharge to the sewer system exceeds 10,000 gallons per day for at least 6 months out of the year;
- 2. Can quantify monthly discharges to the sewer system by a means acceptable to the City Public Works Department, such as wastewater flow metering per:
  - *Water Measurement Manual*, Third Edition, United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, 2001, or most current edition,
  - *Sewer Flow Measurement*, Municipal Environmental Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,

- A Guide to Methods and Standards for the Measurement of Water Flow, United States Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards,
- American Society of Testing Materials Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol. II Water, American Society of Testing Materials,
- NPDES Compliance Inspection Manual, United States Environmental Protection Agency, or
- Mass-balance calculations quantifying water supplied, adjusted for water exported in manufacture of a product;
- 3. Agree to periodic audits, no more frequently than annually and at customer's expense, to verify sewer discharge measurements;
- 4. Submit evidence of flow calibration of physical measurement devices annually or more frequently if judged necessary by the City Public Works Director;
- 5. Provide discharge flow data monthly to the City Department of Administrative Services for the purposes of levying sewer service charges. Failure to timely provide such information will result in sewer service charges being based on metered monthly water use multiplied by the usage charge then in effect; and
- 6. Obtain a Wastewater Discharge Permit per Section 14.10.210.

In the event that estimated average daily discharge to the sewer is less than 10,000 gallons per day for 24 consecutive months or more, sewer billing will revert to metered monthly water use multiplied by the usage charge then in effect.

- (d) <u>Landscape and Fire Service Meters:</u> These accounts will not be billed for wastewater service.
- (e) <u>Septic Systems:</u> Customers with City water service who are not connected to the community wastewater system will not be billed for wastewater service.
- (f) <u>Residences with Sewer Service Only</u>: Single-family residential customers who are connected to the community wastewater system but are not connected to the City water system will be billed based on the then-current median single-family residential Winter Water Use, as determined by City Department of Administrative Services, multiplied by the usage charge then in effect.
- (g) <u>Industrial Users with Sewer Service Only:</u> Non-residential customers (i.e., Industrial Users) that are connected to the community wastewater system but are not connected to the City water system must obtain an Industrial Wastewater Discharge Permit per Section 14.10.210. Monthly sewer service charges shall be based on 1) monthly water usage, as metered from non-City water source, multiplied by the usage charge then in effect, or 2) wastewater flow metering per the Industrial User criteria listed in paragraph (c), above, including requirements for audit by the City, meter calibration, and the timely submittal of flow measurement to the City Department of Administrative Services. Terms of such flow metering will be documented in the Wastewater Discharge Permit.

The sewer service charges shall further be reviewed no less than bi-annually in conjunction with the update of the city's budget to ensure that the sewer service fees then in existence do not exceed the costs of providing sewer service within the city."

<u>SECTION 3.</u> Effective no sooner than July 1, 2012, Section 14.10.260 of the Paso Robles Municipal Code is hereby amended to add the following paragraph 16:

### "14.10.260 Permit Conditions

•••••

16. For Industrial Users eligible to petition the City for an alternate basis of monthly sewer billing per Section 14.04.020, a description of the means of quantifying sewer discharges."

<u>SECTION 4.</u> Severability. Should any provision of this Ordinance, or its application to any person or circumstance, be determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unlawful, unenforceable or otherwise void, that determination shall have no effect on any other provision of this Ordinance or the application of this Ordinance to any other person or circumstance and, to that end, the provisions hereof are severable.

<u>SECTION 5.</u> <u>Effective Date.</u> This Ordinance shall take effect thirty (30) days after adoption as provided by Government Code section 36937.

<u>SECTION 6.</u> <u>Publication.</u> The City Clerk will certify to the passage of this Ordinance by the City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles, California and cause the same to be published once within fifteen (15) days after its passage in a newspaper of general circulation, printed, published and circulated in the City in accordance with Government Code section 36933.

Introduced at a regular meeting of the City Council held on November 15, 2011, and passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2011 by the following roll call vote, to wit:

AYES: NOES: ABSTAIN: ABSENT:

Duane Picanco, Mayor

ATTEST:

Dennis Fansler, City Clerk

### **RESOLUTION NO. 11-XX.**

### RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES APPROVING THE NOVEMBER 2011 WASTEWATER RATE AND REVENUE ANALYSIS FINAL REPORT PREPARED BY KENNEDY/JENKS CONSULTANTS

WHEREAS, the City of Paso Robles operates a wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal system to serve residents and businesses; and

WHEREAS, the City's wastewater treatment plant does not meet the discharge requirements stipulated by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board; and

WHEREAS, the City pays fines of approximately \$9,000 per month as a result of discharge violations; and

WHEREAS, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board issued Time Schedule Order No. R3-2011-0213, which established deadlines for the City to upgrade the wastewater treatment plant; and

WHEREAS, the cost to upgrade the City's wastewater treatment plant is estimated at \$49.6 million; and

WHEREAS, \$32 million in improvements to the wastewater collection system were identified in the 2007 *Sewer Collection System Master Plan* as necessary to operate the system in compliance with current health and safety codes; and

WHEREAS, an estimated \$12 million is needed annually to fund wastewater operations and maintenance costs, debt service, planned capital projects and depreciation; and

WHEREAS, current wastewater rates and wastewater facility charges generate approximately \$4.7 million annually; and

WHEREAS, the City retained the firm of Kennedy/Jenks Consultants to undertake a comprehensive review of the City's wastewater rate revenues, which was presented to the City Council on September 6, 2011; and

WHEREAS, on September 6, 2011, City Council confirmed a uniform "pay for what you use" rate structure, where wastewater service billing is based on wastewater generation; and

WHEREAS, on November 1, 2011, City Council adopted Resolution No. 11-133, which approved and adopted a schedule of wastewater facility charges (i.e., connection fees).

WHEREAS, Kennedy/Jenks Consultants submitted a Wastewater Rate and Revenue Analysis Final Report dated November 7, 2011.

### NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

<u>SECTION 1.</u> The City Council finds that all of the above recitals are true and correct and are incorporated herein by reference.

<u>SECTION 2.</u> The City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles hereby approves and adopts the November 7, 2011 Wastewater Rate and Revenue Analysis Final Report prepared by Kennedy/Jenks Consultants.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles this 15<sup>th</sup> day of November 2011.

AYES: NOES: ABSTAIN: ABSENT:

ATTEST:

Mayor, Duane Picanco, Mayor

Dennis Fansler, City Clerk

### Wastewater Rate and Revenue Analysis



City of Paso Robles, CA November 7, 2011

Kennedy/Jenks Consultants

### **Kennedy/Jenks Consultants**

2355 Main Street Suite 140 Irvine, CA 92614 949-261-1577 949-261-2134 (Fax)

**City of Paso Robles** 

Wastewater Rate and Revenue Analysis Final Report- November 2011

November 7, 2011

City of Paso Robles Department of Public Works 1000 Spring Street Paso Robles, CA

K/J Project No. 0983010\*10

### **Kennedy/Jenks Consultants**

**Engineers & Scientists** 

2355 Main Street, Suite 140 Irvine, California 92614 949-261-1577 949-261-2134 (Fax)

7 November 2011

Mr. Doug Monn Director of Public Works City of Paso Robles 1000 Spring Street Paso Robles, California 93446

Subject: Final Report - Wastewater Rate and Revenue Analysis K/J 0983010\*10

Dear Mr. Monn:

Kennedy/Jenks Consultants is pleased to submit the Final Wastewater Rate and Revenue Analysis to the City of Paso Robles (City). By way of process, we have submitted this report as a digital ".pdf" file for your distribution within the City as appropriate.

This Rate Study Report is a compilation of the analysis and findings of the City's wastewater fund and incorporates the City's comments and direction obtained from previous draft work products, team discussions and City Council actions. The results of the study are intended to serve as a plan for future revenue and rate adjustments based on the projected costs, growth and capital improvement program requirements.

Foremost among the issues facing the City's wastewater utility is the need to comply with a Regional Water Quality Control Board Time Schedule Order by upgrading the City's wastewater treatment plant. This \$49 Million capital improvement project has a substantial impact on wastewater fund obligations, utility rates and facility charges. It is expected that the State Revolving Fund (SRF) Loan Program will be the source of funding for this project. However, since these funds are uncertain, the costs and impacts of conventional borrowing have also been developed, resulting in alternative financial scenarios and wastewater rates. Even though the City Council selected a financial option on September 6, 2011, the risks and alternative rates detailed in previous documents are retained in the final report as a record of the issues and decision making process.

It has been a pleasure working with you and City staff on this interesting project. Please contact us if you have any questions or need additional information.

Very truly yours, KENNEDY/JENKS CONSULTANTS

Roger all

Roger Null, V.P. Project Manager

### Table of Contents

List of Tables.			ii
List of Figures			ii
List of Append	lices		ii
Section 1:	Intro	oduction	1
Section 2:	Hist	oric and Current Conditions	2
	2.1 2.2	Historic and Current Financial Condition Current Accounts, Water Demands, and Wastewater Discharges	2
Section 3:	Futu	re Revenue Requirements	3
	3.1 3.2 3.3	Projected Customer Growth and Wastewater Discharges Budgeted/Projected Operating Expenses Projected Capital Improvement and Debt Service Financing	5 6
	3.4	Program Projected Revenue Requirements Using Proposed Rates	7 8
Section 4:	Curr	ent Wastewater Rates	9
Section 5:	Prop	oosed Wastewater Rates	10
	5.1	Development of Proposed Rates	10
	5.2	Development of Proposed Usage Charges	11
	5.3 5.4	Comparison of Monthly Bills with Other Communities	14
	5.5	Alternative Capital Facility Charges	14
	5.6	Summary of Proposed Alternative Rates	15

### **List of Tables**

- 1 Historical Operating Revenues and Expenses
- 2 Current Accounts and Wastewater Discharges
- 3 Current and Projected Maintenance and Operations Expenses
- 4 Proposed Capital Improvement and Debt Financing Program
- 5 A. Projected Revenue Plan Using Proposed Rates Option A
  B. Projected Revenue Plan Using Proposed Rates Option B
- 6 Current Wastewater Rates
- 7 Proposed Uniform Wastewater Usage Rates
- 8 A. Typical Wastewater Bills Option A
  B. Typical Wastewater Bills Option B

### **List of Figures**

- 1 Summary of Key Risk and Financial Planning Elements
- 2 Single Family Residential Wastewater Rate Survey Typical Bills

### **List of Appendices**

Appendix A – RWQCB Time Schedule Order

Appendix B - Miscellaneous Supporting Information

### Section 1: Introduction

The City of Paso Robles (City) is a central coast community located in San Luis Obispo County. The City provides commonly sought services, including water and sewer services, to approximately 30,000 residents through 10,000 service connections. To provide a reliable wastewater collection, treatment and disposal system to its local customers, the City has been working on an implementation strategy that will meet the short and long-term financial obligations of the City's utility and provide for local program ratemaking objectives.

The City's wastewater system is made up of over 136 miles of pipelines supported by 14 sewer lift stations. These convey raw sewage to the City's 4.9 million gallon per day capacity wastewater treatment plant for treatment prior to discharge into the Salinas River. In addition, each of the 10,000 services is connected into the City system via individual customer laterals. The current annual budget to operate and maintain this system is approximately \$4.7 Million.

A foremost issue pertaining to the City wastewater system is the need for a treatment plant upgrade. The plant is 58 years old and in need of improvements to ensure environmentally sound discharges into the Salinas River and worker safety. Discharge standards are set by the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) as stated in the City's NPDES permit. A public investment of \$50 Million is required to bring the treatment plant up to code and to comply with regulated discharge requirements. A copy of the RWQCB's most recent Time Schedule Order No. No. R3-2011-0213 for the City's wastewater treatment plant upgrade is provided in **Appendix A**. As noted in these documents, the City must:

- 1) Increase wastewater facility charges by November 1, 2011;
- 2) Introduce wastewater rates to the public by January 1, 2012;
- 3) Adopt wastewater rates by June 1, 2012, award the construction contract for the plant upgrade by February 1, 2013; and
- 4) Complete wastewater plant construction and be in full compliance with effluent limits by September 1, 2015.

In consideration of this regulatory order and other utility needs, the primary factors facing the City's wastewater utility are:

- Sustaining the current level of service to customers.
- Improving the City's treatment of wastewater.
- The need to operate the upgraded wastewater treatment plant, to provide additional staffing for a source control program, to fund capital improvements defined by the City's 2007 Sewer Collection System Master Plan, and to pay current and new debt obligations.
- To do all of the above in a financially responsible manner. This first means taking steps to save operational costs when possible. It also means charging sewer rates and new customer facility charges or connection fees such that all current and new customers pay their fair share.

### Section 2: Historic and Current Conditions

### 2.1 Historic and Current Financial Condition

The financial condition of the City's wastewater utility was reviewed and a summary of financial performance is presented in **Table 1**. The information presented in this table was derived from the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) for the last two years. The CAFR for Fiscal Year (FY) 09-10 represents the most recent audited financial document of the wastewater utility's financial performance.

