TO:	City Council
FROM:	Doug Monn, Director of Public Works
SUBJECT:	Proposed Uniform Water Rates
DATE:	February 2, 2010

- **NEEDS:** For the City Council to select a water rate method and authorize customer notification and protest ballot process.
- **FACTS:** 1. Water demand exceeds supply.
 - 2. \$13 Million per year is required to meet water system debt obligations and operating costs. Current revenue is just \$6.3 Million per year.
 - 3. A water rate adjustment is necessary to cover the full cost to provide existing customers drinking water.
 - 4. Even with rate increases, water will cost less than 1 cent per gallon.

ANALYSIS & CONCLUSIONS:

INTRODUCTION

On January 19, 2010, City Council considered alternative water rate structures. One featured conservation pricing (i.e. tiered rates) as well a fixed monthly fee that varied according to meter size and customer class. Another was a simpler rate structure that omits the monthly fixed fee. Simply stated, this means that all customers would pay according to the amount of water used. This report describes how the proposed uniform rate structure would work.

NEED FOR RATE INCREASE

Water demand exceeds supply, and water quality is deteriorating, so both the Nacimiento supply and the water treatment plant are needed to meet existing customer demands. (Refer to the Kennedy Jenks Consultants 2010 Uniform Water Rate Study Final Report [**Exhibit A**] for supporting information.)

Water rates now in effect generate \$6.3 Million each year. \$13 Million is needed. The water rate increase is proposed to cover that shortfall.

PROPOSED RATE STRUCTURE

The proposed rate structure is:

Proposed Water Usage Rates

User Class	FY 10-11	FY 11-12	FY 12-13	FY 13-14	FY 14-15
<u>All Customers</u> All Water Usage	\$2.50	<u>Usa</u> \$3.20	g <u>e Charge \$/I</u> \$3.70	<u>HCF)</u> \$4.10	\$4.40

HCF = hundred cubic feet = 748 gallons

No fixed monthly service charge is proposed.

Perhaps the best illustration of the effect of the proposed uniform rate structure is to put this in terms of actual customer bills.

Typical Water Bills

Description	Current	Туріса	al Bill
Description	Diii	<u>Year 1</u> Effective	Year 5 Effective
	Current	1/1/11	1/1/15
Single Family ^(a)			
9 Units (3/4 inch meter)	\$29.88	\$22.50	\$36.60
13 Units (3/4 inch meter)	\$35.16	\$32.50	\$57.20
20 Units (3/4 inch meter)	\$44.40	\$50.00	\$88.00
Commercial ^(b)			
20 Units (3/4 inch meter)	\$44.40	\$50.00	\$88.00
60 Units (1 inch meter)	\$97.20	\$150.00	\$264.00

Notes:

(a) Where 9 units is the 1st quartile, 13 is the mean, and 20 the 75th percentile.

(b) Where 20 units is the median/average and 60 is the 75th percentile.

Exhibit B compares the proposed Paso Robles uniform water rates to surrounding communities. **Exhibit C** is a financial forecast applying the proposed uniform rate through buildout.

POLICY

REFERENCE: General Plan, Economic Strategy, Urban Water Management Plan, Integrated Water Resource Plan, Water Master Plan, and City Council goals.

The California Supreme Court, in *Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency v. Verjil* (2006) 39 Cal.4th 205, held that fees for providing water service are "property-related fees" and subject to the procedural requirements of Proposition 218,

Revised 1/22/10

Agenda Item No. 9 - Page 2 of 38

whether the charge is calculated on the basis of consumption or is imposed as a fixed monthly fee. Proposition 218 spells out specific procedures for adopting "property-related fees," which are the procedures the City has followed. These include sending out mailers with information about the proposed rates, majority protest procedure, and ballot, and the holding of a public hearing at least 45 days after the mailing.

A recent Court of Appeal decision, *Paland v. Brooktrails Township Community Services District*, (Dec. 3, 2009, Case No. A122630) confirms that water service fees are property-related fees, and that the Proposition 218 majority protest proceedings are the applicable proceedings. Equally significant, the case also confirms that revenues from water rates can pay both for fixed operating and maintenance costs as well as capital costs, which in that case, included costs for increasing the District's storage capacity to continue to serve <u>already-connected</u> customers. This is directly analogous to Paso Robles' case, where a portion of the water rate revenues will be used to pay for a share of the Nacimiento costs.

FISCAL

IMPACT: The City is contractually obligated to pay its share of the debt service for the bonds that have been issued to pay for the Nacimiento Water Project. Additionally, the City has had to draw upon water repair and replacement funds to pay for current operations for the past three years because operating expenses have exceeded revenues.

Current rates generate \$6.3 Million per year; \$13 Million is required. A rate structure is needed to bridge the \$6.7 Million per year gap.

If new water rates are not adopted to pay for the costs of water service, the Water Operations Fund will exhaust all of its repair & replacement fund by 2014 and begin deficit spending. The deficit spending would have to be covered by the General Fund.

The General Fund pays for operations such as library services, children's and senior programs, parks, as well as police and fire. The General Fund is already operating with a significant recurring deficit requiring use of its reserves to cover operating costs. An additional \$6.7 Million per year expense for water costs will decimate public services.

- **OPTIONS:** a. Adopt attached Resolution No. 10-xx thereby selecting a uniform water rate structure; authorize initiation of the Proposition 218 procedures, and; instruct staff to send out public notices regarding the proposed water rate structure; or,
 - b. Amend, modify, or reject the above option.

February 2, 2010 Page 4

Exhibits:

- A. Kennedy Jenks Consultants 2010 Uniform Water Rate Study Final Report dated January 25, 2010
- B. Comparison to Other Communities
- C. Table 5 Projected Revenue Plan Using Proposed All Uniform Usage

Prepared by: Christine Halley, P.E. Water & Utility Consultant, TJ Cross Engineers, Inc.

Revised 1/22/10

Agenda Item No. 9 - Page 4 of 38

Kennedy/Jenks Consultants

2355 Main Street Suite 140 Irvine, CA 92614 949-261-1577 949-261-2134 (Fax)

City of Paso Robles

2010 Uniform Water Rate Study Final Report

January 25, 2010

Prepared for

City of Paso Robles Department of Public Works

1000 Spring Street Paso Robles, CA

K/J Project No. 0883005_10

Exhibit A

Agenda Item No. 9 - Page 5 of 38

Kennedy/Jenks Consultants

Engineers & Scientists

2355 Main Street, Suite 140 Irvine, California 92614 949-261-1577 949-261-2134 (Fax)

25 January 2010

Mr. Doug Monn, Director of Public Works City of Paso Robles 1000 Spring Street. Paso Robles, California 93446

Subject: Final Report – 2010 Uniform Water Rate Study K/J 0883005_10

Dear Mr. Monn:

Kennedy/Jenks Consultants is pleased to submit the Final Report of the 2010 Uniform Water Rate Study to the City of Paso Robles (City). By way of process, we have submitted this report as a digital ".pdf" file for the City's distribution as appropriate.

This study is a compilation of the analysis and findings of the City's water fund and incorporates the City's comments and direction obtained from previous work products and the City Council meeting of 19 January 2010. Most notably, this report integrates the current approach for the construction of a 4 MGD water treatment plant and associated facilities and integrates the need for a new \$4 Million debt issuance in FY 11-12 to supplement available funds. The results of the study are intended to serve as a plan for future revenue and rate adjustments based on the projected costs and utility water demands.

Another important element of the 2010 Uniform Water Rate Study is the development of new all uniform water usage rates. The proposed water rates and rate structure are intended to be simple, fair for all customers, support water conservation, and promote public understanding and acceptance. By promoting conservation, the proposed rates are designed to support the City's current imbalance in water supply and demands and meet the projected financial shortfall in revenues in the next five years.

It has been a pleasure working with you and the other members of the Rate Study Team on this interesting project and look forward to working with you in the future. Please contact us if you have any questions or need additional information.

Very truly yours, KENNEDY/JENKS CONSULTANTS

Rogental

Roger Null, V.P. Project Manager

Table of Contents

List of Tables			ii
List of Append	ices		ii
Section 1:	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	Background and Objectives	1
Section 2:	Hist	orical and Current Conditions	2
	2.1 2.2	Historical & Current Financial Condition Current Accounts and Water Demands	2
Section 3:	Futu	ire Revenue Requirements	4
	3.1 3.2 3.3	Projected Customer Growth and Water Sales Budgeted/Projected Operating Expenses Projected Capital Improvement & Debt Service Financing Program	4 5 6
	3.4	Projected Revenue Requirements Using Proposed Rates	7
Section 4:	Curr	ent Water Rates	8
Section 5:	Prop	oosed Water Rates	9
	5.1	Development of Proposed Rates 5.1.1 Fixed Monthly Service Charge Discussion 5.1.2 Development of Proposed Usage Charge	9 9 10
	5.2 5.3 5.4	Comparison of Monthly Bills Comparison of Monthly Bills with Other Communities Summary of Proposed Rates	

i

List of Tables

- 1 Historical Operating Revenues and Expenses
- 2 Current Accounts and Water Consumption
- 3 Current Estimated Actual and Projected Water O&M Expenses
- 4 Proposed Capital Improvement and Debt Financing Program
- 5 Projected Revenue Plan Using Proposed All Uniform Usage Rates
- 6 Current Water Rates
- 7 Proposed Uniform Water Usage Rates
- 8 Typical Water Bills
- 9 Comparison of Monthly Water Bills Single Family Residential

List of Appendices

Appendix A - Miscellaneous Supporting Information

1.1 Background and Objectives

The City of Paso Robles (City) is a central coast community located in San Luis Obispo County. The City provides commonly sought services, including water and sewer services, to approximately 29,500 residents through 10,000 service connections. To provide a reliable and quality water supply to its customers, the City has been working on an implementation strategy that will meet the short and long-term financial obligations of the City's utility and provide for local program ratemaking objectives.

This water rate and revenue analysis is an update to previous studies performed by Kennedy/Jenks Consultants in September 2008 and on January 11, 2010. Many of the key issues, objectives, and conclusions identified in those studies remain in place today, although the water utility's financial condition has worsened from delays in the approval of increased water rates.

