TO:	James L. App, City Manager
FROM:	Ron Whisenand, Community Development Director
SUBJECT:	2011 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program
DATE:	March 3, 2011

- Needs: For the City Council to conduct a noticed public hearing and decide which activities are to be approved for funding with the City's 2011 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds and to re-allocate unspent 2009 CDBG funds.
- Facts:
 The City may have as much as \$358,190 in CDBG funds available for activities in 2011. Of this amount, \$300,090 *may* be the City's expected allotment of 2011 CDBG funds from HUD, \$8,100 are "program income" funds from repaid CDBG-funded loans, and \$50,000 are unused 2009 CDBG funds that had been allocated to the Riverside Avenue Sidewalks project.
 - 2. The \$300,090 in 2011 CDBG funds that the City *may* receive assumes that the City will receive the same allotment in 2011 as it did in 2010. For previous years, this assumption has been relatively reliable. However, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has recently announced that Congress is considering reducing 2011 CDBG allotments as part of the National Budget.
 - 3. From the \$300,090 in 2011 CDBG funds, \$240,090 (80%) will be available for projects and programs, and \$60,000 (20%) would be used for program administration. The \$8,100 in Program Income and the \$50,000 in reprogrammed 2009 CDBG funds may only be used for public facilities; none of these funds may be used for administration or for public services.
 - 3. In October 2010, the City received 17 applications for 2011 CDBG funds totaling \$438,052. Attached are a table and a narrative "Summary of the 2011 CDBG Applications", both of which summarize the 17 applications.
 - 4. Binders containing all of the applications are available at the reference desk in the Library, at the public counter/reception desk at City Hall, and in the Council's office.
 - 5. CDBG regulations stipulate that at least 70% of each year's allotment be spent on activities that benefit low income persons, that no more than 30% may be spent on activities that eliminate blight, and that 20% of the total may be spent for administration. (CDBG funds spent on administration are assumed to provide benefit to low income persons and to eliminate blight in the 70%/30% ratio.)

- 6. CDBG regulations also provide that no more than 15% of each year's funds *may* be used for public service activities such as homeless services, health services, programs for at-risk youth, etc. In 2011, this 15% cap would amount to \$45,014. Public service activities are classified as benefiting low income persons. This year's requests for public services activities funds totals \$86,700.
- 7. If the \$329,207 in CDBG funds are allocated per the ratios mentioned above, the allotments would be as follows:

\$253,176 minimum for low income benefit – non-public service projects
\$45,014 maximum for low income benefit – public service programs
\$60,000 maximum for administration and capacity-building
\$358,190 Total

8. At its meeting of November 16, 2010, the City Council directed that the Draft One Year Action Plan for spending the City's allotment of 2011 CDBG funds include the projects listed below. At that time, it was not known that there would be \$50,000 in unused 2009 CDBG funds to reprogram. Consequently, the City Council did not formulate recommendations for using the additional \$50,000.

Turtle Creek Sidewalk\$	65,000
Handicapped Ramps\$	75,000
LED Crosswalks\$	63,176
Public Services Activities\$	45,014
Administration & Capacity Building	60,000
\$	308,190

- 9. The addition of new activities to a Program Year funding schedule and the transfer of more than \$25,000 in unspent CDBG funds from any Program Year requires an amendment to that Program Year's Annual Action Plan. The 36th Street Improvements Project was not previously approved for 2009 CDBG Funds, consequently the 2009 Annual Action Plan needs to be amended to add it.
- 10. As will be discussed in the Analysis and Conclusion Section of this report, it is suggested that the \$50,000 in 2009 CDBG funds be allocated to the 36th Street Improvements Project, which has received prior allocations of CDBG funds.
- 11. It should be noted that the City Council is not obligated to approve the tentative allocation of 2011 CDBG funds contained in the draft plan, and may, upon completion of a public hearing, approve any of the applications and may adjust the amounts of funds to be awarded for any given activity as long as the awards comply with regulatory limits discussed in Facts # 5 and 6.
- 12. The City Council directed staff to solicit additional information from applicants for public service grants to supplement the information provided in the applications filed in October. The information provided by public services applicants has been

inserted into the binders described in Fact #4 and will be discussed in the Analysis section of this report.

Analysis and

Conclusion: <u>General</u>

There are many more applications than there will be CDBG funds to support. Criteria upon which decisions on which activities to fund are varied and complex. The criteria used by the City Council for making CDBG funding decisions in prior years include those listed below. It should be noted that the Council has not applied each of the listed criteria for every funded activity.

- Overall benefit of an activity to the City, including the number of persons to benefit from an activity given the amount of funds requested;
- Public health and safety benefits of an activity (including ability to eliminate blight);
- Whether the amount of funds requested is so large that, if an activity was funded, it would preclude other worthy activities from being funded. In such cases, the Council may wish to divide an activity into components that can be carried out over 2 or more years. Examples include the Carnegie Library Disabled Access and Therapy Pool Rehabilitation Projects, both of which were funded over 4 years.
- Thoroughness of an application, not only in the amount of information provided, but in the resources committed by/available to an applicant to carry out the project in a timely manner; (In general, CDBG funds should be able to be spent within 1.5 years of their availability.)
- Since 2001, the Council has made awards for public services, several of which have received more than one year's operating funds.

As noted under Fact #11, above, the Council is not obligated to approve the Draft One Year Action Plan and may approve any of the applications, provided that regulatory limits for certain types of activities are not exceeded.

Public Facilities Projects – 2011 CDBG Funds

The Draft One Year Action Plan defined by the Council on November 16, 2010 proposed the following applications be approved:

Turtle Creek Sidewalk	\$ 65,000
Handicapped Ramps	\$ 75,000
LED Crosswalks	\$ 63,176
Public Services Activities	\$ 45,014
Administration & Capacity Building	<u>\$ 60,000</u>
	\$308,190

Public Facilities Projects – Reallocated 2009 CDBG Funds

It is suggested that the \$50,000 in unused 2009 CDBG funds from the Riverside Avenue Sidewalks Project be allocated to the 36th Street Improvements Project, which has received prior allocations of CDBG funds. The scope of this project has been expanded to ensure that the project is fully-compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). This project consists of constructing curbs, gutters, sidewalks, and street trees along the 36th Street frontage of the First 5 Center Site and replacement of existing non-compliant handicapped ramps on the northwest and southwest corners of Oak and 36th Streets. Additionally, the ADA will mandate installation of handrails along sections of sidewalk that that lead to the new improvements where the slope exceeds 5%.