The financial condition of a wastewater utility is assessed by contrasting several financial parameters with the financial performance as reported in the City's CAFRs. Foremost among these parameters are criteria for net operating revenues and an assessment of the utility's fund balance. The findings related to each of these elements are provided as follows.

<u>Net operating revenues</u> are an important financial parameter of a utility's performance. This financial parameter is generally desired to be at least 20% of total operating revenues to generate adequate capital improvement funding for new and replacement (depreciation-based) assets. As shown in **Table 1**, the wastewater utility has fallen short of this parameter in the last three years and there has been a steady decline in operating financial performance. During this period, this parameter has ranged from a positive 15% in FY 07-08 to a negative 2% in FY 09-10<sup>1</sup>. This parameter reflects the fact that the utility currently is not generating sufficient funds to provide for future capital expenditures and increased wastewater utility operating expenses.

In addition to this operational performance, the impact of various non-operating revenues and capital expenditures is also an important element of a financial assessment. While the City's wastewater <u>fund balance</u> has generally experienced a drawdown over the last several years, the FY 09-10 CAFR indicates that fund balance has approximately \$5.7 Million in cash and cash equivalents. This level of fund balance has enabled the utility to maintain its recent financial stability.

In consideration of these factors, as well as the integration of projected costs associated with financing and operating a new wastewater treatment plant, additional revenues from wastewater rates are warranted to improve the financial position of the wastewater fund. The following sections of this report provide the supporting information for the level and timing of proposed rate adjustments to meet the enterprise funds current and future financial requirements.

### 2.2 Current Accounts, Water Demands, and Wastewater Discharges

Data from the City's utility billing system provides information on the City's water and wastewater utility customers. As to be expected with the current economy, there has been little change in account activity (i.e. growth) over the last several years. Accordingly, the utility continues to be predominantly residential, being served water through 5/8" and 3/4" meters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FY = fiscal year which runs from July  $1^{st}$  through June  $30^{th}$ .

HISTORICAL OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES **TABLE 1** 

		Actuals	
Sources and Uses of Funds	FY 07-08	FY 08-09	FY 09-10
Operating Revenues			
Charges for Service	\$4,367,772	\$4,495,292	\$4,535,599
Other	\$76,468	\$113,603	\$0
Total Operating Revenues	\$4,444,240	\$4,608,895	\$4,535,599
Operating Expenses			
Maintenance, Operations, & Administration	\$2,714,563	\$2,929,406	\$3,247,229
Depreciation and Amortization	\$1,063,311	\$1,109,724	\$1,377,291
Total Operating Expenses	\$3,777,874	\$4,039,130	\$4,624,520
Net Operating Income (Loss)	\$666,366	\$569,765	(\$88,921)
Net Op Rev as % of Total Op Rev	15%	12%	-2%
Non-Operating Revenue (Expense)			
Interest Revenue	\$553,906	\$246,712	\$113,757
Revenue from other Agencies	\$0	\$304,040	\$369,705
Sewer Connection Fees	\$401,690	\$240,548	\$156,551
Transfers In (Out)	(\$51,198)	(\$90,998)	(\$343,136)
Interest Expense	(\$352,393)	(\$334,983)	(\$329,520)
Total Non-Op Revenues (Exp.)	\$552,005	\$365,319	(\$32,643)
Net Income (Loss) Before Capital/Other Costs	\$1,218,371	\$935,084	(\$121,564)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash (a)	\$756,152	(\$6,698,334)	(\$230,924)
Beginning Cash and Equivalents	\$11,891,382	\$12,647,534	\$5,949,200
Ending Cash and Equivalents	\$12,647,534	\$5,949,200	\$5,718,276

Source: City of Paso Robles, Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs). (a) Incorporates annual capital expenditures and other non-operating costs/financial adjustments per CAFRs.

**Table 2** summarizes the City's water demands and estimated wastewater discharges by customer class for FY 09-10.

Customer Class	Accounts	Totals (Hcf) <sup>2</sup>
Single Family Residential (SFR) Customers	8,732	801,378
Multi-Family Residential (MFR) Customers	338	164,949
Non-Residential (Non-Res) Customers	690	365,271
Total	9,760	1,331,598
Sources City Finance Department & TI Crossy FV 00.1	0 data	

TABLE 2
CURRENT ACCOUNTS AND WASTEWATER DISCHARGES

Source: City Finance Department & TJ Cross; FY 09-10 data. Hcf = hundred cubic feet = 748 gallons/Hcf

Reflected in this data is that approximately 60% of the wastewater discharge is generated by the Single Family Residential (SFR) customer class. Since wastewater is not metered, the amount of water that is used in the winter is utilized to approximate the amount of wastewater generated by each SFR account. The use of winter water is a common approach for estimating SFR wastewater throughout the country.

### Section 3: Future Revenue Requirements

This study examines future revenue requirements over the next five years. Future revenue requirements depend primarily on four specific areas:

- customer growth and wastewater discharges
- wastewater operations and maintenance costs
- necessary capital improvements
- meeting debt obligations

Some of these financial planning elements can be projected with relative certainty. Others are more speculative. For example, how accurate are the estimated costs of planned capital projects? What interest rate can the City expect to pay on future borrowing? How quickly will the economy recover?

One could project finances quite conservatively, putting in place wastewater rates that offer a safety net to the public. That approach, "Option A", would enable the Wastewater Fund to afford variations in the key financial elements. By contrast, one could forecast more likely, foreseeable values in this regard and put in place rates that would be lower by comparison. This approach, "Option B", would leave the fund more vulnerable to unanticipated costs.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Hcf = hundred cubic feet, or 748 gallons of water.

Single Family Residential wastewater discharge is estimated as actual metered water usage from December-January-February period or actual throughout the year, whichever is less. Discharge from MFR customers and all non-residential customers equals water usage as metered each month.

To address these planning elements, two alternative revenue programs have been prepared. While these programs will have many elements in common, the cost implications of several key issues have been analyzed so that alternative funding requirements and ratepayer rates can be developed. The key risk and financial elements of these options is summarized in **Figure 1**. A discussion of these risk and revenue requirement elements is provided in the following sections.

### FIGURE 1

### SUMMARY OF KEY RISK AND FINANCIAL PLANNING ELEMENTS

Wastewater Fund Key Risk and Financial Elements	Option A	Option B
Buildout & Growth Provisions		
- Assumes slow growth conditions; buildout occurs well after 2025	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
- Assumes water sales continue at current levels	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
- Growth pays its fair share	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Depreciation Funding Plan		
<ul> <li>Phased approach – Book Value depreciation fully funded by FY 18/19</li> </ul>		$\checkmark$
- Full Replacement Cost funding of depreciation by FY 13/14	$\checkmark$	
WWTP Financing Plan		
- Assumes low cost SRF funds are available		$\checkmark$
- Assumes conventional loan is required	$\checkmark$	
WWTP Regulatory Compliance		
- Meets RWQCB Time Schedule Order	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
- Meets existing permit requirements	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Additional Financial Considerations		
- Meets targeted operating reserves	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
- Includes other short-term fund balance needs	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
- Assumes modest future rate increases are required		$\checkmark$
- Additional rate increases may not be needed	$\checkmark$	

### 3.1 **Projected Customer Growth and Wastewater Discharges**

Customer growth (i.e., pace of adding new accounts) affects the revenue requirements of the City's utility in two ways. First, it increases the customer base that is paying for monthly service, and pays a capital facility charge or connection fee to buy into system capacity. Second, it increases the level of those costs that vary with the quantity of wastewater discharged such as power and chemicals. In financial planning, applying low to moderate growth factors provides a conservative assessment of future utility revenue requirements.

To assess an appropriate level of growth for this study, the City's annual growth for the last 30 years was analyzed. This trend analysis, combined with current economic factors, suggests a minimal level of additional growth in the next several years. Growth is expressed herein as an increase in equivalent dwelling units (EDUs). 1 EDU is equivalent to the average wastewater discharged from a single family residential (SFR) account. Based on the above analysis and discussions with City staff, current growth estimates for the duration of the five year planning period are provided below.

•	FY 2011-12	44 Equivalent Dwelling Units
•	FY 2012-13	44 Equivalent Dwelling Units
•	FY 2013-14	44 Equivalent Dwelling Units
•	FY 2014-15	63 Equivalent Dwelling Units
•	FY 2015-16	85 Equivalent Dwelling Units
•	FY 2015-16	129 Equivalent Dwelling Units

Note that an increase in new customer wastewater facility charges is also proposed. The magnitude of this increase is further discussed in Section 5.5.

In addition to the projection of new account growth, it is also important to project changes in water usage/wastewater discharges that may affect the utility's financial performance. This is particularly true for wastewater rate structures that are linked to customer water use. The City has implemented water conservation programs to improve the City's water supply/demand imbalance and to meet water conservation related regulations. Some of these conservation supportive measures as well as general public awareness may also affect interior water usage, which would reduce wastewater discharges in the City.

Future wastewater fund revenue requirements, then, relate to projections of the number of customers served and what portion of water usage ends up in the City sewer system. None of this can be derived as precise values. As such, future growth, demands, and discharge values used herein are to be considered as estimates only and are intended to provide a realistic yet conservative forecast of new customers so that facility charge revenues are not overestimated.

Similarly, while it can be assumed that increased utility rates will result in some reduction in water usage, behavioral changes cannot be quantified. Accordingly, the magnitude of future conservation included herein is only an estimate used for the purpose of projecting future costs and revenues. All of these factors will be evaluated and integrated in the City's ongoing rate and budget review process to evaluate the financial performance of the City's wastewater fund.

### 3.2 Budgeted/Projected Operating Expenses

Costs associated with the management, administration, and operations of the City's wastewater utility are accounted for in four Divisions. These are:

- Utility Billing and Cashiering (Division 127) is responsible for the billing, accounting, and administration of the utility fund,
- Wastewater Collection (Division 163) is responsible for the operation, maintenance, and management of the collection system,
- Wastewater Treatment (Division 164) is responsible for the operation, maintenance, and management of the wastewater treatment plant, and
- Industrial Waste/Stormwater Program (Division 370) is responsible for the control, permitting and management of industrial and illicit/illegal stormwater dischargers.

Staff has made every effort to minimize the cost of the wastewater treatment plant upgrade including:

- A comprehensive evaluation of the life-cycle cost and performance of various wastewater treatment plant upgrade alternatives. Biological Nutrient Removal requires the least capital improvement and has the lowest operation and maintenance costs (energy and chemical consumption, staffing) of all alternatives
- Incorporation of as much of the existing treatment equipment into the upgrade as feasible (e.g., primary clarifiers, solids digesters, chlorine contact chambers);
- Value Engineering by third parties to identify and incorporate cost-saving measures into the design;
- Phasing in of some equipment (e.g., blowers and air piping) to minimize up-front cost;
- Selection of the most energy-efficient features available (power and natural costs generally rise faster than inflation); and
- Constructability and biddability reviews by construction management specialists to eliminate ambiguities from the design.

As the wastewater treatment plant project moves forward, before going out to bid, staff will reach out to the contractor community to generate interest in the project. This strategy has resulted in good competition and lower than expected construction costs for other large public works projects.

Staff continues to operate and maintain the wastewater system with minimal staffing. Nights and weekends are covered by standby staff. Staff are trained for both operations and maintenance, and position vacancies have not been filled.

In addition to the proactive management of labor and other maintenance and operational costs, an important consideration in projecting operation and maintenance costs is the how much money should be budgeted (and funded) for the annual wear and tear of the wastewater systems assets. This annual estimate of wear and tear is commonly referred to as depreciation. While

depreciation is in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs), is consistent with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and is developed in accordance with the requirements of Governmental Accounting Standards Board 34, it is an estimated cost, and is therefore not an actual cash expense. As previously noted in **Table 1**, the annual depreciation in FY 09-10 based on the book value of the City's wastewater assets is approximately \$1.3 Million. In contrast, annual depreciation based on the replacement cost of wastewater assets is estimated in the development of the City's Wastewater Facility Charges to be approximately \$2.5 Million per year. Both of these depreciation funding alternatives, as well as other actual and projected wastewater utility costs for the City's Wastewater Fund are shown in **Table 3**.

Note that had the Wastewater Fund been accumulating a depreciation fund over the years, there would be no need to incur the expense of borrowing money to upgrade the treatment plant. That is the value of funding depreciation.

As shown, wastewater fund operating costs are projected to increase at a modest level over the five year planning period. While most cost increases are inflationary in nature, some additional staff will be necessary to help operate the upgraded wastewater treatment plant and to support the City's Industrial Waste Pretreatment and Stormwater Management Program. Also of particular note is the need to begin repaying a new loan for the wastewater plant upgrade.

Regarding depreciation funding, had the City been funding depreciation (setting money aside at a level equal to annual depreciation estimates), the \$1.3 Million value (Option B) would be adequate to meet current replacement costs needs. Since the City has not historically increased rates at a level needed to fund annual depreciation, the \$2.5 Million value (Option A) more accurately reflects the true estimate of annual system wear and tear. The decision on the magnitude of annual depreciation that should be funded from rates at this time is a policy decision.

