

To: James L. App, City Manager
From: Jim Throop, Director of Administrative Services
Subject: Annual Transportation Development Act Funding Claim
DATE: October 19, 2010

Needs: For the City Council to consider resolution approving the annual Transportation Development Act (TDA) claim for Fiscal Year (FY) 2010/11.

Facts:

1. The City must file an annual claim with the San Luis Obispo Council of Governments in order to receive its allocation of TDA funds.
2. TDA funds are used for transit services, pedestrian and bike ways, and audits related to the use of the TDA funds. In addition, TDA funds can be used for transit capital purchases, and for Streets and Roads. However, for these funds to be used for Streets and Roads there must be no “unmet transit needs” as determined by SLOCOG. Unmet transit needs are defined as current gaps in transit service for which a sufficient number of public requests have been received. Such a request is deemed “reasonable to meet” if funding is available from the entity or entities to be served by the service, it is comparable to existing services, and likely to meet farebox recovery ratio requirements within three years.
3. TDA funds represent $\frac{1}{4}$ of 1% of the statewide sales tax. The State and SLOCOG retain a portion of the tax collections to fund their respective budgets. The remaining funds are generally distributed back to local governmental entities based upon taxes collected in the County.
4. TDA revenues typically provide approximately $\frac{2}{3}$ of transit funding for the City, with the remaining required funds coming from Federal grants and passenger fares.

Analysis & Conclusion:

The total amount of TDA funds being requested or “claimed” is \$736,509. \$714,324 of this total is TDA Local Transportation Funds (LTF) and the remaining \$22,185 in State Transit Assistance (STA) funds. Of this amount, \$525,162 will be used toward transit operations. The remaining \$211,347 will be used to fund Regional Transit (SLORTA), pedestrian and bikeways, and the required TDA Audits.

At the most recent Unmet Transit Needs (UTN) hearing in February, 2010, it was determined that the City had no unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet. If it is determined that a jurisdiction has an unmet transit need, TDA funds must be allocated to meet this unmet transit need before funds may be allocated to Streets and Roads. A city or other jurisdiction that utilizes all of its TDA funds for transit purposes, as the City did in FY 10, is exempt from being mandated to implement additional transit services identified through the Unmet Transit Needs process.

In addition to TDA funds, the City receives Federal Transit funding which is expected to be \$224,000 in Federal 5307 grant funds for FY 2010/11. These FTA funds are being used to fund transit operations and maintenance in conjunction with the TDA funding.

The allocation of TDA funds is subject to change, in response to adjustments in projected state revenues, and the distribution of the modified revenues amongst jurisdictions. Final TDA amounts received by the city for FY 2010/11 therefore may vary from the amounts in this claim.

TDA funds available to the City for FY 11 were reduced by \$31,622 due to non-compliance with the required 20% Farebox Recovery Ratio (FRR), the portion of operating expenses paid by passenger fares collected. Additional steps are being considered at this time to improve the FRR.

Fiscal
Impact:

Approval of the claim as drafted will provide for the following allocation of funds:

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Paso Robles Transit Operations (including NCS) | \$502,977 |
| SLORTA Transit Services (in the Paso Robles area) | \$194,022 |
| Pedestrian & Bikeways | \$15,959 |
| TDA Audit | \$1,366 |

Total \$745,946

As noted above, these amounts are subject to change, pending adjustments to actual versus projected State revenues during FY 2010/11.

Options:

- a. That the City Council adopt the attached resolution approving the annual claim for Transportation Development Act funding; or
- b. Amend, modify, or reject the above option.

RESOLUTION NO. 10 -

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES
APPROVING THE ANNUAL CLAIM FOR
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS

WHEREAS, the City must annually file a "claim" for its share of Transportation Development Act funds; and

WHEREAS, the annual claim will identify the allocation of Transportation Development Act funds between uses for transit services and other purposes; and

WHEREAS, the annual claim may be subsequently modified if actual revenues or the proposed uses do not meet expectations; and

WHEREAS, to assure no disruption in the receipt of the City's quarterly allocation of TDA funds, this claim should be submitted immediately.