Consistent with prior studies, the primary factors facing the City's water utility are:

- The need to increase the City's ability to provide treated water to its existing customers; current demands exceed available water supply.
- The need to fully implement the financial and operational requirements of the new Nacimiento water supply. Based on current supply and demand conditions, a new 4 MGD water treatment plant is proposed to treat the City's current Nacimiento water supply entitlement. The City's financial obligation associated with the new regional supply pipeline is scheduled to begin in FY 10-11.
- The need to develop updated rates to fund the projected enterprise financial requirements and develop an appropriate rate structure to support various water conservation and cost recovery requirements.

¹

2.1 Historical & Current Financial Condition

The financial condition of the City's water utility was reviewed and a summary of financial performance is presented in Table 1. The information presented in this table was derived from the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) for the last two years. The CAFR for Fiscal Year (FY) 08-09 represents the most recent audited financial document of the water utility's financial performance.

The financial condition of a water utility is assessed by contrasting several financial parameters with the financial performance as reported in the City's CAFRs. Foremost among these parameters are criteria for net operating revenues and an assessment of the utility's fund balance. The findings related to each of these elements are provided as follows.

Net operating revenues are an important financial parameter of a utility's performance. This financial parameter is generally desired to be at least 20% of total operating revenues to generate adequate capital improvement funding for new and replacement (depreciation-based) assets. As shown in Table 1, the water utility has historically fallen short of this parameter, in the last three years and there has been a steady decline in operating financial performance. During the two year period, this parameter has ranged from a negative 7% in FY 07-08 to a negative 38% in FY 08-09. This parameter reflects the fact that the utility currently is not generating sufficient funds to provide for future capital expenditures and increased water utility operating expenses.

In addition to this operational performance, the impact of various non-operating revenues and capital expenditures is also in important element of a financial assessment. While the City's water fund has generally experienced a drawdown over the last several years, the FY 08-09 CAFR indicates the fund has approximately \$22.5 million in cash and cash equivalents. It is for this reason that the water fund has maintained its recent financial stability.

In consideration of these factors, as well as the integration of looming debt costs of over \$4.2 million per year, additional revenues from water rates are warranted to improve the financial position of the water fund. The following sections of this study provide the supporting information for the level and timing of proposed rate adjustments to meet the water funds current and future financial requirements.

2.2 Current Accounts and Water Demands

As noted in the City's annual report to the Department of Water Resources (DWR), the City provides water service for approximately 10,000 accounts. As to be expected with the current economy, there has been little change in account activity (i.e. growth) since the 2008 study. Accordingly, the water utility remains to be predominantly base-level residential customers with 5/8" and 3/4" meters.

²⁰¹⁰ Uniform Water Rate Study, City of Paso Robles, 1/25/2010

TABLE 1 HISTORICAL OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES

Sources and Uses of Funds	FY 07-08	FY 08-09
Operating Revenues		
Charges for Service	\$4,215,236	\$3,957,618
Other	11,645	27,787
Total Operating Revenues	4,226,881	3,985,405
Operating Expenses		
Maintenance, Operations, & Administration	3,515,058	4,535,373
Depreciation and Amortization	884,228	947,305
Total Operating Expenses	4,399,286	5,482,678
Net Operating Income (Loss)	(172,405)	(1,497,273)
Net Op Rev as % of Total Op Rev	-4%	-38%
Non-Operating Revenue (Expense)		
Interest Revenue	925,180	787,756
Water Connection Fees	271,221	na
Nacimiento Water Fees	1,856,561	2,636,535
Total Non-Op Revenues (Exp.)	3,052,962	3,424,291
Net Income (Loss) Before Capital/Other Costs	2,880,557	1,927,018

Source: City of Paso Robles, CAFRs

The primary difference in account and demand activity from previous years is the City's need to implement mandatory water conservation in April 2009. This conservation was essential to address the imbalance in the City's peak summer time demands and available water supply to avoid potential water shortages. Through these efforts, the City's water usage from May through August 2009 was approximately 20% less than historical levels for these periods.

Table 2 summarizes the City's water demands by customer class for FY 08-09. A copy of the City's most recent annual report to the DWR for CY 2008 is provided in Appendix A for additional information. Note that the DWR report's monthly/annual usage values are in million gallons.

TABLE 2

Customor Class	Accounts	FY 08-09
	Accounts	Usage (Hcf)
Single Family Residential	8,722	1,854,540
Multi-Family Residential	400	292,518
Commercial / Institutional	688	468,279
Industrial	71	62,293
Landscape Irrigation	347	396,191
Other	59	115,558
TOTAL	10,287	3,189,378

CURRENT ACCOUNTS AND WATER CONSUMPTION

Source: City Water Department.

Hcf = hundred cubic feet = 748 gallons/hcf

2010 Uniform Water Rate Study, City of Paso Robles, 1/25/2010

An evaluation of future revenue requirements can be focused in the projection of four specific areas. These areas are customer growth, water supply costs, capital-related expenditures, and operating costs. The following sections discuss the impact of these factors on the City's water utility revenue requirements over the next five years.

3.1 **Projected Customer Growth and Water Sales**

Customer growth affects the revenue requirements of the City's water utility in two ways. First, it increases the customer base that is paying for more water usage through the water usage rate, is subject to the monthly service charge, and pays a connection fee to buy into system capacity. Second, it increases the level of those costs that vary with the quantity of water used such as water supply, treatment, and pumping expenses. In financial planning, applying low to moderate growth factors provides a conservative assessment of future utility revenue requirements.

Based on discussions with City staff, current economic factors suggest a minimal level of additional growth in the next several years. Current growth estimates for the next five years are provided below.

- FY 2010-11 25 Equivalent Meters¹
- FY 2011-12 50 Equivalent Meters
- FY 2012-13 75 Equivalent Meters
- FY 2013-14 100 Equivalent Meters
- FY 2014-15 150 Equivalent Meters

In addition to the projection of new account growth, it is also important to project changes in water sales that may affect the utility's financial performance. As indicated previously, the City has implemented water conservation programs to improve the City's water supply/demand imbalance and to meet several new and upcoming water conservation related regulations. Some of the primary changes include the adoption of a new water efficient landscape ordinance in December 2009 to respond to the requirements of AB 1881 and the implementation of various demand management measures to reduce water usage 20% by 2020 in accordance with the City's Urban Water Management Plan and AB 49.

The City recognizes the need for additional conservation from conservation based pricing, and had planned to adopt tiered water rates as this pricing strategy is one of the Paso Robles Urban Water Management Plan and the California Urban Water Conservation Council's Best Management Practices. However, community input suggests that simplicity and customer class

¹ An equivalent meter is used to account for the typical demands associated with larger meters. A single family residence = 1 equivalent meter. A commercial project would equate to more than one equivalent meter.

²⁰¹⁰ Uniform Water Rate Study, City of Paso Robles, 1/25/2010

equity associated with an all uniform rate structure best fits the needs of the City's ratepayers. Moreover, based on the magnitude of the anticipated increase, it is believed that a new all uniform rate will provide adequate pricing incentive to support the City's conservation goals and requirements

It should be noted that predicting annual growth and water usage can not be derived as precise values. As such, the future growth and water demand values used herein are to be considered as estimates only and are intended to provide a realistic yet conservative forecast of new customers so that connection fee revenues are not overestimated. Similarly, while it can be assumed that water usage should decline with the forthcoming increase in water costs/rates and other conservation programs, behavioral changes can not be quantified. Accordingly, the magnitude of future water conservation included in the Water Rate Study is only an estimate used for the purpose of projecting future water sales. All of these factors will be evaluated and integrated in the City's ongoing rate and budget review process to evaluate the financial performance of the City's water fund.

3.2 Budgeted/Projected Operating Expenses

Costs associated with the management, administration, and operations of the City's water utility have historically been accounted for in two Departments/Divisions. Utility Billing and Cashiering is responsible for the billing, accounting, and administration of the water fund, while Water Production and Distribution Division is responsible for the operation, maintenance, and management of the water system. To account for the labor and operational costs of the new water treatment plant, a new Water Treatment Operation Division has been established. The current estimated actual and projected water utility costs for these Divisions are shown in Table 3.

As shown, water fund operating costs are projected to increase considerably over the next five years to meet drinking water regulations, pay increasing power bills, and to integrate the new Nacimiento water supply. This cost increase has been expected, as the City has proactively determined the need to diversify its water portfolio, and begin to switch from its local groundwater supply to a new high quality/reliable surface water supply to meet current and projected needs.

It is important to note that in addition to the inclusion of new water supply costs, Table 3 also includes the funding of depreciation in the latter years of the five year period. Based on the City's chart of accounts, the estimated annual depreciation of water utility assets is approximately \$1 Million, increasing to \$1.7 Million/year in year five. Depreciation is included in the revenue requirements of the water fund based on funding availability, currently scheduled at \$750,000 in FY 13-14 and \$1.5 Million per year thereafter.