### 3.3 Projected Capital Improvement and Debt Service Financing Program

Utility systems are by nature capital intensive operations. To evaluate system capacity, regulatory compliance, and long range reliability, the City completed several system evaluations, studies, and designs in the last several years. These documents provided much of the basis for the development of the City's capital improvement program (CIP) for water, wastewater, and other City services.

The City's current wastewater system CIP is separated into two basic categories:

- 1. Wastewater Collection System Improvements and
- 2. Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements.

Consistent with the other utility system planning, capital projects are spread over 16 years (i.e. through 2025) to minimize ratepayer impact as much as possible. The wastewater rates proposed herein are to cover costs over a 5-year period. **Table 4** lists the planned capital projects over the 5 year rate period. A comprehensive listing of the specific projects included in the City's 16-year CIP is provided in **Appendix B**.

Description	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17
Projected Wastewater Collection Costs - Div 163							
Div 163 M & O Costs	\$524,500	\$526,700	\$542,100	\$560,300	\$585,300	\$612,100	\$641,100
Div 163 Labor	\$652,600	\$694,600	\$722,400	\$751,300	\$802,100	\$834,200	\$867,600
Div 163 Misc Capital	\$217,400	\$8,800	\$0	\$114,100	\$119,800	\$125,800	\$132,100
Total Div 163 Operating Costs	\$1,394,500	\$1,230,100	\$1,264,500	\$1,425,700	\$1,507,200	\$1,572,100	\$1,640,800
Projected Wastewater Treatment Costs - Div 164							
Div 164 M & O Costs	\$1,317,700	\$1,390,200	\$1,490,200	\$1,237,000	\$1,300,900	\$1,480,400	\$1,566,200
RWQCB Fines/Penalties		\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	
Div 164 Labor	\$467,700 ****	\$502,300	\$628,500	\$994,900	\$1,156,900	\$1,203,200	\$1,353,100 #200
UIV 164 INISC Capital Total Div 164 Operating Costs	\$1.807.300	\$1.962.500	\$40,600 \$2,199,300	\$2,329,200	\$2.558.000	\$03,200	\$2.985.700
Projected Industrial Waste/Stormwater Program Co.	sts - Div 370						
Div 370 M & O Crets	\$02 800	405 300	\$101 200	\$105 000	\$108 000	¢111 100	C111 200
	\$750 000		\$771 200	#100,000 #100,100	\$202 100 \$202 100	\$100 100 \$100 100	\$155 700
Div 370 Mise Capital	\$00,000	9200,000¢	000°'I 12¢	\$00,100 \$0	9230,400 \$0	4433, 100 50	00 / 00+¢
Total Div 370 Operating Costs	\$343,600	\$356,100	\$372,500	\$387,100	\$401,400	\$550,200	\$571,000
Charges From Other Depts (Div. 127)							
Total Div. 127 Charges	\$589,800	\$613,400	\$638,000	\$663,500	\$690,100	\$717,700	\$746,400
<u>Depreciation Funding (a)</u>	ć	é					
Option B	0\$	0\$	\$2,613,500 \$0	\$1,231,900	\$3,230,300 \$1,241,900	\$3,613,900 \$1,255,900	\$3,803,000 \$1,274,400
<u>Total WW Div O&amp;M Costs (b)</u> Option A Option B	\$4,135,200 \$4,135,200	\$4,162,100 \$4,162,100	\$7,087,800 \$4,474,300	\$7,656,200 \$6,037,400	\$8,387,000 \$6,398,600	\$9,240,700 \$6,882,700	\$9,746,900 \$7,218,300

Source: City of Paso Robles Wastewater/Finance Divisions and Kennedy Jenks. The wastewater treatment plant upgrade is scheduled to be completed in FY 15-16. (a) Depreciation is included herein based on depreciation funding policy, as derived and reflected in Tables 5A and 5B. (b) Total costs do not include existing or new debt service obligations.

				PROJECTED			
Description	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17
Wastewater System Capital Improvement Program							
Colllection Pipelines and Pumping Facilities	\$1,308,900	\$1,279,500	\$737,000	\$746,500	\$1,047,200	\$1,384,100	\$1,132,600
Wastewater Treatment Facilities	\$1,871,800	\$0	\$9,097,000	\$14,782,700	\$14,782,700	\$6,822,800	\$0
Total Wastewater Fund CIP	\$3,180,700	\$1,279,500	\$9,834,000	\$15,529,200	\$15,829,900	\$8,206,900	\$6,703,600
Wastewater System Debt Financing Program							
New Debt Issuances (a)	\$0	\$0	\$11,368,800	\$14,782,700	\$14,782,700	\$6,822,800	\$0
New Annual Debt Service (b)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,329,900
TCSD's Share of New Debt (b)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$299,700
Net City Share of New Annual Debt Service (b)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,030,200
Existing Annual Debt Service	\$527,300	\$525,600	\$523,200	\$525,400	\$527,000	\$522,600	\$523,000
CIP Source: City of Paso Robles Anril 2011							

PROPOSED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT & DEBT FINANCING PROGRAM **TABLE 4** 

IF

CIP Source: City of Paso Robles, April 2011. (a) New debt issuance of \$47.75 Million is scheduled for FY 12-13. Values shown are used to demonstrate annual use of funds, with the WWTP complete in FY 15-16. (b) Value shown is for Option B, which reflects new SRF debt service and TCSD's share. See Table 5A for debt associated with a conventional loan.

As previously discussed, a critical element of the capital improvement program is the design and construction of the upgraded wastewater treatment plant. As a regulatory driven project, the City is seeking financial assistance from the State of California through a loan from the State Revolving Fund (SRF). Through SRF financing, the City is able to obtain funds at a very low interest rate (likely 3.4%, 20-year term), saving ratepayers several million dollars in interest costs. The SRF program has reviewed the City's credit and has pre-approved a loan for the upgrade project. While there are additional administrative provisions associated with SRF financing that will impact City staff, the interest cost savings far out-weigh that consideration. Since current information suggests that SRF funds will be available, SRF financing is reflected in the revenue plan requirements of Option B.

On the other hand, there is no guarantee that a low-interest State loan will be available. To provide a financial safety net, a financial scenario was developed that presumes SRF funding will not be available. Under this condition, conventional borrowing will be required to upgrade the wastewater treatment plant at higher interest rates, increased annual debt service payments, and an accelerated need for increased revenues to meet those costs (see Option A).

In addition to procuring new debt, the City borrowed money in the past to make wastewater system improvements. In 2002, money was borrowed to make collection and treatment improvements. The principal amount outstanding on this loan is approximately \$7.1 Million, with an annual debt service obligation of approximately \$525,000. See **Appendix B** for more detail.

### 3.4 Projected Revenue Requirements Using Proposed Rates

To assess the financial implications of the wastewater fund programs and costs, an annualized revenue plan has been prepared. This plan integrates utility system operating and capital costs, debt obligations, and depreciation funding with projected growth and wastewater discharge criteria.

Two alternative revenue programs have been prepared – one that generates sufficient revenue to address a variety of conditions (such as higher interest borrowing, funding depreciation earlier, etc.) and one based on foreseeable conditions (such as lower interest SRF financing). As expected, additional revenues are needed under either financial scenario. Accordingly, a projected revenue plan using proposed rates and new customer fees is prepared to balance the fund. The resulting alternative revenue plans and the associated average rates needed to fund the wastewater system costs under the developed risk and financial profiles are shown in **Tables 5A** and **5B**.

In both scenarios, annual rate increases are proposed over the next four to five years along with increased facility charges (connection fees). Additionally, the wastewater fund balance is projected to drop to as low as \$2.3 Million over the next few years until revenues increase. While this value is below the target reserve level and does increase the City's financial risks, it appears to be an acceptable level during this period of rate adjustment transition. As a precautionary note, budgeted capital expenditures for FY 11-12 are very limited to preserve fund balance.

## 11-15-11 CC Agenda Item 1 Page 29 of 57

TABLE 5A	@ PROPOSED WASTEWATER RATES - OPTION A
	PROJECTED REVENUE PLAN

	Estimated				Projected		
Description	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17
Revenues							
Fixed Monthly Service Charges (Current Structure, Per DU/Acct)	\$4,091,900	\$4,105,300	\$2,059,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Consumption Charges (Current Structure, Non-res only)	\$313,000	\$312,100	\$155,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TCSD WW O&M Charges	\$179,600	\$191,100	\$207,900	\$220,600	\$241,500	\$273,100	\$295,400
Consumption Charges_New Structure	\$0	\$0	\$3,625,500	\$9,603,400	\$12,737,100	\$14,100,800	\$14,237,200
Miscellaneous Revenues	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000
Total Operating Revenues	\$4,704,500	\$4,728,500	\$6,168,500	\$9,944,000	\$13,098,600	\$14,493,900	\$14,652,600
Operating Expenses							
WW Collection System	\$1,394,500	\$1,230,100	\$1,264,500	\$1,425,700	\$1,507,200	\$1,572,100	\$1,640,800
WW Treatment	\$1,807,300	\$1,962,500	\$2,199,300	\$2,329,200	\$2,558,000	\$2,786,800	\$2,985,700
Industrial Waste/Stormwater Program	\$343,600	\$356,100	\$372,500	\$387,100	\$401,400	\$550,200	\$571,000
Charges From Other Depts. (Div 127)	\$589,800	\$613,400	\$638,000 #2,010 F00	\$663,500	\$690,100	\$717,700	\$746,400
Lepiecialion Total Descritica Evenese	¢1 125 200	01122400	0002 2002 200	\$2,85U,7UU \$7 EEE 200	\$3,23U,30U \$9 297 000	\$3,613,900 \$0,240,700	\$3,8U3,UUU \$0,746,000
	\$4,130,200	94,102,100	000', 100', 1¢	007'0c0'/¢	000,100,000	\$3,240,700	\$3,740,300
Net Operating Revenue	\$569,300	\$566,400	(\$919,300)	\$2,287,800	\$4,711,600	\$5,253,200	\$4,905,700
Non-Operating Revenue (Expense)							
Interest Revenue (Excludes Interest on Bond Funds)	\$228,700	\$124,400	\$102,500	\$102,500	\$102,500	\$417,800	\$200,500
Wastewater Connection Fee Revenues	\$117,400	\$567,600	\$567,600	\$567,600	\$812,700	\$1,096,500	\$1,664,100
Existing Debt	(\$527,300)	(\$525,600)	(\$523,200)	(\$525,400)	(\$527,000)	(\$522,600)	(\$523,000)
New Debt Service (Excludes TCSD's Share) (a)				(\$3,523,800)	(\$3,523,800)	(\$3,523,800)	(\$3,523,800)
Total Non-Op Revenues/Expenses	(\$181,200)	\$166,400	\$146,900	(\$3,324,043)	(\$3,080,543)	(\$2,477,043)	(\$2,127,143)
Net Income Before Capital Activity	\$388,100	\$732,800	(\$772,400)	(\$1,036,200)	\$1,631,100	\$2,776,200	\$2,778,600
Capital Expenditures	\$3,180,700	\$1,279,500	\$9,834,000	\$15,529,200	\$15,829,900	\$8,206,900	\$1,132,600
Capital Financing (a) Estimated Bond Proceeds (a)			\$55,057,000 \$49,251,300				
Net Change in Funds Avail. After Capital Activity	(\$1,983,413)	(\$546,700)	\$38,644,900	(\$16,565,400)	(\$14,198,800)	(\$5,430,700)	\$1,646,000
Beginning Cash Balance (b)	\$5,718,300	\$3,109,900	\$2,563,200	\$41,208,100	\$24,642,700	\$10,443,900	\$5,013,200
Ending Cash Balance (b)	\$3,109,900	\$2,563,200	\$41,208,100	\$24,642,700	\$10,443,900	\$5,013,200	\$6,659,200
Target Reserve Fund (c)	\$5,595,000	\$5,607,000	\$7,067,000	\$10,877,000	\$11,244,000	\$11,667,000	\$11,920,000
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Excludes Connection Fee Revs)	1.51	1.31	3.43	1.31	2.00	2.31	2.22

Description	Proposed R	kates & Projec	ted Changes i	n Accounts/Bi	lable Water U	sage/WW Dis	charges
Proposed Fixed Rate Increase	na	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Proposed Usage Rate Increase (d)	na	0.0%	32%	32.0%	32.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Proposed Average Usage Unit Rate (\$/HCF) (July 1, each Year)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5.40	\$7.13	\$9.41	\$10.35	\$10.35
Estimated Connection Fee (Effective 7-1 each year) (e)	\$5,467	\$12,900	\$12,900	\$12,900	\$12,900	\$12,900	\$12,900
Growth Based Changes in Accounts Increase in EDUs_New Slow Scenario Growth (June 2011)	21	44	44	44	8	85	129
Total Billable W/Sewer (Hcf)_New SFR Winter Water Alt.	1,333,793	1,338,290	1,342,788	1,347,285	1,353,724	1,362,412	1,375,597

Notes: Projection is based on new June 2011 slow growth scenario. CDCR's 483 EDUs are projected to occur in FY 17-18. (a) Financing assumes SRF is not available. Conventional Loan is 30 yrs @ 5.7% interest, no capitalized interest. Bond proceeds are less bond reserves and cost of issuance. (b) FY 10-11 beginning Fund Balance per CAFR, preliminary estimated actuals per (1) Finance (July 2011), including funds reserved for other potential liabilities. (c) Traget Reserve Fund Criteria: Operating/Rate Stabilization @ 50% of Op Exp., CIP/Emergency @ \$3.M, & Bort Reserve & one year's debt service. (d) Pericent rate increases for FY FY 12-13 are based on projected increase in revenues a we convert from all fixed to all variable rates. (e) On September 6, 2011, the City Council selected Option B. On November 1, 2011, the City Council adopted Option B facility charges with a five year phasing.