Public Service Applications – 2011 CDBG Funds

All of the applicants for public service funds submitted complete supplemental applications, and an analysis of these is attached. All of the applications are complete and meet federal regulations for use of CDBG funds. The City Council retains the authority and discretion to decide which, if any, of the public service applications they wish to fund.

The City began to make allocations for public services in 2001. In recent years, the amount of funds requested have greatly exceeded the amount of funds available. The City Council has historically awarded grants to all reasonable applicants. Doing so requires that most applicants receive a lesser amount of CDBG funds than they applied for. Attached, for the Council's information, is a history of awards to public services since 2002.

This year's requests total \$86,700. With only \$45,014 available, the Council will need to decide which programs receive funding and at what level. There are innumerable options for dividing public services activity funds. In 2010, the City Council identified categories of services and percentages of available funds that would be allocated to each category, and then awarded grants within those percentages in the manner indicated below.

Homeless services50%	(3 grants were made within this category);
Counseling/Youth	(7 grants were made within this category);
Food10%;	(2 grants were made within this category);
Health/Seniors10%;	(2 grants were made within this category);

Option A

Option A proposes to divide 2011 public service funds into the same categories and follow the same percentages for Homeless Services and Food Categories. However, since only one application was filed for the "Health" Category, (CAPSLO's Senior Health Screening Program), 5% is proposed to be re-assigned to the "Counseling/Mentoring" Category. The table on the following page is a suggested

allocation for the \$45,014 in public service funds.	The Council, however, may make
changes to suit its own consensus of needs (i.e., Opti-	ons "B" or "C", as noted below).

A shi shi s	Original	Ontion A	Percent by
Activity	Request	Option A	Category
Homeless Services (50%)			
TFS Motel Voucher	19,000	11,614	
ECHO	15,000	5,400	
PR Fellowship Development	16,000	5,400	
Subtotal		22,414	49.8%
Counseling/Youth (35%)			
CAPSLO-TAPP	2,850	2,200	
Literacy Council	4,000	2,200	
Big Brothers/Big Sisters	5,000	2,200	
Lifestyles Recovery Center	13,000	4,700	
Boys & Girls Club	4,500	4,500	
Subtotal		15,800	35.1%
Food (10%)			
Senior Nutrition	5,000	4,500	
Subtotal		4,500	10.0%
Health/Seniors (5%)			
CAPSLO-Senior	2,350	2,300	
Subtotal		2,300	5.1%
Total	86,700	45,014	100.0%

- B. <u>Give all applicants an even share</u>. In this option, there are 10 applications vying for \$45,014. An even share would be \$4,501.40.
- C. <u>Other</u>. The Council may elect to formulate alternative options for dividing public services funds. They may decide not to award grants to certain applicants. There are no regulations that mandate grant awards.

Potential Reduction of CDBG Funds

It has not been uncommon for Congress and HUD to adjust the amount of CDBG funds allocated in any Program Year and to do so after the City Council holds its annual hearing and adopts a resolution allocating CDBG funds. It has been the City's practice to include a final Section to the resolution to authorize the City Manager to administratively revise the grant amounts in proportion to the amount of change if the amount of change is within 10%. Because it has been reported that the amount of change in 2011 may be as high as 20%, the attached resolution provides that such an administrative action may be taken if the amount of change is 20%. Should the City Council wish to keep the threshold at 10%, it could do so as part of a motion to adopt the resolution allocating 2011 CDBG funds.

Policy

Reference: Federal regulations for the Community Development Block Grant Program

Fiscal

Impact: Participation in the federal CDBG Program has involved and will continue to demand a commitment of staff resources. Utilizing CDBG funds avoids adverse impacts on the City's General Fund. It should be noted that any funding request that would come from administration would have an equal adverse effect on the City's Redevelopment Low and moderate Income Housing Fund or the General Fund.

Options: Upon completion of a public hearing, take one of the following actions:

a. <u>Option A</u>: Adopt the attached Resolution 11-XX to allocate the City's allotment of 2011 CDBG funds and unspent 2009 CDBG funds as follows:

Public Facilities (2011 CDBG Funds allocated as shown below)	
Turtle Creek Sidewalk	\$65,000
Handicapped Ramps	\$75,000
LED Crosswalks	\$63,176
Public Facilities (2009 CDBG Funds re-allocation	ted as shown below)\$ 50.000

Public Facilities (2009 CDBG Funds re-allocated as shown below)......\$ 50,000 36th Street Improvements\$50,000

Public Services Activities (2011 CDBG funds allocated as shown below).\$ 45,014
TFS Motel Voucher\$11,614
El Camino Homeless Organization\$ 5,400
PR Fellowship Development\$ 5,400
CAPSLO - Teen Academic Parenting Program\$ 2,200
Lifestyles Recovery Center\$ 4,700
Literacy Council\$ 2,200
Boys & Girls Club \$ 4,500
Big Brothers/Big Sisters \$ 2,200
Senior Nutrition\$ 4,500
CAPSLO -Senior Health\$ 2,300

Capacity Building (an administrative use of CDBG funds)\$ 30	,000,
Administration <u>\$30</u>	,000
Total\$358	,190

b. <u>Option B (Even Share Method)</u>: Adopt the attached Resolution 10-XX to allocate the City's allotment of 2010 CDBG funds and unspent 2007 CDBG funds as follows:

Public Facilities (2011 CDBG Funds allocat	ted as shown below)\$203,176
Turtle Creek Sidewalk	\$65,000
Handicapped Ramps	\$75,000
LED Crosswalks	\$63,176

Public Facilities (2009 CDBG Funds re-allocated as shown below)\$ 50,000 36 th Street Improvements\$50,000
Public Services Activities (2011 CDBG funds allocated as shown below).\$ 45,014 TFS Motel Voucher
Capacity Building (an administrative use of CDBG funds)\$30,000 Administration <u>\$30,000</u> Total\$358,190

c. <u>Option C</u>: Amend, modify or reject the proposed activities listed in this report.

