TO: James L. App, City Manager

FROM: Lisa Solomon, Chief of Police

SUBJ: Animal Control Contract

DATE: November 17, 2009

NEEDS:

Consider approval of an amendment to the County of San Luis Obispo Animal Control Services Contract July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2010 in the amount of \$200,440.

FACTS:

- 1. The City of Paso Robles contracts with the San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Department Animal Services Division for animal control services.
- 2. The Council previously authorized a contract extension for Animal Control Services through September 30, 2009 while a Study Team (comprised of representatives from the County Administration, County Health Agency, County Animal Services, local police chiefs and finance personnel) conducted a comprehensive study of Animal Control Services and associated costs.
- 3. Findings from the Animal Services Study are attached to this report (Attachment "A"). Committee work continues in an effort to raise revenues (County fees) and examine service reductions to partially offset future contract increases.
- 4. The one-year contract extension provides the same services and price as originally proposed by the County in March 2009. The contract increase was accounted for in the FY 2010 budget.
- 5. The newly proposed contract extension would replace the previously authorized contract extension approved June 16, 2009.

ANALYSIS & CONCLUSION:

The City has contracted with San Luis Obispo County for animal control services for many years. The contract includes: response of Animal Service Officers for injured or stray animals; investigative services for animal bites, abuse and neglect; sheltering and quarantine services; dog licensing; animal adoption; and other services as required by either State law or City Municipal Code. The most recent three year contract with the County expired June 30, 2009, as did all other incorporated cities' contracts.

The City was notified in March, 2009 that contract costs would increase substantially. The City agreed to a short-term contract extension at the proposed rate through September 30, 2009, while a countywide Study Team worked to conduct a full analysis of animal services and associated costs. The Study Team was put into place, and animal services and associated payments have continued in good faith, per the Council approved contract extension.

Due to a clerical error, the County never ratified the Paso Robles contract extension. Consequently, a successor amended contract is proposed. The new agreement is essentially the same as the prior approved extension; however, it will be in effect thru FY 2010. The contract rate remains as originally proposed at \$200,440. This expense is reflected in the current approved / adopted City budget.

In September, the results of the Animal Services Study were revealed (see attached). In summary, the study revealed that program costs are reasonable and the method of data collection and attribution to individual cities is accurate. The Study also found that the method for allocating costs to each contracting city was not appropriate. Certain cities use Animal Services at a significantly higher rate than others. In considering how rates are currently determined, the Study Team determined the allocation of costs under the old method put an unfair burden on cities that are not utilizing Animal Services to the same level as other municipalities. This finding was unfortunate for the City of Paso Robles, as it is currently the highest user of services of all the contracting municipalities. As such, under the newly proposed method for cost allocation (designed to charge for services based strictly on use) the County expects rates for the City of Paso Robles to be as much as \$300,000 (in FY 2011).

The Study Team has expanded to include representatives from the two highest users of service, Paso Robles and Atascadero to work on ways to reduce contract costs. As an example, the County is proposing increases to 29 out of 73 animal service fees in order to increase offsetting revenues (See Attachment "B") [the Board of Supervisors is scheduled to conduct a public hearing this matter on November 24, 2009]. The Police Department is working with the County to find other cost saving solutions (i.e. spayneuter grants to reduce feral cat population, micro-chipping program to reduce animal surrenders, etc.) It is anticipated the revenues from fee increases, as well as any reductions made to service levels may help reduce the contract increase that will be proposed for FY 2011.

POLICY

REFERENCE: None.

FISCAL

IMPACT: None.

OPTIONS:

- 1. Adopt Resolution 09-xx authorizing the Mayor to execute a "First Amendment to Contract for Animal Services" representing a one (1) year contract extension at a cost of \$200,440 with the County of San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Department Animal Services Division for animal control services.
- 2. Amend, modify or reject the above option.

San Luis Obispo County 2009 Animal Services Study Report Summary

Background

For many years, the City of Paso Robles has contracted with the County of San Luis Obispo for the provision of animal control services. The contract includes: emergency and non-emergency response of Animal Services Officers for injured and stray animals; investigative services for animal bites, abuse, and neglect; sheltering and quarantine services; dog licensing; animal adoption and other services as required either by state law or City Municipal Code. The most recent three-year contract with the County expired on June 30, 2009.

In preparation for a new three-year contract, the City was notified by the County that contract costs would be substantially increasing. Contract costs had already increased since 2006 largely because the County had not been achieving full cost recovery from the cities utilizing their services; however, the cost increases being proposed for 2009 through 2012 caused concern for all of the cities involved.