Rev. 11/7/2011 Kennedy/Jenks Consultants

# 11-15-11 CC Agenda Item 1 Page 30 of 57

	Fetimated				Projected		
Description	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17
Revenues Fixed Monthly Service Charges (Current Structure. Per DU/Acct)	\$4,091,900	\$4,105,300	\$2,059,300	80	0\$	80	0\$
Consumption Charges (Current Structure, Non-res only)	\$313,000	\$312,100	\$155,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TCSD WW O&M Charges	\$179,600	\$191,100	\$207,900	\$220,600	\$241,500	\$273,100	\$295,400
Consumption Charges_New Structure	\$0	\$0	\$3,021,300	\$7,275,300	\$8,530,900	\$10,019,500	\$10,723,400
Miscellaneous Revenues	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000
Total Operating Revenues	\$4,704,500	\$4,728,500	\$5,564,300	\$7,615,900	\$8,892,400	\$10,412,600	\$11,138,800
Operating Expenses							
WW Collection System	\$1,394,500	\$1,230,100	\$1,264,500	\$1,425,700	\$1,507,200	\$1,572,100	\$1,640,800
WW Treatment	\$1,807,300	\$1,962,500	\$2,199,300	\$2,329,200	\$2,558,000	\$2,786,800	\$2,985,700
Industrial Waste/Stormwater Program	\$343,600	\$356,100	\$372,500	\$387,100	\$401,400	\$550,200	\$571,000
Charges From Other Depts. (Div 127)	\$589,800	\$613,400	\$638,000	\$663,500	\$690,100	\$717,700	\$746,400
Depreciation			\$0	\$1,231,900	\$1,241,900	\$1,255,900	\$1,274,400
Total Operating Expenses	\$4,135,200	\$4,162,100	\$4,474,300	\$6,037,400	\$6,398,600	\$6,882,700	\$7,218,300
Net Operating Revenue	\$569,300	\$566,400	\$1,090,000	\$1,578,500	\$2,493,800	\$3,529,900	\$3,920,500
Non-Operating Revenue (Expense)							
Interest Revenue (No interest on SRF Funds)	\$228,700	\$124,400	\$91,300	\$189,400	\$224,500	\$295,000	\$408,800
Wastewater Connection Fee Revenues	\$117,400	\$286,000	\$334,400	\$382,800	\$617,400	\$926,500	\$1,406,100
Existing Debt New Debt Service (Evolutes TCSD's Share) (a)	(\$527,300)	(\$525,600)	(\$523,200)	(\$525,400)	(\$527,000)	(\$522,600)	(\$523,000) (\$3,030,200)
Total Non-Op Revenues/Expenses	(\$181,200)	(\$115,200)	(\$97,500)	\$46,800	\$314,900	\$698,900	(\$1,738,300)
Net Income Before Capital Activity	\$388,100	\$451,200	\$992,500	\$1,625,300	\$2,808,700	\$4,228,800	\$2,182,200
Capital Expenditures	\$3,180,700	\$1,279,500	\$9,834,000	\$15,529,200	\$15,829,900	\$8,206,900	\$1,132,600
<b>Capital Financing (a)</b> Bond Reserve Fund Requirement			\$11,368,800	\$14,782,700	\$14,782,700	\$6,822,800	\$3,030,200
Net Change in Funds Avail. After Capital Activity	(\$1,983,413)	(\$828,300)	\$2,452,300	\$878,800	\$1,761,500	\$2,844,700	(\$1,980,600)
Beginning Cash Balance (b)	\$5,718,300	\$3,109,900	\$2,281,600	\$4,733,900	\$5,612,700	\$7,374,200	\$10,218,900
Ending Cash Balance (b)	\$3,109,900	\$2,281,600	\$4,733,900	\$5,612,700	\$7,374,200	\$10,218,900	\$8,238,300
Target Reserve Fund (c)	\$5,595,000	\$5,607,000	\$5,760,000	\$6,544,000	\$6,726,000	\$6,964,000	\$10,162,000

### PROJECTED REVENUE PLAN @ PROPOSED WASTEWATER RATES - OPTION B TABLE 5 B

1.

Description	Proposed R	ates & Projec	ted Changes ir	า Accounts/Bil	llable Water U	sage/WW Dise	charges
Proposed Fixed Rate Increase	na	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Proposed Usage Rate Increase (d)	na	0.0%	18%	20.0%	16.7%	16.7%	6.0%
Proposed Average Usage Unit Rate (\$/HCF) (July 1, each Year)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80
Facility Charge (Effective 7-1 each year, 5 Yr Phasing Plan) (e)	\$5,467	\$6,500	\$7,600	\$8,700	\$9,800	\$10,900	\$10,900
Growth Based Changes in Accounts Increase in EDUs_New Slow Scenario Growth (June 2011)	21	44	44	44	8	85	129
Total Billable W/Sewer (Hcf)_New SFR Winter Water Alt.	1,333,793	1,338,290	1,342,788	1,347,285	1,353,724	1,362,412	1,375,597

1.58

9.72

7.51

5.71

2.26

1.31

1.51

Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Excludes Connection Fee Revs)

Notes: Projection is based on new June 2011 slow growth scenario. CDCR's 483 EDUs are projected to occur in FY 17-18. (a) New \$47.45 M SRF loan in FY 12-13,values used to demonstrate annual use of funds. WWTP complete in FY 15-16,debt begins FY 16-17. TCSD's 9% share excluded. (b) FY 10-11 beginning Fund Balance per CAFR, preliminary estimated actuals per City Finance (JUN 2011), including funds reserved for other potential fiabilities. (c) Target Reserve Fund Criteria: Operating/Rate Stabilization @ 50% of O Exp., CIP/Emergency @ \$3 M, & Debt Reserve @ one year's debt service. (d) Crean train increases for FY FY 12-13 are based on projected increase in revenues as a convert infrom all fixed to all variable rates. (e) On September 6, 2011, the City Council selected Option B. On November 1, 2011, the City Council adopted Option B facility charges with a five year phasing, as shown.

Rev. 11/7/2011 Kennedy/Jenks Consultants

It should be noted that in addition to the increase in rates needed to fund the existing customers' share of system costs, the financial plan also integrates growth's share of system costs; most notably the upgraded treatment plant costs. To quantify growth's cost obligations, a Wastewater Facility Charge Report was prepared. The City's wastewater facility charges (connection fees) are scheduled to increase from \$5,467 per EDU to \$12,900, or \$10,900 per EDU for Option A or B, respectively. These charges are shown in the bottom of **Tables 5A or 5B**, as appropriate.

To manage future uncertainties, the City should develop and maintain a series of reserves to buffer the impact of unforeseen expenses, dips in billable water use, emergencies, or other financial circumstance. A dedicated "Rate Stabilization Fund" is one such fund that should be developed to supplement other dedicated reserve funds to manage the City's financial risk of uncertainty. Typical fund reserve criteria that appear applicable to the City's wastewater fund are also reflected in **Tables 5A and 5B**. The Target Reserve Fund enumerated on **Tables 5A and 5B** is based on the following criteria:

- Operating Reserve plus Rate Stabilization Fund Reserve 50% of Operating Expenses (25% each);
- Capital Fund and Emergency Reserve \$3 Million, and Debt Reserve per covenant, or 1 year's total debt, whichever is greater.

A discussion of the City's current and proposed rates and rate structure is provided in the following sections.

### Section 4: Current Wastewater Rates

Historically, the City's wastewater rates have been very low, as the public benefited from a low cost service and purposefully minimized capital and operational expenditures. The wastewater treatment plant, for example, served the citizens for many decades without major process improvements. Keeping utility rates low meant that a "depreciation fund" to replace aging system components such as the treatment plant was not fully funded. Consequently, the City will need to borrow money to upgrade the plant.

Increases in wastewater rates and facility charges (connection fees) are needed to meet financial obligations of the Wastewater Enterprise.

The City's current wastewater rates structure has been in place since 2004 and is similar to many other communities throughout the country. The primary element of the current rate structure is a fixed rate per unit for all customers. This charge annually generates over 85% of the wastewater utility's operating revenues and recognizes that most of the systems costs are fixed. To a much smaller degree, non-residential customers are also charged a variable rate, based on the amount of water used on a monthly basis. For this rate element, non-residential customers are also credited five hundred cubic feet (Hcf) before the variable rate commences. The characteristics of the present rate structure are provided in **Table 6**.

TABLE 6
<b>CURRENT WASTEWATER RATES</b>

Charge Description	Unit Rate	Customer Class
Monthly Fixed Charge/Unit <sup>(a)</sup>	\$25.86	(Applies to all customer classes)
Variable Rate for Non-Residential Only (Applies to water usage beyond 5 Hcf/Unit)	\$1.20/Hcf	Non-Residential Only

(a) Where: Unit is dwelling, hotel room, non-residential occupied units, etc... Source: City of Paso Robles; Rates effective July 1, 2004.

### Section 5: Proposed Wastewater Rates

Proposed rates have been developed to meet the revenue and rate restructuring requirements of the City's wastewater utility. As indicated in Section 3, revenues now generated from wastewater rates are approximately \$4.6 Million per year. When the wastewater plant is fully operational and new debt obligations are realized, approximately \$11 to \$14 Million will be needed annually to continue wastewater system operations. Development of the proposed rates, derivation of associated typical monthly bills, and a comparison of wastewater charges in other communities follow.

### 5.1 Development of Proposed Rates

Wastewater rates are proposed to support the financial health of the community's wastewater utility system over the coming five years. Refer to Section 3 for future revenue requirements.

There is a wide range of pricing strategies that could be followed to generate the funds needed to meet the City's financial obligations, including:

• <u>Fixed Rates</u>: Charge a fixed amount for all residential customers, regardless of estimated wastewater discharge levels. This pricing strategy is certainly easy to administer, but fails to recognize variations in demand on the wastewater system by larger users. As is the case for all wastewater utilities, 70 to 80 percent of the costs to operate and manage the City's system is essentially fixed (i.e. does not vary with flow). While fixed revenue benefits a utility's financial stability, it does have some negative aspects; this rate element typically inhibits low volume customers' ability to reduce their utility bill and does not support water conservation. As such, reducing or eliminating the City's fixed charge would mitigate these rate issues. Eliminating the fixed charge however, means the variable charge will need to recover all of the system's costs; currently, essentially all of the wastewater utility's costs are derived from the fixed monthly charge.

- <u>Pay for What You Use</u>: Structure wastewater service billing according to actual wastewater generation. This "pay for what you use" principle is the same that has been adopted for the water utility. It is familiar, more closely linked to actual flows into the system, but does require some customers' billings be based on usage during non-irrigation months to avoid charging for water usage that doesn't enter the wastewater system. By eliminating the fixed charge, low volume customers have a new opportunity to significantly reduce their monthly water bills. Charging based solely on water usage is the purest form of the "pay for what you use" approach.
- <u>Conservation Pricing</u>: Charge tiered rates such that larger dischargers pay more for higher volumes of discharge. This pricing strategy supports water conservation and community understanding. There would be a stronger case for this type of wastewater billing structure <u>if</u> City water bills were also structured in this tiered fashion.
- Adherence to Cost of Service Requirements. Foremost among rate restructuring considerations is the need to recover the costs associated with providing service to its customers in a fair and equitable manner. These "fair and equitable" guidelines have been an element of wastewater charges for over 40 years through the original provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, and administered by the State Water Resources Control Board. The primary requirements of these cost of service provisions is the need for non-domestic dischargers to pay for any additional strength that is treated at the local publicly owned treatment works. Since provisions of the City's Source Control Ordinance prohibits non-domestic customers from discharging sewage that is in excess of domestic strength, excess strength costs are not an element of customer classification costs. As a result, the allocation and recover of the costs of service is simplified as it is limited to the amount of wastewater that is discharged. Like most communities, the City estimates this value for each customer through an interpretation of its metered water use.

In consideration of the above, a "pay for what you use" structure is recommended. Accompanying this decision is the realization that the shift from an all-fixed to an all-variable rate structure may affect the financial stability of the wastewater enterprise and adversely affect some of the City's customers. From a financial stability perspective, the benefits of the allvariable rate outweighed the financial risk. Additional discussion of typical customer bills is provided in Section 5.3.

### 5.2 Development of Proposed Usage Charges

Usage charges have been developed based on the revenue requirements shown in **Tables 5A** and **5B**, and the projected metered water usage that is estimated to be wastewater. Given our recent community input for water rates, a similar uniform rate structure is proposed for wastewater. The elimination of the fixed charge requires an adjustment of the usage rates to cover costs, and will also help promote water conservation.