Attachments:

- 1. Resolution Adopting an Annual Action Plan for 2011 CDBG Funds and Re-Programming 2009 CDBG Funds
- 2. Table Summary of the Applications for CDBG Funds
- 3. Narrative Summary of CDBG Applications
- 4. Analysis of Supplemental Information for Public Service CDBG Applications
- 5. History of CDBG-Funded Public Service Grants
- 6. Newspaper Notice Affidavits (2)

RESOLUTION NO. 11-XXX

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PASO ROBLES DETERMINING THOSE PROJECTS TO BE FUNDED WITH THE CITY'S ALLOTMENT OF 2011 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) FUNDS AND \$50,000 IN RE-PROGRAMMED 2009 CDBG FUNDS

WHEREAS, the City has been notified by the Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) that it is entitled to receive Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds; and

WHEREAS, via a Cooperation Agreement with the County of San Luis Obispo (hereafter referred to as "County") executed on June 28, 2005, the City waived its individual entitlement status for a period of three years with an option for an automatic three year renewal in order that the County and the cities located therein may qualify as an "Urban County"; and

WHEREAS, on June 2, 2008, the City Council adopted Resolution 08-087 approving a three year renewal of the 2005 Cooperation Agreement; and

WHEREAS, via the Cooperation Agreement, the City retains the authority to determine which projects are to be funded with its allotment of CDBG funds; and

WHEREAS, based on the CDBG entitlement allocation for 2010, the City expects to receive \$300,090 in entitlement CDBG funds in 2011; and

WHEREAS, the City has \$8,100 in Program Income from repaid CDBG-funded loans that needs to be reprogrammed; and

WHEREAS, the City has \$50,000 in unused 2009 CDBG funds from the Riverside Avenue Sidewalks Project that can be reprogrammed; and

WHEREAS, on September 22, 2010, the urban county published a "Request for Proposals" for projects to be funded under the 2011 CDBG Programs, which provided that proposals were to be submitted by October 22, 2010; and

WHEREAS, on October 7, 2010, the urban county conducted a public meeting in Atascadero for residents of the City and of northern San Luis Obispo County to ascertain the housing and community development needs to be addressed in the Consolidated Plan; and

WHEREAS, on January 26, 2011, the urban county conducted a public meeting in Atascadero for residents of northern San Luis Obispo County, including the City of Paso Robles, to accept comments on the Draft 2011 Consolidated Plan; and

WHEREAS, on February 1, 2011, the City published a notice in the Tribune, indicating that a public hearing would be conducted on the Draft 2011 Annual Action Plan on March 3, 2011; and

WHEREAS, on February 15, 2011, the City published a notice in the Tribune, indicating that a public hearing would be conducted on the Draft 2010 Annual Action Plan on March 3, 2011 to consider reprogramming unspent 2009 CDBG funds that had been allocated to the Riverside Avenue Sidewalks Project to the 36th Street Improvements Project; and

WHEREAS, at its meeting of March 3, 2011, the City Council conducted a public hearing to obtain public testimony on the proposed Draft Consolidated Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles, California, as follows:

<u>SECTION 1</u>. To recommend that the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Luis Obispo amend the 2009 Annual Action Plan to reprogram \$50,000 in unused 2009 CDBG funds from the Riverside Avenue Sidewalks Project to the following activity:

36th Street Improvements:

Applicant:City of Paso Robles

The second se	
Activity Description:	Install curb, gutter, sidewalks, handicapped ramps, handicapped handrails, and street trees on the north side of 36 th Street, east of Oak Street; install
	handicapped ramps and handicapped handrails at the northwest corner of Oak
	and 36 th Streets and on the west side of Oak Street, north of 36 th Street; install a
	new ADA-compliance handicapped ramp on the southwest corner of Oak and
	36 th Streets and replace sidewalks that do not meet ADA standards.
CDBG Eligibility:	Public Facility (24 CFR 570.201(c))
National Objective:	Low and Moderate Income Benefit
Explanation:	(1) <u>Area-wide basis (24 CFR 570.208(a)(1))</u> : All proposed improvements are
	located in Census Tract 101, in which 62% of the households are of low or
	moderate income; (2) <u>Limited-clientele basis (24 CFR 570.208(a)(2)</u>):
	Handicapped ramps serve the physically-disabled, which are considered to be
	a group that is principally of low and moderate income.

<u>SECTION 2</u>. Based on an expectation that the City will receive \$300,090 in 2011 CDBG funds and because the City will reprogram \$8,100 in Program Income, to recommend that the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Luis Obispo adopt the 2011 Draft Annual Action Plan, a copy of which shall be kept on file in the Community Development Department, which shall include the following programs to be funded with the City's allotment of CDBG funds:

Public Facilities

Public Facilities are eligible to receive CDBG funds under Section 24 CFR 570.201(c)

1. <u>Turtle Creek Sidewalks</u>: \$65,000

Applicant:City of Paso Robles

- Activity Description: Replace an existing deteriorating 40+ year old asphalt sidewalk along the north side of Brookhill Drive in Turtle Creek Park with a Portland Cement Concrete sidewalk; add 4 handicapped ramps to provide access to the sidewalk; and replace 2 existing non-ADA-compliant ramps. The 2,000 foot long asphalt walkway has been damaged by tree roots and other wear and tear. It now presents a tripping hazard, primarily to seniors who live in the adjacent Sierra Bonita Neighborhood. The requested \$65,000 will supplement \$89,700 in 2010 CDBG Funds awarded to this project.
- National Objective:.....Low and Moderate Income Benefit
- Explanation(1) <u>Area-wide basis (24 CFR 570.208(a)(1))</u>: Turtle Creek Park is located in the heart of Block Group 1 of Census Tract 102.02, and more than 51% of the households in this block group are of low or moderate income; (2) <u>Limited-clientele basis (24 CFR 570.208(a)(2)</u>): Handicapped ramps serve the physically-disabled, which are considered to be a group that is principally of low and moderate income.