	Est Actuals			Projected		
Description	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15
<u>Utility Billing and Cashiering</u> Dept. No. 140 - Division No. 127						
Department Salaries and Benefits	\$498,800	\$551,200	\$562,400	\$574,400	\$591,600	\$609,300
Maintenance & Operations Misc. Canital Outlav (4-Year Average for FY 13-14)	\$592,500 \$14,100	\$618,900 \$8,000	\$621,800 \$4.600	\$630,500 \$14,100	\$649,400 \$14.500	\$668,900 \$14.900
New - Additional Transfer to Wastewater (a) Charges to Other Departments (a)	(\$200,000) (\$342,300)	(\$206,000) (\$366,900)	(\$212,200) (\$372,200)	(\$218,600) (\$377,800)	(\$225,200) (\$389,100)	(\$232,000) (\$400,800)
Subtotal - Utility Billing and Cashiering	\$563,100	\$605,200	\$604,400	\$622,600	\$641,200	\$660,300
<u>Water Production and Distribution</u> Dept. No. 310 - Division No. 165						
Department Salaries and Benefits	\$1,377,800	\$1,314,700	\$1,397,000	\$1,438,900	\$1,482,000	\$1,526,500
Maintenance & Operations	\$2,127,000 *640,000	\$2,614,400 \$114 800	\$2,618,400 *23 800	\$2,677,900 *43,000	\$2,098,900 *50,000	\$2,161,900 \$51,500
Subtotal - Water Production and Distribution	\$4,145,700	\$4,043,900	\$4,039,200	\$4,160,700	\$3,630,900	\$3,739,900
Water Production and Distribution - Div 165 Naci Progri	im Costs					
Naci Regional O&M Naci Debt Service	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,600,000	\$0 \$4,200,000	\$325,000 \$4,200,000	\$650,000 \$4,200,000	\$1,300,000 \$4,200,000
Subtotal - Naci Program Costs	0\$	\$1,600,000	\$4,200,000	\$4,525,000	\$4,850,000	\$5,500,000
Subtotal - All Water Production/Dis. Div 165 Costs	\$4,145,700	\$5,643,900	\$8,239,200	\$8,685,700	\$8,480,900	\$9,239,900
<u>Water Treatment Operations</u> Dept. No. 310 - Division No. 265						
Department Salaries and Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300,400	\$397,800	\$409,700
Maintenance & Operations	\$34,200	\$100,000	\$150,000	\$317,500	\$1,340,500	\$1,400,700
Misc. Capital Outlay	£0	0\$	0\$	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$51,500

CURRENT ESTIMATED ACTUAL AND PROJECTED WATER O&M EXPENSES TABLE 3

\$1,861,900 \$1,500,000 \$13,262,100

\$1,788,300 \$750,000

\$667,900

\$150,000

\$100,000

\$34,200 \$0

Subtotal - Water Production and Distribution

Depreciation Funding (b)

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$11,660,400

\$9,976,200

\$8,993,600

\$6,349,100

\$4,743,000

Total Budgeted and Projected O&M Expenses

Source: City of Paso Robles Finance Department budget data, T.J. Cross, & Kennedy Jenks. Subtotals are rounded. Note: General inflation values for labor, material, & suplies used herein is = to 3% (a) Charged to Wastewater Division, Fund 601, per Finance staff. Additional charges programmed for transfer based on proportion of utility labor costs. (b) Depreciation is included herein based on projected funding availability, as derived and reflected in Table 5.

3.3 Projected Capital Improvement & Debt Service Financing Program

Utility systems are by nature capital intensive operations. To evaluate system capacity and long range water supply reliability, the City has completed several water system studies in the last several years. These documents provided much of the basis for the development of the City's capital improvement program (CIP) for water, wastewater, and other City services.

The City's current water system CIP is separated into four basic categories. These are: Nacimiento Water Project Improvements, Well Improvements, Tank/Booster Station/Metering Project Improvements, and Pipeline Improvements. Consistent with the 2008 Rate Study, to minimize ratepayer impact as much as possible the water system capital improvement program is spread out over 16 years, rather than 10 years.

A summary of the five year plan for these primary project categories is provided in Table 4. A comprehensive listing of the specific projects included in the City's 16-year water system CIP is provided in Appendix A.

As previously discussed, a cornerstone element of the capital improvement program is the integration of needed water treatment facilities to utilize the new Nacimiento surface water supply. Given the current water supply/demand conditions, the CIP includes the construction of a new 4 MGD water treatment facility with the financial assistance of some debt financing, rather than constructing a smaller, modular plant under a pay-as-you-go approach. This approach is recommended for the following reasons:

- The 2 MGD Program Considered in 2008, this smaller, modular approach to treatment would not provide enough treated water. It also placed little emphasis on taste and odor control/water quality consistency, provided little to no production reserves to mitigate peak season demands, supply disruptions, or declines in groundwater production,
- The 4 MGD Program This approach meets demand and allows citizens to take full advantage of the 4,000 AFY Nacimiento entitlements. It also would be more reliable, provide more consistent water quality throughout the City, and better fulfill the goals outlined in the City's Adaptive Integrated Water Resource Plan (AIWRP).
- Financial Comparison Under the proposed uniform rate structure, \$4 million would be borrowed in FY 11-12, making the annualized costs associated with the 4 MGD Program comparable to the 2 MGD Program. In other words, the City may construct the larger plant at about the same cost as the smaller, modular plant.

In consideration of these factors, the 4 MGD Program has been recommended and integrated herein in the financial pro forma of the City's water fund.

PROPOSED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT & DEBT FINANCING PROGRAM **TABLE 4**

			PROJECTED		
Description	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15
Water System Capital Improvement Program (a)				
Nacimiento/Water Treatment Plant	\$3,588,000	\$4,975,000	\$16,817,000	\$0	\$0
Well Improvements	\$1,044,000	\$216,000	\$225,000	\$1,404,000	\$243,000
Tank, Booster Station and Metering Projects	\$187,000	\$32,000	\$34,000	\$35,000	\$36,000
Pipeline Improvements	\$52,000	\$54,000	\$731,000	\$58,000	\$61,000
Total Water Fund CIP	\$4,871,000	\$5,277,000	\$17,807,000	\$1,497,000	\$340,000
Water System Debt Financing Program					
New Debt Issuances	\$0	\$4,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
New Annual Debt Service (b)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$281,000)

(a) CIP Source: City of Paso Robles/TJ Cross, December 2009.
 (b) When applicable, new debt issuances are based on 30 years @ 5.5% per City staff. Nacimiento pipeline cost is include in O&M.

3.4 Projected Revenue Requirements Using Proposed Rates

To assess the financial implications of the water fund programs and costs, an annualized revenue plan has been prepared. This plan is developed by integrating water system operating and capital costs with projected growth and water criteria (Section 3.1).

As expected, the results of the revenue plan indicate that additional revenues are needed to meet the current and future obligations of the water fund. Accordingly, a projected revenue plan using proposed rates is prepared to balance the water utility financial obligations and revenues and position the utility for a sustainable positive financial performance. Several cash flow evaluations and alternatives were prepared with City staff to balance financial performance with ratepayer impact. These alternatives varied the debt financing strategies, alternative capital improvement program phasing, projected growth scenarios, water consumption levels, rate increase levels/phases, and rate structure elements such as fixed meter and water usage charges so that short term cash flow obligations were met and debt service coverage ratios were sustained above the level required by bond covenants. The resulting revenue plan using the proposed average rates needed to fund the water system costs is shown in Table 5.

Consistent with prior rate study alternatives, the revenue plan integrates the use of existing funds to meet short term financial obligations. Under the uniform rate plan, a \$4 Million debt issuance is proposed to supplement existing funds to construct the proposed water treatment plant improvements. Annual rate increases are proposed to raise rate-based revenues to the level to sustain the water utility's financial performance and meet new debt coverage covenant requirements. Fund balance is projected to drop to approximately \$2 million in years three and four of the five year plan. While these values are below target reserve levels, they are believed to be adequate during this period of rate transition. However, prior to the issuance of this new debt, the City should examine the adequacy of this funding level on reserves and the impact of the associated level of debt service on rate/revenue requirements.

It should be noted that in addition to the increase in rates needed to fund the existing customers' share of system costs, the financial plan also integrates growth's share of system costs; most notably 50% of the Nacimiento pipeline and proposed water treatment plant costs. In recognition of growth's cost obligations, in March 2009, the City adopted new water system capacity charges (often referred to as connection fees). These fees more than doubled the costs for a new water system connection from approximately \$9,100 for a base 5/8 inch meter to \$23,500. Similar to the proposed rate increases, these charges are also phased in over time and are shown in the bottom of Table 5.

A cautionary note is warranted regarding the use and development of the financial planning findings. Since the magnitude of anticipated increases may vary based on unforeseen change in costs, demand conditions, or reserve requirements, additional review of cost components, revenue requirements, and debt issuance needs should be made during the annual budget development and review process. Accordingly the level of the required annual rate increases may differ from the rate and revenue projections derived herein based on those annual findings.

A discussion of the City's current and proposed rates and rate structure is provided in the following sections.

2010 Uniform Water Rate Study, City of Paso Robles, 1/25/2010

PROJECTED REVENUE PLAN USING PROPOSED ALL UNIFORM USAGE RATE **TABLE 5**

	Est Actuals			Projected		
Description	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15
Revenues						
Fixed Monthly Service Charges	\$2,213,800	\$1,108,900	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Consumption Charges	\$3,939,300	\$5,593,600	\$8,208,000	\$9,825,600	\$11,061,300	\$12,044,600
Total Operating Revenues	\$6,153,100	\$6,702,500	\$8,208,000	\$9,825,600	\$11,061,300	\$12,044,600
Operating Expenses						
Utility Billing and Administration (Div 127)	\$563,100	\$605,200	\$604,400	\$622,600	\$641,200	\$660,300
Water Production and Distribution (Div 165)	\$4,145,700	\$4,043,900	\$4,039,200	\$4,160,700	\$3,630,900	\$3,739,900
Water Treatment Operations (Div 265)	\$34,200	\$100,000	\$150,000	\$667,900	\$1,788,300	\$1,861,900
Regional Naci O&M Cost Share	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$325,000	\$650,000	\$1,300,000
Existing Nacimiento Pipeline Debt Service	\$0	\$1,600,000	\$4,200,000	\$4,200,000	\$4,200,000 *750,000	\$4,200,000 \$1,500,000
Total Operating Expenses	\$4 743 000	\$6 349 100	\$8,993,600	89 976 200	\$11 660 400	\$13,262,100
Net Operating Kevenue	\$1,410,100	\$353,400	(\$785,600)	(\$150,600)	(\$599,100)	(\$1,217,500)
Non-Operating Revenue (Expense) Interest Revenue	CREFS OUD	\$687 400	\$583 700	\$537 200	\$61 000	007
Mater Connection Fee Devenues	¢120,000	¢371 800	\$87.500	¢1 546 500	CO 350 000	¢2 575 000
New Debt Service	000.04		0\$	0\$	\$0 \$0	(\$281,000)
Total Non-Op Revenues/Expenses	\$785,900	\$1,059,200	\$1,471,200	\$2,083,700	\$2,411,000	\$3,314,400
Net Income Before Capital Activity	\$2,196,000	\$1,412,600	\$685,600	\$1,933,100	\$1,811,900	\$2,096,900
Capital Expenditures	\$1,480,000	\$4,871,000	\$5,278,000	\$17,807,000	\$1,497,000	\$341,000
Capital Financing Proposed Debt Issuance		\$0	\$4,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Change in Funds Avail. After Capital Activity	\$716,000	(\$3,458,400)	(\$1,549,400)	(\$15,873,900)	\$314,900	\$1,755,900
Beginning Cash Balance	\$22,197,900	\$22,913,900	\$19,455,500	\$17,906,100	\$2,032,200	\$2,347,100
Ending Cash Balance	\$22,913,900	\$19,455,500	\$17,906,100	\$2,032,200	\$2,347,100	\$4,103,000
Debt Svs Coverage Ratio (Excludes Connection Fee Revenues)		na	na	na	na	1.26
Description	Propos	ed Rates and P	roiected Chai	ndes in Accou	nts and Water	Usade

Description	Proposed	Rates and Pro	jected Chang	<u>es in Accounts</u>	and Water Us	age
Proposed Base Level Fixed Rate (\$/Account/Month) (12/09)	\$18.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Proposed Average Usage Unit Rate (\$/HCF) (12/09)	\$1.32	\$2.50	\$3.20	\$3.70	\$4.10	\$4.40
Connection Fee (1/09)	\$12,000	\$14,870	\$17,750	\$20,620	\$23,500	\$23,500
Growth Based Changes in Accounts/Demands						
Increase in Number of Accounts /Year	8	19	39	58	78	117
Increase in Number of Equivalent Mtrs/Yr (9,163 total)	10	25	50	75	100	150
				-		

Notes: O&M costs per Table 3; Capital expenditures/debt financing plan per Table 4. All costs and revenues have been rounded. Assumes the fixed charge is eliminated in January 2011 and a uniform water usage rate structure is adopted.