THEREFORE BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles that the Transportation Development Act claim attached herewith as Exhibit "A" is hereby approved for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2011.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Paso Robles this 19th day October, 2010 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

ATTEST:

Duane Picanco, Mayor

Caryn Jackson, Deputy City Clerk

EXHIBIT A
2010/2011 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT (TDA) FUND - Adopted 6/9/10, Amended 8/4/11

| Jurisdiction/ Transit Program | CA Department of Transportation Population | TOTAL LTF AVAILABLE APPORTION | Net LTF Available After Payroll and all (Note 1) | Bikeway/ Pat. Art. 3 Sec. 99233 | Net RTA (Note 3) Art. Sec. | RTA RTF Contribution (Note 3) | Performance Award for RTA (Note 6) Sec. | Total RTA Contribution (Note 4) Sec. 99240 | SCAT (Note 5) Art. 4 Sec. 99260 | Performance Funds (Note 6) Sec. 99246 | Determined By BALANCE AVAILABLE | TDA Audit Art. 3 Sec. 99245 | Transit Ops Art. 4 Sec. 99280 | Roads Maint Art. 8 Sec. 99400 (e) | Transit Capital Svc Art. 8 Sec. 99400 (c) | Perf Audits (SLOCOG) | NET LTF | TOTAL RTF AWARD Sec. 99280 | TOTAL LTF and RTF | TOTAL RTF CARRY OVER Sec. 99260 | TOTAL LTF RTF and RTF CARRY-OVER | Op. Rev. Art. 6.5 Sec. 99814 | Serv. Progr. Art. 6.5 Sec. 99813 | Diser. Art. 6.5 Sec. 99813 | TOTAL STA | Grand Total LTF STA & RTF | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Col. 1 | Col. 2 |
| Arroyo Grande | 28,438 | 465,593 | 426,415 | 9,101 | 110,651 | 28,678 | 779 | 141,108 | 215,471 | 0 | 89,413 | 0 | 507,627 | 65,163 | 3,500 | 0 | 0 | 708,311 | 0 | 708,311 | 0 | 708,311 | 6,076 | 0 | 0 | 13,831 | 19,907 | 425,415 |
| Atascadero | 17,080 | 757,724 | 720,311 | 15,154 | 184,233 | 49,413 | 1,297 | 239,949 | 171,425 | 0 | 507,627 | 0 | 56,663 | 0 | 3,000 | 0 | 0 | 708,311 | 0 | 708,311 | 0 | 708,311 | 6,076 | 0 | 0 | 13,831 | 19,907 | 425,415 |
| Grover Beach | 10,555 | 353,150 | 330,120 | 5,624 | 68,390 | 23,000 | 604 | 109,499 | 171,425 | 0 | 188,411 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 281,396 | 0 | 281,396 | 0 | 281,396 | 2,724 | 0 | 0 | 12,334 | 15,058 | 286,454 |
| Morro Bay | 29,949 | 766,362 | 714,324 | 15,959 | 194,022 | 52,038 | 1,366 | 247,426 | 111,902 | 0 | 502,977 | 0 | 41,892 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 714,324 | 0 | 714,324 | 0 | 714,324 | 8,098 | 0 | 0 | 14,087 | 22,185 | 736,509 |
| Paso Robles (Note 9) | 8,660 | 230,744 | 215,697 | 4,615 | 56,103 | 15,047 | 395 | 71,545 | 111,902 | 0 | 42,892 | 1,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 215,697 | 0 | 215,697 | 0 | 215,697 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 215,697 |
| Plano Beach | 50,989 | 1,358,591 | 1,256,293 | 27,170 | 381,411 | 102,298 | 2,685 | 498,394 | 0 | 14,916 | 830,111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,256,293 | 0 | 1,256,293 | 0 | 1,256,293 | 33,037 | 0 | 0 | 183,005 | 216,042 | 1,472,335 |
| SLO County (Note 7) | 111,504 | 2,970,999 | 2,692,521 | 59,417 | 1,038,286 | 276,478 | 7,309 | 1,324,073 | 96,426 | 14,916 | 1,476,167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,692,521 | 70,000 | 2,762,521 | 0 | 2,762,521 | 3,583 | 0 | 0 | 10,556 | 14,139 | 2,776,660 |
| SLO County (Notes 8 & 9) | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 385,000 | 385,000 | 385,000 | 0 | 385,000 | 35,966 | 0 | 0 | 634,684 | 670,650 | 1,055,650 |
| SCAT | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 120,000 | 3,095 | 0 | 0 | 16,859 | 139,954 | 178,988 |
| SLOCOG Admin. | n/a | 148,688 | 148,688 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178,688 | 30,000 | 178,688 | 0 | 178,688 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178,688 | |
| Art. 3 - 99233.1 | n/a | 316,879 | 316,879 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 316,879 | 0 | 316,879 | 0 | 316,879 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 441,879 |
| SLOCOG Planning | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Art. 8 - 99402 | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Retention (Note 7) | n/a | 7,584 | 7,584 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,584 | 0 | 7,584 | 0 | 7,584 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,584 |
| Art. 319233.3 | n/a | 371,254 | 371,254 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 371,254 | 0 | 371,254 | 0 | 371,254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 371,254 |
| Ride-On/CSA | n/a | 181,622 | 181,622 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181,622 | 2,288 | 183,910 | 0 | 183,910 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183,910 | |
| Art. 319823.7 | n/a | 7,631,604 | 7,631,604 | 151,687 | 2,118,951 | 568,322 | 14,916 | 2,702,189 | 595,224 | 29,832 | 3,702,551 | 4,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 625,788 | 2,288 | 628,076 | 0 | 628,076 | 92,579 | 0 | 0 | 1,900,383 | 190,383 | 374,293 |
| Contingency Fund | n/a | 181,622 | 181,622 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181,622 | 0 | 181,622 | 0 | 181,622 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181,622 | |
| TOTALS | 270,429 | 8,199,926 | 7,631,604 | 151,687 | 2,118,951 | 568,322 | 14,916 | 2,702,189 | 595,224 | 29,832 | 3,702,551 | 4,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 625,788 | 2,288 | 628,076 | 0 | 628,076 | 92,579 | 0 | 0 | 1,900,383 | 190,383 | 374,293 |