2. Handicapped Ramps: \$75,000

Applicant:City of Paso Robles

Activity Description:	Install handicapped ramps where none presently exist and replace non-ADA-
	compliant ramps in various locations on the West Side. The following priorities
	are suggested:

- City Park (between Spring, Pine, 11th, and 12th Streets): 4 ramps at the corners of the Park;
- Northwest corner of 36th and Oak Streets (on the path between the First 5 Center and Georgia Brown School): 1 ramp;
- North and south sides of 24th Street, at the Paso Robles Event Center's Livestock Gate: 2 ramps;
- West side of Spring Street at 11th Street (in front of the Paso Robles Inn at the western end of the crosswalk to the Park): 1 ramp;
- At the 4 corners of 13th and Park Streets (this would also entail constructing bulb-outs at the corners and possibly installing pavers in the sidewalk, which would entail considerably more than \$7,500 per corner);
- Other locations on the West Side of Paso Robles.

National Objective:.....Low and Moderate Income Benefit

Explanation<u>Limited Clientele basis (24 CFR 570.208(a)(2)</u>): Handicapped ramps serve the physically-disabled, which are considered to be a group that is principally of low and moderate income.

3. LED-Lighted Crosswalks: \$63,176

Applicant:	City of Paso Robles
Activity Description:	Install LED-lighted crosswalks at locations with high volumes of pedestrian
	traffic to enhance public safety. The following priorities are suggested:
	• 34 th and Oak Streets;
	 24th Street, at the Paso Robles Event Center's Livestock Gate;
	• Spring Street at 11 th Street (between City Park and the Paso Robles Inn);
	• 13 th and Park Streets.
National Objective:	Low and Moderate Income Benefit
Explanation	<u>Area-wide basis (24 CFR 570.208(a)(1))</u> : All proposed crosswalks are located in
	Census Tract 101, in which 62% of the households are of low or moderate
	income.

Public Services

Public Services to low income persons are eligible for CDBG funding under Section 24 CFR 570.201(e). The national objective achieved is benefit to low and moderate income persons in accordance with 24 CFR 570.208(a)(2). Recipients must demonstrate that 51% or more of their clients qualify as low and moderate income persons.

4. Motel and Apartment Program: \$11,614

5. Emergency Shelter: \$5,400

Applicant:EI Camino Homeless Organization (ECHO) Activity Description:Operating expenses for an emergency shelter located in Atascadero that serves the North County.

6. Christ Kitchen and CBS Ministry Programs: \$5,400

Applicant: Paso Robles Fellowship Development

Activity Description:Purchase food, supplies, and operating expenses for providing meals, showers, and donated clothing to the homeless in the Paso Robles area.

7. <u>Teen Academic Parenting Program (TAPP)</u>: \$2,200

Applicant:Community Action Partnership of San Luis Obispo County (CAPSLO) Activity Description:Operating expenses for a County-wide counseling and child care program for pregnant and parenting teen mothers and fathers

8. The Literacy Program: \$2,200

Applicant:Literacy Council Activity Description:Operating expenses for a County-wide program to provide English Language classes.

9. Mentoring Program: \$2,200

Applicant:......Big Brothers & Big Sisters Activity Description:......Operating expenses for a County-wide program to provide mentoring services to "at-risk" youth.

10. Drug/Alcohol Counseling for Youth and Adults: \$4,700

Applicant:Lifestyles Recovery Center

Activity Description:Operating expenses for a drug and alcohol counseling services for low income adults and youth in the Paso Robles area.

11. Project Learn: \$4,500

Applicant:Boys and Girls Club of North San Luis Obispo County Activity Description:Operating expenses for after-school programs for youth n in the Paso Robles area.

12. Senior Nutrition Program: \$4,500

13. Senior Health Screening Program: \$2,300

Administration and Capacity-Building

Administration and Capacity-Building activities are eligible for CDBG funds under Section 24 CFR 24 CFR 570.205. Section 570.208(d)(4). provides that administrative and capacity building activities serve the National Objectives of low income benefit and elimination of blight in direct proportion to all other City allocations in a given Program Year.

- <u>CDBG Program Administration</u>. \$30,000 Applicant:City of Paso Robles Activity Description: Administer the CDBG program and all of the activities that are approved.
- 15. Capacity-Building: \$30,000

Applicant:City of Paso Robles

Activity Description:City staff time to implement programs identified in the City's Housing Element of the General Plan and in its Redevelopment Implementation Plan to benefit low and moderate income persons and to aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight. This activity will further the City's efforts to provide affordable housing to low and moderate income households.

SECTION 3. If, after the adoption of this resolution, the City learns that the amount of 2011 CDBG funds varies from the \$300,090 expected, the additional or reduced CDBG funds shall be allocated as follows:

- A. If the amount of change (addition or reduction) is within twenty percent (20%) of the expected allocation of \$300,090, the City Manager may administratively direct that the amounts to be allocated to the 15 activities listed in Section 2 of this resolution may be revised in a proportionate manner and subject to conformance with federal regulations for allocating CDBG Funds set forth in 24 CFR Part 570.
- B. If the amount of change (addition or reduction) is greater than twenty percent (20%) of the expected allocation of \$300,090, the City Council shall conduct a subsequent public hearing, to be noticed in accordance with federal regulations governing the Consolidated Plan set forth in 24 CFR Part 91 to allocate any additional funds or to distribute any reduction.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Paso Robles this 3rd day of March 2011 by the following vote:

AYES: NOES: ABSTAIN: ABSENT:

ATTEST:

Duane Picanco, Mayor

Caryn Jackson, Deputy City Clerk

CITY OF PASO ROBLES: 2011 CDBG APPLICATIONS

Public Facilities, Building Rehab, Housing, Economic Development Projects (National Objective: Low Income Α. Benefit)

#	Applicant	Program/Project	Amount Requested
1	City of Paso Robles	Replace sidewalks on Brookhill Drive in Turtle Creek Park and install/replace 6 handicapped ramps	\$65,000
2	City of Paso Robles	Install/replace handicapped ramps at various locations on West Side of City	\$108,176
3	City of Paso Robles	Install LED-lighted crosswalks at various locations on West Side of City	\$63,176
4	Economic Vitality Corp.	Revolving Loan Fund: loan guarantees and technical assistance for start up businesses.	\$50,000
5	Mission Community Services Corp.	Women's Business Center Program	\$ 5,000
	Total Requested		\$291,352
	Total Available		\$203,176 *

Assumes that \$45,014 is used for Category B.