Historically, the City's water rates have been among the lowest in the State, as the public benefited from a low cost water supply and purposefully minimized capital and operational expenditures. Upon completing various comprehensive water studies, the City embarked on a proactive program aimed at long-term reliability and sustained quality of the City's water system.

Given this aim, water rate increases went into effect to fund capital projects including the new Nacimiento water supply program. Additional increases are needed to meet the City's current and projected debt obligations. The City's present water rates were last adjusted on July 1, 2008 with an inflationary increase to the usage charge. The current water rate consists of the following fixed and usage based rate elements.

<u>Current Fixed Monthly Account Service Charge</u>. Pursuant to a 2004 ordinance, the City adopted a fixed charge per account to begin to recover additional revenues for the new Nacimiento water supply. The current fixed monthly charge per account is \$18, regardless of the customer category or meter size.

<u>Current Usage-Based Rates.</u> The City's current usage-based rates (or variable rates) are applied uniformly to all water usage. Uniform rates are commonly used to recover those costs in a water system that vary with volume of water produced. This usage-based rate element supports a basic pay-for-use ratemaking philosophy. The City's current water usage rate is \$1.32 per one hundred (100) cubic feet (HCF)². The characteristics of the present rate structure are provided in Table 6.



TABLE 6

CURRENT WATER RATES

Source: City of Paso Robles; Rates effective 7/1/08.

² One hundred cubic feet = 748 gallons

2010 Uniform Water Rate Study, City of Paso Robles, 1/25/2010

Proposed rates have been developed to meet the revenue and rate restructuring requirements of the City's water utility. As stated in Section 3, revenues now generated from water rates are approximately \$6.3 Million per year; however \$13 Million is needed annually to continue water system operations. Development of the proposed service and usage charges, derivation of associated typical monthly bills, and a comparison of water charges in other communities follow.

5.1 Development of Proposed Rates

Water rates are proposed to support the financial health of the community's water system over the coming five years. Refer to Section 3 for future revenue requirements.

There is a wide range of pricing strategies that could be followed to generate the funds needed to meet the City's water fund obligations. Foremost among the rate and pricing strategies deemed important for the City's proposed rate structure is:

- Consideration of the amount of the fixed monthly service charge and its impact on low volume customers,
- Rate simplicity and community understanding, and
- Pricing to promote water conservation

In consideration of these needs, previous rate and rate structure alternatives concluded that a reduction in the current fixed charge for single family residential customers in combination with a tiered rate structure would provide a reasonable balance in meeting these two rate issues and enable low volume customers a way to keep their water bills relatively low. Upon further discussion and public input however, an all uniform rate is now proposed to meet the community's needs for a water rate structure at this time. A discussion of the basis of the proposed rates and rate structure follows.

5.1.1 Fixed Monthly Service Charge Discussion

As discussed in previous studies, since approximately 60% to 75% of a water system's expenses are fixed, the use of fixed charges are common practice for water utilities as it provides a stable source of revenue. While fixed revenue benefits a water utility's financial stability, it does have some negative aspects; this rate element typically inhibits low volume customers' ability to reduce their water bill and does not support water conservation. As such, reducing or eliminating the City's fixed charge would mitigate these rate issues. Eliminating the fixed charge however, means the variable charge will need to recover an additional \$2 Million in annual revenue, thereby increasing price awareness and conservation effectiveness of the water commodity or usage rate. Similarly, without a fixed charge, low volume users no longer need the benefit of a lower priced tier to reduce their water bill. Proceeding in this way enables the City's water utility to recover a larger share of the required revenues from the water usage rate for water conservation and is consistent with the "pay for what you use" approach. Based on community sentiment, it is believed that an all uniform rate approach will both meet the

needs of the City's water customers and provide adequate funding for the operational needs of the water fund.

5.1.2 Development of Proposed Usage Charge

Consistent with the revenue requirements shown in Table 5, usage charges were based on projected metered water usage. The City currently charges \$1.32 per HCF for all water used, regardless of the type of customer or the amount of water used in any particular billing cycle. Charging for water on this consistent basis is referred to as a "uniform block rate" structure and has been commonly used throughout California and the United States.

Based on community input, it is proposed to continue the uniform block rate structure. The elimination of the fixed charge requires an adjustment of the usage rates to meet costs, and will also help promote water conservation. While uniform rates are not as conservation focused as tiered rate structures, it is believed that the magnitude of the additional rate increases over the next several years will meet the City's pricing-related conservation goals. These goals are needed for compliance with the requirements of new water conservation regulations AB 1881 and AB 49. The proposed usage charge water rates for the five-year rate period are shown in Table 7.

TABLE 7

User Class	FY 10-11	FY 11-12	FY 12-13	FY 13-14	FY 14-15
All Customers		Usag	ge Charge \$/	HCF)	
All Water Usage	\$2.50	\$3.20	\$3.70	\$4.10	\$4.40

PROPOSED UNIFORM WATER USAGE RATES

While a number of rate alternatives were evaluated for revenue adequacy, projected conservation, and customer impact, the proposed all uniform rate structure is believed to meet the diverse goals of the City ratepayers. Key features and benefits of the proposed structure are:

- While the proposed rates are not based on inclining priced tiers, the magnitude of price changes should incentivize customers to conserve water; especially large water users.
- By eliminating the fixed charge, low volume customers have a new opportunity to significantly reduce their monthly water bills. Charging based solely on water usage is the purest form of the "pay for what you use" approach. Discounted pricing is no longer needed to ensure that residential users can receive sufficient water to meet basic health requirements.

The rates outlined herein are intended to fund the essential water treatment plant and other capital needs to serve existing water customers, meet the water fund's debt service requirements, provide the necessary funds for ongoing system management and operation and return the water fund to a desired level of financial stability. The proposed rate structure also

2010 Uniform Water Rate Study, City of Paso Robles, 1/25/2010

Exhibit A

supports the city's key goals of encouraging water conservation and is consistent with the "payfor-what-you-use" philosophy. To minimize ratepayer impact, annual increases are suggested to be implemented in January of each year, as this is a seasonal period when water usage is at its lowest.

5.2 **Comparison of Monthly Bills**

Typical customer bills are often developed to evaluate the impact of a water rate schedule on a utility's customers. Current typical bills are derived by correlating the current schedule of charges shown in Table 6 with the average or typical consumption values for various customer types. Similarly, projected typical bills are calculated by applying the proposed rates to both the monthly service charge and the usage charge components of the water rate schedule. Table 8 reflects the resulting impacts of the proposed rate increases over the five year planning period.

TABLE 8

Description	Current Bill	Typic	al Bill
	Current	Year 1	Year 5
Single Family (a)	<u></u>		<u></u>
9 Units (3/4 inch meter)	\$29.88	\$22.50	\$39.60
13 Units (3/4 inch meter)	\$35.16	\$32.50	\$57.20
20 Units (3/4 inch meter)	\$44.40	\$50.00	\$88.00
<u>Commercial (b)</u>			
20 Units (3/4 inch meter)	\$44.40	\$50.00	\$88.00
60 Units (1 inch meter)	\$97.20	\$150.00	\$264.00

TYPICAL WATER BILLS

Notes:

(a) Where 9 units is the 1st quartile, 13 is the mean, and 20 the 75th percentile.

(b) Where 20 units is the median/average and 60 is the 75th percentile.

As shown, the calculated typical bills for the small and medium sized single family customers are reduced under the proposed rates and all uniform rate structure. Consistent with the purpose and pricing strategy of an all commodity rate, the City's larger water users are expected to experience larger increases in their water bills as the proposed rate increases are implemented to recover the City's water system costs of service. These increases are less however than the tiered rate alternatives developed in previous reports.

Given the projected level of short-term ratepayer impact, the City should expect additional water usage awareness, experience a reduction in overall water demand, and incur an increase in customer requests for a water audit and/or capacity review in an effort to reduce water usage or downsize to a smaller water meter. The City has budgeted for additional customer service programs to assist customers in their water conservation efforts over the next several years. These program costs and reduced water usage estimates have been integrated in this study.

5.3 Comparison of Monthly Bills with Other Communities

In addition to the development of typical bills for City customers, Table 9 provides a comparison of the City's current and proposed monthly single-family bill with other local communities in San Luis Obispo County. The comparison is based on a monthly water usage of 20 HCF.

As shown, there is a wide range of charges among the surveyed communities. The City's current charges are in the lower range of, and the estimated bills throughout the five years under the proposed rates remain on the low end of comparable agency charges. It is interesting to note that even with the increase proposed five years from now, a Single Family Resident customer using 20 HCF per month in the City will still pay \$25 to \$30 per month less than the amount currently charged by several County water purveyors. The proposed rates for year 5 are still less than a penny for a gallon of water.