A committee was formed to conduct an in-depth study and analysis of the animal services being provided to the contract cities, along with associated costs. The study team was comprised of representatives from County Administration and the County Health Agency, the County Animal Services Manager, the Police Chiefs from the Cities of San Luis Obispo and Arroyo Grande, and the City of San Luis Obispo Finance Director.

On June 16, 2009, the Council authorized a contract extension for the County to provide animal control services through September 30, 2009 in order to allow the team to complete the study. Similar contract extensions were executed by the other contract cities. Since then, the study has been substantially completed; however, additional time is needed to implement the recommended actions from the study in order to impact service costs. In addition, due to an oversight, the County did not submit the contract extensions to the Board of Supervisors, so they were never formally executed. The County has, however, continued to provide animal control services, and the City has continued to pay per the new contract rate. A one-year contract extension is being presented for Council consideration on November 17, 2009 that would replace the previously executed contract approved by the Council on June 16, 2009, and will continue services through June 30, 2010.

Study Findings

1. **Program costs are reasonable:** The County provides a wide variety of animal services, many of which are driven by legal requirements and community expectations. The total budget for the Animal Services Division is about \$2.7 million. Costs would be significantly higher were it not for the use of county jail inmates and volunteers who work in the kennel.

Costs are allocated into three main service areas (cost centers):

Service Area	Budget	% of Total Budget
Field Services	\$1.4 million	52%
Kennel Operations	\$1.2 million	45%
Education	\$83,000	3%

Revenue generated through animal licensing and service fees off-set approximately 27% of the costs of the program. The net costs are divided among the County and the seven contract cities according to population and a "service factor" based on each city's usage rates. The study

committee reviewed the animal services budget in detail and is satisfied that costs are reasonable and appropriate for the services being provided.

- 2. Data collection is accurate: Services provided are allocated to each City or to the County (unincorporated areas) based on the location of service calls and/or the address of animal owners using the various services. The County utilizes specialized computer software to track services, animals and owners in order to allocate costs and monitor activity trends. Address information is pulled from the U.S. Postal Service zip code database to ensure services are attributed to the correct location / resident. Audits of calls for service in several cities indicated a high rate of accuracy in data collection and address coding.
- **3.** A new cost allocation method is recommended: The committee compared the following three methods used by various counties to allocate costs of animal control services to contract cities:
 - Per Capita Method: With this method, costs and revenue are allocated to contract cities and the unincorporated County area based solely on the percentage of population in each jurisdiction. This method is very straight-forward and easy to administer; however, it assumes each city and the county are using about the same level of service proportional to their population (i.e. each resident is using about the same amount of services and generating about the same amount of revenue). An analysis of actual usage of animal control services by each city and County revealed this was not the case. Some cities tend to use services at a much higher frequency than others, and some cities generated significantly greater revenue than others. Allocating costs and revenue based on a per-capita model would result in cities that use fewer services and/or generate more revenue having to effectively subsidize those cities that use a disproportionately higher share of services. In fact, the analysis determined that the city of Paso Robles a relatively higher proportion of services than all of the other cities. Paso Robles is the highest user of services; even than those greater in population.
 - b) <u>Service Factor Method</u>: This is the method currently in use by the County. Costs and revenue are allocated to the cities and County based on the assignment of a Service Factor to each city, which measures certain services to determine if each city is using more or fewer services in proportion to its population. Analysis of this method revealed it is deficient in accurately allocating costs and revenue to each city contract city. In addition, this method has proved to be complex and confusing to users.
 - c) <u>Service-based Method</u>: This model allocates costs and revenues to the cities and the County based on each jurisdiction's actual use of services and revenue generated. Usage is measured by allocating actual field calls, animal impounds, and licenses issued (allocated by address of animal owner).

After considering all three cost allocation methods, staff from each contract city and the County agree, the Service-based Method is the most fair and accurate way to allocate costs and revenue.

4. Higher cost recovery is desirable to off-set animal services costs and can be achieved through modest fee increases: Fees for various services are set annually by the Board of Supervisors. Certain fees are set by State law and cannot be adjusted at the local level. Other fees are purposely set below full cost recovery in order to encourage use of the service, such as animal adoptions and licensing. Current fee revenue off-sets only about 27% of the costs of providing animal control services countywide, even though the costs are almost solely driven by users of the services (animal owners).