Β. Public Services (National Objective: Low Income Benefit)

#	Applicant	Program/Project	Amount Requested
6	Community Action Partnership of SLO County (CAPSLO)	Senior Health Screening Program	\$ 2,350
7	CAPSLO	Teen Academic Parenting Program	\$ 2,850
8	Lifestyles Recovery Center	Drug and Alcohol Abuse Counseling	\$13,000
9	Transitional Food & Shelter	Motel/Apartment Program for the homeless	\$19,000
10	Paso Robles Fellowship Development	Meals, showers, and clothing for the homeless.	\$ 16,000
11	Big Brothers & Big Sisters	Mentoring Program for disadvantaged youth	\$ 5,000
12	El Camino Homeless Organization	Homeless shelter operating costs and motel vouchers	\$15,000
13	Boys and Girls Club of North SLO County	Project Learn (homework completion/tutoring	\$ 4,500
14	The Literacy Council	The Literacy Program	\$ 4,000
15	Senior Nutrition of SLO County	Senior Nutrition Program	\$ 5,000
	Total Requested		\$86,700
	Total Available		\$45,014

C. Administration and Capacity-Building

#	Applicant	Program/Project	Amount Requested
16	City of Paso Robles	Capacity-Building Program: activities to implement Housing Element Programs	\$30,000
17	City of Paso Robles	Administration of City's CDBG Program and all CDBG-funded activities	\$30,000
	Total Requested		\$60,000
	Total Available		\$60,000

CITY OF PASO ROBLES: 2011 CDBG APPLICATIONS

Estimated Revenues

2011 HUD Entitlement	300,090.00	Amount expected to be allocated to the City from HUD
Program Income	8,100.00	Amount from repaid CDBG-funded loans (through September 30, 2010). Ineligible for public services or administration.
Reprogrammed 2009 Funds	50,000.00	Ineligible for public services or administration
Total	358,190.00	

Expenditure Summary

A. Low Income Benefit- non public service	181,150	Amount remaining from \$358,190 after deducting the following amounts
B. Blight Elimination	72,026	May not exceed 30% of \$240,090 (\$300,090 in 2011 entitlement minus \$60,000 for administrative funds).
C. Low Income Benefit - public service	45,014	May not exceed 15% of \$300,090 (this amount calculated before deducting administrative funds.
D. Administration & Capacity-Building	60,000	May not exceed 20% of \$308,090
Total	358,190	

Public Facilities

1.	Turtle Creek Sidewalks:	
	Applicant:	
	Amount Requested:	
	Activity Description:	Replace an existing deteriorating 40+ year old asphalt sidewalk along the north side of Brookhill Drive in Turtle Creek Park with a Portland Cement Concrete sidewalk; add 4 handicapped ramps to provide access to the sidewalk; and replace 2 existing non-ADA-compliant ramps. The 2,000 foot long asphalt walkway has been damaged by tree roots and other wear and tear. It now presents a tripping hazard, primarily to seniors who live in the adjacent Sierra Bonita Neighborhood. The requested \$65,000 will supplement \$89,700 in 2010 CDBG Funds awarded to this project.
	CDBG Eligibility	Public Facility (24 CFR 570.201(c))
		Low and Moderate Income Benefit
	2	(1) <u>Area-wide basis (24 CFR 570.208(a)(1))</u> : Turtle Creek Park is located in the heart of Block Group 1 of Census Tract 102.02, and more than 51% of the households in this block group are of low or moderate income; (2) <u>Limited-clientele basis (24 CFR 570.208(a)(2)</u>): Handicapped ramps serve the physically-disabled, which are considered to be a group that is principally of low and moderate income.
2.	Handicapped Ramps:	
	Applicant:	5
	Amount Requested:	
	Activity Description:	Install handicapped ramps where none presently exist and replace non-ADA- compliant ramps in various locations on the West Side. The following priorities are suggested:
		 City Park (between Spring, Pine, 11th, and 12th Streets): 4 ramps at the corners of the Park;
		 Northwest corner of 36th and Oak Streets (on the path between the First 5 Center and Georgia Brown School): 1 ramp;
		 North and south sides of 24th Street, at the Paso Robles Event Center's Livestock Gate: 2 ramps;
		 West side of Spring Street at 11th Street (in front of the Paso Robles Inn at the western end of the crosswalk to the Park): 1 ramp;
		• At the 4 corners of 13 th and Park Streets (this would also entail constructing
		bulb-outs at the corners and possibly installing pavers in the sidewalk, which would entail considerably more than \$7,500 per corner);
		Other locations on the West Side of Paso Robles.
	0 5	Public Facility (24 CFR 570.201(c))
	National Objective:	Low and Moderate Income Report

National Objective: Low and Moderate Income Benefit Explanation......<u>Limited Clientele basis (24 CFR 570.208(a)(2)</u>): Handicapped ramps serve the physically-disabled, which are considered to be a group that is principally of low

and moderate income.

3. LED-Lighted Crosswalks:

Applicant:	. City of Paso Robles
Amount Requested:	. \$63,176
Activity Description:	Install LED-lighted crosswalks at locations with high volumes of pedestrian traffic
	to enhance public safety. The following priorities are suggested:

- 34th and Oak Streets;
- 24th Street, at the Paso Robles Event Center's Livestock Gate;

- Spring Street at 11th Street (between City Park and the Paso Robles Inn);
- 13th and Park Streets.

CDBG Eligibility:Public Facility (24 CFR 570.201(c))

National Objective: Low and Moderate Income Benefit

4. <u>36th Street Improvements</u>:

Applicant:	. City of Paso Robles
Amount Requested:	\$50,000
Activity Description:	Install curb, gutter, sidewalks, handicapped ramps, handicapped handrails, and street trees on the north side of 36 th Street, east of Oak Street; install handicapped ramps and handicapped handrails at the northwest corner of Oak and 36 th Streets and on the west side of Oak Street, north of 36 th Street; install a new ADA-compliance handicapped ramp on the southwest corner of Oak and 36 th Streets and replace sidewalks that do not meet ADA standards.
	•
	. Public Facility (24 CFR 570.201(c))
National Objective:	. Low and Moderate Income Benefit
Explanation	Area-wide basis (24 CFR 570.208(a)(1)): All proposed improvements are located in
	Census Tract 101, in which 62% of the households are of low or moderate income.