The proposed usage charges for the five-year rate period for the financial scenarios developed in Section 3 are shown in **Table 7**. Regardless of the option selected, it is recommended that new rates and rate schedules be effective July 1<sup>st</sup> of each fiscal year. Should rate discussions and other considerations not allow full adoption by July 1, 2012, the initial increase should proceed as soon thereafter as possible. All subsequent increases should proceed at the beginning of the new fiscal year.

### TABLE 7 PROPOSED UNIFORM WASTEWATER USAGE RATES

		Usag	ge Charge \$/	HCF	
User Class (All Customers)	FY 12-13	FY 13-14	FY 14-15	FY 15-16	FY 16-17
		Option /	4		
All Water Usage	\$5.40	\$7.13	\$9.41	\$10.35	\$10.35
		Option I	3		
All Water Usage	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80

These wastewater usage rate options and the associated facility charges options (Section 5.5) were presented to the City Council at a regularly scheduled meeting on September 6, 2011. At that meeting, City Council selected Option B and authorized notifying ratepayers of proposed user rate increases per California Constitution Articles XIIIC and XIIID (Proposition 218). Notices were mailed to all property owners and wastewater customers on September 21, 2011 with information on how to file a written protest to the proposed rate changes. The final wastewater rate notice is provided in **Appendix B**.

Basing customer wastewater bills on water usage poses a key question -- "Will I be billed for irrigation water that does not flow to the sewer?" No. The proposed billing structure would work like this.

- <u>Single Family Residences (SFR)</u>: Customer bills will be based on metered "Winter Water Use" from the previous December-January-February billing period. In other words, a customer's metered water use during that 3 month period will establish the cap for the remainder of the year's wastewater billing. If actual water use in any month is less than a customer's Winter Water Use, billing would be based on the actual, lower amount. Very low Winter Water Use (2 Hcf/month or less) will take into account two Winter Water Use periods. For new services and accounts that change occupancy, the initial year's billing will be based on 7 Hcf/month, the current SFR median Winter Water Usage.
- <u>Apartment Buildings</u>: Many apartment and condominium complexes have separate irrigation meters for the common area landscape such that year-round, metered water use for the main apartment building is representative of interior water usage. For this reason, apartments' and condominium wastewater billing will be based on monthly

water usage. Apartments and condominiums that currently combine interior water use with exterior landscaping requirements have the option of separating these onto separate water meters if desired.

- <u>Non-Residential Customers</u>: Businesses, hotels, schools, and other non-residential customers' wastewater bills will be based on monthly water usage. The primary reason for this is that annual water usage is commonly reflective of the level of business activity and wastewater discharge. Businesses, like apartment buildings, also have the option of serving irrigation needs through a separate irrigation meter. Other businesses consume high volumes of water in the course of daily business but discharge lesser amounts into the sewer. To address this customer category, dischargers that average more than 10,000 gallons per day water usage may petition the City to estimate their discharge by another means and have their wastewater bill based on that alternate means.
- <u>Landscape and Fire Service Meters</u>: Approximately 312 of the 10,000 water accounts throughout the City are classified as Landscape or Fire Service meters, neither of which results in discharge to the sewer. These accounts will not be billed for wastewater service.
- <u>Septic Systems</u>: Approximately 300 of the City's 10,000 water accounts have septic systems and are not connected to the City sewer system. These accounts will not be billed for wastewater service.

The rates outlined herein are intended to fund the essential wastewater treatment plant upgrade and other capital needs to serve existing customers, meet the wastewater fund's current and future debt service requirements, provide the necessary funds for ongoing system management and operation and return the fund to a desired level of financial stability. These rates also support the City's key goals of anticipating regulatory requirements and preparing for future production of recycled water. This rate structure is also consistent with the City's "payfor-what-you-use" philosophy.

### 5.3 Comparison of Monthly Bills

Typical customer bills are often developed to evaluate the impact of a wastewater rate schedule on a utility's customers. Current typical bills are derived by correlating the current schedule of charges shown in **Table 6** with the average or typical consumption values for various customer types. **Tables 8A** and **8B** reflect the resulting impacts of the alternative rate increases over the five year planning period for each of the developed financial scenarios.

As shown, the calculated typical bills for the small volume water user for both alternative plans are essentially less than the current all fixed rate. Larger dischargers are expected to experience larger increases in their wastewater bills as the proposed rate increases are implemented to recover the City's costs of service. Thus, balancing the impact of the rates reflected in these tables with the risks and implicit system reliability associated with each financial option is the principal component of the rate selection decision.

### **TABLE 8A**

### **TYPICAL WASTEWATER BILLS**

### **OPTION A**

		Sample	Bill Tab	e - Sing	jle Fami	ly Resid	lential	
	Units	Current Bill			Proposed	d Monthly	/ Bill	
			7/1/2012	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	7/1/2016	
	(HCF)	(\$25.86 per home)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	fixed per month
			\$5.40	\$7.13	\$9.41	\$10.35	\$10.35	\$ per HCF
	1		\$5.40	\$7.13	\$9.41	\$10.35	\$10.35	
	2		\$10.80	\$14.26	\$18.82	\$20.70	\$20.70	
	3		\$16.20	\$21.39	\$28.23	\$31.05	\$31.05	
25th percentile==>	4		\$21.60	\$28.52	\$37.64	\$41.40	\$41.40	
	5		\$27.00	\$35.65	\$47.05	\$51.75	\$51.75	
	6		\$32.40	\$42.78	\$56.46	\$62.10	\$62.10	
50th percentile==>	7		\$37.80	\$49.91	\$65.87	\$72.45	\$72.45	
	8	\$25.86	\$43.20	\$57.04	\$75.28	\$82.80	\$82.80	
75th percentile==>	9	Ŧ	\$48.60	\$64.17	\$84.69	\$93.15	\$93.15	
	10		\$54.00	\$71.30	\$94.10	\$103.50	\$103.50	
	11		\$59.40	\$78.43	\$103.51	\$113.85	\$113.85	
	12		\$64.80	\$85.56	\$112.92	\$124.20	\$124.20	
	13		\$70.20	\$92.69	\$122.33	\$134.55	\$134.55	
	14		\$75.60	\$99.82	\$131.74	\$144.90	\$144.90	
	15		\$81.00	\$106.95	\$141.15	\$155.25	\$155.25	

### Proposed Wastewater Rates Sample Bill Table - Single Family Residential

### Proposed Wastewater Rates Sample Bill - Small Business Example

Units	Current Bill*		Proposed Monthly Bill							
		7/1/2012	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	7/1/2016				
	(small business w/ 1									
(HCF)	EDU)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	fixed per month			
		\$5.40	\$7.13	\$9.41	\$10.35	\$10.35	\$ per HCF			
1	\$25.86	\$5.40	\$7.13	\$9.41	\$10.35	\$10.35				
5	\$25.86	\$27.00	\$35.65	\$47.05	\$51.75	\$51.75				
10	\$31.86	\$54.00	\$71.30	\$94.10	\$103.50	\$103.50				
15	\$37.86	\$81.00	\$106.95	\$141.15	\$155.25	\$155.25				
20	\$43.86	\$108.00	\$142.60	\$188.20	\$207.00	\$207.00				
25	\$49.86	\$135.00	\$178.25	\$235.25	\$258.75	\$258.75				
30	\$55.86	\$162.00	\$213.90	\$282.30	\$310.50	\$310.50				

\* Basis of current billing is \$25.86 per EDU with 5 HCF/EDU free of charge, then \$1.20/EDU thereafter.

Sample Bill - 4 Unit Motel Example									
Units	Current Bill*		Proposed Monthly Bill						
		7/1/2012	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	7/1/2016			
(HCF)	(4 unit motel)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	fixed per month		
		\$5.40	\$7.13	\$9.41	\$10.35	\$10.35	\$ per HCF		
1	\$103.44	\$5.40	\$7.13	\$9.41	\$10.35	\$10.35			
5	\$103.44	\$27.00	\$35.65	\$47.05	\$51.75	\$51.75			
10	\$103.44	\$54.00	\$71.30	\$94.10	\$103.50	\$103.50			
15	\$103.44	\$81.00	\$106.95	\$141.15	\$155.25	\$155.25			
20	\$103.44	\$108.00	\$142.60	\$188.20	\$207.00	\$207.00			
25	\$109.44	\$135.00	\$178.25	\$235.25	\$258.75	\$258.75			
30	\$115.44	\$162.00	\$213.90	\$282.30	\$310.50	\$310.50			

### Proposed Wastewater Rates Sample Bill - 4 Unit Motel Exampl

\* Basis of current billing is \$25.86 per EDU with 5 HCF/EDU free of charge, then \$1.20/EDU thereafter. Note: Highlighted bills are less than the current rates.

### **TABLE 8B**

### **TYPICAL WASTEWATER BILLS**

### **OPTION B**

### Proposed Wastewater Rates Sample Bill Table - Single Family Residential

	Units	Current Bill	Proposed Monthly Bill					
			7/1/2012	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	7/1/2016	
	(HCF)	(\$25.86 per home)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	fixed per month
			\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80	\$ per HCF
	1		\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80	
	2		\$9.00	\$10.80	\$12.60	\$14.70	\$15.60	
	3		\$13.50	\$16.20	\$18.90	\$22.05	\$23.40	
25th percentile==>	4		\$18.00	\$21.60	\$25.20	\$29.40	\$31.20	
	5		\$22.50	\$27.00	\$31.50	\$36.75	\$39.00	
	6		\$27.00	\$32.40	\$37.80	\$44.10	\$46.80	
50th percentile==>	7		\$31.50	\$37.80	\$44.10	\$51.45	\$54.60	
	8	\$25.86	\$36.00	\$43.20	\$50.40	\$58.80	\$62.40	
75th percentile==>	9	·	\$40.50	\$48.60	\$56.70	\$66.15	\$70.20	
	10		\$45.00	\$54.00	\$63.00	\$73.50	\$78.00	
	11		\$49.50	\$59.40	\$69.30	\$80.85	\$85.80	
	12		\$54.00	\$64.80	\$75.60	\$88.20	\$93.60	
	13		\$58.50	\$70.20	\$81.90	\$95.55	\$101.40	
	14		\$63.00	\$75.60	\$88.20	\$102.90	\$109.20	
	15		\$67.50	\$81.00	\$94.50	\$110.25	\$117.00	

### Proposed Wastewater Rates Sample Bill - Small Business Example

				_					
Units	Current Bill*		Proposed Monthly Bill						
		7/1/2012	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	7/1/2016			
	(small business w/ 1								
(HCF)	EDU)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	fixed per month		
		\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80	\$ per HCF		
1	\$25.86	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80			
5	\$25.86	\$22.50	\$27.00	\$31.50	\$36.75	\$39.00			
10	\$31.86	\$45.00	\$54.00	\$63.00	\$73.50	\$78.00			
15	\$37.86	\$67.50	\$81.00	\$94.50	\$110.25	\$117.00			
20	\$43.86	\$90.00	\$108.00	\$126.00	\$147.00	\$156.00			
25	\$49.86	\$112.50	\$135.00	\$157.50	\$183.75	\$195.00			
30	\$55.86	\$135.00	\$162.00	\$189.00	\$220.50	\$234.00			

\* Basis of current billing is \$25.86 per EDU with 5 HCF/EDU free of charge, then \$1.20/EDU thereafter.