A comparison of fees with other counties indicated that some County fees are on the lower end of the scale and could support modest increases without discouraging use of desired services, such as adoptions and licensing. In addition, higher fees in some areas are appropriate in order to discourage undesirable behavior, such as owners who fail to properly confine their dogs resulting in multiple impounds, or owners who fail to obtain the required dog license. As such, the study team recommended modest increases to 29 out of the 73 different fees assessed for various services. On November 3rd, the proposed fee increases will be introduced to the Board of Supervisors and the public, along with the fee ordinance and the setting of a hearing date. The hearing date is set for November 24th, at which time the Board will consider the proposed fee increases for approval. These fee increases, if adopted in total, will generate approximately \$173,000 in additional revenue, bringing overall cost recovery to 41% (from the current 27% level). The additional revenue will be apportioned to the contract cities and the County according to location of origin, and will help reduce animal services contract costs. A letter supporting the fee increases has been sent to the Board of Supervisors by Chief Solomon in advance of their November 3rd meeting.

Next Steps

The original study team has been expanded to include Chiefs from the two highest contract users (Atascadero and Paso Robles). Over the next several months, the study team will work to transition from the current "Service Factor" cost recovery method to the "Service-based Method," which will take effect in July 2010. The team will continue to work to ensure services and associated costs are reasonable and that cost recovery goals are being achieved. A new multi-year contract for continued animal services will be considered in the City's next budget cycle.

Department Name: Animal Services Fund Center: 137

l										
μ̈̈́Ö	Fee Category	Fee Description	Authority	2009-10 Current Fee	Unit Description	2010-11 Total Cost	2010-11 Proposed Fee	Unit Description	% Change Comments	Comments
1 000		STATUTORY FEES								Per CA Code/Veterinary Fees
	papagan		Ca Code of Reg 2606, H & S Code 121575, 121690	\$ 10.00		10.00	10.00		0.0%	
		JTER	CA F&A Code 31751 & 30503							Per CA Code deposit comparable to vet fees for spay/neuter, in effort to achieve customer compliance with Spay/Neuter code.
2,001		rter deposit nale/male							0.0%	Per CA F&A Code/Fine
2		Spay/Neuter deposit for any female/male cat		\$ 50.00		\$ 50.00			0.0%	Per CA F&A Code/Fine
1		Citation for failure to spay/neuter	Ca Code F&A 30804.7	\$ 35.00			↔		0.0	Per CA F&A Code/Fine
		2nd Offense		\$ 50.00		\$ 20:00	\$ 50.00		0.0%	Per CA Code F&A 31762-31763
2 2	2 006 Unchanged			\$ 100.00		\$ 100.00	\$		0.0%	Per CA Code F&A 31762-31763
2 00 2		Failure th	CA Code F&A 31762-31763	\$ 50.00		\$ 50.00	↔		%0.0 %0.0	
	7	ne Failure vith r		\$ 100.00		\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00		0.0%	
3.000		ES	CA F&A Code 31105, 31108, 31752 & 32001							Increase to stay consistant with private boarding kennels. Division avoids raising fees to discourage redemptions that would cause shelter over crowding and euthanasia
	Increased					\$ 33.36	222		25.0%	
	Increased	Quarantine, Isolation, Hold Wards		\$ 20.00		\$ 33.36	G		25.0%	
1		ADOPTION OF ANIMALS	CA F&A Code 31105 & 31108 SLO County Title 9.08.100 SB 1785 Hayden							Adoptions include, alteration, rabies vaccine, microchip, collars
 =	4,001 Increased	a. Adoption of Dogs		\$ 95.00		\$ 313.22	\$ 100.00		5.3%	5.3% Adoptions include, alteration, FIV test, Carrier, rabies vaccine, microchip, collars