Economic Development Projects

5. Revolving Loan Fund:

Applicant:	Economic Vitality Corporation
Amount Requested:	\$50,000
Activity Description:	Provide loan guarantees and technical assistance to micro-enterprises/start-up
	businesses.
CDBG Eligibility:	Special Economic Development Activities (24 CFR 570.203)
National Objective:	Low and Moderate Income Benefit
Explanation	Guidelines for Economic Development Projects (24 CFR 570.209): Provide one full-
	time equivalent job per \$35,000 of CDBG Funds.

The City has yet to award CDBG funds for this type of activity. This application was not suggested for inclusion in the Draft One Year Action Plan.

6. Women's Business Center Program:

Applicant:	Mission Community Services Corp.
Amount Requested:	\$5,000
Activity Description:	Operate a county-wide program to provide training for small businesses operated or
	being started by an estimated 55 low income persons. Although the program is
	entitled "Women's Business", grant recipients need not be women.
CDBG Eligibility:	Special Economic Development Activities (24 CFR 570.203)
National Objective:	Low and Moderate Income Benefit
Explanation	Guidelines for Economic Development Projects (24 CFR 570.209): Provide one full-
	time equivalent job per \$35,000 of CDBG Funds.

The City has yet to award CDBG funds for this type of activity. This application was not suggested for inclusion in the Draft One Year Action Plan.

Public Services

Public Services to low income persons are eligible for CDBG funding under Section 24 CFR 570.201(e). The national objective achieved is benefit to low and moderate income persons in accordance with 24 CFR 570.208(a)(2). Recipients must demonstrate that 51% or more of their clients qualify as low and moderate income persons.

7. Motel and Apartment Program:

The City has supported this program continuously since 2001 and allocated \$11,614 in 2010.

8. Emergency Shelter:

Applicant:El Camino Homeless Organization (ECHO) Amount Requested:..........\$15,000 Activity Description: Operating expenses for an emergency shelter located in Atascadero that serves the North County. Number of beneficiaries: ...450 persons in North County.

The City has supported this program continuously since 2005 and allocated \$5,400 in 2010.

9. Christ Kitchen and CBS Ministry Programs:

The City has supported this program in 2001, 2007, and in 2010. In 2010, \$5,400 was granted.

10. Teen Academic Parenting Program (TAPP):

The City has supported this program continuously since 2001 and allocated \$1,600 in 2010.

11. The Literacy Program:

The City has supported this program continuously since 2002 and allocated \$1,600 in 2010.

12. Mentoring Program:

The City has supported this program continuously since 2002 and allocated \$1,600 in 2010.

13. Drug/Alcohol Counseling for Youth and Adults:

Applicant:Lifestyles Recovery Center Amount Requested:......\$13,000 Activity Description: Operating expenses for a drug and alcohol counseling services for low income adults and youth in the Paso Robles area. Number of beneficiaries: .. 300 persons (per month) in Paso Robles.

The City has supported this program most years since 2002 and allocated \$4,100 in 2010.

14. Project Learn:

The City has supported Boys and Girls Club educational programs 6 times since 1996. In 2010, the City provided a grant of \$2,300.

15. Senior Nutrition Program:

The City supported this program in 2009 with a grant of \$1,950.

16. Senior Health Screening Program:

The City has supported this program continuously since 2001 and allocated \$2,300 in 2010.

Administration and Capacity-Building

17. CDBG Program Administration.

Applicant:City of Paso RoblesAmount Requested:\$30,000Activity Description:Administer the CDBG program and all of the activities that are approved.CDBG Eligibility:Program Administration (24 CFR 570.206)

National Objective: Low and Moderate Income Benefit; Blight Elimination

Explanation......<u>Administration and Capacity Building (24 CFR 570.208(d)(4))</u>: This section provides that administrative and capacity building activities are considered to address National Objectives.

18. Capacity-Building:

<u>adpanty Banand</u> .	
Applicant:City of Paso Robles	
Amount Requested:\$30,000	
Activity Description: City staff time to implement programs identified in the City's Housing Element of	
the General Plan and in its Redevelopment Implementation Plan to benefit low	/
and moderate income persons and to aid in the prevention or elimination of	
slums or blight. This activity will further the City's efforts to provide affordable	
housing to low and moderate income households.	
CDBG Eligibility:Capacity Building Activities (24 CFR 570.205)	
National Objective:Low and Moderate Income Benefit; Blight Elimination	
ExplanationAdministration and Capacity Building (24 CFR 570.208(d)(4)): This section provides	i
that administrative and capacity building activities are considered to address	j
National Objectives.	

The City of Paso Robles has annually conducted a CDBG-funded capacity building program since 1994.

City of Paso Robles CDBG Program Analysis of Supplemental Information for Public Services for 2011 CDBG Applications

Activity	Paso Robles	Templeton, San Miguel, Lake Nacimiento, Shandon, Jardine & Geneseo Roads	Atascadero and Santa Margarita	Communities South of the Cuesta Grade or along the North Coast
TFS Motel Voucher	37%	1%	34%	28%
ECHO	16%	6%	30%	18%
PR Fellowship Development	97%	3%		

2%

8%

1%

2%

5%

3%

2%

9% *

50%

81%

1%

1%

61%

72%

11%

32%

8%

2%

4%

15%

13%

The applicants' proposed programs serve clients who live within the following communities:

Actual entry on Supplemental (should be 61%?)

CAPSLO – Teen Parenting

Lifestyles Recovery Center

CAPSLO – Senior Health

Literacy Council

Big Bros/Big Sisters

Boys and Girls Club

Senior Nutrition

From which other jurisdictions do you solicit CDBG or other funds for this program?

26%

10%

10%

95%

90%

21%

13%

Activity	Jurisdictions
TFS Motel Voucher	Atascadero, Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, SLO, County
ECHO	Atascadero, County
PR Fellowship Development	none
CAPSLO – Teen Parenting	Arroyo Grande,
Literacy Council	Atascadero, Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, SLO
Big Bros/Big Sisters	Atascadero, Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, SLO, County
Lifestyles Recovery Center	none
Boys and Girls Club	none
Senior Nutrition	Atascadero, Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, Pismo Beach, SLO, County
CAPSLO – Senior Health	Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, Pismo Beach, SLO, County

The supplemental applications include organizations' budgets for the current (calendar or fiscal) year, detailed statements of the services they provide, and a list of their officers. Copies of the supplemental applications have been placed in the binders with the Applications for 2011 CDBG Funds that are kept in the City Council's Office, the Library, and in the Community Development Department.