In addition, it should be noted that this rate survey does not provide the full picture of the utility's position. For example, some of the agencies may have additional increases that are in process or being proposed, may have varying water supply program cost, quality, and reliability issues or objectives, and there is often a wide range of variance in local level of service, capital reinvestment, and preventive maintenance considerations. Given the current condition and direction of the City's water utility and water resource requirements in the County, the City's water rates are well in line with other local communities.

5.4 Summary of Proposed Rates

The proposed rates are intended to fund the essential water treatment plant and other capital improvements needed to serve existing water customers, meet the water fund's debt service requirements, provide the necessary funds for ongoing system management and operation and return the water fund to a desired level of financial stability. Since demand exceeds supply, the construction of new water treatment facilities is an important element of the City's water reliability program. With current revenues of approximately \$6 million and costs in year five projected to exceed \$13 million, an increase in rates is essential. The proposed rates are designed to meet this revenue shortfall. The proposed rate structure is designed to encourage water conservation and is consistent with the "pay-for-what-you-use" philosophy.

In addition to the rate-related adjustments provided herein, the City should plan for the methodical review of system costs, water demands, and utility rates. Much of this work can be incorporated as an element of the annual budget process as additional information is being developed and evaluated.

Community	Monthly Meter Fixed Rate	Water Usage/ Quantity Rate	Water Usage (HCF)	Calculated Monthly Bill
Cambria CSD (a) (c)	\$11.91	\$6.05 to \$7.86	20	\$118.29
City of Morro Bay (d)	\$16.43	\$5.56 to \$13.68	20	\$115.08
City of San Luis Obispo (g)	\$0.00	\$4.92 to \$6.16	20	\$111.50
City of Paso Robles - Proposed Year 5	\$0.00	\$4.40	20	\$88.00
Oceano CSD (a) (c)	\$11.97	\$3.39 to \$4.09	20	\$74.85
City of Pismo Beach (a) (e)	\$15.95	\$2.30 to \$2.99	20	\$71.61
City of Grover Beach	\$6.75	\$2.28 to \$2.76	20	\$53.39
City of Paso Robles - Proposed Year 1	\$0.00	\$2.50	20	\$50.00
Nipomo CSD (a)	\$15.42	\$1.64 to \$2.80	20	\$48.22
City of Arroyo Grande (a) (e)	\$5.45	\$1.78 to \$2.71	20	\$44.89
City of Paso Robles - Current	\$18.00	\$1.32	20	\$44.40
Atascadero Mutual Water Co. (b) (f)	\$15.00	\$1.60 to \$6.00	20	\$38.32
Templeton CSD (d)	\$12.19	\$1.17 to \$2.62	20	\$32.08
Agency Average				\$70.82

COMPARISON OF MONTHLY WATER BILLS - SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL **TABLE 9**

Source Documentation:

Basis: 5/8 &/or 3/4-inch meter, 20 Hcf per month. Agency average excludes the City's rates

(a) Bi-monthly bills. Fixed meter charge shown is a charge per month.
(b) Monthly fixed charge includes 2,000 gallons (2.67 HCF); Quantity rates shown are per HCF.
(c) Fixed charge includes 6 HCF per billing period.

Fixed charge includes 3 HCF per billing period.

(d) Fixed charge includes 3 HCF per billing period.
(e) Rates for 2011 are available and shown here.
(f) Drought rates shown (effective 6/15/2009).
(g) Rates and calculated monthly bill include a 5% utilitty user tax.

Appendix A

Miscellaneous Supporting Information

Agenda Item No. 9 - Page 26 of 38

Exhibit A

1 Centeral Information 2. Active Service Connections 2 Centeral Information 2. Active Service Connections Preses follow Instructions. Construct (selly Dunham Potable (selly Dunham Respondential Responde	ty Gonard			PUBL	IC WAT	TER SY	STEM	STATIS	STICS			Calenda	r Year	2008
see follow the provided instructions.Finally ResidentialResycted WeilerResycted WeilersetResycted WeilerResycted Weile		Informatic	uc					2. Active	e Service	e Connec	tions			
tatat:Kally DurhamMereralUmmeteralMereralUmmeteralMereralUmmeteralMereralUmmeteralMereralUmmeteralMereralUmmeteralMereralUmmeteralMereralUmmeteralMereralUmmeteralMereralUmmeteralMereralUmmeteralMereralUmmeteralMereralMereralUmmeteralMereral <th< td=""><td>ase follow t</td><td>the provided</td><td>instructi</td><td>ions.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>C</td><td>stomer Cla</td><td>SSE</td><td>Potable</td><td>Water</td><td>Recyclec</td><td>Water</td></th<>	ase follow t	the provided	instructi	ions.				C	stomer Cla	SSE	Potable	Water	Recyclec	Water
ie: Water MS III 872 872 ion:: 805-237-3866 Multi-family Residential 800 91 ion:: 805-237-3866 Multi-family Residential 812 90 mail: Rdunham@prcity.com T 400 347 91 besite: www.prcity.com 100 347 91 91 www.prcity.com City of Paso Robles Anturial Intigation 59 91 91 www.prcity.com City of Paso Robles Anturial Intigation 347 90 91 www.prcity.com City of Paso Robles Anturial Intigation 347 90 91 www.prcity.com Jan Feb Maty Jun Jun Jun 202 225 257 153 257 www.prcity.com Jan Feb Maty Jun	ontact : Kel	lly Dunham						Ď		000	Metered	Unmetered	Metered	Jnmetered
International Set Multi-family Residential 400 400 400 ax: 805-237-3865 Multi-family Residential 700 71 668 70 71	itle: <u>Wa</u>	ater MS III						Single Fa	amily Resi	idential	8722			
ax: $805-237-6566$ commercial/Institutional 688 17 <	hone: 805	5-237-3866						Multi-far	ily Reside	ential	400			
eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:eq:e	ax: 805	5-237-6596						Commer	cial/Institu	itional	689			
Vebsite:Www.prcity.com347<	E-mail: kdu	unham@prc	ity.com	ſ				Industria	_		71			
County:San Luis ObispoSolution	Vebsite: ww	w.prcity.cor	u					Landscal	pe Irrigatic	n	347			
OppulationAgricultural IrrigationAgricultural Irrigation <td>County: Sai</td> <td>n Luis Obis</td> <td>spo</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Other</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>69</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	County: Sai	n Luis Obis	spo					Other			69			
Hames of communities served:City of Paso PoblesTOTAL102871028710287101JanFebMarAprMayJunAugSepOctNovDecTotal1JanFebMarAprMayJunAugSepOctNovDecTotal1109.5248109.5248158.2068203.5843264.9683297.8652320.1588320.855282.0022242.5217154.0693115.3322571.1109.5248100.5248102.1763158.2068203.5843264.9683297.8652320.1588320.855282.0022242.5217154.0693115.3322571.1109.5248100.5248102.1763158.2068203.5843264.9663201.956201.757164.0633115.3322571.1109.5248100.524210.6473116.3322571.154.0693115.3322571.1109.5248200.5843264.9663201.58632.045644016640167163.3222571.1109.5248100.1737116.327116.3322571.164.0633116.3322571.114141416163.367166.332166.33166.3311141411<4	opulation s	served: 29,5	00					Agricultu	ral Irrigatio	on				
Jan Feb Mater find the System - Units of production: Image from the system - Units of the system - Units	lames of co	mmunities s	erved:	01	City of Pas	so Robles		1014	٦		10287			
$ \begin{array}{ $	3. Total Wa	ater Into th	ne Sys	stem -	Units of p	roduction		Ē	acre-feet	یاااً: Milli	on gallons	pund	Ired cubic fee	
		Jan Fé	de de	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
	10	9.5248 102.	1763	158.2068	203.5834	264.9693	297.8652	320.1588	320.855	282.0022	242.5217	154.0693	115.332	2571.265
ocd ···· <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>														
able 109.5248 102.1763 158.2068 203.5834 264.9693 297.8652 320.158 320.855 282.0022 242.5217 154.0693 115.332 2571. le supplier(s):	ed 1/													
Ite supplier(s):	able 10	19.5248 10 2 .	1763	158.2068	203.5834	264.9693	297.8652	320.1588	320.855	282.0022	242.5217	154.0693	115.332	2571.265
Item control Item cont Item contro It														
I e supplier (s): Z Recycled wholesale supplier (s): Level of treatment: Level of treatment: Level of treatment: Level of treatment: Level of treatment: Level of treatment: Lost of delivery: Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Tot Vox ↓ Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Tot 11.483 16.036 14.219 17.737 21.400 23.041 21.978 27.296 21.307 90.892 68.876 1387.1 utional 11.483 14.412 24.56 33.468 4.557 35.840 48.295 35.371 33.252 21.150 17.818 356.383 36.643 utional 11.481 27.38 35.866 37.865 32.964 19.833 7.847 265.386 36.43 utional 11.68														
Level of treatment: ✓ Deliveries - Units of delivery: Level of treatment: Image: Feet Image: Feet<	e supplier(s):						2/ Recycl	ed wholes	ale suppli	er(s):				
Dot Note: 1 Data feet Data feet <td>- Dolivorioc</td> <td></td> <td>- doliv</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Level o</td> <td>of treatmer</td> <td>ונ: נייי</td> <td></td> <td>ocolles as</td> <td></td> <td>and attriction</td> <td></td>	- Dolivorioc		- doliv				Level o	of treatmer	ונ: נייי		ocolles as		and attriction	
$\sqrt{\text{box}}$ Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 1013 idential 49.827 64.796 67.431 104.830 151.548 163.489 154.050 194.470 140.776 136.307 90.892 68.876 1387. dential 1 11.483 16.036 14.219 17.737 21.400 23.041 21.978 27.296 21.373 16.108 7.048 218.87 ion 14.412 24.560 18.010 26.567 38.166 36.857 35.840 48.295 35.371 33.252 21.150 17.818 350.2 ion 14.412 24.99 9.030 20.354 31.680 37.820 39.171 49.745 37.865 32.964 19.839 7.847 296.3 ion 4.557 5.499 37.865 32.964 19.839 7.847 296.3 ion 1.063 176.0807 255.2213				- i -	-		-	- - -	מרו ב-ו בבר					- - +
				Mar 67 404	Apr 101 020	151 510			Aug	3ep	10C			101al
Introduction 14.412 24.560 18.010 26.567 38.166 36.857 35.840 48.295 35.371 33.252 21.150 17.818 350.2 1 3.480 4.002 3.263 3.468 4.557 4.466 4.106 5.409 4.351 4.175 0.675 46.59 1 4.557 5.499 9.030 20.354 31.680 37.820 39.171 49.745 37.865 32.964 19.839 7.847 296.3 1 4.557 5.499 9.030 20.354 31.680 37.805 37.865 32.964 19.839 7.847 296.3 1 1.063 1.394 2.228 31.580 2.127 21.560 10.484 4.115 22.040 9.815 0.623 86.44 1 4.106 10.484 4.115 22.040 9.815 0.623 86.44 1 4.106 10.484 4.115 22.040 9.815 0.623 86.44 <tr< td=""><td>dential</td><td>11.483 16</td><td>5.036</td><td>14.219</td><td>17.737</td><td>21.400</td><td>23.041</td><td>21.978</td><td>27.296</td><td>21.098</td><td>21.373</td><td>16.108</td><td>7.048</td><td>218.8184</td></tr<>	dential	11.483 16	5.036	14.219	17.737	21.400	23.041	21.978	27.296	21.098	21.373	16.108	7.048	218.8184
□ 3.480 4.002 3.263 3.468 4.557 4.466 5.409 5.409 4.708 4.708 4.115 0.675 46.59 ion<□	utional 🗆	14.412 24	4.560	18.010	26.567	38.166	36.857	35.840	48.295	35.371	33.252	21.150	17.818	350.2974
ion 1 4.557 5.499 9.030 20.354 31.680 37.820 39.171 49.745 37.865 32.964 19.839 7.847 296.3 1 1.063 1.394 2.228 3.125 7.870 2.127 21.560 10.484 4.115 22.040 9.815 0.623 86.44 <i>Athu F</i> 84.82096 116.2871 114.1807 176.0807 255.2213 267.8005 276.7039 335.6987 243.5757 250.6443 161.9203 102.8867 2385. n □ □ □ □ □ □ □ 10.623 86.44 encies) □ □ □ □ 10.484 4.115 22.040 9.815 0.623 86.44 □ <t< td=""><td></td><td>3.480</td><td>4.002</td><td>3.263</td><td>3.468</td><td>4.557</td><td>4.466</td><td>4.106</td><td>5.409</td><td>4.351</td><td>4.708</td><td>4.115</td><td>0.675</td><td>46.59816</td></t<>		3.480	4.002	3.263	3.468	4.557	4.466	4.106	5.409	4.351	4.708	4.115	0.675	46.59816
□ 1.063 1.394 2.228 3.125 7.870 2.127 21.560 10.484 4.115 22.040 9.815 0.623 86.44 (A thru F) 84.82096 116.2871 174.1807 176.0807 255.2213 267.8005 276.7039 335.6987 243.5757 250.6443 161.9203 102.8867 2385. n □ <td>ion 🗆</td> <td>4.557</td> <td>5.499</td> <td>9.030</td> <td>20.354</td> <td>31.680</td> <td>37.820</td> <td>39.171</td> <td>49.745</td> <td>37.865</td> <td>32.964</td> <td>19.839</td> <td>7.847</td> <td>296.3711</td>	ion 🗆	4.557	5.499	9.030	20.354	31.680	37.820	39.171	49.745	37.865	32.964	19.839	7.847	296.3711
(A thru F) 84.82096 116.2871 114.1807 176.0807 255.2213 267.8005 276.7039 335.6987 243.5757 250.6443 161.9203 102.8867 2385. n □		1.063	1.394	2.228	3.125	7.870	2.127	21.560	10.484	4.115	22.040	9.815	0.623	86.44337
∩ □	(A thru F) 84	.82096 116.	2871	114.1807	176.0807	255.2213	267.8005	276.7039	335.6987	243.5757	250.6443	161.9203	102.8867	2385.821
encies)														
	encies) 🔲													