To avoid decrease in adoption of small animals. The AS fees are set below actual cost. Small types of animals Include rodents, chickens, most fish, rabbits	6 Includes adoption of goats, sheep, small reptiles and small birds.	6 Actual cost of recovery and places fee comparable to true retail value of animals. Includes horses,lg lizards,lg snakes,lg birds,livestock and tortoises	// Fee set at full cost recovery.	% Fee set at full cost recovery.	8		Discounted to promote Spay / Neuter		% Senior citizen defined as 65 and older.	% Per state codes, additional fees for unaltered dogs may be charged and directed to recover costs resulting from potential increase in population thus increased labor costs, & services & supplies costs.			% Includes processing costs by outsoursing vendor	%	Recovers processing costs by outsoursing vendor	% 1st impound fee waived if dog is currently licensed and wearing tag. to promote license compliance		Additional impound fees correspond to multiple violations, as a means in achieving compliance of code	% See footnote #1
7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	%0.0	%0.0			33.3%	99.7%	%0.0 ***********************************		00 02	52.8%	20.0%		0.0%			%9'9
75.00	5.00	30.00	150.00	3.00	1.00			20.00					55.00	15.00		10.50			65.00
278.73 \$		30.00	150.00 \$		1.00 \$			55.36 \$	5.36 \$	5.36		- 3		55.36		37.18 \$			187.72 \$
278		ñ	15					5	2	_Ω			rc	ις.		8			18
φ.	\$	↔	€	\$	\$			9	ક્ક	↔		-	↔	ss.		↔			\$
70.00	5.00	30.00	100.00	3.00	1.00			15.00	30.00	(7.00)			36.00	12.50		10.50			61.00
₩	s	₩	€9	S	8			₩	÷	€			⇔	\$		₩.			\$
						CA CCR 2606, H & S Code 1901- 06, 1911, 1920 F&A 30801				Board of	a local particular		CA F&A Code 30520		Title 9.09.240		CA F&A Code 31101, 31105, 31108, 31253, 31251 and SB 1785 Hawden		
Adoption of Cats	b. Adoption of all small animals-common	Adoption of all	Adoption of other	c. Cat Carriers	d. Leashes	EES	ь	One Year	Three Years	Year	Unaltered (Non-spayed or non-		One Year	l	REISSUANCE OF LOST TAG/TRANSFER OF	Reissuance Fee	يز ا		1st Impound
Increased	9		_	7		 	+-	5.002 Increased (П		Oncoranged		Increased	<u>_</u>		Thebanded	 		Increased
4 002	4.003			4 004	4.005		200	5.002	5.003		400,0	5,005	5,006					200	

See footnote #1	See footnote #1	Discount allowed once annually			Does anniv to an anmial that has been micro-			Fee set at full cost recovery.	Fee set at full cost recovery.	For non-vaccinated animals only. Qualantine a result of non-vaccinated animal exposure. Animal cannot be licensed during 6 month quarantine delaying fees for licensing.				Vaccinated Animals only. Quarantine is a result of animal exposure.		See footnote #2	See footnote #2	See footnote #2		Based on current analysis, fee does not recover cost of services to avoid animal abandonment
12.0%	18.9%	22.2%	-100.0%	%00			%n.n		44.0%	40.4%	%0.0	35.0%	%0.0	90.00	22.270		%0:0	%0.0	%0'0	
187.72	\$	187.72	\$ 204.86	000	204.86 \$		(5.00)		\$	\$ 160.53 \$ 80.00	\$ 633.89 \$ 225.00	\$ 109.52 \$ 54,00	\$ 161.07 \$ (10.00)	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	308.60		\$ 91.75 \$ 60.00	\$ 91.75 \$ 35.00	\$ 91.75 \$ 60.00	
92.00	122.00	153.00	(15.00)		10.00	26.00	(5.00)		20.00	57.00	225.00	40.00	(10.00)		90.00		90.09	35.00	00.09	
66		€	s		မှာ	s	சு		€9	G	s	€	€		es.		s	€9	\$	
								CA H&S 121580, 121710 CA CCR								SLO Co Code Title 9.04.010				CA F&A Code 31105
purodal pu	and Imported	4th and Succeeding	Discount for licensed	Cat Redemption Fee	Altered	Unaltered	Discount with any form of identification at time of impoundment (cat or dogs)	SANTINE	er/Veterinarian	Home Quarantine	ree/Non Owner Bite 180 Rabies	Quarantine Posting and Lifting of	a Quarantine, Only	Quarantine-Licensed Dog Discount	60 Day Rabies Quarantine	REQUEST FOR OWNED ANIMAL PICK-UP		Each additional adult	Litter (up to 4 month	NIMAL ITO
r	Increased	T	Increased II		Unchanged				7000		Increased	핑	Increased	Unchanged				-	9,002 Unchanged	
7 000	1,000	100,0	m cnn',					C	9,000			8,003	8,004	8,005	8,006	000			8,002	10,000