NOTES: 1. All "off-the-top" is comprised of SLOCOG (\$465,567), Redshore Bicycle Safety Education Program (\$7,584), Ride-On (\$371,254), and Contingency Fund (\$150,000).
2. The RTA budget was approved May 5, 2010. Column 3 (net RTA) is the number that goes in your claim form for total contribution to RTA.
3. The RTA budget was approved April 21, 2010.
4. If the RTF was not expended for LTF, RTA would need the amount in LTF.
5. The SCAT budget was approved April 21, 2010.
6. The April 7, 2010 SLOCOG Board approved budget included \$4,748 in LTF from transit operators for Performance Audit. SLO COV. SLO County and SLO RTA.
7. All LTF on the amount in RTF. Admin and State Controller's Report by RTA on behalf of SLO County.
8. Two-year STA funds received total \$1,504,554. \$211,656 was approved in FY 2009/10 to backfill the loss in LTF - \$1,293,318 is available in FY 2010/11. STA Operator Revenue is \$92,579. Discretionary Revenue is \$1,200,738. Contingency is \$28,245; plus reserve held for Morro Bay, \$16,233. SLO City, \$78,418. Paso Robles \$46,059 and Ride On \$21,42.
9. On 8/24/10, it was determined that CSR 60333.9 Non-Compliance with Required Revenue Ratio needs to be implemented for Paso Robles with a \$31,622 reduction in LTF. The contingency will be increased by the same amount. This action will be recommended for SLOCOG Board approval at the August 4, 2010 SLOCOG Board meeting.
Contingency statement at the will of the SLOCOG Board