Exhibit A

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Agenda Item No. 9 - Page 27 of 38

	•	-	2	e.	4	2	9	-		6	10	=	12	13	14	15	16	17
Project ¹	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	TOTAL PROJECT COST ³
oject																		
3D Facility: consists of stem housed in prefab aatment, treated water pump station, Main East wash recovery system, voby generators, and Vell modifications.		\$3.588.000	\$4.975.360	\$16.816.717														\$25,380,000
and to 8 MGD; consists tration capacity, more zonation, and dedicated											\$7,367,916	\$15,325,265	\$7,969,138					\$30,662,000
Water Project =	8	\$3,588,000	\$4,975,000	\$16,817,000	0\$	\$	\$0	\$	8	\$	\$7,368,000	\$15,325,000	\$7,969,000	8	8	\$0	\$	\$56,042,000
nabilitation	\$200,000	\$208,000	\$216,320	\$224,973	\$233,972	\$243,331	\$253,064	\$263,186	\$273,714	\$284,662	\$296,049	\$307,891	\$320,206	\$333,015	\$346,335	\$360,189	\$374,596	\$4,739,502
1#11 Reconfiguration		\$835,567																\$835,567
ig program (Olsen harolais, and underflow ements =	\$1,200,000	\$1,044,000	\$216,000	\$225,000	\$1,169,859 \$1,404,000	\$243,000	\$253,000	\$263,000	\$274,000	\$1,423,312 \$1,708,000	\$296,000	\$308,000	\$320,000	\$1,665,074 \$1,998,000	\$346,000	\$360,000	\$1,872,981 \$2,248,000	\$7,131,225 \$12,706,000
servoir Replacement	\$200,000					\$0	8	\$5,136,693	\$5,342,161									\$10,678,854
egular program of	\$30,000	\$31,200	\$32,448	\$33,746	\$35,096	\$36,500	\$37,960	\$39,478	\$41,057	\$28,466	\$29,605	\$30,789	\$32,021	\$33,301	\$34,634	\$36,019	\$37,460	\$579,778
ster generator		\$156,135																\$156,135
ongoing meter ogram of the proposed Jevioes								\$131,593	\$136,857	\$142,331	\$148,024	\$153,945	\$160,103	\$166,507	\$173,168	\$180,094	\$187,298	\$1,580,000
oster Station Projects =	\$230,000	\$187,000	\$32,000	\$34,000	\$35,000	\$ 36,000	\$38,000	\$5,308,000	\$5,520,000	\$171,000	\$178,000	\$185,000	\$192,000	\$200,000	\$208,000	\$216,000	\$225,000	\$12,995,000
e in Highland Park 12th Stto 17th St										\$518,570								\$518,570
Park Booster Upgrade				\$674,918														\$674,918
eplacement Program	\$50,000	\$52,000	\$54,080	\$56,243	\$58,493	\$60,833	\$63,266	\$65,797	\$68,428	\$71,166	\$74,012	\$76,973	\$80,052	\$83,254	\$86,584	\$90,047	\$93,649	\$1,184,876
ne in 15th St from o Hillcrest Dr										\$129,642								\$129,642
line in Nacimiento Lake Ave														\$762,016				\$762,016
Ine in 36th St from VTP														\$667,689				\$667,689
e in 22nd St from Oak												\$112,861						\$112,861
ne in 22nd St from St													\$232,973					\$232,973
ne in Olive St from 19th														\$469,786				\$469,786
ne in 24th St and															\$578,984			\$578,984
e in Oak St from 4th St 1 5th and 6th Sts Oak to															\$577,060			\$577,060
rd St from Vine St to														\$393,955				\$393,955
ine in Spring St from St																\$2,422,577		\$2,422,647
rline in Pine St, 23rd St,																	\$1,491,715	\$1,491,715
e extension for Vina ion										\$711,656								\$711,656
line in Linne Rd from act 2526																	\$1,560,371	\$1,560,371
	0000	0000		000 1010	658 000	664 000	000 000	000 000	00000	000 101 10		0000000	000 0100	000	000 010 14			000 001 010

APPENDIX A 16-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (C.I.P.) BUDGET Updated CIP for Alternate Water Rate Analysis 4 ---> 8 MGD Treatment Plant Phasing

City of el Paso de Robles Water Utility 2009/2010 Alternative Water Rate Analysis

Exhibit A

Exhibit B Comparison to Other Communities

Another point of comparison is what customers in neighboring communities pay for water service. Attached are a series of tables that show illustrative water bills for various users. For the single family residential comparison, 9, 13, and 20 hcf usage rates are illustrated. 25% of Paso Robles single family residences use 9 hcf or less water each month; 13 hcf is the median household usage and; 75% of residences use 20 hcf or less each month.

For the commercial examples, a commercial customer using 20 hcf of water (this represents the 25th percentile of non-residential users) is shown. Also shown is a larger customer using 60 hcf of water (this represents the 75th percentile of non-residential users).

A rate calculator has been posted on the City's web site to allow customers to forecast their water bills based on the uniform proposed rates.

Community	Monthly Meter Fixed Rate	Water Usage/ Quantity Rate	Water Usage (HCF)	Calculated Monthly Bill
Templeton CSD (d)	\$12.19	\$1.17 to \$2.62	9	\$19.21
City of Arrovo Grande (a) (e)	\$5.45	\$1.78 to \$2.71	9	\$22.07
Atascadero Mutual Water Co. (b) (f)	\$15.00	\$1.60 to \$6.00	9	\$22.60
City of Grover Beach	\$6.75	\$2.28 to \$2.76	9	\$27.27
Nipomo CSD (a)	\$15.42	\$1.64 to \$2.80	9	\$30.18
Oceano CSD (a) (c)	\$11.97	\$3.39 to \$4.09	9	\$32.31
City of Pismo Beach (a) (e)	\$15.95	\$2.30 to \$2.99	9	\$38.72
City of San Luis Obispo (g)	\$0.00	\$4.92 to \$6.16	9	\$46.93
Cambria CSD (a) (c)	\$11.91	\$6.05 to \$7.86	9	\$48.41
City of Morro Bay (d)	\$16.43	\$5.56 to \$13.68	9	\$50.33
Agency Average				\$33.80
	Residential Only			
City of Paso Robles - Current	\$18.00	\$1.32	9	\$29.88
Proposed Rates as of Feb 2, 2010				
City of Paso Robles - All Uniform Rate - Year 1	None	\$2.50	9	\$22.50
City of Paso Robles - All Uniform Rate - Year 5	None	\$4.40	9	\$39.60

COMPARISON OF MONTHLY WATER BILLS SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL EXAMPLE, 5/8-3/4 Meter Size

Source Documentation:

Basis: 5/8 &/or 3/4-inch meter

(a) Bi-monthly bills. Fixed meter charge shown is a charge per month

(a) Dirinoiting bills. Fixed includes charge shown is a charge per month?
(b) Monthly fixed charge includes 2,000 gallons (2.67 HCF); Quantity rates shown are per HCF
(c) Fixed charge includes 6 HCF per billing period.
(d) Fixed charge includes 3 HCF per billing period.