Sample Bill - 4 Unit Motel Example									
Current Bill*		Proposed Monthly Bill							
	7/1/2012	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	7/1/2016				
(4 unit motel)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	fixed per month			
	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80	\$ per HCF			
\$103.44	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80				
\$103.44	\$22.50	\$27.00	\$31.50	\$36.75	\$39.00				
\$103.44	\$45.00	\$54.00	\$63.00	\$73.50	\$78.00				
\$103.44	\$67.50	\$81.00	\$94.50	\$110.25	\$117.00				
\$103.44	\$90.00	\$108.00	\$126.00	\$147.00	\$156.00				
\$109.44	\$112.50	\$135.00	\$157.50	\$183.75	\$195.00				
\$115.44	\$135.00	\$162.00	\$189.00	\$220.50	\$234.00				
	Sar Current Bill* (4 unit motel) \$103.44 \$103.44 \$103.44 \$103.44 \$103.44 \$103.44 \$109.44 \$115.44	Sample Bill           Current Bill*         7/1/2012           (4 unit motel)         \$0.00           \$103.44         \$4.50           \$103.44         \$45.00           \$103.44         \$45.00           \$103.44         \$45.00           \$103.44         \$45.00           \$103.44         \$67.50           \$103.44         \$90.00           \$103.44         \$112.50           \$115.44         \$135.00	Sample Bill - 4 Uni           Current Bill*         7/1/2012         7/1/2013           (4 unit motel)         \$0.00         \$0.00           \$103.44         \$4.50         \$5.40           \$103.44         \$42.50         \$27.00           \$103.44         \$45.00         \$54.00           \$103.44         \$67.50         \$81.00           \$103.44         \$67.50         \$81.00           \$103.44         \$90.00         \$108.00           \$103.44         \$91.25         \$125.00           \$109.44         \$112.50         \$135.00           \$115.44         \$135.00         \$162.00	Sample Bill - 4 Unit Motel I           Current Bill*         7/1/2012         7/1/2013         7/1/2014           (4 unit motel)         \$0.00         \$0.00         \$0.00           \$103.44         \$4.50         \$5.40         \$6.30           \$103.44         \$42.50         \$27.00         \$31.50           \$103.44         \$45.00         \$54.00         \$63.00           \$103.44         \$67.50         \$81.00         \$94.50           \$103.44         \$90.00         \$108.00         \$126.00           \$103.44         \$90.00         \$108.00         \$126.00           \$109.44         \$112.50         \$135.00         \$157.50           \$115.44         \$135.00         \$162.00         \$189.00	Sample Bill - 4 Unit Motel Example           Current Bill*         Froposed Monthly           7/1/2012         7/1/2013         7/1/2014         7/1/2015           (4 unit motel)         \$0.00         \$0.00         \$0.00         \$0.00           \$4.50         \$5.40         \$6.30         \$7.35           \$103.44         \$45.00         \$54.00         \$63.00         \$73.50           \$103.44         \$45.00         \$54.00         \$63.00         \$73.50           \$103.44         \$45.00         \$54.00         \$63.00         \$73.50           \$103.44         \$45.00         \$54.00         \$63.00         \$73.50           \$103.44         \$45.00         \$54.00         \$63.00         \$73.50           \$103.44         \$45.00         \$18.00         \$10.25         \$103.44           \$90.00         \$108.00         \$126.00         \$147.00           \$109.44         \$112.50         \$135.00         \$157.50         \$183.75           \$115.44         \$135.00         \$162.00         \$189.00         \$220.50	Sample Bill - 4 Unit Motel Example           Current Bill*         Foposed Monthly Bill           7/1/2012         7/1/2013         7/1/2014         7/1/2015         7/1/2016           (4 unit motel)         \$0.00         \$0.00         \$0.00         \$0.00         \$0.00           \$103.44         \$4.50         \$5.40         \$6.30         \$7.35         \$7.80           \$103.44         \$45.00         \$5.40         \$63.00         \$7.35         \$78.00           \$103.44         \$45.00         \$54.00         \$63.00         \$73.50         \$78.00           \$103.44         \$45.00         \$54.00         \$63.00         \$73.50         \$78.00           \$103.44         \$45.00         \$54.00         \$63.00         \$73.50         \$78.00           \$103.44         \$45.00         \$54.00         \$63.00         \$110.25         \$117.00           \$103.44         \$90.00         \$108.00         \$126.00         \$147.00         \$156.00           \$109.44         \$112.50         \$135.00         \$147.00         \$156.00         \$147.00         \$156.00           \$109.44         \$135.00         \$162.00         \$189.00         \$220.50         \$234.00			

### Proposed Wastewater Rates Sample Bill - 4 Unit Motel Example

\* Basis of current billing is \$25.86 per EDU with 5 HCF/EDU free of charge, then \$1.20/EDU thereafter. Note: Highlighted bills are less than the current rates.

### 5.4 Comparison of Monthly Bills with Other Communities

In addition to the development of typical bills for City customers, **Figure 2** provides a comparison of the City's current and alternative monthly single-family bills with other Central Coast communities. The comparison is based on a Winter Water usage of 7 Hcf.

As shown, there is a wide range of charges among the surveyed communities. The City's current charges are in the lower range, and the estimated bills throughout the five years under the proposed rates remain on the low end of comparable agency charges. It is interesting to note that even with the increase proposed five years from now, a typical Single Family Resident customer in the City will still pay \$14 to \$32 per month less than the highest and comparable to the amount currently charged by several other sewer agencies.

In addition, it should be noted that this rate survey does not provide the full picture of the utility's position. For example, some of the agencies may have additional increases that are in process or being proposed, may have varying wastewater service program cost, quality, and reliability issues or objectives, and there is often a wide range of variance in local level of service, capital reinvestment, and preventive maintenance considerations. Given the current condition and direction of the City's utility, the City's wastewater rates are well in line with other local communities.

### 5.5 Alternative Capital Facility Charges

In addition to the use of wastewater rates to fund system costs, the City utilizes a Wastewater Facility Charge (currently named Sewer Connection Fee) to recover the costs of new development's impact on the wastewater system. The purpose of this charge is to assure that future customers pay their fair share of system costs, both to recoup costs invested in the existing system and to finance future facilities needed to support growth.

To assess growth's proportional share of costs, Kennedy/Jenks prepared a separate Wastewater Facility Charge Study. The proposed facility charges were based on a Capacity Buy-In method. This approach derives capacity charges based both on future facilities needed to serve growth and the excess/available capacity already built into the existing infrastructure. The Capacity Buy-In Approach acknowledges that:

- there is available capacity in the system, (otherwise the proposed connection could not be served);
- this available capacity provides value to growth (otherwise new development would have to build new facilities); and
- existing customers (who paid for the existing public utility system) are entitled to be reimbursed by growth for the available capacity that was installed on growth's behalf.

Proceeding in this way, wastewater rates are only paying for infrastructure that benefits them and are not subsidizing growth. The findings of the Kennedy/Jenks Wastewater Facility Charge Study are summarized following Figure 2.

FIGURE 2 Single Family Residential Wastewater Rate Survey - Typical Bills



\* Based on 7 HCF per month winter water use, when applicable.

### **Current Facility Charges (Connection Fees)**

Type of Development	Sewer <u>Connection Fee</u>
Single Family Residence	\$5,467
Multi-Family Residence	\$4,961
Mobile Home Park	\$5,467
Mobile Home Subdivision Lot	\$5,467
Commercial/Industrial	\$5,467
Hosp/Convalescent	\$5,467 + \$252 per room
Motel/Hotel	\$5,467 + \$102 per room
School	\$7,723 + \$102 per classroom

### Alternative Facility Charges (Connection Fees)

Alternative facility charges are derived by correlating the costs to serve future growth with the projected ultimate demands on the wastewater system. Since the cost profile of Option A and B differ, so too is the resulting Facility Charge. Based on the findings of this study, the alternative charges are:

Conventional Financing (user rate Option A) – \$12,900 per EDU for SFR, \$11,600/EDU for MFR. Non-residential is based on water meter size.

SRF Financing (user rate Option B) – \$10,900 per EDU for SFR, \$9,800/EDU for MFR. Nonresidential is based on water meter size. As previously described, 1 EDU is equivalent to the average wastewater discharged from a single family residential (SFR) account.

The developed Facility Charges are designed to recover those costs that should be the responsibility of new development. Adoption of a coordinated rate and facility charge plan will assure all customers pay their fair share of the wastewater system costs.

As previously noted, on September 6, 2011, City Council selected Option B and subsequently, adopted the associated facility charges on November 1, 2011. The new charges will take effect January 1, 2012 and will be phased in over a five year period.

### 5.6 Summary of Proposed Alternative Rates

The rates outlined herein are intended to fund the essential wastewater treatment plant upgrade and other capital needs to serve existing customers, meet the wastewater fund's debt service requirements, provide the necessary funds for ongoing system management and operation and return the fund to a desired level of financial stability. Without the treatment plant upgrade, the City cannot comply with its waste discharge permit and would adversely affect the Salinas River. The proposed rates also support the City's key goals of anticipating regulatory requirements and increasing/diversifying water resources by upgrading the plant with future water recycling in mind. The proposed rate structure is also consistent with the "pay-for-what-you-use" philosophy. With current revenues of approximately \$4.7 Million and costs in year five projected to exceed \$11 to \$14 Million, an increase in rates and new customer facility charges are essential. The proposed rates combined with the increase in capital facility charges are designed to meet this revenue shortfall. The proposed rate structure is consistent with the costs of service and supports the "pay-for-what-you-use" philosophy.

In addition to the rate-related adjustments provided herein, the City should plan for the methodical review of system costs, wastewater discharges, and utility rates. Much of this work can be incorporated as an element of the annual budget process as additional information is being developed and evaluated. Over the next couple of years, an important element of this review is the need to confirm the funding mechanism needed to construct the wastewater treatment plant. Regardless of the financial scenario selected, a high priority should be placed on preserving the City's position within the State Revolving Fund Loan Program and assessing its viability, as this is an important cost-saving measure for the City's ratepayers.

\* \* \*

Appendix A

### **RWQCB Time Schedule Order**

11-15-11 CC Agenda Item 1 Page 42 of 57



California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Coast Region

> 895 Aerovista Place, Suite 101, San Luis Obispo, California 93401-7906 (805) 549-3147 • FAX (805) 543-0397 http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralcoast



Edmund G. Brown Jr. Governor

Linda S. Adams Acting Secretary for Environmental Protection

July 5, 2011

Sent via Electronic Mail only

Mr. Matt Thompson Wastewater Manager City of Paso Robles 1000 Spring Street Paso Robles, CA 93446 <u>MThompson@prcity.com</u>

Dear Mr. Thompson:

### TIME SCHEDULE ORDER NO. R3-2011-0213 FOR WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS ORDER NO. R3-2011-0002, NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT NO. CA0047953 – THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT, SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, WDID 3 400105001, CIWQS PLACE ID 247750

This letter transmits Time Schedule Order No. R3-2011-0213 (TSO Order) for the City of El Paso de Robles (City) wastewater treatment facility.

On May 5, 2011, the Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (Central Coast Water Board) adopted revised Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. R3-2011-0002 (NPDES Permit) regulating the discharge of secondary-treated effluent from the City's wastewater treatment facility to the Salinas River. The NPDES Permit included a new effluent limitation for monthly average total nitrogen of 10 mg/L. The City cannot currently meet the new effluent limitation without significant upgrades to the existing wastewater treatment facility. Implementation of an upgrade project is currently underway.

The TSO Order establishes an interim effluent limitation and requires the City to comply with a compliance schedule for completion of the wastewater treatment facility upgrades and the final effluent limitation contained within the NPDES Permit.

In accordance with California Water Code section 13167.5, the Central Coast Water Board provided notice and a comment period of 30 days, ending on June 27, 2011, for proposed TSO Order No. R3-2011-0213 on May 26, 2011. No comments were received on the draft TSO Order. The final time schedule order can be viewed and downloaded from the Water Boards website at:

http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/centralcoast/board\_decisions/adopted\_orders/index.shtml

California Environmental Protection Agency 11-15-11 CC Agenda Item 1 Page 43 of 57 Recycled Paper Scroll down to "Time Schedule Order No. R3-2011-0213 for the City of El Paso de Robles" and select link located in the right column.

If you have questions, please contact <u>Matthew Keeling at (805) 549-3685</u> (email <u>mkeeling@waterboards.ca.gov</u>), or Sheila Soderberg at (805) 549-3592.

Sincerely,

foque (

Roger W. Briggs Executive Officer

Enclosures: Time Schedule Order No. R3-2011-0213

S:\NPDES\NPDES Facilities\San Luis Obispo Co\Paso Robles WWTP\2011 Renewal\R3-2011-0002\TSO R3-2011-0213\R3-2011-0213\_TSO\_Transmittal.doc

CC:

Paper copy (w/o enclosure):

Mr. Ali Salmanzedeh 1245 Park St. Paso Robles CA 93446

Electronic copies via email (with enclosure):

Mr. Jeff Hodge, Templeton CSD, Jhodge@templetoncsd.org

- Mr. Steve Tanaka, San Miguel CSD, c/o Wallace Group, StevenT@wallacegroup.us
- Mr. Richard Wilhoit, Estrella Associates, Dick@estrellaassociates.com

Mr. Paul Sorensen, Fugro, psorensen@fugro.com

Mr. Gordon Hensley, EPI/SLO Coastkeeper, g.r.hensley@sbcglobal.net

Mr. Dan Connally, PG Environmental, LLC, <u>Dan.Connally@pgenv.com</u>

- Mr. David Smith, USEPA, Smith.davidw@epa.gov
- Mr. Jamie Marincola, USEPA, Marincola.JamesPaul@epa.gov

Mr. Jae Kim, Tetra Tech, jae.kim@tetratech-ffx.com

Mr. John Ramirez, Monterey County Environmental Health, ramirezj1@co.monterey.ca.us

Mr. Kurt Souza, California Department of Public Health, Kurt.Souza@cdph.ca.gov

Ms. Elizabeth Krafft, Monterey County Water Resources Agency, <u>krafftea@co.monterey.ca.us</u>

Mr. Curtis Batson, San Luis Obispo County Health Department, <a href="mailto:cbatson@co.slo.ca.us">cbatson@co.slo.ca.us</a>

Mr. Mike Hill, California Department of Fish and Game, mhill@dfg.ca.gov

California Environmental Protection Agency

Mr. Brandon Sanderson, California Department of Fish and Game, <u>bsanderson@dfg.ca.gov</u> Mr. John Biegel, Water Board, <u>jbiegel@waterboards.ca.gov</u>