																							Fee will reduce financial burden to cities and county, places financial responsibility for aggressive dogs on animal owners	Fee will reduce financial burden to cities and county, places financial responsibility for aggressive dogs on animal owners	
%0.0	%0:0	%0.0	%0.0	%0:0			%0.0	-100.0%	20.7%		%0.0	-100.0%	300	-100.0%	%0:0	%0.0	1		11.1%	9.1%	6.3%	2.6%	Ed. (U	6.4%	8.3%
			*	3 72.50			3 75.00		113,00		\$ 35.00				35.00		5 55.00		20.00			\$ 95.00		532.00	\$ 352.00
	97.83 \$	97.83		200.18 \$			76.13 \$		113.73 \$		87.05				\$ 20.78	\$ 20.78	\$ 66.88		273.71 \$	273.71		273.71		532.59	352.56
															es.	s			8			es-		€	ω
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$			₩		<i>в</i>		₩				97	97	\$		97	97	-	97			
25.00	37.00	25.00	100.00	72.50			75.00	75.00	75.00		35.00	35.00		10.00	35.00	5.00			45.00	55.00	80.00	90.00		500.00	325.00
\$	↔	69	€9	69			69	€9	€9		\$	69		↔	€	€9			49	€>	↔	\$		₩	↔
	CA F&A Code 31105									CA F&A Code	31105							CA F&A Code 31105						CA Code F&A	CA F&A Code 31603, 31641, 31645
Each adult animal	. <u>=</u>	-	Transportation (to veterinarians, etc.)		After hours emergency assistance (2 hr min)	DEAD ANIMAL PICK UP	Cats/Dogs under 80	Over 80 pounds	without second officer Over 80 pounds with		¥.	First Animal Each additional adult	animal	Each Additional adult animal under 4 months	Litter charge (under 4 months)	Rodents and Rabbits	Livestock/Domestic		Under 10 pounds	10-50 pounds	50-90 pounds (2 person) Modified Weight	91-150 pounds (2	CITATION /FINES	1 a	
Unchanged	Unchanged	Unchanged	Unchanged		Unchanged		Hochanded		Deleted	Increased		Unchanged	Deleted	Deleted	Unchanged	Unchanged	New		Increased	Increased	Increased	Increased		Increased	Increased
10,001	10.002		10,004		10.005	13.000	13.001		13,002	13,003	14,000	14,001	14,002	14.003		14.005	14,006	15,000	15,001	15,002	15.003	15 004	18,000	60,00	

	9.04.145,								Fee will reduce financial burden to cities and county.
NUISANCE ABATEMENT	Contracts with cities	:							places financial responsibility for aggressive dogs on animal owners
Inquiry ing.		€	375.00	ω	492.10	\$ 375.00	8	0.0%	0.0% Fee added to cover cost of case review and site evaluation
Nuisance Abatement		€	250.00	\$	325.29	\$ 250.00	00	%0.0	
RELEASE OF		€	0.10			\$ 0.	0.10	%0.0	
	Public Information Act								
Research Fee		\$	15.00	€9	19.28	\$ 15.00	00	0.0%	
Voluntal CAT TRAP RENTAL program	Voluntary	so	2.00	€9	112.92	\$ 2.	2.00	%0.0	Refundable upon return of trap. Offsets cost of equipment of lost
Cat Trap Deposit		\$	25.00	€9	25.00	\$ 25.00	00	%0.0	Refundable upon return of trap. Offsets cost of equipment of lost.
Trap Deposit		8	25.00	φ.	25.00	\$ 25.00	00	%0'0	
INVESTIGATIONS									
Cruelty Investigations	Penal Code 597	69	65.00	ө	175.15	\$ 65.00	00	%0.0	Fee will reduce financial burden to cities and county, places financial responsibility for aggressive dogs on animal owners
Cruelty Investigations, follow- up		\$	25.00	\$	175.15	\$ 25.00	00	%0.0	
- 32	SLO County Title 9.04.130								Full Cost recovery
Commercial Kennel & Pet Shop		s	275.00	\$	360.06	\$ 360.00	00	30.9%	
Hobby Breeder (for 2 litters per year)		€9	175.00	↔	245.22	\$ 190:00	9	8.6%	
Each additional litter		69	51.00	φ.	245.22	\$ 51.00	0	%0.0	
501C3 designated non-profit operations will be exempt from commercial fee									Not applicable in unincorporated areas
Non- Commercial/Multi- Animal									
Altered and Unaltered Animals		↔	56.00	↔	245.22	\$ 60.00	Q	7.1%	
Altered Animals Only		s s	26.00	↔	245.22	\$ 40.00	0	53.8%	
NG 2	CA CCR 2606, H & S 121575, 121690								
Head Removal Needed		₩	25.00	↔	116.65	\$ 55.00	0	%0.0	
Lab and reporting needed		s	30.00	€	88.43	\$ 30.00	0	%0.0	Educational community based program available to a broad range of incomes