(e) Rates for 2011 are available and shown here.

(f) Drought rates shown (effective 6/15/2009).

(g) Rates and calculated monthly bill include a 5% utility user tax.

Community	Monthly Meter Fixed Rate	Water Usage/ Quantity Rate	Water Usage (HCF)	Calculated Monthly Bill
Templeton CSD (d)	\$12,19	\$1.17 to \$2.62	13	\$23.89
Atascadero Mutual Water Co. (b) (f)	\$15.00	\$1.60 to \$6.00	13	\$27.40
City of Arroyo Grande (a) (e)	\$5.45	\$1.78 to \$2.71	13	\$29.99
City of Grover Beach	\$6.75	\$2.28 to \$2.76	13	\$36.52
Nipomo CSD (a)	\$15.42	\$1.64 to \$2.80	13	\$36.74
Oceano CSD (a) (c)	\$11.97	\$3.39 to \$4.09	13	\$46.22
City of Pismo Beach (a) (e)	\$15.95	\$2.30 to \$2.99	13	\$50.68
City of San Luis Obispo (g)	\$0.00	\$4.92 to \$6.16	13	\$70.41
Cambria CSD (a) (c)	\$11.91	\$6.05 to \$7.86	13	\$73.49
City of Morro Bay (d)	\$16.43	\$5.56 to \$13.68	13	\$73.71
Agency Average				\$46.90
	Residential Only			
City of Paso Robles - Current	\$18.00	\$1.32	13	\$35.16
Proposed Rates as of Feb 2, 2010				
City of Paso Robles - All Uniform Rate - Year 1	None	\$2.50	13	\$32.50
City of Paso Robles - All Uniform Rate - Year 5	None	\$4.40	13	\$57.20

COMPARISON OF MONTHLY WATER BILLS SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL EXAMPLE, 5/8-3/4 Meter Size

Source Documentation:

Basis: 5/8 &/or 3/4-inch meter

(a) Bi-monthly bills. Fixed meter charge shown is a charge per month

(b) Monthly fixed charge includes 2,000 gallons (2.67 HCF); Quantity rates shown are per HCF
 (c) Fixed charge includes 6 HCF per billing period.

(d) Fixed charge includes 3 HCF per billing period.

(e) Rates for 2011 are available and shown here.

(f) Drought rates shown (effective 6/15/2009).

(g) Rates and calculated monthly bill include a 5% utility user tax.

Community	Monthly Meter Fixed Rate	Water Usage/ Quantity Rate	Water Usage (HCF)	Calculated Monthly Bill
Templeton CSD (d)	\$12.19	\$1.17 to \$2.62	20	\$32.08
Atascadero Mutual Water Co. (b) (f)	\$15.00	\$1.60 to \$6.00	20	\$38.32
City of Arroyo Grande (a) (e)	\$5.45	\$1.78 to \$2.71	20	\$44.89
Nipomo CSD (a)	\$15.42	\$1.64 to \$2.80	20	\$48.22
City of Grover Beach	\$6.75	\$2.28 to \$2.76	20	\$53.39
City of Pismo Beach (a) (e)	\$15.95	\$2.30 to \$2.99	20	\$71.61
Oceano CSD (a) (c)	\$11.97	\$3.39 to \$4.09	20	\$74.85
City of San Luis Obispo (g)	\$0.00	\$4.92 to \$6.16	20	\$111.50
City of Morro Bay (d)	\$16.43	\$5.56 to \$13.68	20	\$115.08
Cambria CSD (a) (c)	\$11.91	\$6.05 to \$7.86	20	\$118.29
Agency Average				\$70.82
	Residential Only			
City of Paso Robles - Current	\$18.00	\$1.32	20	\$44.40
Proposed Rates as of Feb 2, 2010				
City of Paso Robles - All Uniform Rate - Year 1	None	\$2.50	20	\$50.00
City of Paso Robles - All Uniform Rate - Year 5	None	\$4.40	20	\$88.00

COMPARISON OF MONTHLY WATER BILLS SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL EXAMPLE, 5/8-3/4 Meter Size

Source Documentation:

Basis: 5/8 &/or 3/4-inch meter

(a) Bi-monthly bills. Fixed meter charge shown is a charge per month

(a) Dirinoiting bills. Fixed includes charge shown is a charge per month?
(b) Monthly fixed charge includes 2,000 gallons (2.67 HCF); Quantity rates shown are per HCF
(c) Fixed charge includes 6 HCF per billing period.
(d) Fixed charge includes 3 HCF per billing period.

(e) Rates for 2011 are available and shown here.

(f) Drought rates shown (effective 6/15/2009).

(g) Rates and calculated monthly bill include a 5% utility user tax.

Community	Monthly Meter Fixed Rate	Water Usage/ Quantity Rate	Water Usage (HCF)	Calculated Monthly Bill
Templeton CSD (d)	\$12.19	\$1.17 to \$2.62	20	\$32.08
City of Arrovo Grande (a) (e)	\$5.45	\$1.98	20	\$45.05
Atascadero Mutual Water Co. (b) (f)	\$15.00	\$1.60 to \$6.00	20	\$46.13
City of Grover Beach	\$6.75	\$2.41	20	\$54.95
Nipomo CSD (a)	\$15.42	\$2.06	20	\$56.62
City of Pismo Beach (a) (e)	\$15.95	\$2.55	20	\$66.95
Oceano CSD (a) (c)	\$18.28	\$3.39 to \$4.09	20	\$81.16
City of Morro Bay (d)	\$16.43	\$5.65 to \$13.10	20	\$115.08
City of San Luis Obispo (g)	\$0.00	\$4.92 to \$6.16	20	\$117.08
Cambria CSD (a) (c)	\$27.59	\$6.69 to \$9.02	20	\$144.92
Agency Average				\$76.00
	Commercial			
City of Paso Robles - Current	\$18.00	\$1.32	20	\$44.40
Proposed Rates as of Feb 2, 2010				
City of Paso Robles - All Usage Based Year 1	None	\$2.50	20	\$50.00
City of Paso Robles - All Usage Based Year 5	None	\$4.40	20	\$88.00

COMPARISON OF MONTHLY WATER BILLS COMMERCIAL EXAMPLE, 5/8 & 3/4 Inch Meter Size

Source Documentation:

(a) Bi-monthly bills. Fixed meter charge shown is a charge per month

(b) Monthly fixed charge includes 2,000 gallons (2.67 HCF); Quantity rates shown are per HCF

(c) Fixed charge includes 6 HCF per billing period.

(d) Fixed charge includes 3 HCF per billing period.(e) Rates for 2011 are available and shown here.

(f) Drought rates shown (effective 6/15/2009).

(g) Rates and calculated monthly bill include a 5% utilitty user tax.

COMPARISON OF MONTHLY WATER BILLS COMMERCIAL EXAMPLE, 1 Inch Meter Size

Community	Monthly Meter Fixed Rate	Water Usage/ Quantity Rate	Water Usage (HCF)	Calculated Monthly Bill
Templeton CSD (d)	\$19.71	\$1.17 to \$2.62	60	\$110.40
City of Arrovo Grande (a) (e)	\$6.50	\$1.98	60	\$125.30
Nipomo CSD (a)	\$15.42	\$2.06	60	\$139.02
City of Grover Beach	\$6.75	\$2.41	60	\$151.35
City of Pismo Beach (a) (e)	\$31.93	\$2.55	60	\$184.93
Atascadero Mutual Water Co. (b) (f)	\$19.00	\$1.60 to \$6.00	60	\$189.36
Oceano CSD (a) (c)	\$29.20	\$3.39 to \$4.09	60	\$255.68
City of San Luis Obispo (g)	\$0.00	\$4.92 to \$6.16	60	\$363.62
City of Morro Bay (d)	\$16.43	\$5.65 to \$13.10	60	\$409.18
Cambria CSD (a) (c)	\$27.59	\$6.69 to \$9.02	60	\$483.77
Agency Average				\$241.26
	Commercial			
City of Paso Robles - Current	\$18.00	\$1.32	60	\$97.20
Proposed Rates as of Feb 2, 2010				
City of Paso Robles - All Usage Based Year 1	None	\$2.50	60	\$150.00
City of Paso Robles - All Usage Based Year 5	None	\$4.40	60	\$264.00

Source Documentation:

(a) Bi-monthly bills. Fixed meter charge shown is a charge per month

(b) Monthly fixed charge includes 2,000 gallons (2.67 HCF); Quantity rates shown are per HCF

(c) Fixed charge includes 6 HCF per billing period.

(d) Fixed charge includes 3 HCF per billing period.(e) Rates for 2011 are available and shown here.

(f) Drought rates shown (effective 6/15/2009).

(g) Rates and calculated monthly bill include a 5% utilitty user tax.