Mr. Matthew Keeling, Water Board, mkeeling@waterboards.ca.gov

Ms. Frances McChesney, State Water Board, fmcchesney@waterboards.ca.gov

Mr. Todd Stanley, Water Board, tstanley@waterboards.ca.gov

State Water Board, <u>NPDES wastewater@waterboards.ca.gov</u>

Mr. Manuel Saavedra, Monterey Co. Water Resources Agency, <u>saavedram@co.monterey.ca.us</u>

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL COAST REGION 895 Aerovista Place, Suite 101 San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

### TIME SCHEDULE ORDER NO. R3-2011-0213

### REQUIRING THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS PRESCRIBED IN ORDER NO. R3-2011-0002

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Coast Region (Central Coast Water Board), finds:

- 1. The City of El Paso de Robles (hereafter City or Discharger) owns and operates wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal facilities to provide sewerage service to the City, the community of Templeton, and the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.
- The Central Coast Water Board adopted revised waste discharge requirements regulating the discharge of secondary-treated effluent from the Discharger's wastewater treatment facility to the Salinas River. These requirements were issued in Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. R3-2011-0002 (Order No. R3-2011-0002), adopted by the Central Coast Water Board on May 5, 2011. Order No. R3-2011-0002 serves as a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit (NPDES No. CA0047953).
- 3. Order No. R3-2011-0002 includes a new effluent limitation for monthly average total nitrogen of 10 mg/L as nitrogen (N). The Discharger cannot currently meet the new effluent limitation without significant upgrades to the existing wastewater treatment facility.
- 4. The Discharger is in the midst of implementing a complete wastewater treatment plant upgrade. Planning, design, California Environmental Quality Act compliance and permitting for the project are complete. The 100% design drawings and specifications for the project include a biological nutrient removal system that will reportedly enable the Discharger to meet the new nitrogen effluent limitation. The remaining phases of the project include securing additional funding, bidding, and construction.
- 5. The Discharger submitted a technical memorandum to the Central Coast Water Board on May 11, 2011, containing a treatment system upgrade project milestone schedule, recent effluent data, and a proposed interim total nitrogen effluent limitation in support of a time schedule order (or compliance schedule). The project milestone schedule is as follows:

Pr	oject Milestone	Completion Date
1.	Adopt City ordinance to increase wastewater facility charges (connection fees).	November 1, 2011
2.	Introduce wastewater rate increases to public and begin Proposition 218 process.	January 1, 2012
3.	Adopt City ordinance to increase wastewater rates.	June 1, 2012
4.	Advertise wastewater treatment plant upgrade for construction bids.	November 1, 2012
5.	Award contract and issue notice to proceed (NTP) with construction.	February 1, 2013
6.	Substantial completion of construction (28 months after NTP).	June 1, 2015
7.	Stabilization and optimization of biological nutrient removal process. Full compliance with effluent limits.	September 1, 2015

### Table 1 – Wastewater Treatment System Upgrade Schedule

The Discharger's most recent effluent monitoring results for total nitrogen are as follows:

Date	Total Nitrogen (mg/L as N)
October 2009	33
January 2010	64
April 2010	26
July 2010	27
October 2010	20
January 2011	44

### Table 2 – Total Nitrogen Effluent Data

The 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of these data is 59 mg/L. Based on these data the Discharger recommended an interim total nitrogen effluent limit of no less than 59 mg/L.

### NEED FOR ORDER AND LEGAL BASIS:

- 6. California Water Code section 13300 authorizes the Central Coast Water Board to establish a time schedule of specific actions the Discharger shall take in order to correct or prevent a violation of requirements.
- 7. State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) Resolution No. 2008-0025, *Policy for Compliance Schedules in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permits*, establishes uniform provisions authorizing compliance schedules for NPDES permits.
- 8. The Central Coast Water Board has delegated to its Executive Officer all powers and duties authorized by California Water Code section 13223. This power included the

authority to issue a time schedule order pursuant to California Water Code section 13300.

- 9. The Discharger cannot achieve immediate compliance with the total nitrogen effluent limitation in Order No. R3-2011-0002, which is new to the waste discharge requirements. As a result, a discharge of waste from the existing wastewater treatment facility is taking place which threatens to violate requirements prescribed by the Central Coast Water Board. Therefore, this Order requires the Discharger to undertake actions to comply with the final effluent limitation.
- 10. Violations of the final effluent limitation for total nitrogen are not subject to California Water Code section 13385, subdivisions (h) and (l), as long as the Discharger complies with all of the requirements of this time schedule order.
- 11. This time schedule order requires the Discharger to comply with a compliance schedule, which will allow the Discharger to achieve full compliance with the total nitrogen effluent limitation in Order No. R3-2011-0002.
- 12. This enforcement action is taken for the protection of the environment and as such is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code section 21000, et seq.) in accordance with section 15321, Chapter 3, Title 14, California Code of Regulations.
- 13. In accordance with California Water Code section 13167.5, this time schedule order was made available for a 30-day public comment period. A draft of this time schedule order was mailed to interested parties and posted on the Central Coast Water Board website on May 26, 2011. No comments were received.
- 14. Any person aggrieved by this action of the Central Coast Water Board may petition the State Water Board to review the action in accordance with California Water Code section 13320 and California Code of Regulations, Title 23, section 2050 and following. The State Water Board must receive the petition by 5:00 p.m., 30 days after the date of this order, except that if the thirtieth day following the date of the order falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or state holiday, the petition must be received by 5:00 p.m. on the next business day. Copies of the law and regulations applicable to filing petitions may be found on the internet at the following address or will be provided upon request:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public\_notices/petitions/water\_quality

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**, that, pursuant to California Water Code section 13300, the City of El Paso de Robles, at its wastewater treatment facility, shall:

- Comply with an interim monthly average total nitrogen effluent limitation of 59 mg/L as nitrogen commencing on the effective date of Time Schedule Order No. R3-2011-0213.
- 2. Comply with the following compliance schedule:

Table 3 –Compliance Schedule
------------------------------

Pr	oject Milestone	Completion Date
1.	Adopt City ordinance to increase wastewater facility charges (connection fees).	November 1, 2011
2.	Introduce wastewater rate increases to public and begin Proposition 218 process.	January 1, 2012
3.	Adopt City ordinance to increase wastewater rates.	June 1, 2012
4.	Advertise wastewater treatment plant upgrade for construction bids.	November 1, 2012
5.	Award contract and issue notice to proceed (NTP) with construction.	February 1, 2013
	a. Submit construction progress report	February 1, 2014
	<ul> <li>Submit construction progress report</li> </ul>	February 1, 2015
6.	Substantial completion of construction (28 months after NTP).	June 1, 2015
7.	Stabilization and optimization of biological nutrient removal process. Full compliance with effluent limits.	September 1, 2015

Note: Construction progress reports (milestones 5.a and b) included to comply with State Water Board Resolution No. 2008-0025 requirements that there shall be no more than one year between interim compliance schedule dates. The two reports shall demonstrate reasonable progress towards completing construction activities based on a construction schedule provided by the Discharger as part of the progress reports pursuant to project milestones 5.a and b.

- 3. Achieve full compliance with the average monthly total nitrogen effluent limitation of 10 mg/L as N pursuant to Order No. R3-2011-0002 by September 1, 2015.
- 4. The Discharger shall notify the Central Coast Water Board, in writing, no later than 14 days following each interim date (completion date), of its compliance or noncompliance with the interim requirements (project milestone) as specified within Table 3 of this time schedule order.
- 5. If the Discharger fails to comply with any provisions of this time schedule order, the Discharger will be subject to mandatory minimum penalties pursuant to California Water Code section 13385 for violations of the interim effluent limitation and may be subject to administrative civil liability pursuant to California Water Code section 13350 for failure to meet project milestones by the completion dates specified within Table 3. Potential administrative civil liability will be based on the number of days the Discharger is late in complying with the compliance schedule and will be inclusive of all missed or late project milestones. The Central Coast Water Board may also refer the

case to the Attorney General for injunctive and civil monetary remedies, pursuant to California Water Code section 13331 and section 13385.

6. The Discharger shall comply with all provisions of Order No. R3-2011-0002 that are not in conflict with this Order.

The Executive Officer may modify the time schedule in this Order to permit a specified task or tasks to be completed at later dates if the Discharger demonstrates and the Executive Officer determines that the delay was beyond the reasonable control of the Discharger to avoid. In addition, the Executive Officer may choose to rescind this time schedule order if the Discharger fails to comply with any provision contained herein.

ORDERED BY

Roger W. Briggs, Executive Officer

Date: 7-5-2011

S:\NPDES\NPDES Facilities\San Luis Obispo Co\Paso Robles WWTP\2011 Renewal\R3-2011-0002\TSO R3-2011-0213\TSO\_R3-2011-0213.doc CIWQS Reg Measure ID for TSO: 379968 CIWQS Place ID: 247750

Appendix B

### **Miscellaneous Supporting Information**



Dear Property Owner/Tenant:

September 21, 2011

The City of Paso Robles owns, maintains, and operates the community's sewer system. The system includes over 136 miles of pipelines, 14 sewer lift stations, a 4.9 million gallon per day capacity wastewater treatment plant, and 10,000 service connections into the collection system. Current customer/user wastewater rates provide \$4.7 million annually. Annual costs to operate, maintain, repair, replace, service debt for all system components, as well as treat and dispose of wastewater are projected to increase to \$12 million in 2016-17. Increases in both wastewater rates and facility charges are necessary to cover the increasing costs. The City will be holding a public hearing on the proposed wastewater rate increases. (See section below entitled "What are the proposed wastewater rate increases for?" for an explanation of the increasing costs.)

### Notice of Public Hearing Regarding Proposed Increases in Wastewater Rates

A public hearing on the proposed increases to wastewater rates and their effective date will be held by the City Council on:

### Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:30 p.m. City of Paso Robles, Council Chambers 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles CA 93446

This notice is being sent to all City property owners and tenants receiving sewer service. If adopted, the proposed new rate would take effect on or after July 1, 2012, with increases annually thereafter for four years. This notice also describes how to file a protest to this proposed action. All property owners/tenants and other interested parties are invited to attend the public hearing and be heard on the matter.

### WHAT ARE THE PROPOSED WASTEWATER RATE INCREASES FOR?

The proposed wastewater rates are necessary for the City of Paso Robles to continue to provide safe and reliable wastewater service to its citizens due to increasing wastewater treatment, disposal, operational, maintenance, and repair costs. Several contributing factors include, but are not limited to:

- a required upgrade of the 58-year-old wastewater treatment plant to meet State discharge requirements
- debt service payments to repay existing loans and to borrow additional monies to upgrade the plant
- operating, maintaining and repairing the collection and treatment systems and infrastructure
- replacing sewage lift stations and aging collectors
- rising cost of electricity and other commodities necessary to operate the system
- increasing costs associated with the operation of utility vehicles, pumps, force mains, generators and motors
- increasing labor costs
- increasingly stringent State and Federal wastewater handling regulations.

Wastewater operating expenses are estimated to escalate to \$12 million per year by 2016-17 as detailed in the August 2011 "City of Paso Robles Wastewater Rate and Revenue Analysis Draft Report" prepared by Kennedy/Jenks Consultants. Current revenues generated by existing rates are only \$4.7 million, thus the need for increased wastewater rates. The proposed rates are based on existing and projected revenue needs and also take into account projected capital expenses over the coming years. Table 5B of the Kennedy/Jenks report shows the proposed revenue plan using the proposed pay-for-what-you-use, uniform rate method (See "Proposed Wastewater Rate Method" for a description of this structure). A copy of the report can be viewed at <u>www.pasowastewater.com</u>.

### **PROPOSED WASTEWATER RATE METHOD**

Currently, residential customers pay a fixed \$25.86 per month for sewer service no matter how much is discharged into the sewer system. All other customers pay a fixed \$25.86 per hotel room, commercial unit, etc. plus \$1.20 per unit of water, i.e., one hundred cubic feet ("Hcf", or 748 gallons), for water usage in excess of 5 Hcf/units per month.

The proposed rate method eliminates the fixed rate method in favor of a pay-for-what-you-use approach (see explanation below in section entitled "Proposed Uniform Wastewater Usage Rate Method"). Proposed rates have been calculated based on projected operating costs, debt service requirements for financing the treatment plant upgrade, depreciation of assets, and needed capital improvements, taking into account the proportionate contributions to be made by new development for capital improvements through the wastewater facility charge. User rates were determined by dividing projected revenue requirements by estimated annual billable wastewater discharge over a five-year planning period. A cash flow model using the proposed wastewater rates indicates that the wastewater fund would be able to meet its expenses and other financial performance measures. These user rate calculations are detailed in the August 2011 Rate and Revenue Analysis available at www.pasowastewater.com.

		Usa	ge Charge \$	/Hcf	
User Class					
(All Customers)	FY 12-13	FY 13-14	FY 14-15	FY 15-16	FY 16-17
All Water Usage	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80

Because individual sewage discharge is not metered, a customer's water usage would be the basis for estimating wastewater discharge. The following explains how wastewater bills for various users would be calculated under the proposed rate increase:

<u>Single Family Residences</u>: The monthly sewer bill would be based on the average monthly metered "Winter Water Use" from the previous December-January-February billing period. That monthly average would establish the maximum monthly discharge volume that would be billed for the remainder of the year's wastewater billing. On a community-wide basis, Winter Water Use equals discharge received at the wastewater plant. Using the Winter Water Use method ensures that customers would not be charged for summertime irrigation use that doesn't flow into the sewer.