				To increase animal redemption, dogs and cats may be microchipped.																	
	100.0%		0.0%	0.0% Tc																	
	00:02			20.00																	
	\$ 323.46 \$		44.88	\$ 54.33 \$																	
	00		10.00	20.00																	
	\$ 35.00			\$ 20.																	
			H&S 1216900 F&D 30801																		
CAMP P.A.W.S.(Humane Education Camp)	Per camp/total 16 children	SERVICES OFFERED	uo																		
	Increased		Unchanged	23,002 Unchanged (
22.000	22.001	23,000	23,001	23,002																	

FIRST AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT FOR ANIMAL SERVICES

This FIRST AMENDMENT is entered into between the County of San Luis Obispo, a public entity in the State of California (hereafter referred to as "County") and the City of Paso Robles, (hereafter referred to as "City").

WHEREAS, on July 1, 2006, the County executed a contract with the City to provide animal services within its boundaries by the County's Division of Animal Services; and

WHEREAS, the contract expires on June 30, 2009; and

WHEREAS, Health Agency and Administrative staff, working with a contingent of City representatives, are currently performing an Animal Services study which includes identifying specific animal services provided to the City, data collection elements, allocation and fee setting methodology, with the intent that the Cities will gain a clearer understanding of the rate setting process; and

WHEREAS, County and City wish to extend the contract for an additional twelve months beyond the expiration date and desire to amend the contract to reflect the extended term.

NOW THEREFORE:

1. The following line shall be added to the original Attachment A to include the twelve month extension:

July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010 \$200,440

2. The following paragraph shall be added to the Section labeled TERM AND RENEWAL and the Contact is hereby amended with the following language added:

The term of this Contract is hereby extended to June 30, 2010, unless terminated earlier by mutual agreement of the parties. The Health Agency Director shall have authority to act pursuant to this paragraph.

All other terms and conditions of the Contract shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused their duly authorized representative to execute this Renewal of Contract.

Executed as of the date set forth herein.

CONTRACTOR:	
City of Paso Robles	
By:	
Mayor of the City of Paso Robles	Date
ATTEST:	
By:	
Deputy Clerk for the City of Paso Robles	Date

APPROVED A	S TO FORM AND LEGAL EFFECT:	
Warren Jensen County Counse	el	
By:		
•	Deputy County Counsel	Date
COUNTY:		
Bruce Gibson Board of Super	visors	
By:		
- y · <u> </u>	Chairperson of the Board of Supervisors	Date
ATTEST: Julie Rodewald Clerk-Recorder		
By:		_
	Clerk and Ex. Officio Clerk of the Board	Date

RESOLUTION NO. 09-

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A THREE (3) MONTH CONTRACT EXTENSION WITH THE COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ANIMAL SERVICES DIVISION FOR ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICES

WHEREAS, the City of El Paso de Robles has contracted with the County of San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Department Animal Services Division for Animal Control Services for many years; and

WHEREAS, the current contract for animal control services expired June 30, 2009; and

WHEREAS, a Study Team comprised of representatives from the County and contracting cities have performed a comprehensive analysis of the Animal Services program and associated costs; and

WHEREAS, the Study Team has recommended a newly proposed method of cost allocation which may result in further increased costs for the City of Paso Robles; and,

WHEREAS, the Study Team, including a member of the Paso Robles Police Department, will continue working through FY 2010 to find alternatives to reduce contract costs, i.e. increasing animal service user fees, spay-neuter grant programs, micro-chipping programs, etc.; and,

WHEREAS, the County and the City wish to extend the existing contract for one (1) year at a rate of \$200,440 for the specified term, thereby rendering the previously approved contract extension null and void.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles does authorize the Mayor to execute the "First Amendment to Contract for Animal Services" representing a one (1) year contract extension for animal control services between the City of El Paso de Robles and the San Luis Obispo County Sheriff's Department Animal Services Division in the amount of \$200,440.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Paso Robles, this 17th day of November 2009, by the following vote:

Lonnie Dolan, Deputy City Clerk		
ATTEST:		
	Duane Picanco, Mayor	
ABSENT: ABSTAIN:		
AYES: NOES:		
AVEC.		