History of CDBG - Funded Public Service Grants

Mondess Services Cold Cold <thcold< th=""> Cold Cold</thcold<>	Federal Year →	2002	%	2003	%	2004	%	2005	%	2006	%	2007	%	2008	%	2009	%	2010	%
Bit Church 24,000 24,000 24,000 42,	Homeless Services																		
uchers 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 54,001<	2nd Baptist Church											10,669						5,400	
Image: black	TFS Vouchers	24,000		24,000		20,000		24,000		20,503		21,000		21,373		19,881		11,614	
alt 24,000 45% 24,000 42% 26,000 26% 26,000 <t< td=""><td>ЕСНО</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>4,000</td><td></td><td>5,000</td><td></td><td>4,800</td><td></td><td>5,400</td><td></td></t<>	ЕСНО							2,000				4,000		5,000		4,800		5,400	
R Fishes R I<	Subtotal	24,000	45%	24,000	42%	20,000	42%	26,000	42%	20,503	42%	35,669	80%	26,373	59%	24,681	59%	22,414	50%
Refutes Image: sector sec																			
R Fishes A Fishes A Fishes B00 P	Food																		
Mutrition 0 0 4560 10% 5.330 9% 800 2% 0 0% 1.960 2% Nutrition 0 0% 0 0% 4560 10% 5.336 9% 800 2% 0 0% 1.950 5% 4.600 Selicit 2.000 1,700 1,500 2.000 2.000 1,950 1.950 5% 4.600 O Senior Health 2.000 1,700 1,500 2.000 2.000 1,950 2.300	Loaves & Fishes					4,650		5,350										2,300	
Nutrition i	Food Bank									800								2,300	
aliant aliant<	Senior Nutrition															1,950			
Sentors Image: sentorsentors Image: sentorsentors Im	Subtotal	0	%0	0	%0	4,650	10%	5,350	6%	800	2%	0	%0	0	%0	1,950	5%	4,600	10%
Sentors:																			
O Senior Health 4,000 5,000 1,700	Health/Seniors																		
O 40 Wondertul 2,000 1,700	CAPSLO Senior Health	4,000		5,000		4,000		2,500		2,000		2,000		2,000		1,950		2,300	
ship for Children i <	CAPSLO 40 Wonderful	2,000		2,000		1,700		1,500		1,200									
Callets 3,000 1,0 3,000 4,0 1,00 4,00 4,00 or Seniors 6,00 11% 7,00 12% 7,00 1% 3,000 4% 2,000 4% 1,950 5% 4,600 all 6,00 11% 7,00 12% 7,000 1% 2,000 4% 2,000 4% 1,950 5% 4,600 all 3600 1% 7,00 1% 7,00 1% 2,500 4% 2,000 4% 1,950 5% 4,100 or barrenting 3600 6,10 3,000 1,500 1,500 4,500 1,500	Partnership for Children							3,000										2,300	
or Seniors or Seniors or Seniors s 3,000 11% 7,000 12% 5,700 12% 7,000 12% 5,700 13% 7,000 13% </td <td>Caring Callers</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3,000</td> <td></td> <td>3,500</td> <td></td> <td>3,000</td> <td></td> <td>2,500</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Caring Callers					3,000		3,500		3,000		2,500							
all 6,000 1% 7,000 1% 1% 7,000 1% </td <td>Rides for Seniors</td> <td></td> <td>3,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Rides for Seniors											3,000							
Hing/Youth Sien	Subtotal	6,000		7,000	12%	5,700	12%	7,000	11%	3,200	6%	2,000	4%	2,000	4%	1,950	5%	4,600	10%
Biling/Youth i </td <td></td>																			
O Teen Parenting 3;600 3,600 3,600 3,600 3,600 2,700 2,500 2,500 2,350 1,600 4,100 ss Counseling 6,800 6,100 1,000 1,000 1,570 1,950 1,950 1,600 / Program 2,500 3,000 2,000 3,000 1,575 2,500 2,000 1,950 1,600 / Hotine 1 2,500 1,000 1,575 2,500 2,000 1,950 1,600 / Hotine 1 1 1 1 1,575 2,500 1,950 1,950 1,600 / Self-Help Hsg 1 1 1 1 1 1 1,570 1,500 1,950 1,600 / Self-Help Hsg 1 </td <td>Counseling/Youth</td> <td></td>	Counseling/Youth																		
es Counseling 6,800 6,100 4,000 2,000 2,000 2,000 2,000 1,950	CAPSLO Teen Parenting	3,600		3,600		3,000		2,000		1,600		2,000		2,500		2,350		1,600	
/ Program 2,500 3,000 2,000 4,000 1,575 2,500 2,000 1,950 1,950 1,950 1,950 1,600 / Big Sis 6,000 7,250 3,000 4,000 1,575 2,500 2,000 1,950 1,950 1,600 s' Self-Help Hsg i <td>Lifestyles Counseling</td> <td>6,800</td> <td></td> <td>6,100</td> <td></td> <td>4,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4,500</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5,000</td> <td></td> <td>4,800</td> <td></td> <td>4,100</td> <td></td>	Lifestyles Counseling	6,800		6,100		4,000				4,500				5,000		4,800		4,100	
Big Sis 6,000 7,250 3,000 4,000 1,575 2,500 2,500 1,950 1,950 1,950 s' Self-Help Hsg v <td>Literacy Program</td> <td>2,500</td> <td></td> <td>3,000</td> <td></td> <td>2,000</td> <td></td> <td>3,000</td> <td></td> <td>2,200</td> <td></td> <td>2,500</td> <td></td> <td>2,000</td> <td></td> <td>1,950</td> <td></td> <td>1,600</td> <td></td>	Literacy Program	2,500		3,000		2,000		3,000		2,200		2,500		2,000		1,950		1,600	
s' Self-Help Hsg i	Big Bro/Big Sis	6,000		7,250		3,000		4,000		1,575		2,500		2,000		1,950		1,600	
1 Hotline 1 Hotline 1 Hotline 1 Hotline 2,000 1 2,000 1 2,200 hildcare 4,000 i	Peoples' Self-Help Hsg															1,950			
inidacate 4,000 6 6 6 7 <	SLO 211 Hotline													2,000				2,200	
Girls Club 6 6,300 5,000 12,564 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2,300 Arts Foundation r	UCP Childcare	4,000																	
Arrs Foundation Image: lise lise lise lise lise lise lise lise	Boys & Girls Club			6,300		5,000		12,564										2,300	
Arts Foundation	CASA							2,600						1,000					
Ince League 22,900 43% 26,250 46% 17,000 36% 24,875 50% 7,000 16,500 37% 13,000 31% 13,400 al 22,900 10% 26,250 46% 17,000 36% 24,164 39% 24,875 50% 7,000 16,500 37% 13,000 31% 13,400 al 29 29 24,875 50% 7,000 16% 16,500 37% 13,000 31% 13,400 al 29 29 20 20% 24,875 50% 7,000 16% 16,500 37% 13,000 31% 13,400 31 20 24,875 50% 24,875 50% 7,000 16% 16,500 37% 13,400 31 20 24,875 20% 24,875 20% 24,875 26% 7,000 16% 13,500 37% 13,400 32 20 20% 24,875 20% 24,865 10% 4,873 100% 4,531 100% 4,541,581	Youth Arts Foundation									15,000									
al 22,900 43% 26,250 46% 17,000 36% 24,164 39% 24,875 50% 7,000 16,500 37% 13,000 31% 13,400 1 <td< td=""><td>Assistance League</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Assistance League													2,000					
52,900 100% 57,250 100% 47,350 100% 62,514 100% 49,378 100% 44,669 100% 41,581 100% 45,014	Subtotal	22,900	43%	26,250	46%	17,000	36%	24,164	39%	24,875	50%	7,000	16%	16,500	37%	13,000	31%	13,400	30%
52,900 100% 57,250 100% 47,350 100% 62,514 100% 49,378 100% 44,669 100% 44,873 100% 41,581 100% 45,014																			
	TOTAL	52,900	100%	57,250	100%	47,350	100%	62,514	100%	49,378	100%	44,669	100%	44,873	100%	41,581	100%	45,014	100%