Exhibit C Table 5 – Financial Projection Using Proposed All Uniform Usage

Exhibit C

TABLE 5 PROJECTED REVENUE PLAN USING PROPOSED ALL UNIFORM USAGE RATE

																		ſ
J	Est Actuals			Proj	ected						PA	d'I WTP - 1,40	00 AFY Naci V	Nater - Startu	p FY 22			
Description	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15	FY 201	15-16 FY 20	016-17 FY	2017-18 FY	/ 2018-19 F	Y 2019-20 F	۲ 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25 F	Y 2025-26
Revenues Fixed Monthly Service Charges	\$2,213,800	\$1,108,900	\$0	0\$	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	8	\$0	8	\$0	0\$	\$0	0\$	\$0	8
Consumption Charges	\$3,939,300	\$5,593,600	\$8,208,000	\$9,825,600	\$11,061,300	\$12,044,600	\$12,41	94,800 \$12,	601,700 \$1	2,793,200 \$	13,060,500	\$13,433,700	\$14,080,400	\$14,843,200	\$15,722,000	\$16,716,900	\$17,827,900	\$18,999,400
Total Operating Revenues	\$6,153,100	\$6,702,500	\$8,208,000	\$9,825,600	\$11,061,300	\$12,044,600	\$12,4;	94,800 \$12,	,601,700 \$1	2,793,200 \$	\$13,060,500	\$13,433,700	\$14,080,400	\$14,843,200	\$15,722,000	\$16,716,900	\$17,827,900	\$18,999,400
Operating Expenses Utility Billing and Administration (Div 127)	\$563.100	\$605.200	\$604.400	\$622,600	\$641.200	\$660.300	198	80.100 \$	1200.500	\$721.500	\$743.200	\$765.600	\$788,600	\$812.200	\$836.500	\$861.700	\$887,600	\$914.200
Water Production and Distribution (Div 165)	\$4.145.700	\$4.043,900	\$4.039.200	\$4.160.700	\$3.630.900	\$3.739.900	\$3.94	48.400 \$4.	.066.800 \$	4.188.900	\$4.314.600	\$4.444.000	\$4.577.400	\$4,494,300	\$4,629.100	\$4.768.000	\$4.911.000	\$5.058.400
Water Treatment Operations (Div 265)	\$34,200	\$100,000	\$150,000	\$667,900	\$1,788,300	\$1,861,900	\$1.9-	47,800 \$2,	006,200 \$	2,317,800	\$2,132,800	\$2,196,800	\$2,262,700	\$2,845,000	\$3,283,800	\$3,073,300	\$4,483,600	\$4,618,000
Regional Naci O&M Cost Share	8	8	\$0	\$325,000	\$650,000	\$1,300,000	\$1,3;	39,000 \$1,	,379,200 \$	1,420,600	\$1,463,200	\$1,507,100	\$1,552,300	\$2,158,500	\$2,223,300	\$2,290,000	\$2,358,700	\$2,429,500
Existing Nacimiento Pipeline Debt Service	80	\$1,600,000	\$4,200,000	\$4,200,000	\$4,200,000 \$750,000	\$4,200,000	54,21 51.57	00,000	1,200,000 \$	1 500,000	\$4,200,000 \$1.500,000	\$4,200,000	\$4,200,000 \$1500,000	\$4,200,000	\$4,200,000	\$4,200,000	\$4,200,000 \$1,500,000	\$4,200,000
Total Operating Expenses	\$4,743,000	\$6,349,100	\$8,993,600	\$9,976,200	\$11,660,400	\$13,262,100	\$13.6	15,300 \$13,	852,700 \$1	4,348,800 \$	14,353,800	\$14,613,500	\$14,881,000	\$16,010,000	\$16,672,700	\$16,693,000	\$18,340,900	\$18,720,100
Net Operating Revenue	\$1,410,100	\$353,400	(\$785,600)	(\$150,600)	(\$599,100)	(\$1,217,500)	יע (\$1'12	20,500) (\$1,	,251,000) (\$:1,555,600) (\$1,293,300	(\$1,179,800)	(\$800,600)	(\$1,166,800)	(\$950,700)	\$23,900	(\$513,000)	\$279,300
Non-Operating Revenue (Expense)	\$665 OU	\$687 400	\$583 700	\$537 200	\$61.000	\$20 400	·=	33 100	2015 100	\$218,000	\$275,600	\$480 BUD	\$674.400	\$731 000	\$46,100	\$470 BUD	\$060 100	\$1 526 400
Water Connection Fee Revenues	\$120,000	\$371,800	\$887,500	\$1,546,500	\$2,350,000	\$3,525,000	\$4,7(00'000 \$7.	020,000 \$	9,400,000 \$	11,750,000	\$15,040,000	\$18,330,000	\$21,620,000	\$24,910,000	\$28,200,000	\$31,490,000	\$33,205,500
New Debt Service			\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$281,000)	(82)	81,000) (\$	\$281,000)	(\$281,000)	(\$281,000)	(\$281,000)	(\$281,000)	(\$281,000)	(\$281,000)	(\$281,000)	(\$281,000)	(\$281,000)
Total Non-Op Revenues/Expenses	\$785,900	\$1,059,200	\$1,471,200	\$2,083,700	\$2,411,000	\$3,314,400	54,5-	42,100 \$6	;984,100 \$	\$9,337,000 \$	\$11,744,600	\$15,248,800	\$18,723,400	\$22,070,900	\$24,675,100	\$28,389,600	\$32,178,100	\$34,450,900
Net Income Before Capital Activity	\$2,196,000	\$1,412,600	\$685,600	\$1,933,100	\$1,811,900	\$2,096,900	\$3.4.	21,600 \$5,	,733,100 \$	7,781,400 \$	10,451,300	\$14,069,000	\$17,922,800	\$20,904,100	\$23,724,400	\$28,413,500	\$31,665,100	\$34,730,200
Capital Expenditures Future Add'I Water Supply Costs	\$1,480,000	\$4,871,000	\$5,278,000	\$17,807,000	\$1,497,000	\$341,000 L	20	54,000 \$5	;637,000 \$	\$5,862,000	\$3,310,000	\$7,916,000	\$16,008,000	\$8,794,000 \$34,968,000	\$4,575,000	\$1,797,000	\$3,089,000	\$5,618,000
Projected Add'l Capital Reinvestment															\$5,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000
Capital Financing Proposed Debt Issuance		8	\$4,000,000	0\$	\$0	\$0		\$0		80	\$0	80	\$0	0\$	\$0	80	\$0	\$0
Net Change in Funds Avail. After Capital Activity	\$716,000	(\$3,458,400)	(\$1,549,400)	(\$15,873,900)	\$314,900	\$1,755,900	\$3,0(67,600	\$96,100 \$	1,919,400	\$7,141,300	\$6,153,000	\$1,914,800	(\$22,857,900)	\$14,149,400	\$16,616,500	\$18,576,100	\$19,112,200
Beginning Cash Balance	\$22,197,900	\$22,913,900	\$19,455,500	\$17,906,100	\$2,032,200	\$2,347,100	\$4,10	03,000 \$7,	170,600 \$	7,266,700	\$9,186,100	\$16,327,400	\$22,480,400	\$24,395,200	\$1,537,300	\$15,686,700	\$32,303,200	\$50,879,300
Ending Cash Balance	006'016'77¢	000,004,816	001/3006/11¢	002'200'2¢	001,146,26	000'001'5	1.76	14 000 1 20	,200,/00 3	39,100,100 4	004' 170' 01 0	342,400,400	\$24,330,20U	000'/00'10	001/000/01¢	002'000'200	nnc's/o'nce	000'188'808
		2	R	2	P	071		<i>BJ</i> ''	80:-	00:N	7/1	7:00	R0'+	R/C	Z. IZ	V. IO	0.30	0/11
Description						٩	roposed Ra	ates and Proj	ected Chang	les in Accour	Its and Wate	r Usage						
Proposed Base Level Fixed Rate (\$Account/Month) (12/09)	\$18.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Proposed Average User Sage Unit Rate (whorn) (12/09) Connection Fee (1/09)	\$12,000	\$14,870	\$17,750	\$20,620	\$23,500	\$23,500	Ś	23,500	\$23,500	\$23,500	\$23,500	\$23,500	\$23,500	\$23,500	\$23,500	\$23,500	\$23,500	\$23,500
Growth Based Changes in Accounts/Degnands Increase in Number Departments (), (5, 65, 65, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10	J. 9₀-	. Page	∋ 36‰C	of 38	78 100	117 150		156 200	234 300	312 400	390 500	499 640	608 780	717 920	826 1,060	935 1,200	1,044 1,340	1,101 1,413

rown have thange in Accountionand in the second structure in the second second

RESOLUTION NO. 10-XX

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PASO ROBLES PROPOSING UNIFORM WATER USER RATES AND AUTHORIZING INITIATION OF THE PROPOSITION 218 PROCEDURES

WHEREAS, improvements to the City water system are needed, primarily to supplement the limited ground water supply, improve its quality and reliability, and also to provide adequate distribution, staffing, and water storage capacity; and

WHEREAS, in August 2004, the Council entered into a delivery entitlement contract, securing 4,000 acre-feet per year of Nacimiento water; and

WHEREAS, on January 15, 2008, Council directed that a study of water rates and water connection fees be prepared in light of both the Nacimiento project and other planned water system improvements; and

WHEREAS, on January 20, 2009, Council adopted water rates and such adoption was subsequently subject to a challenge by referendum petition; and

WHEREAS, a special election was held on November 3, 2009 known as "Measure A09" and voters rejected such measure, thereby leaving the current water rates in effect; and

WHEREAS, the revenues generated by the existing water rates are inadequate to sustain safe, reliable and high quality water system operations and water production in compliance with State Department of Public Health, local fire code, and other requirements; and

WHEREAS, the City wishes to ensure the ability to produce water to meet peak demands, extend water reliability and improve water quality; and

WHEREAS, an alternative uniform rate structure in which users pay a set usage rate according to the amount of water used will provide the necessary funding to provide a reliable, well-maintained, infrastructure system and reliable water resource to serve the needs of its existing and future customers.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

<u>SECTION 1</u>. The City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles does hereby propose a uniform rate structure for the purpose of providing a reliable, well-maintained, infrastructure system and reliable water resource, as shown on Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

<u>SECTION 2.</u> That the City Council hereby authorizes City staff to initiate the necessary Proposition 218 ballot process associated with the potential adoption of the proposed rate structure.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Paso Robles this 2nd day of February 2010 by the following votes:

AYES: NOES: ABSTAIN: ABSENT:

ATTEST:

Duane Picanco, Mayor

Lonnie Dolan, Deputy City Clerk

1279574v2 33444/0015

Agenda Item No. 9 - Page 37 of 38

EXHIBIT A

PROPOSED UNIFORM WATER RATE STRUCTURE

User Class	FY 10-11	FY 11-12	FY 12-13	FY 13-14	FY 14-15
<u>All Customers</u>	A O F O	Usa	ge Charge \$/I	HCF)	0 4.40
All Water Usage	\$2.50	\$3.20	\$3.70	\$4.10	\$4.40

HCF = hundred cubic feet = 748 gallons No fixed monthly service charge is proposed.

1279574v2 33444/0015

Agenda Item No. 9 - Page 38 of 38