For example, if Winter Water Use averages 7 Hcf/month, the sewer bill would be 7 times \$4.50, or \$31.50 per month during the first year that the new rate is in effect. However, if less water is used in any one month, the bill for that month would be based on the lesser amount.

If the proposed rate structure is approved, Winter Water Use from Dec 2011 through Feb 2012 would establish the wastewater billing basis for 2012.

There are some exceptions for single family residential billing. One is that the initial year's billing for new services and new customers would be based on the then-current median residential Winter Water Use (which has been 7 Hcf/month in recent years). Another exception is that for customers with very low Winter Water Use (2 Hcf/month or less), bills would be based on those customers' monthly average over the last two Winter Water Use periods.

- <u>Apartment Buildings</u>: Sewer bills for apartments would be based on actual metered water use times the wastewater usage charge. For example, an occupant that uses 6 Hcf of water in one month would be billed at 6 times \$4.50, or \$27 per month for sewer during the first year. Winter Water Use is not proposed for these customers because multi-family complexes either already have separate irrigation meters or have the option of installing one.
- <u>Non-Residential Customers</u>: Wastewater bills for businesses, hotels, schools, and other non-residential uses would also be based on water usage. For example, a business using 20 Hcf of water in one month would be billed at 20 times \$4.50, or \$90 per month during the first year.

Some businesses consume high volumes of water (such as a bottler) but discharge lesser amounts into the sewer system. Because of this, relatively large dischargers may need further evaluation to more accurately measure discharge amounts. Non-residential customers that are served by a 3-inch or larger water meter or that discharge on average more than 10,000 gallons per day for at least 6 months out of the year may petition to estimate monthly wastewater flow by a means other than metered water flow. In those cases, customers would establish a means of estimating monthly discharges by an approved metering method per the American Society of Testing Materials, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the U.S. Department of the Interior, the

U.S. Department of Commerce, or by a mass-balance approach. Approved methods of estimating flow for large dischargers will be documented in an Industrial Wastewater Discharge Permit and monthly estimates of wastewater flow would be provided to the City for use in sewer billing.

- Landscape and Fire Service Meters: Approximately 312 of the City's 10,000 water accounts are classified as Landscape or Fire Service meters, neither of which results in discharge to the sewer system. These accounts would not be billed for wastewater service.
- <u>Septic Systems</u>: Approximately 300 of the City's 10,000 utility accounts have septic systems and are not connected to the City sewer system. These accounts would not be billed for wastewater service.

### **PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE**

The proposed new rate would take effect on or after July 1, 2012, with increases annually thereafter for four years.

### SAMPLE MONTHLY WASTEWATER BILL

### TYPICAL WASTEWATER BILLS OPTION B

**Proposed Wastewater Rates** 

Sample Bill Table - Single Family Residential														
	Units	Current Bill	Proposed Monthly Bill											
			7/1/2012	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	7/1/2016							
	(HCF)	(\$25.86 per home)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	fixed per month						
			\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80	\$ per HCF						
	1		\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80							
	2		\$9.00	\$10.80	\$12.60	\$14.70	\$15.60							
	3		\$13.50	\$16.20	\$18.90	\$22.05	\$23.40							
25th percentile==>	4		\$18.00	\$21.60	\$25.20	\$29.40	\$31.20							
	5		\$22.50	\$27.00	\$31.50	\$36.75	\$39.00							
	6		\$27.00	\$32.40	\$37.80	\$44.10	\$46.80							
50th percentile==>	7		\$31.50	\$37.80	\$44.10	\$51.45	\$54.60							
	8	\$25.86	\$36.00	\$43.20	\$50.40	\$58.80	\$62.40							
75th percentile==>	9	· ·	\$40.50	\$48.60	\$56.70	\$66.15	\$70.20							
	10		\$45.00	\$54.00	\$63.00	\$73.50	\$78.00							
	11		\$49.50	\$59.40	\$69.30	\$80.85	\$85.80							
	12		\$54.00	\$64.80	\$75.60	\$88.20	\$93.60							
	13		\$58.50	\$70.20	\$81.90	\$95.55	\$101.40							
	14		\$63.00	\$75.60	\$88.20	\$102.90	\$109.20							
	15		\$67.50	\$81.00	\$94.50	\$110.25	\$117.00							

Proposed Wastewater Rates															
Sample Bill - Small Business Example															
Units	Current Bill*	Proposed Monthly Bill													
		7/1/2012	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	7/1/2016									
	(small business w/ 1														
(HCF)	EDU)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	fixed per month								
		\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80	\$ per HCF								
1	\$25.86	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80									
5	\$25.86	\$22.50	\$27.00	\$31.50	\$36.75	\$39.00									
10	\$31.86	\$45.00	\$54.00	\$63.00	\$73.50	\$78.00									
15	\$37.86	\$67.50	\$81.00	\$94.50	\$110.25	\$117.00									
20	\$43.86	\$90.00	\$108.00	\$126.00	\$147.00	\$156.00									
25	\$49.86	\$112.50	\$135.00	\$157.50	\$183.75	\$195.00									
30	\$55.86	\$135.00	\$162.00	\$189.00	\$220.50	\$234.00									

\* Basis of current billing is \$25.86 per EDU with 5 HCF/EDU free of charge, then \$1.20/EDU thereafter.

Proposed Wastewater Rates Sample Bill - 4 Unit Motel Example													
Units	Current Bill* Proposed Monthly Bill												
		7/1/2012	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	7/1/2016							
(HCF)	(4 unit motel)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	fixed per month						
		\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80	\$ per HCF						
1	\$103.44	\$4.50	\$5.40	\$6.30	\$7.35	\$7.80							
5	\$103.44	\$22.50	\$27.00	\$31.50	\$36.75	\$39.00							
10	\$103.44	\$45.00	\$54.00	\$63.00	\$73.50	\$78.00							
15	\$103.44	\$67.50	\$81.00	\$94.50	\$110.25	\$117.00							
20	\$103.44	\$90.00	\$108.00	\$126.00	\$147.00	\$156.00							
25	\$109.44	\$112.50	\$135.00	\$157.50	\$183.75	\$195.00							
30	\$115.44	\$135.00	\$162.00	\$189.00	\$220.50	\$234.00							

\* Basis of current billing is \$25.86 per EDU with 5 HCF/EDU free of charge, then \$1.20/EDU thereafter. Note: Highlighted bills are less than the current rates.

### HOW TO BE HEARD

If you are the owner of record for a parcel or parcels or a tenant directly responsible for payment for wastewater service, you may submit a written protest regarding the proposed rate increases. Protests must be filed <u>in writing with original signatures</u> with the City Clerk of the City of Paso Robles. The protests must be filed with the City Clerk any time before the close of the public hearing. Written protests may be mailed or hand-delivered to the City Clerk at 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, CA 93446. <u>Email submissions cannot be accepted</u>.

Any written protest must be <u>signed by the property owner or the customer responsible for payment of the</u> <u>wastewater bill</u> and <u>it must include service address or assessor's parcel number (APN)</u>. Only one protest may be filed per parcel or service address.

Should written protests be filed by a majority of owners/tenants by the close of the public hearing, the proposed rate structure cannot be implemented and the existing rate structure would continue to be used until another rate structure was adopted.

For more information or questions about the proposed wastewater rate increases, you may contact the Department of Administrative Services (City Utility Billing) at (805) 237-3996 or visit the website for the Paso Robles wastewater rates at <u>www.pasowastewater.com</u>.

\* \* \*

APPENDIX B 2002 SEWER BONDS Semi-Annually 6/1 & 11/1												
Fiscal Year	Principal	Interest	Totals									
FY 11	205,000	322,319	\$527,319									
FY 12	210,000	315,554	\$525,554									
FY 13	215,000	308,204	\$523,204									
FY 14	225,000	300,410	\$525,410									
FY 15	235,000	291,973	\$526,973									
FY 16	240,000	282,573	\$522,573									
FY 17	250,000	272,973	\$522,973									
FY 18	260,000	262,723	\$522,723									
FY 19	275,000	251,803	\$526,803									
FY 20	285,000	239,978	\$524,978									
FY 21	295,000	227,438	\$522,438									
FY 22	310,000	214,163	\$524,163									
FY 23	330,000	200,213	\$530,213									
FY 24	345,000	184,538	\$529,538									
FY 25	365,000	168,150	\$533,150									
FY 26	380,000	150,813	\$530,813									
FY 27	395,000	132,763	\$527,763									
FY 28	415,000	114,000	\$529,000									
FY 29	435,000	93,250	\$528,250									
FY 30	455,000	71,500	\$526,500									
FY 31	475,000	48,750	\$523,750									
FY 32	500,000	25,000	\$525,000									
Totals	7,100,000	4,479,081	\$11,579,081									
Source: City of Paso Robles, Finance; September 2009												

TOTAL PROJECT COST <sup>2</sup>		\$4.051.000	\$2.617.900	\$2,623,300	\$2,714,400	\$1,648,800	\$14,382,800	\$2,369,800	\$389,400	\$295,000	\$66,900	\$162,200	\$30,000	\$559,800	\$31,911,300		\$49,557,000	\$49,557,000		\$0	\$0	\$81,468,300
FY 2025-26				\$207,341			\$1,217,438	\$187,298							\$1,612,100			\$0			\$0	\$1,612,100
FY 2024-25				\$199,366			\$1,170,613	\$180,094							\$1,550,100			\$0			\$0	\$1,550,100
FY 2023-24				\$191,698			\$1,125,590	\$173,168							\$1,490,500			\$0			\$0	\$1,490,500
2022-23				\$184,325			\$1,082,298	\$166,507							\$1,433,100			\$0			\$0	\$1,433,100
2021-22			\$544.351	\$177,236			\$1,040,671	\$160,103							\$1,922,400			\$0		\$0	\$0	\$1,922,400
2020-21			\$1.570.243	\$170,419	\$2,714,363	\$1,648,755	\$1,000,645	\$153,945							\$7,258,400			\$0			\$0	\$7,258,400
2019-20			\$503.283	\$163,864			\$962,159	\$148,024							\$1,777,300			\$0			\$0	\$1,777,300
2018-19				\$157,562			\$925,153	\$142,331							\$1,225,000			\$0			\$0	\$1,225,000
2017-18		\$4 050 964	· · ·	\$151,502			\$889,570	\$136,857			\$66,861				\$5,295,800			\$0			\$0	\$5,295,800
2016-17				\$145,675	0\$		\$855,356	\$131,593							\$1,132,600			\$			\$	\$1,132,600
2015-16				\$140,072	3\$		\$822,457	\$126,532		\$295,033					\$1,384,100		\$6,822,787	\$6,822,800			\$	\$8,206,900
2014-15				\$134,685			\$790,824	\$121,665							\$1,047,200		\$14,782,705	\$14,782,700			\$0	\$15,829,900
2013-14				\$129,504			\$500,000	\$116,986							\$746,500		\$14,782,705	\$14,782,700			\$0	\$15,529,200
2012-13				\$124,524			\$500,000	\$112,486							\$737,000		\$9,097,049	\$9,097,000			\$0	\$9,834,000
2011-12				\$119,734			\$500,000	\$108,160	\$389,376			\$162,240			\$1,279,500			\$0			\$0	\$1,279,500
2010-11				\$115,129			\$500,000	\$104,000					\$30,000	\$559,766	\$1,308,900		\$1,871,755	\$1,871,800			\$0	\$3,180,700
2009-10		1		\$110,701		\$0	\$500,000	\$100,000							\$710,700	nent Project	\$2,200,000	\$2,200,000			\$0	\$2,910,700
Project <sup>1</sup>	Wastowator Colloction System Broioct	Phase I, sewer service expansion to West Airport Area (West Dry Creek Rd and Airport Rei)	Phase II, sewer service expansion to South Airport Area (East Drv Creek Road)	Lift station rehabilitation to upgrade obsolete pumps, rails, and motors and to provide longer 2 response time	3 LS1 and T11 Lift Station #1 Capacity Expansion	4 LS 12 Lift Station #12 Capacity Expansion	5 Rehab various sewerlines	6 Rehab/replace old manholes	8 W1 Riverside Interceptor	9 W3 - 36th Street Sewer Service Area	W7 - 12th St between Vine and Olive Sewer 12 Upgrade	13 Re-coating of north/south pipe bridges	15 Buena Vista - Cuesta College	Carryover Projects (LS#12 & West Side Sewer), as of 6-30-2010	Collection System Subtotal =	Wastewater Treatment Plant Improven	Upgrade to 4.9 MGD Advanced Secondary 17 Treatment Process	Wastewater Treatment Plant Subtotal =	Recycled Water System:	WWTP upgrade (tertiary treatment) for 18 production of recycled water <sup>#</sup>	Recycled Water System Subtotal =	Grand Total Planned Capital Expenditure

APPENDIX B - WASTEWATER CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM BUDGET

11-15-11 CC Agenda Item 1 Page 57 of 57