ED\CDBG\11\PUBLIC SERVICES 2002-2010

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

LEGAL NEWSPAPER NOTICES

PLANNING COMMISSION/CITY COUNCIL PROJECT NOTICING

Newspaper: _____ Tribune

Date of Publication:

February 1, 2011

Hearing Date:

March 3, 2011 (City Council)

Project: <u>2011 Consolidated Plan</u>

I, <u>Theresa Variano</u>, employee of the Community Development Department, Planning Division, of the City of El Paso de Robles, do hereby certify that this notice is a true copy of a published legal newspaper notice for the above named project.

Signed Theresa Variano

forms\newsaffi.691

CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING 2011 Consolidated Plan NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the City Council of the City of El Raso de Robles will hold a Public Hearing on the Draft 2011 Annual Action Plan for Federal Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Home Investment Partnership (HOME), Emergency Service Grant (ESG), and American Dream Downpayment Initiative (ADDI) Programs. The Annual Action Plan is a component of the 5 year Consolidated Plan for these funding programs. The City Council will be deciding which applications for these funding programs. The City Council will be deciding which applications for the City's share of 2011 CDBG funds will be approved and will be making a recommendation to the County Board of Supervisors regarding the proposed expenditures of 2011 HOME, ESG, and ADDI Funds. This hearing will take place in the Conference Room at the Paso Robles Library/ City Hall, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, California, on Thursday, March 3, 2011, at the hour of 7:00 pm. **All interested parties may appear and be heard at the above hearing.** Copies of the staff report with the proposed funding are available at Paso Hobles City Hall, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, and will be posted on the City's web site: www.prcity.com one week prior to the hearing. Copies of the staff report with Hall for the cost of reproduction. Comments on the Draft 2011 Annual Action Plan may be mailed to the Commuity Development Department, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, CA 33446 or e-mailed to CDdirector@prcity.com provided that such comments are received prior to the time of the hearing.

If you have questions about this matter, you may call Ed Gallagher, City Planner, at (805) 237-3970 or send email to ed@proty.com.

6927038

Ed Gallagher, City Planner February 1, 2011

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

LEGAL NEWSPAPER NOTICES

PLANNING COMMISSION/CITY COUNCIL PROJECT NOTICING

Newspaper: Tribune

Date of Publication: ____

February 15, 2011

Hearing Date:

March 3, 2011 (City Council)

Project: Amendment to 2009 Consolidated Plan

I, <u>Theresa Variano</u>, employee of the Community Development Department, Planning Division, of the City of El Paso de Robles, do hereby certify that this notice is a true copy of a published legal newspaper notice for the above named project.

Signed: Theresa Variano

forms\newsaffi.691

CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING Amendment to 2009 Consolidated Plan NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles will hold a Public Hearing on an amendment to the 2009 Annual Action Plan for Federal Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program for the City of Paso Robles. The subject amendment proposes that \$50,000 of \$181,626 in CDBG funds allocated to the Riverside Avenue Sidewalks Project he re-allocated to the 36th Street Improvements Project, which has allocated CDBG funds from the 2007 and 2010 Program Years. The reason for the reallocation is that it is expected that the Riverside Avenue Sidewalks Project will be completed at a lower cost than originally estimated and that the S6th Street Improvements Project will cost more than originally estimated in order to meet Americans with Disabilities Act requirements.

This hearing will take place in the Conference Room at the Paso Robles Library/ City Hall, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, California, on Thursday, March 3, 2011, at the hour of 7:00 pm.

All interested parties may appear and be heard at the above hearing.

Copies of the staff report with the proposed funding are available at Paso Robles City Halt, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, and will be posted on the City's web site: www.prcity.com one week prior to the hearing. Copies of the staff report may be purchased at City Hall for the cost of reproduction.

Comments on the proposed amendment to the 2009 Annual Action Plan may be malled to the Community Development Department, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, CA 93446 or e-mailed to CDdirector@prcity.com provided that such comments are received prior to the time of the hearing.

If you have questions about this matter, you may call Ed Gallagher, City Planer, at (805) 237-3970 or send email to ed@proity.com.

6928944

Ed Gallagher, City Planner February 15, 2011